Exercises on stationary iterative methods for sparse linear systems Advanced Numerical Analysis (2019–2020).

Stationary iterative methods

If A is nonsingular and $a_{ii} \neq 0$, i = 1, ..., n, let us split A = M - N, with M nonsingular. The linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ can be rewritten as

$$(M-N)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \implies M\mathbf{x} = N\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$$

From this equation we can write a class of iterative methods like

$$M\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = N\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}, \qquad k \ge 0. \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) is suitable for implementation.

Recall that matrix A can be written as

$$A = L + D + U,$$

where L is the strictly lower triangular part, D is the diagonal and U is the strictly upper triangular part of A. Different definitions of M, N give raise to different methods:

- 1. **Jacobi**. M = D; N = -(L + U).
- 2. Gauss-Seidel. M = L + D; N = -U.
- 3. **SOR**. The splitting is applied to the system $\omega A \mathbf{x} = \omega \mathbf{b}$, $M = \omega L + D$; $N = (1 \omega)D \omega U$, with $\omega \in (0, 2)$, and the iteration is $M \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = N \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \omega \mathbf{b}$ (recall: for $\omega = 1$ SOR is Gauss-Seidel).

Exercises

1. Implement in Matlab the methods of Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel and SOR (note that Gauss-Seidel implementation can be avoided since it coincides with SOR with $\omega=1$). The Matlab functions should have the following syntax:

where A is the coefficient matrix, \mathbf{b} the right-hand-side, $\mathbf{x0}$ the initial vector, \mathbf{tol} the tolerance, maxit the maximum number of iterations (omega the SOR relaxation parameter). On output \mathbf{x} is the approximate solution, iter the number of iterations employed, \mathbf{vdiff} the vector of the norms of the differences $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$. Stop the iterative process if the following test is satisfied:

$$\|\mathbf{s}^{(k+1)}\| = \|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\| < \text{tol}.$$

2. Use the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods to solve the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, where A is the matrix arising from the discretization of the Poisson equation in the unit square

$$-\Delta u = f ,$$

yielded by the command

A = delsq(numgrid('S', nx+2))

and

$$\mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{(nx+1)^2} \cdot \mathtt{ones(n,1)}.$$

Variable nx indicates the number of internal nodes on each dimension of the mesh. The total number of nodes on each mesh dimension will be then nx+2 counting the boundary nodes. Using the values of $nx \in \{20, 40, 60, 80\}$, tol = 10^{-8} , and the vector with all zeros as the initial guess:

- Compare the number of iterations required for both methods
- Produce a picture where the number of iterations is graphed against the size of the systems for both methods (use the **figure** command to produce a different graphic window for each value of nx).
- 3. The largest eigenvalue of the Poisson discretized matrix A is

$$\lambda_1 = 8\cos^2\left(\frac{h\pi}{2}\right)$$

Since the diagonal of A is D = 4I it is straightforward to compute also the spectral radius of the Jacobi iteration matrix $\rho(H_I)$ as

$$H_J = I - \frac{1}{4}A.$$

Therefore

$$\rho(H_J) = 2\cos^2\left(\frac{h\pi}{2}\right) - 1.$$

Knowing that A satisfies the hypotheses of the Young-Varga Theorem, also the optimal value of $\omega(\omega_{opt})$, can be analytically computed. Use nx = 30, compute ω_{opt} and solve the linear system with Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel and $SOR(\omega_{opt})$ methods, use $tol = 10^{-8}$.

Compare the convergence profiles of the three methods.

Why Gauss-Seidel iterations are roughly half than those of Jacobi method?

The number of iterations to reduce the initial error by a factor 10^p for stationary iterative method is roughly

$$k \approx \frac{p}{R}$$

where $R = -\log_{10} \rho(H)$. Give an estimate of the number of iterations required by the 3 methods and compare it with the actual number of iterations employed.