

Self Energy effect in frequency dependent Vertex flow equation

D. Vilardi,¹ C. Taranto,¹ and W. Metzner¹

¹Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Stuttgart

(Dated: June 12, 2017)

We are geniuses...

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Introduction bla bla

II. FORMALISM

A. Model

The Hubbard model describes spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions with a density-density interaction:

$$H = \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow} \quad (1)$$

where $c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$ and $c_{i,\sigma}$ are creation and annihilation operators, respectively, for fermions with spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$. We consider 2-dimensional case with square lattice and repulsive interaction $U > 0$. We use the hopping amplitude $t_{ij} = t$ for nearest sites while $t_{ij} = t'$ for next-to-nearest sites.

B. Flow equation

- Flow equation for vertex and self energy:
Diagrams
- Two ways.
 - 1) Introduce flow equation with full spin component such as $\dot{V}_{\sigma\sigma'} = \dots$ and discuss in the next section spin relations.
 - 2) Introduce in the effective action only the $V_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ component and show flow equation only for that one.
- Cutoff discussion

C. Flow equations

- General consideration about functional renormalization group: 1PI, truncation,
- vertex: notation, momentum and energy conservation, spin. Spin symmetry

In the following paragraph we will introduce the functional renormalization group in the implementation that we used, and we will clarify some notational issue about the vertex.

Generally speaking, the fRG allows to use the renormalization group idea to approach functional integrals. This is done by endowing the non-interacting propagator with an additional dependence on a scale parameter Λ , which generates an exact functional flow equation with known initial conditions.

We will apply this approach to the effective action, whose expansions into the fields generates the one-particle irreducible (1PI) functions. By expanding the functional flow equation one obtains a hierarchy of flow equations for the 1PI functions, involving vertexes of arbitrarily high orders. We will restrict ourselves to the level-two truncation by retaining only the two lowest nonvanishing orders in the expansion, i.e., we consider the flow of the (scale dependent) self-energy Σ^Λ and of the two-particle 1PI vertex V^Λ , neglecting the effects of higher order vertexes. Hence our approach becomes perturbative, and sums up efficiently, although approximately, the so-called parquet-diagrams.

Symmetry considerations We use the energy and momentum conservation to fix one of the arguments of the arguments of the self energy and of the vertex. Furthermore we restrict ourselves to the spin-symmetric phase. Hence for the self-energy we only need to consider one function depending on one frequency-momentum argument:

$$\Sigma_{\sigma\sigma'}^\Lambda(k) = \Sigma(k) \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}, \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, and $k = (\nu, \mathbf{k})$, ν being a Matsubara frequency and \mathbf{k} a momentum in the first Brillouin zone.

For the notation of the two-particle vertex we refer to Fig. (fig), where $k_4 = (\nu_1 + \nu_2 - \nu_3, \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)$ can be omitted. Furthermore spin conservation and SU(2) guarantee that the vertex does not vanish only for six spin combinations, pairwise equal under spin inversion: $V_{\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma}^\Lambda$, $V_{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}}^\Lambda$ and $V_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^\Lambda$. *should we specify the meaning of $\bar{\sigma}$ or is it obvious?* Finally, using the crossing relation we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma}^\Lambda(k_1, k_2, k_3) &= V_{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}}^\Lambda(k_1, k_2, k_3) - V_{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}}^\Lambda(k_1, k_2, k_4) \\ V_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^\Lambda(k_1, k_2, k_3) &= -V_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^\Lambda(k_1, k_2, k_1 + k_2 - k_3). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This allows us to consider only one spin component for

the vertex $V^\Lambda(k_1, k_2, k_3) = V_{\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma}^\Lambda(k_1, k_2, k_3)$, all the others being obtained by symmetry.

With these considerations the flow equation for the self energy reads:

$$\frac{d\Sigma^\Lambda(k)}{d\Lambda} = -T \sum_{\omega} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{4\pi^2} S^\Lambda(q) [2V^\Lambda(k, q, q) - V^\Lambda(k, q, k)], \quad (5)$$

with $q = (\omega, \mathbf{q})$ and $k = (\nu, \mathbf{k})$.

$$S^\Lambda = -(G^\Lambda)^2 \frac{d(G_0^\Lambda)^{-1}}{d\Lambda} \quad (6)$$

is the single-scale propagator, G_0^Λ the non-interacting Green's function and $G^\Lambda = [(G_0^\Lambda)^{-1} - \Sigma^\Lambda]^{-1}$ the full propagator.

III. VERTEX APPROXIMATION

- Discuss spin symmetry of the vertex with frequencies relations. It's important because those relations become trivial in case of Karrasch approximation while in this case they become crucial. Point out: $V_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ as the only "complete" component.

- Decomposition:

We use frequency dependent vertex decomposition:

$$V_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3}^{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} = U + \phi_{pp, \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_3}^{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} + \phi_{m, \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{m, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3}^{\omega_1, \omega_3, \omega_2} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{c, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3}^{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} \quad (7)$$

- Form factor decomposition for PP channel:

$$\phi_{pp, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_3}^{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} = \phi_{sw, \mathbf{Q}}^{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} + f_{\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} - \mathbf{k}_1} f_{\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} - \mathbf{k}_3} \phi_{dw, \mathbf{Q}}^{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} \quad (8)$$

- Comment: The channels are defined by the possibility to build an instability only for the exchange momentum \mathbf{Q}
- Channel division:

$$\phi_{sw} = \int \tau \quad (9)$$

IV. RESULTS

A. Frequency dependence of Vertex

- Forward scattering problem seen by Salmhofer

- Show phase diagram, Λ_{cri} vs $x = 1 - n$, with and without Σ (for different t')
- Self energy "solve" the problem of charge instability.
- Suggestion: The charge problem exists also at van Hove filling where, according to the literature, the Σ has no effect when Karrasch approximation is taken into account.
- Colorplots: Mag and Charge channel

B. Forward scattering problem

- Introduce perpendicular ladder (PL) for charge.
- Colorplot of charge in PL.
- Discuss the role of the Bubble at $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 0)$ and plot it as a function of ν .

C. Self energy effects

- With self energy feedback, we didn't find any charge instability problem for any parameters range studied.
- Plot of the Fermi surface based patch scheme.
- Plot of $\Sigma(i\omega)$ at $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, 0)$, $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_{HS}$ and $\mathbf{k} = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$ in frequency space.
- Plot of $Z_{\mathbf{k}}$
- Plot of occupation with and without Σ

V. CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions...

Acknowledgments

We thank Ciccio for valuable discussions. This research was supported by King Walter.

VI. APPENDICES

Appendices...