## 0.0.1 Group Observations

In the group prototype sessions we observed how group dynamics affected the direction of the discussion. Our first observation was that when a feature was presented and one of the participants had a strong negative opinion about it then the other participants that were unsure followed this opinion and it was impossible to change the group effect even if we started indicating some good points of this feature.

The next observation considers the speed with which the group arrives at a consensus depending on the starting idea. If this idea is perceived by the group as good, then everyone agreed and there was no further discussion, we had to doubt this idea to make the group start again the discussion.

The final observation regards how the group builds their perception of an idea on the first idea that comes up in the discussion. Even if the idea does not have a solid base, the other participants will add their ideas on the first one without refuting or doubting it. This is called group thinking [?].

## 0.0.2 Individual Observations

The first thing that was observed was that the individuals don't come up with new information after the first few points. Since they don't receive information or input from other people they are unable to create new pros and cons as they can only work with what they have in their own mind.

Another observation was noticeable once asking several individuals; since there is no communication between the individuals, they did not mention the same pros and cons. Since they don't communicate there is no influence on each other so their thought process stays there own; their thought processes won't 'synchronize' and results in different ideas per person.

The individuals also asked questions if they were unsure. For example with the perspective: can it also swap?

## 0.0.3 Analysis of the results