Ordinality and Riemann Hypothesis

Young Deuk Kim
Faculty of Liberal Education
Seoul National University
Seoul 08826, Korea
(yk274@snu.ac.kr)

November 2, 2023

Abstract

We study a sufficient condition of the Riemann hypothesis. This condition is the existence of a special ordering on the set of finite products of distinct odd prime numbers.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification; 11M26.

1 Introduction

The zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^s}$$

was introduced by Euler in 1737 for real variable s > 1. In 1859, Riemann([7]) extended the function to the complex meromorphic function $\zeta(z)$ with only simple pole at z = 1 and

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^z}$$

on Re z > 1.

Theorem 1.1 ([10]). The zeta function has a meromorphic continuation into the entire complex plane, whose only singularity is a simple pole at z = 1.

The zeta function has infinitely many zeros but there is no zero in the region $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.2 ([6], [10]). The only zeros of zeta function outside the critical strip 0 < Rez < 1 are at the negative even integers, -2, -4, -6, \cdots .

The most famous conjecture on the zeta function is the Riemann hypothesis([1], [9]).

Riemann Hypothesis. The zeros of $\zeta(z)$ in the critical strip lie on the critical line $Re z = \frac{1}{2}$.

Suppose that x and y are real numbers with 0 < x < 1. It is known that if x + yi is a zero of the zeta function, then so are x - yi, (1 - x) + yi and (1 - x) - yi. Riemann himself showed that if $0 \le y \le 25.02$ and x + yi is a zero of the zeta function, then $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore the Riemann Hypotheis is true up to height 25.02. In 1986, van de Lune, te Riele and Winter([3]) showed that the Riemann hypotheis is true up to height 545,439,823,215. Furthermore in 2021 Dave Platt and Tim Trudgian([5]) proved that the Riemann hypotheis is true up to height $3 \cdot 10^{12}$.

In this paper, we study a sufficient condition of the Riemann Hypothesis. This condition is the existence of a special ordering on the set of finite products of distinct odd prime numbers.

2 Preliminary lemmas and theorems

The eta function

$$\eta(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^z}$$

is convergent on Re z > 0.

Theorem 2.1 ([2]). For 0 < Rez < 1, we have

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-z}} \eta(z).$$

The zeros of $1-2^{1-z}$ are on Re z=1. Therefore any zeros of $\zeta(z)$ in $0<{\rm Re}\,z<1$ is a zero of $\eta(z)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let 0 < x < 1. If x + yi is a zero of $\zeta(z)$ then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^x} \cos(y \ln k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^x} \sin(y \ln k) = 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{k^{x+yi}} &= k^{-x-yi} &= e^{(-x-yi)\ln k} \\ &= e^{-x\ln k} \left(\cos(y\ln k) - i\sin(y\ln k) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k^x} \left(\cos(y\ln k) - i\sin(y\ln k) \right) \end{split}$$

Therefore it is trivial from Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.3. Let 0 < x < 1. If x + yi is a zero of $\zeta(z)$ then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^x} \cos(y \ln(ak)) = 0$$

for all a > 0 and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^x} \sin(y \ln(bk)) = 0$$

for all b > 0.

Proof.

$$\cos(y \ln(ak)) = \cos(y \ln a + y \ln k)$$

=
$$\cos(y \ln a) \cos(y \ln k) - \sin(y \ln a) \sin(y \ln k)$$

$$\sin(y \ln(bk)) = \sin(y \ln b + y \ln k)$$

=
$$\sin(y \ln b) \cos(y \ln k) + \cos(y \ln b) \sin(y \ln k)$$

Therefore it is trivial from Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. Let 0 < x < 1. Suppose that x + yi is a zero of $\zeta(z)$ and $q \ge 1$ is an odd number. Then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{mq-1}}{(mq)^x} \cos(y \ln(mq)) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{mq-1}}{(mq)^x} \sin(y \ln(mq)) = 0.$$

Proof. Since q is an odd number, $(-1)^{mq-1} = (-1)^{m-1}$. Therefore, from Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{mq-1}}{(mq)^x} \cos(y \ln(mq)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(mq)^x} \cos(y \ln(mq))$$
$$= \frac{1}{q^x} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m^x} \cos(y \ln(mq)) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{mq-1}}{(mq)^x} \sin(y \ln(mq)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(mq)^x} \sin(y \ln(mq))$$
$$= \frac{1}{q^x} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m^x} \sin(y \ln(mq)) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.5. If 0 < x < 1, then

$$1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{kx}} \cos(ky \ln 2) - i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{kx}} \sin(ky \ln 2) \neq 0$$

Proof. Since 0 < x < 1, we have

$$1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{kx}} \cos(ky \ln 2) - i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{kx}} \sin(ky \ln 2)$$

$$= 2 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(ky \ln 2) + i \sin(ky \ln 2)}{2^{kx}}$$

$$= 2 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{iky \ln 2}}{2^{kx}}$$

$$= 2 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{iy \ln 2}}{2^x}\right)^k$$

$$= 2 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{e^{iy \ln 2}}{2^x}}$$

$$= 2 - \frac{2^x}{2^x - e^{iy \ln 2}}$$

$$= \frac{2^x - 2e^{iy \ln 2}}{2^x - e^{iy \ln 2}}$$

$$\neq 0.$$

3 The sufficient condition of Riemann hypothesis

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers.

Definition 3.1. Let Q be the set of finite products of distinct odd prime numbers.

 $Q = \{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n \mid p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n \text{ are distinct odd prime numbers, } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

For each $q = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n \in Q$, we define

$$\operatorname{sgn} q = (-1)^n$$

where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are distinct odd prime numbers.

There are infinitely many ordering on Q.

Definition 3.2. Choose any ordering on Q and let

$$Q = \{q_1, q_2, \cdots\}.$$

Definition 3.3. Let

$$\delta(k,i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is a multiple of } q_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(k,h) = \sum_{i=1}^{h} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k,i), \qquad f(k) = \lim_{h \to \infty} f(k,h) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k,i).$$

Note that, for each k, there exist only finitely many i such that $\delta(k,i) \neq 0$.

Definition 3.4. Suppose that $\frac{1}{2} < x < 1$ and x + yi is a zero of $\zeta(z)$. Let

$$a_k = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^x} \cos(y \ln k), \qquad b_k = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^x} \sin(y \ln k).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k = 0. \tag{1}$$

From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mq_i} = 0 \quad \text{for all } q_i \in Q$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) a_{mq_i} = 0 \quad \text{for all } q_i \in Q.$$
 (2)

Definition 3.5. Let

$$C(n,h) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k,i) a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(k,h) a_k$$

and

$$S(n,h) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k,i) b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(k,h) b_k.$$

Proposition 3.6. For each h, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} C(n, h) = \lim_{n \to \infty} S(n, h) = 0$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{h\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}C(n,h)=\lim_{h\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}S(n,h)=0.$$

Proof. From eq. (2), we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{h} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) a_{mq_i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{h} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k, i) a_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{h} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k, i) a_k$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k, i) a_k$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} C(n, h).$$

In the same way, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S(n, h) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.7. Recall

$$f(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (sgn \ q_i) \delta(k, i).$$

We have

$$f(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 2^m, \ m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $k=2^m$ for some $m=0,1,2,\cdots$, then k is not a multiple of any element in Q. Therefore $\delta(k,i)=0$ for all i and hence f(k)=0.

Suppose that $k \notin \{2^m \mid m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and

$$k = 2^m p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_n^{m_n}, \quad m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_n > 0, \quad m \ge 0, \quad n \ge 1$$

is the prime factorization of k, where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are distinct odd prime divisors of k. We have

$$\{q_i \in Q \mid \delta(k, i) = 1\}$$

$$= \{p_1, \dots, p_n, p_1 p_2, \dots, p_{n-1} p_n, p_1 p_2 p_3, \dots, p_1 p_2 \dots p_n\}.$$

Therefore

$$f(k) = -\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} - \dots + (-1)^n \binom{n}{n} = -1.$$

Notice that, for each k,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k, i) a_k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k, i) b_k$$

are finite sums. For each n, from Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} C(n, h) = \lim_{h \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k, i) a_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{sgn} q_i) \delta(k, i) a_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(k) a_k$$

$$= \sum_{k \neq 2^m}^{1 \le k \le n} (-a_k)$$

In the same way we have

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} S(n,h) = \sum_{k \neq 2^m}^{1 \le k \le n} (-b_k).$$

Therefore we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} C(n, h) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) a_k = \sum_{k \neq 2^m} (-a_k)$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{h\to\infty}S(n,h)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty f(k)b_k=\sum_{k\neq 2^m}(-b_k)$$

Up to now, we have worked with an arbitrary ordering on Q. To prove Riemann hypothesis, we need a special ordering on Q.

The Sufficient Condition of Riemann Hypothesis. There exists an ordering on Q such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} C(n, h) = \lim_{h \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} C(n, h) \tag{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} S(n, h) = \lim_{h \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} S(n, h). \tag{4}$$

Theorem 3.9. If above condition is true, then the Riemann hypothesis is true.

Proof. Suppose that there exists an ordering on Q satisfying eq. (3) and (4). Let $\frac{1}{2} < x < 1$ and x + yi is a zero of $\zeta(z)$. We will get a contradiction.

From Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.8, we have

$$\sum_{k \neq 2^m} (-a_k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} C(n, h) = \lim_{h \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} C(n, h) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{k \neq 2^m} (-b_k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} S(n, h) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} S(n, h) = 0.$$

Therefore, from eq.(1), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{2^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k + \sum_{k \neq 2^m} (-a_k) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{2^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k + \sum_{k \neq 2^m} (-b_k) = 0.$$

Since $a_1 = 1$, $b_1 = 0$ and 2^k is an even number for all k, we have

$$1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{kx}} \cos(ky \ln 2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{2^k} = 0$$

and

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{kx}} \sin(ky \ln 2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{2^k} = 0.$$

This contradicts to Lemma 2.5.

References

- [1] E. Bombieri, Problems of the Millennium: The Riemann Hypothesis.
- [2] K. Broughan, Equivalents of the Riemann Hypothesis Volume One: Arithmetic Equivalents, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 164, Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [3] J. van de Lune, H. J. J. te Riele and D. T. Winter, On the zeros of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip IV, Math. Comp. 46(1986), 667-681.
- [4] A. Taylor, General Theory of Functions and Integration, Dover Publications, 2010.

- [5] D. Platt, T. Trudgian, The Riemann hypothesis is true up to $3 \cdot 10^{12}$, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **53**(2021), 792-797.
- [6] Roland van der Veen and Jan van de Craats, The Riemann Hypothesis A Million Dollar Problem, Anneli Lax New Mathematical Library, MAA Press 2015.
- [7] B. Riemann, Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse, Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie (1859), 671-680.
- [8] G. Robin, Estimation de la fonction de Tchebychef θ sur le k-ième nombre premier et grandes valeurs de la fonction $\omega(n)$ nombre de diviseurs premiers de n, ACTA ARITHMETICA XLII (1983), 367-389.
- [9] P. Sarnak, Problems of the Millennium: The Riemann Hypothesis, CLAY 2004.
- [10] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi, *Complex Analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis II, Princeton University Press 2003.