

PROJECT Design Documentation

Team Information

- Team name: Toronto Maple Leafs
- Team members
 - Domenic Lo Iacono
 - Niccolls Evsseef
 - Claire Kreisel
 - Ming Creekmore

Executive Summary

This project is a full stack application including a persistent storage, backend, and user interface. The goal of this application is to host a jersey store for the Toronto Maple Leafs. General features of the application include logging in and out, browsing the available jerseys for sale, and viewing individual jersey pages with all the jersey's attributes. There are two different accounts: admin and user. An admin is able to manage the site by adding and removing jerseys and editing existing jerseys. Users are able to add and remove items from their shopping cart and buy all the items in the cart.

Purpose

This website has an admin that is able to modify the stock of jerseys that are for sale by adding jerseys, removing jerseys, and editing existing jerseys. The website also has users which have a username and a shopping cart which is persistent after logout. Users are able to add and remove items from their shopping cart.

Glossary and Acronyms

Term	Definition
Admin	Website owner with special privlidges related to the inventory of jerseys.
User	A customer that interacts with the website and has a username and shopping cart.
Jersey	The type of product that is being sold at the E-Store
SPA	Single Page
DAO	Data access object

Requirements

Requirements consisted of giving admin the ability to modify the inventory, allowing users to modify their shopping cart, and providing general viewing and browsing of the the jersey products in the store.

Epic	Stories
Admin Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Login • Browse Products • Search Products • Edit Jerseys in Store • Add Jerseys to Store • Delete Jerseys From Store
User Interacting with Store	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Login • Browse Products • Search Products • Buyer Add/Remove from Cart • Buyer Save to Cart
Jersey in Store	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • View Jersey • Browse Products • Search Products • User: Jerseys Shown in Cart

Definition of MVP

The admin and users must be able to login. New users are able to register new accounts. The admin must be able to perform CRUD operations on the stock of jerseys which is persistently stored. The user must be able to add, delete, and checkout their shopping cart which also must be persistently stored. The website must allow quick and easy access to the products with a positive user experience.

MVP Features and the names of their stories

Admin management - allowing the admin to modify the inventory

User interacting with store - allowing users to modify their shopping cart, as well as saving the shopping cart after logout


Jerseys in the store - allowing any account to browse, search, and view jersey products

Roadmap of Enhancements

Provide a list of top-level features in the order you plan to consider them.

Application Domain

In the domain, it shows that the website has two different types of accounts that can interact with it: admin and user. There is only one admin. The admin manages the store and the jerseys. In contrast, there are many users who interact with the store by storing jerseys in their individual shopping cart. The user can check out with the shopping cart in order to buy the stored jersey items.



This is a Noun/Verb analysis relating to our domain model which is now represented in code through various classes.

Noun	Attributes
Jerseys	<ul style="list-style-type: none">NameNumberCostHome/AwaySales/Discounts/Size: Small, Medium, Large, XL
Store	
Account	<ul style="list-style-type: none">UsernameID
Admin	
User	

Noun	Attributes
Shopping Cart	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total Cost

Verbs:

- Managed by
- Are managed by
- Interacts with
- Checks out with
- Is shown in
- Is an attribute of
- Stored in


Architecture and Design

The backend uses SpringBoot to build an API using a model-controller-DAOfile setup. The model holds the blueprint for an object, the DAOfile persistently stores and modifies data objects in a JSON file, and the controller allows outside clients to perform actions on the data. Jerseys and Users are both managed using this model-controller-DAOfile build.

The frontend is built using angular. The jersey.service.ts and user.service.ts files are able to access the backend data and share the data to other files in the project. These parts are built using typescript. The typescript can then be used in the html to connect the backend data to the UI. CSS is added to the html to add aesthetics.

Summary

The following Tiers/Layers model shows a high-level view of the webapp's architecture.



The e-store web application, is built using the Model–View–ViewModel (MVVM) architecture pattern.

The Model stores the application data objects including any functionality to provide persistence.

The View is the client-side SPA built with Angular utilizing HTML, CSS and TypeScript. The ViewModel provides RESTful APIs to the client (View) as well as any logic required to manipulate the data objects from the Model.

Both the ViewModel and Model are built using Java and Spring Framework. Details of the components within these tiers are supplied below.

Overview of User Interface

The user and admin have almost identical flows through the application. The user is only different than that of the admin by the fact that they are unable to see the CRUD operation buttons when viewing a jersey and that they have a shopping cart. Any person first lands on a login page when visiting the site. Upon login, after registration if necessary, a user is directed to a page that displays the stock of jerseys that can be filtered using the search bar, a shopping cart icon, a logout icon, and the username of whoever is currently logged in. From here they are able to view jerseys and add them to their cart. They are also able to view their shopping cart and remove items from cart, or buy all the items in the cart.


As stated before, admin has an almost identical website experience as a user. The difference is that on the browsing jersey page, there is no shopping cart. Instead, there is a create jersey button. Furthermore, when viewing an individual jersey, they can edit or delete it. They cannot buy an individual jersey because they don't have a shopping cart.

View Tier

Note: The app-components admin and browse-jersey are not used in the web-app. The components in the tier that are used include login, user, dashboard, search-jerseys, add-jersey, view-jersey, shopping-cart, and edit-jersey.

Login is where the user is directed to when they first enter the website. It has a username and login screen with basic authentication. When the user is authenticated, then the app routes to the user component, which routes to the dashboard component. The dashboard component consists of the search-jerseys component, an add jersey button, a shopping cart button, and logout button. These buttons route to the respective component names. The search-jerseys component all jerseys that fit the filtered name, with spaces returning all jerseys. Each jersey name displayed, routes to that specific jerseys view-jersey page.

The view jersey page consists of the buttons: Back, Add to Cart, Update, and Delete. Update routes to edit-jersey component, then back to view-jersey. The shopping cart component consists of the buttons, Back and Buy. The diagrams below show the high-end diagram of the view tier routing just described




ViewModel Tier


This tier involves the interaction between the client and the server. The user will first interact with the website, which is the client. This results in the client giving a call to the API server, which returns a response entity. Based on the response entity the client will update the website view accordingly.


The client is composed of the angular components that represent each individual html page as well as the user and jersey service. The user and jersey service are what call the API. The API server is composed of the UserController and the JerseyController. The user parts deal with logging in the user and updating the shopping cart of the specified user. The jersey parts deal with updating the inventory. Below are two sequence diagrams that show how the admin and user interact with the server and client. The user sequence diagram does not include logging in, searching jerseys, and viewing jerseys because it is the same as what is shown in the admin sequence diagram.

User Store Interaction



Admin Store Interaction





Model Tier

Provide a summary of this tier of your architecture. This section will follow the same instructions that are given for the View Tier above.

At appropriate places as part of this narrative provide one or more static models (UML class diagrams) with some details such as critical attributes and methods.

Static Code Analysis/Design Improvements

Discuss design improvements that you would make if the project were to continue. These improvement should be based on your direct analysis of where there are problems in the code base which could be addressed with design changes, and describe those suggested design improvements.

Some design improvements that we would make as a team in the future would be to reevaluate what methods and classes actually need to be public in order to function. This is highlighted in our report through 'code smells' as a majority of these alerts are in the test classes and are stating that the public status is most likely not needed. Other things that the analysis helped uncover is that we could use built in formatting to construct certain arguments in the controller classes. In a design improvement standpoint then, we will evaluate whether our code is protecting its data properly.

estore-api

master

November 21, 2022 at 6:22 PM

Version 0.0.1-SNAPSHOT

Overview

Issues

Security Hotspots

Measures

Code

Activity

Project Information

QUALITY GATE STATUS

Failed

1 conditions failed

On New Code

D

Reliability Rating on New Code is worse than A

Fix issues before they fail your Quality Gate with [SonarLint](#) in your IDE. Power up with connected model!

MEASURES

New Code

Since November 1, 2...
Started 19 days ago

Overall Code

1

New Bugs

Reliability

D

0

New Vulnerabilities

Security

A

0

New Security Hotspots

Reviewed

Security Review

A

2h 39min

Added Debt

61

New Code Smells

Maintainability

A

100%

Coverage on 46 New Lines to cover

0.0%

Duplications on 1.1k New Lines

ACTIVITY

Choose graph type

Issues

Bugs

Code Smells

Vulnerabilities

new code

100

50

0

Thu 03

Sat 05

Mon 07

Wed 09

Fri 11

Nov 13

Tue 15

Thu 17

Sat 19

Activity

November 21, 2022 at 6:22 PM

0.0.1-SNAPSHOT

Quality Gate: Failed

11 / 14

estore-api master November 21, 2022 at 6:22 PM Version 0.0.1-SNAPSHOT

Overview Issues Security Hotspots Measures Code Activity Project Information

Code Smell

Use the built-in formatting to construct this argument.

Code Smell

Replace the type specification in this constructor call with the diamond operator ("<>").

Code Smell

Use the built-in formatting to construct this argument.

Code Smell

Replace the type specification in this constructor call with the diamond operator ("<>").

Code Smell

Use the built-in formatting to construct this argument.

Code Smell

Replace the type specification in this constructor call with the diamond operator ("<>").

Code Smell

Replace the type specification in this constructor call with the diamond operator ("<>").

Code Smell

Use the built-in formatting to construct this argument.

"Preconditions" and logging arguments should not require evaluation [java:S2629](#)

Code Smell Major Open Not assigned 5min effort 0 comments

Where is the issue? Why is this an issue?

estore-api src/.../java/com/estore/api/estoreapi/controller/JerseyController.java See all issues in this file

```

88  clair...    * @return ResponseEntity with array of jerseys and status OK if successful, if not, status NOT_Found
89              * otherwise returns status INTERNAL_SERVER_ERROR.
90              */
91  dm134...    @GetMapping("/")
92              public ResponseEntity<Jersey[]> searchJerseys(@RequestParam String name) {
93  mcree...        LOG.info("GET /jerseys/?name="+name);

94  dm134...        try {
95              Jersey[] jerseys = jerseyDAO.findJersey(name);
96              if (jerseys != null)
97                  return new ResponseEntity<Jersey[]>(jerseys,HttpStatus.OK);

98              else
99                  return new ResponseEntity<>(HttpStatus.NOT_FOUND);
100            }
101            catch(IOException e) {
102                LOG.log(Level.SEVERE,e.getLocalizedMessage());

```

One bug that was uncovered was an error in a test where there was an assert statement comparing a primitive value to null. This essentially makes the test useless as the assert statement will always be false as they are comparing two incompatible types. So in the future for design improvements we will give more attention to proofreading tests.

estore-api master November 21, 2022 at 6:22 PM Version 0.0.1-SNAPSHOT

Overview Issues Security Hotspots Measures Code Activity Project Information

Filters Clear All Filters

Period NEW CODE Clear

New code

Type BUG Clear

Bug 1

Vulnerability 0

Code Smell 61

Press Ctrl to add to selection

Severity

Blocker	0	Minor	0
Critical	1	Info	0
Major	0		

Scope

Resolution

Status

Security Category

Creation Date

Language

Rule

Tag

Directory

File

Assignee

Author

src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserControllerTest.java

Change the assertion arguments to not compare a primitive value with null. 7 hours ago L230 tests

1 of 1 shown

The remaining two bugs are related to overriding the hashCode function of our two model objects User and Jersey. This insight gives us an idea of something to implement in the future.

The screenshot displays the SonarQube web interface for the 'estore-api' project on the 'master' branch. The top navigation bar shows the date 'November 21, 2022 at 6:22 PM' and the version '0.0.1-SNAPSHOT'. The left sidebar contains filters for 'Period' (NEW CODE), 'Type' (CODE SMELL), and 'Severity' (Blocker, Critical, Major, Minor, Info). The main panel shows a list of 61 issues, with the first few highlighted. The issues are categorized by severity and effort, and some are linked to specific code files.

Issue ID	Severity	Effort	Category	File
L43	Code Smell	8min	design	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserController.java
L68	Code Smell	5min	performance	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserController.java
L93	Code Smell	8min	design	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserController.java
L116	Code Smell	8min	design	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserController.java
L187	Code Smell	5min	performance	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserController.java
L210	Code Smell	5min	performance	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserController.java
L228	Code Smell	1min	clumsy	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserController.java
L8	Code Smell	5min	pitfall	src/.../com/estore/api/estoreapi/model/User.java
L10	Code Smell	10min	junit, tests	src/.../java/com/estore/api/estoreapi/EstoreApiApplicationTests.java
L27	Code Smell	2min	junit, tests	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserControllerTest.java
L38	Code Smell	2min	junit, tests	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserControllerTest.java
L56	Code Smell	2min	junit, tests	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserControllerTest.java
L69	Code Smell	2min	junit, tests	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserControllerTest.java
L82	Code Smell	2min	junit, tests	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserControllerTest.java
L101	Code Smell	2min	junit, tests	src/.../api/estoreapi/controller/UserControllerTest.java

All in all, our design is far from perfect and would most likely benefit from a couple small changes here and there as highlighted above. There are also some high level design choices we made that we will also review to see if improvements can be made. One such high level design choice is our way of implementing users and their shopping carts. If it became increasingly difficult to manage added features or save the shopping cart in its current state as an attribute of the user then we would probably consider making the shopping cart its own separate class and separate persistence database/file. Of course, this would be very time consuming and the benefits to change it in this way may not be worth it or really exist at all. So more analysis is in order to determine if such a change is necessary.

In addition to our most recent feature of discounts also may have room to improve. Currently, the admin can apply a discount by going to the jersey's update page and changing the discount percentage. However, this may not be the best implementation as it requires the admin to go to each individual jersey to apply the

discount. So if the admin was setting up a large clearance sale for instance, they would be frustrated with having to do all the jerseys separately. To remediate this, we could create a separate page for the admin to put in a percentage discount and then click to apply that discount to the appropriate jerseys. This page could even have the search bar to make finding the jerseys that the admin wants to discount easier to find.

With the results from the Static Code Analysis exercise, discuss the resulting issues/metrics measurements along with your analysis and recommendations for further improvements. Where relevant, include screenshots from the tool and/or corresponding source code that was flagged.

Testing

Testing was done using JaCoCo test coverage and JUnit tests. No testing was done on the UI component besides people going through the website.

Acceptance Testing

Acceptance criteria was made in the form GIVEN some precondition WHEN I do some action THEN I expect some result. 21 user stories have passed all their acceptance criteria tests. There are no stories that have failed tests, nor are there aany more stories on the product backlog.

Unit Testing and Code Coverage

Unit testing was done by creating JUnit tests for each class. Each public method in a class was tested, where different branching routes of the method were tested via individual testing methods. Code coverage was calculated by JaCoCo. We selected a coverage target of 95% because we wanted most of the paths tested. The reason why it isn't 100% is because we felt that some of the paths added that we missed were part of the private methods that we didn't test and other paths tested instanceof. We were able to meet this code coverage result for persistence, model, and controller. Estore-API code coverage percentage was not met; it had a code coverage of 88%. This is because this was pre-provided for us and we didn't want to touch it.

estore-api

Element	Missed Instructions	Cov.	Missed Branches	Cov.	Missed Cxty	Missed Lines	Missed Methods	Missed Classes
com.estore.api.estoreapi.persistence	<div></div>	99%	<div></div>	92%	2 48	0 126	0 27	0 2
com.estore.api.estoreapi	<div></div>	88%	<div></div>	n/a	1 4	2 7	1 4	0 2
com.estore.api.estoreapi.model	<div></div>	99%	<div></div>	90%	3 39	1 73	0 23	0 3
com.estore.api.estoreapi.controller	<div></div>	100%	<div></div>	100%	0 34	0 118	0 18	0 2
Total	12 of 1,412	99%	6 of 106	94%	6 125	3 324	1 72	0 9