POCSO Case No. 01/2018

05.04.2019

Case record is put up.

This case was disposed of vide judgment and order dated 04.04.2019 acquitting the accused persons.

It has come to the notice that in para 8 reference to the informant has been wrongly typed as PW-2 instead of PW-1.

In this context, I refer to Section 362 Cr.PC. which provides that "save as otherwise provided by this Code or any other law for the time being in force, no court when it has signed its judgment or final order disposing of a case, shall alter or review the same except to correct a clerical or arithmetical error".

It is well settled that a clerical error is an error of typing i.e. typographical error In the instant case, the aforesaid error noticed above falls within the ambit of such a clerical error which can be corrected in exercise of powers U/s 362 Cr.PC., in my considered view.

Accordingly, the said error stands corrected and in para 8 of the judgment, reference to the informant has to be read as PW-1 instead of PW-2.

This order shall be a part of the judgment dated 04.04.2019, passed in the instant case.

Special Judge Morigaon