Software Testing Assignment 3

Cindy Berghuizen, Omar Pakker , Chiel Peter, Maria Gouseti $22~{\rm September}~,~2013$

Exercise 5

Properties

The testable properties we defined and used in our version of is Permutation are (see figure 1):

- an empty list is a permutation of an empty list
- both lists have the same length (the first list is empty and the second one still has elements)
- A list L' is a permutation of a list L if every appearance of an element that exists in list L also exists in L' and L' does not have other elements.

Testing

In order to test isPermutation we are going to use permutations a function from Haskell Data.List. This function gets a list as an argument and returns a list we all its permutations, including the initial list as well. Consequently we check if the the second argument of isPermutation is an element of the list created by permutations with argument the first list. If both functions have the same value isPermutation is correct. We also created testPermutationsTotal which presents if all the test were successful (see figure 2).

```
--Exercise 5

testPermutation :: IO Bool

testPermutation = do

p1 <- genIntList
 p2 <- genIntList
 return ((isPermutation p1 p2) == (elem p2 (permutations p1)))

testPermutations :: Int -> IO [Bool]

testPermutations 0 = return []

testPermutations c = do

p <- testPermutation
 ps <- testPermutations (c-1)
 return (p:ps)

testPermutationsTotal :: Int -> IO String

testPermutationsTotal c = do

ps <- testPermutations c
 return ("All Checks Valid: " ++ (show (all (\x -> x) ps)))
```

Exercise 6

When we started testing our CNF converter using our random formula generator we noticed that we have missed the case of an empty disjunction as a result in this case the function did not work properly. So we added a line to catch this case in order to test the function. The fixed code of our CNF converter:

Figure 1: Manual execution of *isPermutation* testing the properties mentioned above.

```
*Lab3>
*Lab3>
*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 5

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 10

"All Checks Valid: Irue"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 15

"All Checks Valid: Irue"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 30

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 50

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 100

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 200

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 300

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 300

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 400

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 500

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3> testPermutationsTotal 500

"All Checks Valid: True"

*Lab3>
```

Figure 2: testPermutationsTotal

```
-- Precondition: Form is arrowfree and in negative normal form
-- Postcondition: Form is in conjunctive normal form
cnf :: Form -> Form
                           = Prop x
cnf (Prop x)
cnf (Neg (Prop x))
                          = Neg (Prop x)
= dist (cnf f) (cnf g)
= dist (cnf f) (cnf (Dsj fs))
cnf (Dsj [f, g])
cnf (Dsj (f:fs))
-- Precondition: Forms are in conjunctive normal form
-- Postcondition: Form is the the conjunctive normal form of (form1 v form2)
\mathtt{dist} \; :: \; \mathtt{Form} \; {\mathord{\text{--}}} \; \mathtt{Form} \; {\mathord{\text{--}}} \; \mathtt{Form}
                           = Cnj (map (dist g) fs)
= Cnj (map (dist f) gs)
dist (Cnj fs) g
dist f (Cnj gs)
dist f g
                           = Dsj [f,g]
```

To test the CNF converter we used two functions that approach it differently. The first one is equiv from assignment 2. With this function we can test that the original form and its CNF version are equivalent (testCNF). Then we changed the parser of predicate logic to accept only forms in CNF (parseCNF) as shown in figure 3). If the result of our CNF converter passes both tests then the CNF converter is correct. The function showCNFResults returns the score of the correct conversions (see figure 4).

```
*Lab3>
*Lab3>
parseCNF ''--1"
[]
*Lab3> parseCNF ''+(-1)"
[*(-1)]
*Lab3> parseCNF ''*(+(-1 2))"
[+(*(-1 2))]
*Lab3> parseCNF ''*(*(-1 2))"
[1]
*Lab3> parseCNF ''+(*(+(-1 2)))"
[]
*Lab3> parseCNF ''+(*(+(-1 2)))"
```

Figure 3: parseCNF returns [] when its argument is not in CNF.

```
*Lab3>
*Lab3> showCNFResults 10
"Correct CNF forms: 10 out of 10"
*Lab3> showCNFResults 20
"Correct CNF forms: 20 out of 20"
*Lab3> showCNFResults 40
"Correct CNF forms: 40 out of 40"
*Lab3> showCNFResults 100
"Correct CNF forms: 100 out of 100"
*Lab3> showCNFResults 200
"Correct CNF forms: 200 out of 200"
*Lab3> showCNFResults 300
"Correct CNF forms: 300 out of 300"
*Lab3> showCNFResults 300
"Correct CNF forms: 400 out of 400"
*Lab3> showCNFResults 400
"Correct CNF forms: 400 out of 500"
*Lab3> showCNFResults 500
"Correct CNF forms: 500 out of 500"
*Lab3>
```

Figure 4: showCNFResults