BEYOND ENDOSCOPY FOR GL_2 OVER $\mathbb{Q}$ WITH RAMIFICATION 2: BOUNDS TOWARDS THE RAMANUJAN CONJECTURE

YUHAO CHENG

ABSTRACT. We continue generalizing Altuğ's work on GL_2 over $\mathbb{Q}$ in the unramified setting for *Beyond Endoscopy* to the ramified case where ramification occurs at $S = \{\infty, q_1, \dots, q_r\}$ with $2 \in S$, after generalizing the first step. We establish a new proof of the $1/4$ bound towards the Ramanujan conjecture for the trace of the cuspidal part in the ramified case, which is also provided by adapting Altuğ's original approach. The proof proceeds in three stages: First, we estimate the contributions from the non-elliptic parts of the trace formula. Then, we apply the main result from our the previous work to isolate the 1-dimensional representations within the elliptic part. Finally, we employ technical analytic estimates to bound the remainder terms in the elliptic part.

Contents

1. Introduction

1.1. Background. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers and let $\mathbb{A}$ denote the adèle ring of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $^L\mathsf{G}$ be the L-group of G. Let π be an automorphic representation of G over $\mathbb{Q}$ and ρ be a finite dimensional complex representation of $^L\mathsf{G}$. For a finite set S of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the archimedean place ∞, the partial L-function $L^S(s, \pi, \rho)$ can be defined (cf. [?] for details) and expressed as a Dirichlet series

$$L^S(s, \pi, \rho) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ \gcd(n,S)=1}}^{+\infty} \frac{a_{\pi,\rho}(n)}{n^s}$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s$ sufficiently large.

The famous *Ramanujan conjecture* states that

$$|a_{\pi,\rho}(n)| \ll_{\rho,\varepsilon} n^\varepsilon$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

While the conjecture is expected to hold for GL_m, counterexamples exist for certain representations of groups like GSp_4 [?]. For holomorphic modular forms, Deligne's proof [?, ?] via the *Weil conjectures* establishes the bound. The primary challenge lies in nonholomorphic Maass forms. A common approach is to establish bounds of the form

$$|a_{\pi,\rho}(n)| \ll_{\rho,\varepsilon} n^{\varrho+\varepsilon},$$

where $\varrho \geqslant 0$ is called a *bound towards the Ramanujan conjecture*. The trivial bound $\varrho = 1/2$ has been progressively improved: for $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{GL}_m$, the current bounds are $\varrho = 7/64$ ($m = 2$), $5/14$ ($m = 3$), $9/22$ ($m = 4$), and $\varrho = 1/2 - 1/(m^2 + 1)$ for general m. We refer to [?, ?] for comprehensive surveys.

Applying the trace formula gives

$$\sum_{\pi} m_\pi a_{\pi,\rho}(n) \prod_{v \in S} \operatorname{Tr}(\pi_v(f_v)) = I_{\mathrm{cusp}}(f^{n,\rho}) \tag{1.1}$$

for $\gcd(n,S) = 1$, where $f^{n,\rho} = \otimes_{v \in S} f_v \otimes \otimes'_{v \notin S} f_v^{n,\rho}$, with $f_v^{n,\rho}$ spherical for $v \notin S$, and $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_p(f_p^{n,\rho})) = a_{\pi,\rho}(p^{v_p(n)})$ for $p \notin S$.

Any bound ϱ towards the Ramanujan conjecture implies

$$I_{\mathrm{cusp}}(f^{n,\rho}) \ll_{\rho,\varepsilon} n^{\varrho+\varepsilon}. \tag{1.2}$$

Thus, (**??**) provides a trace formula analog of these bounds.

1.2. The main result in this paper and proof strategy. We now assume that $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{GL}_2$, and $\rho = \mathrm{Sym}^1$ is the standard representation. We note that for $\rho = \mathrm{Sym}^m$, $a_{\pi,\rho}(n) = a_{\pi,\mathrm{Sym}^1}(n^m)$ yields analogous bounds for higher symmetric powers.

Let $S = \{\infty, q_1, \ldots, q_r\}$ for primes $q_1, \ldots, q_r$ such that $2 \in S$. For any prime number ℓ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, we define

$$\mathcal{X}_\ell^m = \{X \in \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \mid |\det X|_\ell = \ell^{-m}\}.$$

For example, for $m = 0$, we have $\mathcal{X}_\ell^m = \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.

For any $\gcd(n,S) = 1$, we set $f^n = \otimes_{v \in \mathfrak{S}} f_v^n$, where $\mathfrak{S}$ denotes the set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$, and

- If $v = p \notin S$, we define $f_v^n = p^{-n_p/2} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_p^{n_p}}$, where $n_p = v_p(n)$.
- If $v = q_i \in S$ and is a prime, we define $f_v^n = f_{q_i} \in C_c^\infty(\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_{q_i}))$.
- If $v = \infty$, we define $f_v^n = f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(Z_+ \backslash \mathsf{G}(\mathbb{R}))$.

By Hecke operator theory, f_v^n spherical for $v \notin S$, and $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_p(f_p^n)) = a_\pi(p^{n_p})$ for all $p \notin S$, so that (**??**) holds.

Unlike the previous paper [**?**], we require f_∞ to be compactly supported due to technical constraints in handling the hyperbolic part of the trace formula.

Our main theorem in this paper is

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and f^n as above,*

$$I_{\mathrm{cusp}}(f^n) \ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

This establishes a new proof of the $1/4$ bound towards the Ramanujan conjecture using *only* the Arthur-Selberg trace formula over $\mathbb{Q}$ with ramification, generalizing the result of [**?**] for the unramified case, although in some sense is weaker. (Altuğ established the bound in the unramified case with $\varepsilon = 0$ for primes $n = p$.) Kuznetsov [**?**] derived this $1/4$ bound via the Petersson-Kuznetsov formula and Weil's Kloosterman sum bound [**?**].

The proof of **??** is a generalization of [**?**]. The key point is to isolate the traces of the nontempered representations in the spectral side in the elliptic part of the trace formula which gives the main contribution $n^{1/2}$, which was proved in [**?**] that generalized the main result of [**?**].

The proof is divided into the following steps:

• **Estimates of non-elliptic parts.** We estimate all the terms in both sides of the Arthur-Selberg trace formula except for the elliptic term in the geometric side and the nontempered part (that is, the sum of traces of 1-dimensional representations) in the spectral side. We find all the contributions are $O(n^\varepsilon)$.

• **Estimate of the easy terms in the elliptic part.** Using the main result of [**?**], we can split the elliptic term in the geometric side into the following terms: the 1-dimensional term, the Eisenstein term, $\Sigma(0)$, $\Sigma(\square)$, and $\Sigma(\xi \neq 0)$. The 1-dimensional term is cancelled by the corresponding term in the spectral side, the Eisenstein term and $\Sigma(0)$ are both $O(n^\varepsilon)$, and $\Sigma(\square)$ is also $O(n^\varepsilon)$ by using the approximate functional equation in the reverse direction.

• **Estimate of $\Sigma(\xi \neq 0)$.** This is the hardest part. First, we need to estimate the Fourier transform of functions with singularities in the semilocal space $\mathbb{Q}_S$. We first do local estimates. The archimedean part was mainly done by Altuğ in [**?**]. The nonarchimedean part is just direct computations. By combining them together, we obtain the result for the estimate in $\mathbb{Q}_S$. Secondly, we need to bound the generalized

2

Kloosterman sums, which was mainly done in [**?**]. Finally, we do direct estimates to obtain the result $O(n^{1/4+\varepsilon})$.

REMARK 1.2. Further improvement beyond $O(n^{1/4+\varepsilon})$ would require exploiting cancellations in generalized Kloosterman sums, rather than relying on individual bounds.

1.3. Notations.

• #X denotes the number of elements in a set X.

• For $A \subseteq X$, $\mathbb{1}_A$ denotes the characteristic function of A, defined by $\mathbb{1}_A(x) = 1$ for $x \in A$ and $\mathbb{1}_A(x) = 0$ for $x \notin A$.

• $\mathbb{1}$ also denotes the trivial character or the trivial representation.

• For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer that is less than or equal to x, $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the least integer that is greater than or equal to x.

• We often use the notation $a \equiv b\,(n)$ to denote $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

• For $D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$, $\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$ denotes the Kronecker symbol.

• If R is a ring (which we *always* assume to be commutative with 1), $R^\times$ denotes its group of units.

• For $S = \{\infty, q_1, \ldots, q_r\}$, $\mathbb{Z}^S$ denotes the ring of S-integers in $\mathbb{Q}$, that is

$$\mathbb{Z}^S = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \mid v_\ell(\alpha) \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } \ell \notin S\}.$$

Additionally, we define

$$\mathbb{Q}_S = \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{Q}_v = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}_{q_r} \qquad \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}} = \prod_{v \in S_{\text{fin}}} \mathbb{Q}_v = \mathbb{Q}_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}_{q_r},$$

and

$$\mathbb{Z}_{S_{\text{fin}}} = \prod_{v \in S_{\text{fin}}} \mathbb{Z}_v = \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_r}.$$

• For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $\gcd(n, S) = 1$, or $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}$, if $\ell \nmid n$ for all $\ell \in S$. We write $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}$ if additionally $n > 0$.

• Let ℓ be a prime. For $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define $a_{(\ell)}$ to be the ℓ-part of a, that is, $a_{(\ell)} = \ell^{v_\ell(a)}$, and we define $a^{(\ell)} = a / a_{(\ell)}$. Moreover, we define

$$a_{(q)} = \prod_{i=1}^r a^{v_{q_i}(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad a^{(q)} = \frac{a}{a_{(q)}} = \prod_{\ell \notin S} a^{v_\ell(a)}.$$

• Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. $\boldsymbol{d}(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of n. $\boldsymbol{\omega}(n)$ denotes the number of prime divisors of n. $\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)$ denotes the Möbius function, defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^m, & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } m \text{ distinct primes,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(n)$ denotes the von Mangoldt function, defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(n) = \begin{cases} \log p, & \text{if } n = p^m \text{ with } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• If E is a number field or a nonarchimedean local field, $\mathcal{O}_E$ denotes its ring of integers.

• $\Gamma(s)$ denotes the gamma function, defined by

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x} x^s \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$, analytically continued to $\mathbb{C}$. $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function, defined by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, analytically continued to $\mathbb{C}$.

• (σ) denotes the vertical contour from $\sigma - i\infty$ to $\sigma + i\infty$.

• Let f be a meromorphic function near $z = z_0$ and suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(z - z_0)^n$$

is its Laurent expansion near z_0, we denote $\operatorname{f.\,p.}_{z=z_0} f(z) = a_0$.

• We define $\mathrm{e}(x) = \mathrm{e}_\infty(x) = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i x}$. For a prime ℓ and $x \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, we define $\langle x \rangle_\ell$ to be the "fractional part" of x. Namely, if $x = \sum_{n \geqslant -N} a_n \ell^n \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, then

$$\langle x \rangle_\ell = \sum_{n=-N}^{-1} a_n \ell^n \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

We then define $\mathrm{e}_\ell(x) = \mathrm{e}(-\langle x \rangle_\ell)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. *Note the minus sign.*

• We use $f(x) = O(g(x))$ or $f(x) \ll g(x)$ to denote that there exists a constant C such that $|f(x)| \leqslant C|g(x)|$ for all x in a specified set. If the constant depends on other variables, they will be subscripted under O or $\ll$.

• The notation $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ indicates that $f(x) \ll g(x)$ and $g(x) \ll f(x)$. If the constant depends on other variables, they will be subscripted under $\asymp$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. The modified ℓ-adic norm and properties. For any prime ℓ, the *modified norm* $|\cdot|'_\ell$ is defined as follows:

For $\ell \neq 2$ and $y \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, we define $|y|'_\ell = \ell^{-2\lfloor v_\ell(y)/2 \rfloor}$. This satisfies $|y|'_\ell = |y|_\ell$ if $v_\ell(y)$ is even, and $|y|'_\ell = \ell|y|_\ell$ if $v_\ell(y)$ is odd.

For $\ell = 2$ and $y \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, we define

$$|y|'_\ell = \begin{cases} \ell^{-v_\ell(y)} = |y|_\ell & \text{if } v_\ell(y) \text{ is even, } y_0 \equiv 1\,(4), \\ \ell^{-v_\ell(y)+2} = \ell^2 |y|_\ell & \text{if } v_\ell(y) \text{ is even, } y_0 \equiv 3\,(4), \\ \ell^{-v_\ell(y)+3} = \ell^3 |y|_\ell & \text{if } v_\ell(y) \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $y_0 = y\ell^{-v_\ell(y)}$.

For any regular element $\gamma \in \mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, we denote $T_\gamma = \operatorname{Tr}\gamma$ and $N_\gamma = \det\gamma$. k_γ is defined such that $\ell^{k_\gamma} = |T_\gamma^2 - 4N_\gamma|_\ell'^{-1/2}$. This coincides with the original definition in [?] (see Proposition 2.5 of loc. cit.).

We now establish some properties of the modified norms:

Lemma 2.1. $|x|'_\ell \asymp_\ell |x|_\ell$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Moreover, if $a \in 1 + \ell^2 \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, then $|ay|'_\ell = |y|'_\ell$.

Proof: This follows immediately from the definition. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 2.2. $|ax|'_\ell \asymp_\ell |a|'_\ell |x|'_\ell$ for all $a, x \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

Proof: By **??**, $|ax|'_\ell \asymp |ax|_\ell = |a|_\ell |x|_\ell \asymp |a|'_\ell |x|'_\ell$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 2.3. If $\operatorname{Re} s > -1$, then the integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} |x|^s_\ell \mathrm{d}x \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} |x|'^s_\ell \mathrm{d}x$$

both converge.

Proof: The first integral is the infinite sum

$$\sum_{u=0}^{+\infty} \ell^{-su} \ell^{-u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right),$$

which converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s > -1$. The second integral converges by **??** and the comparison $|x|'^s_\ell \asymp |x|^s_\ell$. $\qquad\square$

4

2.2. The elliptic part of the trace formula and measure normalizations. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\mathbb{A}$ be the adèle ring of $\mathbb{Q}$. For $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{A})^1) = C_c^\infty(Z_+\backslash\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{A}))$ of the form $f = \otimes'_{v\in\mathfrak{S}} f_v$ the elliptic part of the trace formula is

$$I_{\text{ell}}(f) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q})^{\#}_{\text{ell}}} \text{vol}(\gamma) \prod_{v\in\mathfrak{S}} \text{orb}(f_v; \gamma), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q})^{\#}_{\text{ell}}$ denotes the set of elliptic conjugacy classes in $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$, and

$$\text{orb}(f_v; \gamma) = \int_{\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v)\backslash\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)} f_v(g^{-1}\gamma g)\mathrm{d}g, \qquad \text{vol}(\gamma) = \int_{Z_+\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{A})} \mathrm{d}g,$$

where Z_+ denotes the connected component of the identity matrix in the center $Z(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ and G_γ denotes the centralizer of γ. The measures of $\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ and $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ are normalized as follows. For $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$,
• If $v = \ell$ is a prime, we normalize the Haar measure on $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ such that the volume of $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is 1.
• If $v = \infty$, we choose an arbitrary Haar measure (which does not affect the following discussions).
For $\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{Q}_v) \cong E_v^\times$, where E is the quadratic extension determined by γ (by Proposition 2.1 of [?]),
• If $v = \ell$ is a prime, we know that E_ℓ is either $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^2$ or a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. In the first case, we let $\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$. In the second case, we let $\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \mathcal{O}_{E_\ell}^\times$. In each case, we normalize the Haar measure on $\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = E_\ell^\times$ such that the volume of $\mathsf{G}_\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is 1.
• If $v = \infty$, we know that E_v is either $\mathbb{R}^2$ or $\mathbb{C}$. If $E_v = \mathbb{R}^2$, we define the measure on $E_v^\times = \mathbb{R}^\times \times \mathbb{R}^\times$ as $\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y/|xy|$, where (x, y) is the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The action of Z_+ on $E_v^\times$ is $a \cdot (x,y) = (\sqrt{a}x, \sqrt{a}y)$, and we define the measure on $Z_+\backslash E_v^\times$ to be the measure of the quotient of $E_v^\times$ by the measure $\mathrm{d}a/a$ on Z_+. If $E_v = \mathbb{C}$, we choose the measure on $E_v^\times = \mathbb{C}^\times$ to be $2\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}\theta/r$, where we use the polar coordinate (r, θ) on $\mathbb{C}^\times$. The action of Z_+ on $E_v^\times$ is $a \cdot z = \sqrt{a}z$, and we define the measure on $Z_+\backslash E_v^\times$ to be the measure of the quotient of $E_v^\times$ by the measure $\mathrm{d}a/a$ on Z_+.

REMARK 2.4. These measure normalizations are taken from [?] and used in Altuğ's first two papers [?, ?] and the previous paper [?].

2.3. Singularities of the orbital integrals. For any $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ we write $q^\nu = q_1^{\nu_1}q_2^{\nu_2}\cdots q_r^{\nu_r}$. For a fixed sign $\pm$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we define

$$\omega_\infty(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x^2 \mp 1 > 0, \\ 1, & x^2 \mp 1 < 0 \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x^2 \neq \pm 1$ and

$$\omega_\ell(y) = \left(\frac{(y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu)|y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|'_\ell}{\ell} \right)$$

for $\ell \in S$ and $y \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ with $y^2 \neq \pm 4nq^\nu$. If $\ell = q_i$, we often write $\omega_\ell = \omega_i$. For $\iota \in \{0, 1\}$, we define

$$X_\iota = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega_\infty(x) = \iota\}$$

and for $\epsilon_i \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, we define

$$Y_{\epsilon_i} = \{y_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{q_i} \mid \omega_i(y_i) = \epsilon_i\}.$$

Note that ω_∞ and ω_ℓ *do* depend on n, $\pm$ and ν, but we usually omit them from writing.

2.3.1. *Nonarchimedean case.* For any prime $\ell \in S$, we define

$$\theta_\ell(\gamma) = \frac{1}{|\det\gamma|_\ell^{1/2}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(\ell)}{\ell}\right)^{-1} \ell^{-k_\gamma} \text{orb}(f_\ell; \gamma),$$

where $\chi(\ell) = \chi_\gamma(\ell) = \omega_\ell(\text{Tr}\,\gamma)$. According to Corollary 2.11 of [?], the local behavior of θ_ℓ at $z = aI$ is

$$\theta_\ell(\gamma) = \lambda_1 \left(1 - \frac{\chi(\ell)}{\ell}\right)^{-1} \ell^{-k_\gamma} \frac{1 - \chi(\ell)}{1 - \ell} + \lambda_2. \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly $\theta_\ell(\gamma)$ is invariant under conjugation. Thus $\theta_\ell(\gamma)$ can be parametrized by $T = \operatorname{Tr}\gamma$ and $N = \det\gamma$, i.e., $\theta_\ell(\gamma) = \theta_\ell(T, N)$. Since $\theta_\ell(\gamma)$ is smooth away from the center, $\theta_\ell(T, \pm nq^\nu)$ is smooth except at $T^2 = \pm 4nq^\nu$. Moreover, for any $\epsilon \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, by (??) we have

$$\theta_\ell(y, \pm nq^\nu) = \theta_{\ell,1}(y, \pm nq^\nu) + \theta_{\ell,0}(y, \pm nq^\nu),$$

where $\theta_{\ell,\tau}(y, \pm nq^\nu) = |y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_\ell'^{\tau/2}\psi_\tau(y)$ for $\tau \in \{0,1\}$ with $\psi_\tau(y)$ a smooth, compactly supported function on Y_ϵ.

2.3.2. *Archimedean case.* We recall the following result for the archimedean orbital integral (cf. [?, Theorem 2.12]):

Theorem 2.5. *For any $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{R}))$, any maximal torus $\mathsf{T}(\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ and any z in the center of $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a neighborhood N in $\mathsf{T}(\mathbb{R})$ of z and smooth functions $g_1, g_2 \in C^\infty(N)$ (depending on f_∞ and z) such that*

$$\operatorname{orb}(f_\infty; \gamma) = g_1(\gamma) + \frac{|\gamma_1\gamma_2|^{1/2}}{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|}g_2(\gamma) \tag{2.3}$$

for any $\gamma \in \mathsf{T}(\mathbb{R})$, where γ_1 and γ_2 are the eigenvalues of γ. Moreover, g_1 and g_2 can be extended smoothly to all split and elliptic elements, remaining invariant under conjugation, with $g_1(\gamma) = 0$ if $\mathsf{T}(\mathbb{R})$ is split, and g_2 can further be extended smoothly to the center. If f_∞ is Z_+-invariant, then g_1 and g_2 are also Z_+-invariant.

Since g_1 and g_2 are invariant under conjugation, we can parametrize them by T_γ and N_γ as in the nonarchimedean case.

Since $T_{z\gamma} = aT_\gamma$ and $N_{z\gamma} = a^2 N_\gamma$ for $z = aI$ with $a > 0$, we have $g_i(T_\gamma, N_\gamma) = g_i(aT_\gamma, a^2 N_\gamma)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and any $a > 0$. If we let $a = 1/(2\sqrt{|N_\gamma|})$, we know that g_i only depends on $T_\gamma/(2\sqrt{|N_\gamma|})$ and $\operatorname{sgn} N_\gamma$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus we can write

$$\operatorname{orb}(f_\infty; \gamma) = g_1^{\operatorname{sgn} N_\gamma}\left(\frac{T_\gamma}{2\sqrt{|N_\gamma|}}\right) + \left|\frac{T_\gamma^2 - 4N_\gamma}{N_\gamma}\right|^{-1/2}g_2^{\operatorname{sgn} N_\gamma}\left(\frac{T_\gamma}{2\sqrt{|N_\gamma|}}\right).$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{orb}(f_\infty; \gamma)\left|\frac{T_\gamma^2 - 4N_\gamma}{N_\gamma}\right|^{1/2} = \theta_\infty^{\operatorname{sgn} N_\gamma}\left(\frac{T_\gamma}{2\sqrt{|N_\gamma|}}\right), \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\theta_\infty^\pm(x) = 2|x^2 \mp 1|^{1/2}g_1^\pm(x) + g_2^\pm(x) = \theta_{\infty,1}^\pm(x) + \theta_{\infty,0}^\pm(x). \tag{2.5}$$

Then $\theta_{\infty,0}^\pm(x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and $\theta_{\infty,1}^+(x) = |x^2 \mp 1|^{1/2}\varphi_1(x)$ with $\varphi_1(x)$ supported in $[-1, 1]$ and is smooth inside and up to the boundary, $\theta_{\infty,1}^-(x) = 0$. Moreover, near $x = \pm 1$, there exist asymptotic expansions [?, Lemme 3.3]

$$\theta_{\infty,1}^+(\pm(1 - x)) \sim |x|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j^\pm x^j, \quad \theta_{\infty,0}^+(\pm(1 - x)) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} b_j^\pm x^j. \tag{2.6}$$

2.4. Global notations. For $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we usually denote ν_i by its ith component. We define $q^\nu = q_1^{\nu_1}\ldots q_r^{\nu_r}$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we define $\min\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that the ith component of $\min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is $\min\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$.

For any $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_r) \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}} = \mathbb{Q}_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}_{q_r}$ and $N \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define

$$\theta_q(y, N) = \prod_{i=1}^r \theta_{q_i}(y_i, N), \qquad |y|_q' = \prod_{i=1}^r |y_i|_{q_i}', \qquad \mathrm{e}_q(y) = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathrm{e}_{q_i}(y_i).$$

Moreover, for $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r) \in \{0, 1\}^r$, we define

$$\theta_{q,\tau}(y, N) = \prod_{i=1}^r \theta_{q_i, \tau_i}(y_i, N).$$

We usually embed $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_S$ or $\mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}$ diagonally.

2.5. The partial Zagier L-function and the approximate functional equation. For $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^S$, we define the *partial Zagier L-function* as

$$L^S(s, \delta) = \sum_{f^2 | \delta} \frac{1}{f^{2s-1}} L^S\left(s, \left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{\cdot}\right)\right),$$

where the sum of f is over all $\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}$ such that $\delta/f^2 \in \mathbb{Z}^S$, and

$$L^S\left(s, \left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{\cdot}\right)\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{k^s}\left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{k}\right) = \prod_{\ell \notin S}\left(1 - \left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{\ell}\right)\ell^{-s}\right)^{-1}$$

for s such that the series converges absolutely, extended to $\mathbb{C}$ by analytic continuation.

Write $\delta = \sigma^2 D$, where $D \equiv 0,1 \pmod 4$ is the fundamental discriminant. Finally, we set $\tau_\delta = (\sigma^{(q)})^2 D = \delta/\sigma_{(q)}^2$.

Theorem 2.6 (Functional equation of $L^S(s, \delta)$, [?] Theorem 3.3). *Suppose that δ is not a square. We have*

$$L^S(s, \delta) = \left(\frac{|\delta|'_{\infty,q}}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s}}{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}} \frac{\Gamma((\iota + 1 - s)/2)}{\Gamma((\iota + s)/2)} L^S(1-s, \delta), \tag{2.7}$$

where $|\delta|'_{\infty,q} = |\tau_\delta| = |\delta|_\infty \prod_{i=1}^{r} |\delta|'_{q_i}$, $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_{i,\delta} = \left(\frac{\tau_\delta}{q_i}\right) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, $\iota = \iota_\delta = 0$ if $\delta > 0$, and $\iota = \iota_\delta = 1$ if $\delta < 0$.

REMARK 2.7. The theorem also remains valid when $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ is a square, with an identical proof.

Let

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2K_0(2)} \int_x^{+\infty} e^{-t-1/t} \frac{dt}{t},$$

where $K_s(y)$ is the *modified Bessel function of the second kind*. The *Mellin transform* of F is

$$\widetilde{F}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \frac{K_s(2)}{K_0(2)}. \tag{2.8}$$

As shown in [?, Proposition 3.7], $\widetilde{F}$ is an odd function, and for $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sigma_1 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \sigma_2$, we have

$$\widetilde{F}(s) \ll_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2} |s|^{|\sigma|-1} e^{-\pi|t|/2}. \tag{2.9}$$

Theorem 2.8 (Approximate functional equation for $L^S(s, \delta)$, [?] Theorem 3.8). *For $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ that is not a square, and any $A > 0$, we have*

$$L^S(s, \delta) = \sum_{f^2 | \delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{f^{2s-1}} \frac{1}{k^s}\left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{k}\right) F\left(\frac{kf^2}{A}\right)$$

$$+ |\delta|'^{\frac{1}{2}-s}_{\infty,q} \sum_{f^2|\delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{f^{1-2s}} \frac{1}{k^{1-s}}\left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{k}\right) V_{\iota,\epsilon,s}\left(\frac{kf^2 A}{|\delta|'_{\infty,q}}\right), \tag{2.10}$$

where $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$, and

$$V_{\iota,\epsilon,s}(x) = \frac{\pi^{s-1/2}}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \widetilde{F}(u) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s+u}}{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-u-1}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s+u}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s-u}{2})} (\pi x)^{-u} du,$$

$\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen such that $\sigma + \operatorname{Re} s > 1$, and (σ) denotes the vertical contour form $\sigma - i\infty$ to $\sigma + i\infty$.

Corollary 2.9 ([?], Corollary 3.9). *For $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ that is not a square, and any $A > 0$, we have*

$$L^S(1, \delta) = \sum_{f^2|\delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{kf}\left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{k}\right)\left(F\left(\frac{kf^2}{A}\right) + \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{|\delta|'_{\infty,q}}} V_{\iota,\epsilon}\left(\frac{kf^2 A}{|\delta|'_{\infty,q}}\right)\right), \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$V_{\iota,\epsilon}(x) = V_{\iota,\epsilon,1}(x) = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2\pi i} \int_{(1)} \widetilde{F}(s) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s}} \frac{\Gamma((\iota+s)/2)}{\Gamma((\iota+1-s)/2)} (\pi x)^{-s} ds.$$

We end this subsection by establishing the rapid decay properties of $F(x), V(x)$ and $\widetilde{F}(s), \widetilde{V}(s)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of smooth functions Φ on $]0, +\infty[$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, $\Phi^{(k)}(x)$ are of rapid decay as $x \to +\infty$ (analog of Schwartz functions). Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex number.

Proposition 2.10. *We have $F \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, $\widetilde{F}(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, holomorphic except for a simple pole at $s = 0$, and is of rapid decay for t when σ is fixed.*

Proof: By Proposition B.5 of [?] we have $F \in \mathcal{S}$. Other assertions follow from (**??**) and (**??**). □

Proposition 2.11. *For any ι and ϵ, we have $V_{\iota,\epsilon} \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, $\widetilde{V_{\iota,\epsilon}}(s)$ is holomorphic on $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$, and is of rapid decay in t when $\sigma > 0$ is fixed.*

Proof: By Proposition B.5 of [?] we have $V \in \mathcal{S}$. Now we prove the second assertion.

The Mellin transform of $V_{\iota,\epsilon}$ is

$$\widetilde{V_{\iota,\epsilon}}(s) = \widetilde{F}(s) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})} \pi^{-s+1/2}. \tag{2.12}$$

By Stirling formula [?, Chapter II.0] we have

$$\frac{|\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})|}{|\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})|} \asymp_A \frac{|t/2|^{\frac{\iota+\sigma-1}{2}} e^{-\pi|t|/4}}{|t/2|^{\frac{\iota-\sigma}{2}} e^{-\pi|t|/4}} = \left|\frac{t}{2}\right|^{\sigma - 1/2}. \tag{2.13}$$

Also, we have

$$\frac{|1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}|}{|1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s}|} \leqslant \frac{1 + q_i^{A-1}}{1 - q_i^{-A}} \ll_A q_i^{A} \ll_{A, q_i} 1 \tag{2.14}$$

for any s such that $\operatorname{Re} s = A$ and $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Since $V_{\iota,\epsilon} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\widetilde{V_{\iota,\epsilon}}(s)$ is holomorphic on the half plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$. Moreover, by the definition (**??**) and (**??**), (**??**), (**??**), $\widetilde{V_{\iota,\epsilon}}(s)$ is of rapid decay for t when $\sigma > 0$ is fixed. □

2.6. The generalized Kloosterman sum. The *partial generalized Kloosterman sum* is defined by

$$\mathrm{Kl}_{k,f}^{S}(\xi, m) = \sum_{\substack{a \bmod kf^2 \\ a^2 - 4m \equiv 0 \,(f^2)}} \left(\frac{(a^2 - 4m)/f^2}{k}\right) e\left(\frac{a\xi}{kf^2}\right) e_q\left(\frac{a\xi}{kf^2}\right)$$

for $k, f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}$ and $\xi, m \in \mathbb{Z}^S$.

For any prime $\ell \notin S$ and $\xi, m \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, we define the *local generalized Kloosterman sum* to be

$$\mathrm{Kl}_{\ell^u, \ell^v}^{(\ell)}(\xi, m) = \sum_{\substack{a \bmod \ell^{u+2v} \\ a^2 - 4m \equiv 0 \,(\ell^{2v})}} \left(\frac{(a^2 - 4m)/\ell^{2v}}{\ell^u}\right) e_\ell\left(\frac{-a\xi}{\ell^{u+2v}}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

Suppose that $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S$. Since $e(x) \prod_\ell e_\ell(x) = 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $a\xi/\ell^{u+2v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell'}$ if $\ell' \notin S \cup \{\ell\}$, we obtain

$$e_\ell\left(\frac{-a\xi}{\ell^{u+2v}}\right) = \prod_{\ell' \notin S} e_{\ell'}\left(\frac{a\xi}{\ell^{u+2v}}\right)^{-1} = e\left(\frac{a\xi}{\ell^{u+2v}}\right) e_q\left(\frac{a\xi}{\ell^{u+2v}}\right).$$

Hence for $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S$, we have

$$\mathrm{Kl}_{\ell^u, \ell^v}^{(\ell)}(\xi, m) = \sum_{\substack{a \bmod \ell^{u+2v} \\ a^2 - 4m \equiv 0 \,(\ell^{2v})}} \left(\frac{(a^2 - 4m)/\ell^{2v}}{\ell^u}\right) e\left(\frac{a\xi}{\ell^{u+2v}}\right) e_q\left(\frac{a\xi}{\ell^{u+2v}}\right). \tag{2.16}$$

Proposition 2.12. *Suppose that $\xi, m \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$. Let $\xi', m' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\xi \equiv \xi' \pmod{\ell^{u+2v}}$ and $m \equiv m'$ (mod ℓ^{u+2v}). Then*

$$\mathrm{Kl}_{\ell^u,\ell^v}^{(\ell)}(\xi, m) = \mathrm{Kl}_{\ell^u,\ell^v}^{(\ell)}(\xi', m') = \sum_{\substack{a \bmod \ell^{u+2v} \\ a^2 - 4m' \equiv 0\,(\ell^{2v})}} \left(\frac{(a^2 - 4m')/\ell^{2v}}{\ell^u} \right) \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{a\xi'}{\ell^{u+2v}} \right).$$

The right hand side is precisely the local generalized Kloosterman sum defined by Altuğ in [?].

Proof: The first equality follows immediately from the definition (**??**). For the second equality, by definition we have

$$\mathrm{e}_\ell\left(\frac{-a\xi'}{\ell^{u+2v}} \right) = \mathrm{e}\left(\left\langle \frac{a\xi'}{\ell^{u+2v}} \right\rangle_\ell \right) = \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{a\xi'}{\ell^{u+2v}} \right).$$

Thus the result follows by (**??**). $\qquad\square$

3. Contribution of non-elliptic parts

The (noninvariant) Arthur-Selberg trace formula of $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{GL}_2$ takes the following form:

$$J_{\mathrm{geom}}(f) = J_{\mathrm{spec}}(f), \tag{3.1}$$

where the geometric side is

$$J_{\mathrm{geom}}(f) = I_{\mathrm{id}}(f) + I_{\mathrm{ell}}(f) + J_{\mathrm{hyp}}(f) + J_{\mathrm{unip}}(f) \tag{3.2}$$

and the spectral side is

$$J_{\mathrm{spec}}(f) = I_{\mathrm{cusp}}(f) + J_{\mathrm{cont}}(f) + \sum_\mu \mathrm{Tr}(\mu(f)) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_\mu \mathrm{Tr}(M(0, \mu)(\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f)). \tag{3.3}$$

The sum $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ in the last two terms runs over all 1-dimensional representations of $\mathsf{A}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathsf{A}(\mathbb{A})^1 = \mathbb{Q}^\times\backslash(\mathbb{A}^\times)^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^\times\backslash(\mathbb{A}^\times)^1$ with $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ (equivalently, runs over all 1-dimensional representations of $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{A})^1$), where A denotes the diagonal torus of G.

The Arthur-Selberg trace formula appears in numerous references. For example, [?, Chapter 16], [?, Theorem 6.33] and [?, Theorem 7.14]. The explicit formula of these terms are contained in these references.

We now provide an explicit description of the spectral side and leave the clarification of the geometric side in the proofs of the propositions below. We follow the notations of [?]. The continuous part is the sum of the two following terms

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi\mathrm{i}} \sum_\mu \int_{(0)} \frac{m'(s, \mu)}{m(s, \mu)} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{A})}(s, \mu)(f^n) \right) \mathrm{d}s \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi\mathrm{i}} \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{S}} \sum_\mu \int_{(0)} \mathrm{Tr}\left(R_v(s, \mu_v)^{-1} R_v'(s, \mu_v) \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s, \mu_v)(f_v^n) \right) \cdot \prod_{w \neq v} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}(s, \mu_w)(f_w^n) \right) \mathrm{d}s, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ runs over all 1-dimensional representations of $\mathsf{A}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathsf{A}(\mathbb{A})^1$. We do *not* require $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ for the sum in the continuous part.

We now explain the notation used above. The space of induced representations is defined as follows: As a vector space, $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s, \mu_v)$ is the space of all smooth functions ψ on $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ that satisfy

$$\psi(bg) = \mu_1(x)\mu_2(y) \left| \frac{x}{y} \right|_v^{s/2+1/2} \psi(g)$$

for any $g \in \mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ and $b = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & z \\ 0 & y \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$, and $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ acts by right translation. The global induced representation is defined similarly. $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ runs over all 1-dimensional representations of $\mathsf{A}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathsf{A}(\mathbb{A})^1$, and

$$m(s,\mu) = \prod_v m_v(s,\mu_v) = \prod_v \frac{L_v(s,\mu_1/\mu_2)}{L_v(1+s,\mu_1/\mu_2)\varepsilon_v(1-s,\mu_2/\mu_1,\mathrm{e}_v)} = \frac{\Lambda(1+s,\mu_2/\mu_1)}{\Lambda(1-s,\mu_1/\mu_2)},$$

where Λ denotes the complete L-function and ε_v denotes the ε-factor. $M_v(s,\mu_v)$ denotes the intertwining operator from the space $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s,\mu_v)$ to $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(-s,w(\mu_v))$, where $w(\mu) = \mu_2 \boxtimes \mu_1$ if $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$, and

$$M(s,\mu) = \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{S}} M_v(s,\mu_v).$$

Finally, $R_v(s,\mu_v)$ is the normalized intertwining operator

$$R_v(s,\mu_v) = m_v(s,\mu)^{-1} M_v(s,\mu_v).$$

REMARK 3.1. If we followed the notations of [?], s would be replaced by $2s$. However, the formula of the continuous part and the conclusion are the same.

REMARK 3.2. We use the letter J instead of I in hyperbolic, unipotent and the continuous parts since these terms are not invariant under conjugation, while other terms are invariant distributions. There is also an *invariant trace formula* for GL_2 but we do not need it in this paper.

The main goal of this section is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$I_{\mathrm{cusp}}(f^n) = I_{\mathrm{ell}}(f^n) - \sum_\mu \mathrm{Tr}(\mu(f^n)) + O_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon}(n^\varepsilon).$$

3.1. Estimates of the geometric side. First we estimate the non-elliptic parts of the geometric side for the function f^n.

Proposition 3.4. *We have*

$$I_{\mathrm{id}}(f^n) \ll 1,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞ and f_{q_i}.

Proof: By definition,

$$I_{\mathrm{id}}(f^n) = \sum_{z \in \mathsf{Z}(\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{vol}(\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{A})^1) f^n(z).$$

Suppose that $f^n(z) \neq 0$. Then $|\det z|_p = p^{-n_p}$ for all $p \notin S$. Hence $\det z = \pm n q^\nu$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r$.

If n is not a square, then $\det z$ is not a square, contradicting $z \in \mathsf{Z}(\mathbb{Q})$. Consequently, $f^n(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathsf{Z}(\mathbb{Q})$ and thus $I_{\mathrm{id}}(f^n) = 0$.

Now suppose that n is a square. In this case, $f^n(z) \neq 0$ only if $z = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $a = \pm n^{1/2} q^{\nu/2}$ for some $\nu/2 \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. Since $f_{q_i}(z)$ is compactly supported, there exists $M_i > 0$ such that $f_{q_i}(z) = 0$ if $|\det z|_{q_i} = q_i^{\nu_i}$ does not belong to $[q_i^{-M_i}, q_i^{M_i}]$. Hence the choice of $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ is finite and the number is independent of n. The conclusion then follows from the estimate $f^n(z) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}} 1$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 3.5. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$J_{\mathrm{unip}}(f^n) \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

Proof: By definition,

$$J_{\mathrm{unip}}(f^n) = \sum_{z \in \mathsf{Z}(\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{f.p.}_{\cdot s=1} Z(s, \mathbb{1}, F_z),$$

where f. p. denotes the finite part, Z denotes the zeta integral in Tate's thesis and for $t \in \mathbb{A}$,

$$F_z(t) = \int_K f^n\left(k^{-1} z \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k\right) \mathrm{d}k,$$

where K denotes the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{A})$. First consider the case when n is not a square. Since

$$\det\left(k^{-1}z\begin{pmatrix}1 & t\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}k\right) = \det z,$$

which is a square, it does not lie in the support of f^n since $f^n(\gamma) \neq 0$ only if $\det\gamma = \pm nq^\nu$, which cannot be a square. Thus F_z is identically 0 for all $z \in \mathsf{Z}(\mathbb{Q})$. Hence $J_{\mathrm{unip}}(f^n) = 0$.

Next, we treat the case when n is a square. In this case, $f^n(z) \neq 0$ only if $z = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ with $a = \pm n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}$ for some $\nu/2 \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. Since f_{q_i} is compactly supported, there exists $M_i > 0$ such that

$$f_{q_i}\left(k_{q_i}^{-1}z\begin{pmatrix}1 & t_{q_i}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}k_{q_i}\right) = 0$$

if $|\det z|_{q_i} = q_i^{\nu_i} \notin [q_i^{-M_i}, q_i^{M_i}]$. Hence the choice of $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ is finite and the number is independent of n.

We have

$$Z(s, \mathbb{1}, F_z) = \prod_{v\in\mathfrak{S}} Z_v(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,v})$$

with

$$F_{z,v}(t_v) = \int_{K_v} f_v^n\left(k_v^{-1}z\begin{pmatrix}1 & t_v\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}k_v\right)\mathrm{d}k_v,$$

and

$$Z_v(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,v}) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_v^\times} F_{z,v}(t_v)|t_v|_v^s \mathrm{d}^\times t_v.$$

Case 1: $v = p \notin S$.

In this case, f_v^n is bi-K_v-invariant. Hence

$$F_{z,v}(t_v) = f_v^n\left(n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}\begin{pmatrix}1 & t_v\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Thus $F_{z,v}(t_v) = p^{-n_p/2}$ if $|t_p|_p \leqslant p^{n_p/2}$, and is 0 otherwise. Hence

$$Z_v(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,v}) = p^{-n_p/2}\sum_{u=-n_p/2}^{+\infty} p^{-us} = \frac{p^{-n_p(1-s)/2}}{1-p^{-s}}.$$

Case 2: $v \in S$.

In this case, the integral defining $Z_v(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,v})$ converges absolutely on each compact subset on $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 0$. Hence $Z_v(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,v})$ is holomorphic on $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 0$.

Therefore

$$Z(s, \mathbb{1}, F_z) = \prod_{v\in S} Z_v(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,v})\prod_{p\notin S}\frac{p^{-n_p(1-s)/2}}{1-p^{-s}} = Z_\infty(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\infty})\prod_{\ell\in S} Z_\ell(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\ell})(1-\ell^{-s})\zeta(s)n^{(s-1)/2}.$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{f.\,p.}_{s=1} Z(s, \mathbb{1}, F_z) = Z_\infty(1, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\infty})\prod_{\ell\in S} Z_\ell(1, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\ell})(1-\ell^{-1})\,\mathrm{f.\,p.}_{s=1}\,\zeta(s)$$

$$+ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\bigg|_{s=1}\left(Z_\infty(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\infty})\prod_{\ell\in S} Z_\ell(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\ell})(1-\ell^{-s})n^{(s-1)/2}\right)$$

$$\ll 1 + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\bigg|_{s=1}\left(Z_\infty(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\infty})\prod_{\ell\in S} Z_\ell(s, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\ell})(1-\ell^{-s})\right)$$

$$+ Z_\infty(1, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\infty})\prod_{\ell\in S} Z_\ell(1, \mathbb{1}, F_{z,\ell})(1-\ell^{-1})\log n \ll \log n \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε. Since we have proved that the sum over ν is finite, we obtain our conclusion. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 3.6. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$J_{\text{hyp}}(f^n) \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

Proof: By definition,

$$J_{\text{hyp}}(f^n) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{reg}}} \int_{A(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f^n(g^{-1}\gamma g) \alpha(H_B(wg) + H_B(g)) dg,$$

where A is the diagonal torus, $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{reg}}$ denotes all the regular elements in $A(\mathbb{Q})$, w denotes the nontrivial element in the Weyl group of (G, A), α denotes the positive root in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and H_B denotes the Harish-Chandra map.

Suppose that $\gamma \in A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{reg}}$ contributes to this sum. Then there exists $g \in A(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $f^n(g^{-1}\gamma g) \neq 0$. Hence $f_v^n(g_v^{-1}\gamma g_v) \neq 0$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{S}$. Thus γ is integral in $G(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ for all $v \notin S$, and $|\det \gamma|_p = |\det(g_\ell^{-1}\gamma g_\ell)|_p = p^{-n_p}$ for all $p \notin S$. Hence the eigenvalues γ_1, γ_2 of γ both lie in $\mathbb{Z}^S$ and $\det \gamma = \pm n q^\nu$ for some $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$.

Using the Iwasawa decomposition, we can write $g = uk$, where $u \in N(\mathbb{A})$ and $k \in K$, K denotes the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A})$ and N denotes the unipotent radical. By assumption, we have $f^n(g^{-1}\gamma g) = f^n(k^{-1}u^{-1}\gamma uk) \neq 0$. Hence for all $v \in \mathfrak{S}$ we have $f_v^n(k_v^{-1}u_v^{-1}\gamma u_v k_v) \neq 0$. Thus

$$u_v^{-1}\gamma u_v \in k_v \text{supp}(f_v^n) k_v \subseteq K_v \text{supp}(f_v^n) K_v.$$

For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $u_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$u_v^{-1}\gamma u_v = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & x_v(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

For $v = q_i \in S$, $f_v^n = f_{q_i}$ is compactly supported. Hence $u_v^{-1}\gamma u_v$ lies in a compact set. Thus there exists M_i (independent of n) such that $|\gamma_1|_{q_i}, |\gamma_2|_{q_i} \in [q_i^{-M_i}, q_i^{M_i}]$ for γ contributing the sum.

For $v = \infty$, $f_v^p = f_\infty$ is compactly supported modulo Z_+. Thus $u_v^{-1}\gamma u_v$ lies in a set that is compact modulo Z_+. Hence there exists $\delta > 1$ such that

$$\delta^{-1} < \left| \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right| < \delta.$$

for γ contributing the sum.

Since $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^S$, $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 = \pm n q^\nu$, we know that $\gamma_1 = n_1 q^{\nu_1}$ and $\gamma_2 = n_2 q^{\nu_2}$ such that n_1, n_2 are divisors of n. Moreover, ν_1 and ν_2 both have finitely many choices (independent of n). Thus the number of such γ is $O(d(n))$, where $d(n)$ is the number of divisors of n.

Now we consider the integral

$$\int_{A(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f^n(g^{-1}\gamma g) \alpha(H_B(wg) + H_B(g)) dg. \tag{3.7}$$

By Iwasawa decomposition, the integral above can be rewritten as

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{A})} \int_K f^n(k^{-1}u^{-1}\gamma uk) dk \, \alpha(H_B(wu)) du,$$

where K denotes the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A})$. Let

$$F^n(g) = \int_K f^n(k^{-1}gk) dk = \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{S}} F_v^n(g_v),$$

where $F_v^n(g_v) = \int_K f_v^n(k_v^{-1}g_v k_v) dk_v$. Thus **(??)** can be rewritten as

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{A})} F^n(u^{-1}\gamma u) \alpha(H_B(wu)) du. \tag{3.8}$$

By definition of f_v^n, we have $F_v^n(g_v) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}} 1$ if $v \in S$, and $F_v^n(g_v) \ll p^{-n_p/2}$ if $v = p \notin S$. Moreover, F_v^n is supported in $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $v = p \notin S$, compactly supported for $v = \ell \in S$, and compactly supported modulo the center for $v = \infty$.

For $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ and $u_v = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x_v \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, by (??), there exist $L_0, L_1, \ldots, L_r > 0$ (independent of n) such that $F_v^n(u^{-1}\gamma u) \neq 0$ only if

$$|x_{q_i}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)|_{q_i} \leqslant q^{L_i}$$

for all i,

$$|x_p(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)|_p \leqslant 1$$

for all $p \notin S$, and

$$\frac{|x_\infty(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)|}{\sqrt{|\gamma_1 \gamma_2|}} \leqslant L_0.$$

Moreover, by direct formula for the Iwasawa decomposition for wu, we obtain

$$-H_B(wu) = \log(1 + x_\infty^2) - 2 \sum_{v_\ell(x_\ell) < 0} v_\ell(x_\ell) \log \ell \geqslant 0.$$

If we define

$$D = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{A} \,\middle|\, |x_\infty| \leqslant L_0 \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_1 \gamma_2|}}{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|}, \; |x_{q_i}|_{q_i} \leqslant q_i^{L_i + v_{q_i}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)}, \; |x_p|_p \leqslant p^{v_p(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)} \right\},$$

then we have

$$(??) \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_D \left(\log(1 + x_\infty^2) - 2 \sum_{v_\ell(x_\ell) < 0} v_\ell(x_\ell) \log \ell \right) dx$$

$$\ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_1 \gamma_2|}}{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|} \prod_\ell \ell^{v_\ell(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)} \left(\log\left(1 + \frac{|\gamma_1 \gamma_2|}{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|^2} L_0 \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r L_i \log q_i + 2 \sum_\ell v_\ell(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \log \ell \right)$$

$$\ll \log\left(|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|^2 + |\gamma_1 \gamma_2| L_0 \right) + 1,$$

where in the last step we used $|\gamma_1 \gamma_2| \asymp n$. Since $|\gamma_1/\gamma_2| \in \,]\delta^{-1}, \delta[$, we obtain $|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| \ll \sqrt{|\gamma_1 \gamma_2|}$. Therefore $(??) \ll \log n$. Finally,

$$J_{\text{hyp}}(f^n) \ll d(n) \log n \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε. $\qquad \square$

3.2. Estimates of the spectral side.

Proposition 3.7. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_\mu \text{Tr}((\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f^n)) \ll n^\varepsilon, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\sum_\mu \text{Tr}(M(0, \mu)(\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f^n)) \ll n^\varepsilon, \tag{3.10}$$

where the implied constants only depend on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

Proof: $\text{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(s, \mu)$ is irreducible when $\text{Re}\, s = 0$. Hence by Schur's lemma, $M(0, \mu)$ is a scalar multiplication. Moreover, by functional equation of the intertwining operator (see Theorem 4.19 of [?], for example), $M(0, \mu)^2 = \text{id}$. Hence $M(0, \mu) = \pm\text{id}$. Therefore,

$$\text{Tr}(M(0, \mu)(\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f)) = \pm \text{Tr}((\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f)). \tag{3.11}$$

By Corollary 8.7 of [?], the sum over μ in (??) is finite and is independent of n. Using (??), the sum over μ in (??) is also finite. To prove the proposition, it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Tr}((\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f^n)) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon} n^\varepsilon$$

for fixed μ.

By Proposition 8.3, Proposition 8.4 and Proposition 8.5 in [?], we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}((\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f^n)) = 2 \left(\int_{|x|>1} \frac{\theta_\infty^+(x)}{\sqrt{x^2-1}}\mathrm{d}x + \mu_\infty(-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\theta_\infty^-(x)}{\sqrt{x^2+1}}\mathrm{d}x \right) \prod_{p|n} \mu_p(n)(n_p+1)$$

$$\times 2^r \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} q^v \prod_{i=1}^r \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i}\right)^{-1} \int_{|N_i|_{q_i} = q_i^{-v_i}} \int_{Y_{i,1}(N_i)} \frac{\theta_{q_i}(T_i, N_i)}{\sqrt{|T_i^2 - 4N_i|'_{q_i}}} \mu_{q_i}(N_i)\mathrm{d}T_i\mathrm{d}N_i \right]$$

$$\ll \prod_{p|n}(n_p+1) = d(n) \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i}, and ε. $\qquad\square$

Finally we consider the continuous part.

Proposition 3.8. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$J_{\mathrm{cont}}(f^n) \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

We need to do some preparations for proving this theorem.

Suppose that $\varphi = \bigotimes'_{v \in \mathfrak{S}} \varphi_v$ is a smooth, compactly supported function on $G(\mathbb{A})$ that is trivial on Z_+. By modifying the proof of Proposition 8.2 in [?], we deduce that:

Proposition 3.9. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(s, \mu)(\varphi)\right) = \int_{Z_+\backslash A(\mathbb{A})} \frac{|x-y|}{|xy|^{1/2}} \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|^{s/2} \mu_1(x)\mu_2(y) \int_{A(\mathbb{A})\backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g^{-1}tg)\mathrm{d}g\mathrm{d}t, \qquad (3.12)$$

where A is the diagonal torus in G with $t = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Moreover, for nonarchimedean $v \in \mathfrak{S}$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s, \mu_v)(\varphi_v)\right) = \int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \frac{|x-y|_v}{|xy|_v^{1/2}} \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|_v^{s/2} \mu_{1,v}(x)\mu_{2,v}(y) \int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_v)\backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \varphi_v(g^{-1}tg)\mathrm{d}g\mathrm{d}t, \quad (3.13)$$

while for the archimedean place,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{R})}^{G(\mathbb{R})}(\mu_\infty, s)(\varphi_\infty)\right) = \int_{Z_+\backslash A(\mathbb{R})} \frac{|x-y|}{|xy|^{1/2}} \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|^{s/2} \mu_{1,\infty}(x)\mu_{2,\infty}(y) \int_{A(\mathbb{R})\backslash G(\mathbb{R})} \varphi_v(g^{-1}tg)\mathrm{d}g\mathrm{d}t. \quad (3.14)$$

Since

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(s, \mu)(\varphi)\right) = \prod_v \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s, \mu_v)(\varphi_v)\right),$$

it suffices to compute the local traces.

Proposition 3.10. (1) *If $\mu_{1,\ell}$ or $\mu_{2,\ell}$ is ramified, then*

$$\int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)} \frac{|x-y|_\ell}{|xy|_\ell^{1/2}} \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|_\ell^{s/2} \mu_{1,\ell}(x)\mu_{2,\ell}(y) \, \mathrm{orb}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_\ell^m}; t)\mathrm{d}t = 0.$$

(2) *If both $\mu_{1,\ell}$ and $\mu_{2,\ell}$ are unramified, then*

$$\int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)} \frac{|x-y|_\ell}{|xy|_\ell^{1/2}} \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|_\ell^{s/2} \mu_{1,\ell}(x)\mu_{2,\ell}(y) \, \mathrm{orb}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_\ell^m}; t)\mathrm{d}t = \ell^{m/2} \sum_{u=0}^m \mu_{1,\ell}(\ell^u)\mu_{2,\ell}(\ell^{m-u})\ell^{(2u-m)s/2}.$$

14

Proof: By Theorem 2.6 of [?] we know that $\mathrm{orb}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_\ell^m}; t) = 0$ unless x and y are integral and $|xy|_\ell = \ell^{-m}$, and in this case we have $\mathrm{orb}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_\ell^m}; t) = \ell^{k_t} = |x - y|_\ell^{-1}$. Hence

$$\int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)} \frac{|x-y|_\ell}{|xy|_\ell^{1/2}} \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|_\ell^{s/2} \mu_{1,\ell}(x)\mu_{2,\ell}(y)\,\mathrm{orb}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_\ell^m}; t)\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \ell^{m/2} \sum_{u=0}^{m} \int_{x \in \ell^u \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times} \int_{y \in \ell^{m-u}\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times} \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|_\ell^{s/2} \mu_{1,\ell}(x)\mu_{2,\ell}(y)\mathrm{d}^\times y\mathrm{d}^\times x$$

$$= \ell^{m/2} \sum_{u=0}^{m} \mu_{1,\ell}(\ell^u)\mu_{2,\ell}(\ell^{m-u})\ell^{(2u-m)s/2} \int_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times} \int_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times} \mu_{1,\ell}(a)\mu_{2,\ell}(b)\mathrm{d}^\times a\mathrm{d}^\times b,$$

which equals

$$\ell^{m/2} \sum_{u=0}^{m} \mu_{1,\ell}(\ell^u)\mu_{2,\ell}(\ell^{m-u})\ell^{(2u-m)s/2}$$

if $\mu_{1,\ell}$ and $\mu_{2,\ell}$ are unramified and equals 0 if $\mu_{1,\ell}$ or $\mu_{2,\ell}$ is ramified. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 3.11. *For any prime $\ell \in S$, there exists $M > 0$ such that for all s,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}(s, \mu_\ell)(f_\ell)\right) = 0$$

if $\mu_{1,\ell}$ or $\mu_{2,\ell}$ is not trivial on $1 + \ell^M\mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

Proof: Let

$$\Theta_\ell(t) = \frac{|x-y|_\ell}{|xy|_\ell^{1/2}}\,\mathrm{orb}(f_\ell; t).$$

By the proof of Lemma 8.6 in [?], there exist $M > 0$ such that for any $a \in 1 + \ell^M\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $t \in A(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, we have

$$\Theta_\ell(t) = \Theta_\ell\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\right).$$

Therefore for any $a \in 1 + \ell^M\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, by (??) we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}(\mu_\ell, s)(f_\ell)\right) = \int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)} \Theta_\ell(t) \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|_\ell^{s/2} \mu_{1,\ell}(x)\mu_{2,\ell}(y)\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)} \Theta_\ell(t) \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|_\ell^{s/2} \mu_{1,\ell}(ax)\mu_{2,\ell}(y)\mathrm{d}t = \mu_{1,\ell}(a)\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}(\mu_\ell, s)(f_\ell)\right).$$

If $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}(\mu_\ell, s)(f_\ell)\right) \neq 0$, then $\mu_{1,\ell}(a) = 1$ for all $a \in 1 + \ell^M\mathbb{Z}_\ell$. Similarly, there exists M' if $\mu_{2,\ell}$ is not trivial on $1 + \ell^{M'}\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, then $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}(\mu_\ell, s)(f_\ell)\right) = 0$ for all s. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 3.12. *The sum over μ in (??) is finite.*

Proof: By **??**, there exist $M_1, \ldots, M_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_{q_i})}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_{q_i})}(s, \mu_{q_i})(f_{q_i})\right) = 0$$

if μ_{1,q_i} or μ_{2,q_i} is not trivial on $1 + q_i^{M_i}\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}$.

Suppose that $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ is a character on $A(\mathbb{Q})\backslash A(\mathbb{A})^1 = \mathbb{Q}^\times\backslash(\mathbb{A}^\times)^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^\times\backslash(\mathbb{A}^\times)^1$ contributing the sum (??). Then we must have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}(s, \mu_\ell)(f_\ell)\right) \neq 0$$

for any finite place ℓ. This means that $\mu_{i,\ell}$ is unramified for each $\ell \notin S$ and μ_{q_i} is trivial on $1 + q_i^{M_i}\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}$ for each i. Hence μ_1 and μ_2 can be considered as characters on

$$\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \prod_{\ell \notin S} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\times} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + q_i^{M_i}\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}) \right) \backslash \mathbb{A}^{\times},$$

which is a finite group by class field theory. Thus, the sum in **(??)** over $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ is finite. $\qquad\square$

Proof of ??: We first prove that **(??)** $\ll_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$. By **??**, the sum over μ is finite. Hence it suffices to prove that the integral converges absolutely for each fixed μ contributing the sum. By **??** and the definition of f^n, we have

$$\int_{(0)} \frac{m'(s,\mu)}{m(s,\mu)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(s,\mu)(f^n) \right) ds = \int_{(0)} \frac{m'(s,\mu)}{m(s,\mu)} \prod_{v} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s,\mu_v)(f_v^n) \right) ds$$

$$= \int_{(0)} \frac{m'(s,\mu)}{m(s,\mu)} \prod_{v \in S} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s,\mu_v)(f_v) \right) \prod_{p \notin S} \left(\sum_{u=0}^{n_p} \mu_{1,p}(p^u)\mu_{2,p}(p^{n_p-u}) p^{(2u-n_p)s/2} \right) ds.$$

By **(??)**, for any $v \in S$ and $\operatorname{Re} s = 0$ we have

$$\left| \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s,\mu_v)(f_v) \right) \right| \leqslant \int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \frac{|x-y|_v}{|xy|_v^{1/2}} \int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_v)\backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_v)} |f_v(g^{-1}tg)| dg dt$$

$$= \int_{A(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \frac{|x-y|_v}{|xy|_v^{1/2}} \operatorname{orb}(|f_v|,t) dt \ll 1$$

since the integrand is continuous and compactly supported.

Now we consider the archimedean part. By Theorem 2.12 of **[?]**, the function

$$\frac{|x-y|}{|xy|^{1/2}} \int_{A(\mathbb{R})\backslash G(\mathbb{R})} \varphi_v(g^{-1}tg) dg$$

is smooth and compactly supported in $t \in Z_+ \backslash A(\mathbb{R})$. Hence by **(??)**,

$$\Phi(it) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{R})}^{G(\mathbb{R})}(\mu_{\infty}, it)(\varphi_{\infty}) \right)$$

is a Fourier transform of a smooth and compactly supported function for $s = it$. Thus $\Phi(it)$ is a Schwartz function for t. Therefore

$$\left| \int_{(0)} \frac{m'(s,\mu)}{m(s,\mu)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(s,\mu)(f^n) \right) ds \right| \ll_{f_{\infty}, f_{q_i}} \int_{(0)} \left| \frac{m'(s,\mu)}{m(s,\mu)} \right| \prod_{p \notin S} \left(\sum_{u=0}^{n_p} |p^{(2u-n_p)s}| \right) d|s|$$

$$\ll_{f_{\infty}, f_{q_i}} \int_{(0)} |\Phi(s)| \left| \frac{m'(s,\mu)}{m(s,\mu)} \right| \prod_{p \notin S} (n_p + 1) d|s| \qquad (3.15)$$

$$\ll_{f_{\infty}, f_{q_i}} d(n) \ll_{f_{\infty}, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}.$$

The only nontrivial step is the last estimate. It is deduced as follows: Recall that

$$m(s,\mu) = \prod_{v} \frac{L_v(1-s,\chi^{-1})}{L_v(1+s,\chi)} = \frac{\Lambda(1-s,\chi^{-1})}{\Lambda(1+s,\chi)},$$

where $\chi = \mu_1/\mu_2$ can be considered as a Dirichlet character, and Λ denotes the complete L-function. Hence

$$\frac{m'(s,\mu)}{m(s,\mu)} = -\frac{\Lambda'(1-s,\chi^{-1})}{\Lambda(1-s,\chi^{-1})} - \frac{\Lambda'(1+s,\chi)}{\Lambda(1+s,\chi)}.$$

Since $\Phi(it)$ is a Schwartz function, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\Lambda'(1-it,\chi^{-1})}{\Lambda(1-it,\chi^{-1})} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Lambda'(1+it,\chi)}{\Lambda(1+it,\chi)}$$

both have polynomial growth for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By symmetry it suffices to show this for the second function. We have

$$\frac{\Lambda'(1+it,\chi)}{\Lambda(1+it,\chi)} = \frac{L'(1+it,\chi)}{L(1+it,\chi)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{it+\iota}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{it+\iota}{2})},$$

where $\iota \in \{0,1\}$. By the results in Part II, §8.3 of [?] (for χ nontrivial) and Part II, §3.10 of loc. cit. (for χ trivial) we know that $L'(1+it,\chi)/L(1+it,\chi)$ has polynomial growth. By Weierstrass product formula for $\Gamma(s)$ (Part II, Theorem 0.6 of loc. cit.) we have

$$-\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = \gamma + \frac{1}{s} - \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\frac{s}{j(s+j)},$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Hence for any $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma \geqslant 0$ and $|t| \gg 1$ we have

$$\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} \ll_\sigma 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\frac{|s|}{j^2} \ll_\sigma |t|$$

and hence $\Gamma'(\frac{it+\iota}{2})/\Gamma(\frac{it+\iota}{2})$ has polynomial growth. Consequently, $\Lambda'(1+it,\chi)/\Lambda(1+it,\chi)$ has polynomial growth. Hence $m'(s,\mu)/m(s,\mu)$ has polynomial growth. This establishes (**??**). Hence (**??**) converges absolutely and (**??**) $\ll n^\varepsilon$.

Now we prove the estimate for (**??**). By the definition of f^n we have

$$(\textbf{??}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i}\sum_{v\in S}\sum_\mu \int_{(0)} \mathrm{Tr}\left(R_v(s,\mu_v)^{-1}R_v'(s,\mu_v)\,\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s,\mu_v)(f_v^n)\right)$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{w\in S\\ w\neq v}}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}(s,\mu_w)(f_w^n)\right)\prod_{p\notin S}\left(\sum_{u=0}^{n_p}\mu_{1,p}(p^u)\mu_{2,p}(p^{n_p-u})p^{(2u-n_p)s/2}\right)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Since the spectral side converges absolutely (see [?] for a proof for the case $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{GL}_n$), $J_{\mathrm{cont}}(f)$ converges absolutely. We have proved that (**??**) converges absolutely. Hence (**??**) converges absolutely for any n. In particular, by taking $n = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{v\in S}\sum_\mu\int_{(0)}\left|\mathrm{Tr}\left(R_v(s,\mu_v)^{-1}R_v'(s,\mu_v)\,\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s,\mu_v)(f_v)\right)\right|\prod_{\substack{w\in S\\ w\neq v}}\left|\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}(s,\mu_w)(f_w)\right)\right|\mathrm{d}|s| < +\infty.$$

Since each μ_v is unitary, we obtain

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \sum_{v\in S}\sum_\mu\int_{(0)}\left|\mathrm{Tr}\left(R_v(s,\mu_v)^{-1}R_v'(s,\mu_v)\,\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s,\mu_v)(f_v^n)\right)\right|$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{w\in S\\ w\neq v}}\left|\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}(s,\mu_w)(f_w^n)\right)\right|\prod_{p\notin S}\left(\sum_{u=0}^{n_p}|p^{(2u-n_p)s/2}|\right)\mathrm{d}|s|$$

$$\ll \sum_{v\in S}\sum_\mu\int_{(0)}\left|\mathrm{Tr}\left(R_v(s,\mu_v)^{-1}R_v'(s,\mu_v)\,\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(s,\mu_v)(f_v)\right)\right|$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{w\in S\\ w\neq v}}\left|\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{B}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}^{\mathsf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_w)}(s,\mu_w)(f_w)\right)\right|\prod_{p\notin S}(n_p+1)\mathrm{d}|s| \ll_{f_\infty,f_{q_i}} d(n) \ll_{f_\infty,f_{q_i},\varepsilon} n^\varepsilon.$$

Hence (**??**) $\ll_{f_\infty,f_{q_i},\varepsilon} n^\varepsilon$. Since $J_{\mathrm{cont}}(f^n)$ is the sum of (**??**) and (**??**) we obtain the desired result. $\qquad\square$

Combining the trace formula (**??**) with Propositions **??**, **??**, **??**, **??**, and **??**, we establish **??**.

4. Contribution of the elliptic part: Part 1

In the following two sections, we estimate the elliptic part and establish our main result. First, we recall the main theorem of [?]:

Theorem 4.1. *We have*

$$I_{\text{ell}}(f^n) = \sum_\mu \text{Tr}(\mu(f^n)) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_\mu \text{Tr}((\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f^n)) - \Sigma(\square) + \Sigma(0) + \Sigma(\xi \neq 0).$$

See [?, *Theorem 8.10*] *for the precise definitions of these terms.*

Combining **??** with **??** and **??**, we obtain

Corollary 4.2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$I_{\text{cusp}}(f^n) = -\Sigma(\square) + \Sigma(0) + \Sigma(\xi \neq 0) + O_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon}(n^\varepsilon).$$

REMARK 4.3. The main work of [?] was isolating the traces of 1-dimensional representations in the elliptic part of the trace formula. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_\mu \text{Tr}(\mu(f^n)) \asymp n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence we need to kill such term coming from the spectral side in the geometric side of the trace formula.

Now we set $\vartheta = 1/2$ in the formula of [?, Theorem 8.10].

4.1. Estimate of $\Sigma(0)$.

The term $\Sigma(0)$ decomposes into the following two terms:

$$
2\sqrt{n}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^r} q^{v/2}\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{y\in\mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} \theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y,\pm nq^v)\left[\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(-1)}\widetilde{F}(s)\frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+2)}\right.
$$
$$
\left. \times \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1-q_i^{-2s-2}}{1-q_i^{-s-2}}\prod_{p\notin S}\frac{1-p^{-(n_p+1)(s+1)}}{1-p^{-s-1}}\left(\frac{(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^v|_q'^{1/2}}\right)^{-s}ds\right]dxdy \tag{4.1}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{\pm}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^r}\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{y\in\mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} \frac{\theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y,\pm nq^v)}{\sqrt{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty|y^2\mp 4nq^v|_q'}}\left[\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\pi i}\int_{C_r}\widetilde{F}(s)\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})}\frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s+1)}\right.
$$
$$
\left. \times \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1-\epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1-\epsilon_i q_i^{-s}}\frac{1-q_i^{-2s}}{1-q_i^{-s-1}}\prod_{p\notin S}\frac{1-p^{-(n_p+1)s}}{1-p^{-s}}\left(\frac{\pi(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^v|_q'^{1/2}}\right)^{-s}ds\right]dxdy. \tag{4.2}
$$

Proposition 4.4. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

Proof: We have

$$
(\textbf{??}) \ll \sqrt{n}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^r} q^{v/2}\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{y\in\mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} |\theta_\infty^\pm(x)||\theta_q(y,\pm nq^v)|\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{(-1)}|\widetilde{F}(s)|\left|\frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+2)}\right|\right.
$$
$$
\left. \times \prod_{i=1}^r\left|\frac{1-q_i^{-2s-2}}{1-q_i^{-s-2}}\right|\prod_{p\notin S}\left|\sum_{u=0}^{n_p}p^{-u(s+1)}\right|\left|\left(\frac{(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^v|_q'^{1/2}}\right)^{-s}\right|d|s|\right]dxdy
$$
$$
\ll \sqrt{n}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^r} q^{v/2}\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{y\in\mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} |\theta_\infty^\pm(x)||\theta_q(y,\pm nq^v)|\left(\frac{(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^v|_q'^{1/2}}\right)dxdy
$$
$$
\times \int_{(-1)}|\widetilde{F}(s)|\left|\frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+2)}\right|\prod_{i=1}^r\left|\frac{1-q_i^{-2s-2}}{1-q_i^{-s-2}}\right|\prod_{p\notin S}(1+n_p)d|s|.
$$

Let $s = \sigma + \mathrm{i}t$. $\widetilde{F}(s)$ has rapid decay in t when σ is fixed by Proposition 3.7 of [?]. Moreover

$$\frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+2)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{1-q_i^{-2s-2}}{1-q_i^{-s-2}}$$

both has polynomial growth in t when σ is fixed. Indeed, $\zeta(s)$ has polynomial growth in t when σ is fixed by [?, Part II, §1.6]. Since $|q_i^{-s}|$ is constant when σ is fixed, $1 - q_i^{-s}$ has polynomial growth in t when σ is fixed. Thus so are the above two functions. Therefore

$$\int_{(-1)} |\widetilde{F}(s)| \left| \frac{\zeta(2s+2)}{\zeta(s+2)} \right| \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left| \frac{1-q_i^{-2s-2}}{1-q_i^{-s-2}} \right| \mathrm{d}|s| \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}} 1.$$

By the proof of **??**,

$$2\sqrt{n} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} q^{v/2} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\mathrm{fin}}}} |\theta_\infty^\pm(x)||\theta_q(y, \pm nq^v)| \left(\frac{(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2} |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q^{1/2}} \right) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$$

$$\ll \sum_{\pm} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\mathrm{fin}}}} \frac{|\theta_\infty^\pm(x)||\theta_q(y, \pm nq^v)|}{\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q'}} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}} 1.$$

Therefore

$$(??) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}} \prod_{p \notin S} (1 + n_p) = d(n) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon} n^\varepsilon. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 4.5. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(??) \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

Proof: First we recall the following fact: If $h(s)$ is a meromorphic function on the vertical strip $\sigma_1 < \sigma < \sigma_2$ such that $0 \in]\sigma_1, \sigma_2[$. Suppose that h has a simple pole at $s = 0$ and holomorphic otherwise, and for any $\sigma \in]\sigma_1, \sigma_2[$ and $\sigma \neq 0$, the integral $\int_{(\sigma)} h(s)\mathrm{d}s$ converges. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_v} h(s)\mathrm{d}s = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{res}_{s=0} h(s) + \mathrm{p.\,v.} \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{(0)} h(s)\mathrm{d}s,$$

where p. v. denotes the principal value. Also, recall that

$$\widetilde{F}(s) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})} \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1-\epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1-\epsilon_i q_i^{-s}} \frac{1-q_i^{-2s}}{1-q_i^{-s-1}}$$

is regular at $s = 0$ unless $\iota = 0$ and $\epsilon_i = 1$ for all i, and in this exceptional case, the function has a simple pole at $s = 0$ and the residue at $s = 0$ is $-2/\sqrt{\pi}$ [?, Theorem 6.1]. Hence we obtain

$$(??) = \sum_{\pm} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\mathrm{fin}}}} \frac{\theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y, \pm nq^v)}{\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q'}} \left[\mathrm{p.\,v.} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{(0)} \widetilde{F}(s) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})} \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s+1)} \right.$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1-\epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1-\epsilon_i q_i^{-s}} \frac{1-q_i^{-2s}}{1-q_i^{-s-1}} \prod_{p \notin S} \frac{1-p^{-(n_p+1)s}}{1-p^{-s}} \left. \left(\frac{\pi(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2} |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q^{1/2}} \right)^{-s} \mathrm{d}s \right] \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{\pm} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \int_{X_0} \int_{Y_1} \frac{\theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y, \pm nq^v)}{\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q'}} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y.$$

By [?, Theorem 8.8] and **??**,

$$\sum_{\pm} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \int_{X_0} \int_{Y_1} \frac{\theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y, \pm nq^v)}{\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q'}} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \ll \sum_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}((\xi_0 \otimes \mu)(f^n)) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon} n^\varepsilon.$$

The first term is bounded by

$$
\sum_{\pm} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} \frac{|\theta_\infty^\pm(x)||\theta_q(y, \pm nq^v)|}{\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q'}} \left[\text{p.v.} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\pi i} \int_{(0)} |\widetilde{F}(s)| \left| \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})} \right| \left| \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s+1)} \right| \right.
$$

$$
\times \prod_{i=1}^r \left| \frac{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s}} \frac{1 - q_i^{-2s}}{1 - q_i^{-s-1}} \right| \prod_{p \notin S} \left| \sum_{u=0}^{n_p} p^{-us} \right| \left| \left(\frac{\pi(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2} |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q^{1/2}} \right)^{-s} \right| d|s| \right] dx dy
$$

$$
\ll \sum_{\pm} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} \frac{|\theta_\infty^\pm(x)||\theta_q(y, \pm nq^v)|}{\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q'}} dx dy \prod_{p \notin S} (1 + n_p)
$$

$$
\times \left| \text{p.v.} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\pi i} \int_{(0)} \widetilde{F}(s) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})} \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s+1)} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s}} \frac{1 - q_i^{-2s}}{1 - q_i^{-s-1}} ds \right|.
$$

Observe that $\widetilde{F}(s)$ has rapid decay in t when σ is fixed, and

$$
\frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s+1)}, \qquad \frac{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s}}, \qquad \frac{1 - q_i^{-2s}}{1 - q_i^{-s-1}}
$$

all have polynomial growth in t. Now we prove that $\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})/\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})$ has polynomial growth in t. Indeed, it is the direct consequence of the Stirling formula [?, Chapter II.0] that

$$
\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})} \asymp |t|^{-1/2}
$$

for $|t| \gg 1$. Hence we obtain

$$
\left| \text{p.v.} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\pi i} \int_{(0)} \widetilde{F}(s) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\iota+1-s}{2})} \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s+1)} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{s-1}}{1 - \epsilon_i q_i^{-s}} \frac{1 - q_i^{-2s}}{1 - q_i^{-s-1}} ds \right| \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}} 1.
$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$
(\textbf{??}) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}} \prod_{p \notin S} (1 + n_p) = d(n) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon} n^\varepsilon. \qquad \square
$$

Combining **??** and **??** together, we obtain

Proposition 4.6. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$
\Sigma(0) \ll n^\varepsilon,
$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

4.2. Estimate of $\Sigma(\square)$. We have

$$
\Sigma(\square) = \sum_{\pm} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{Z}^S \\ T^2 \mp 4nq^v = \square}} \sum_{f^2 | T^2 \mp 4nq^v} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{kf} \left(\frac{(T^2 \mp 4nq^v)/f^2}{k} \right) \theta_\infty^\pm \left(\frac{T}{2n^{1/2} q^{v/2}} \right)
$$

$$
\times \prod_{i=1}^r \theta_{q_i}(T, \pm nq^v) \left[F\left(\frac{kf^2}{|T^2 \mp 4nq^v|_{\infty,q}^{1/2}} \right) + \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{|T^2 \mp 4nq^v|_{\infty,q}'}} V\left(\frac{kf^2}{|T^2 \mp 4nq^v|_{\infty,q}^{1/2}} \right) \right],
$$

(4.3)

which comes from the complement of the approximate functional equation of the non-square terms. It suggests that we can use the approximate functional equation in the reverse direction.

Note that when $T^2 \mp 4nq^v = 0$, then the contribution to $\Sigma(\square)$ is 0. Hence we only need to consider the summation over $T \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ such that $T^2 \mp 4nq^v = \square$ and $T^2 \mp 4nq^v \neq 0$.

The definition of the partial Zagier L-function naturally extends to $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ that is a square and is nonzero. Write $\delta = \sigma^2$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^S(s, \delta) &= \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 - q_i^{-s}\right) \sum_{f | \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f^{2s-1}} L\left(s, \left(\frac{(\sigma^{(q)}/f)^2}{\cdot}\right)\right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 - q_i^{-s}\right) \sum_{f | \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f^{2s-1}} \prod_{\ell | \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} \left(1 - \ell^{-s}\right) \zeta(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 - q_i^{-s}\right) \sum_{f | \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f^{2s-1}} \sum_{k | \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^s} \zeta(s),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}
$$

which is an entire function times $\zeta(s)$, where $\mu(k)$ denotes the Möbius function. Hence it is regular at $s \neq 1$ and has a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue

$$
\operatorname{res}_{s=1} L^S(s, \delta) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{f | \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f} \prod_{\ell | \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} (1 - \ell^{-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 - q_i^{-s}\right) \sum_{f | \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k | \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k}.
$$

By **??**, we obtain

Theorem 4.7. *We have*

$$
L^S(s, \delta) = \left(\frac{|\delta|'_{\infty,q}}{\pi}\right)^{1/2-s} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1 - q_i^{-s}}{1 - q_i^{s-1}} \frac{\Gamma((1-s)/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)} L^S(1 - s, \delta).
\tag{4.5}
$$

Moreover, we establish the following approximate functional equation for $L^S(s, \delta)$.

Theorem 4.8. *For any $A > 0$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{res}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) L^S(1 + s, \delta) A^s &= \sum_{f^2 | \delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{kf} \left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{k}\right) F\left(\frac{kf^2}{A}\right) \\
&+ \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{|\delta|'_{\infty,q}}} \sum_{f^2 | \delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{kf} \left(\frac{\delta/f^2}{k}\right) V_{0,1}\left(\frac{kf^2 A}{|\delta|'_{\infty,q}}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.6}
$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1) \in \{0, \pm1\}^r$.

Proof: The proof is just a slight modification of the original proof of **??**. The only difference is that we want to move the contour integral with integrand $\widetilde{F}(u) L^S(1 + u, \delta) A^u$, which only has a double pole at $u = 0$. $\qquad\square$

By (**??**) with $A = |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{'1/2}$, we obtain

Corollary 4.9. *We have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma(\square) &= \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{Z}^S \\ T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu = \square \\ T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu \neq 0}} \theta_\infty^\pm\left(\frac{T}{2n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \theta_{q_i}(T, \pm nq^\nu) \\
&\times \operatorname{res}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) L^S(1 + s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{'s/2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.7}
$$

Now we give the estimate of $\Sigma(\square)$. First we prove the following lemma for arithmetic functions.

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that n is a positive integer. Let $\mu(n)$ be the Möbius function and $\Lambda(n)$ be the von Mangoldt function.*

(1) We have

$$
\sum_{f | n} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k | \frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = 1.
$$

(2) *We have*

$$\sum_{f|n} \frac{\log f}{f} \sum_{k|\frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d} \qquad and \qquad \sum_{f|n} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k|\frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)\log k}{k} = -\sum_{d|n} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d}.$$

Proof: (1) This is because

$$\sum_{f|n} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k|\frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = \sum_{kf|n} \frac{\mu(k)}{kf} = \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k|d} \mu(k) = 1.$$

(2) We begin by proving the first equality. We have

$$\sum_{k|\frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = \prod_{\ell|\frac{n}{f}} (1 - \ell^{-1}) = \frac{\phi(n/f)}{n/f},$$

where ϕ denotes the Euler totient function. Hence

$$\sum_{f|n} \frac{\log f}{f} \sum_{k|\frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{f|n} \log f \, \phi\left(\frac{n}{f}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{f|n} \sum_{d|f} \Lambda(d) \phi\left(\frac{n}{f}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d) \sum_{f|\frac{n}{d}} \phi(f) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d}.$$

The second equality follows from

$$\sum_{f|n} \frac{\log f}{f} \sum_{k|\frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} + \sum_{f|n} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k|\frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)\log k}{k} = \sum_{f|n} \sum_{k|\frac{n}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)\log(kf)}{kf} = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\log d}{d} \sum_{k|d} \mu(k) = 0. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 4.11. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\Sigma(\square) \ll n^\varepsilon,$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

Proof: Since the sum over ν is finite (independent of n) by the proof of [**?**, Theorem 2.13], it suffices to show that for fixed $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and sign $\pm$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{Z}^S \\ T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu = \square \\ T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu \neq 0}} \theta_\infty^\pm\left(\frac{T}{2n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^r \theta_{q_i}(T, \pm nq^\nu) \, \mathrm{res}_{s=0} \, \widetilde{F}(s) L^S(1+s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{\prime s/2} \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon} n^\varepsilon.$$

Assume $T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu = \sigma^2$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^S$. Then $(T + \sigma)(T - \sigma) = \pm 4nq^\nu$. Hence there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}$ with $\alpha + \beta = \nu$ and $n = n_1 n_2$, such that $T + \sigma = \pm 2n_1 q^\alpha$ and $T - \sigma = \pm 2n_2 q^\beta$.

$$T = \pm(n_1 q^\alpha \pm n_2 q^\beta) \qquad and \qquad \sigma = \pm(n_1 p q^\alpha \mp n_2 q^\beta).$$

Now we fix such n_1 and n_2. Note that the number of such pair (n_1, n_2) is $d(n)$, which is $\ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there exists $M_i > 0$ such that $\theta_{q_i}(T, \pm nq^\nu) = 0$ if $v_{q_i}(T) < -M_i$. Since

$$v_{q_i}(T) = v_{q_i}(n_1 q^\alpha \pm n_2 q^\beta) \geq \min\{v_{q_i}(n_1 q^\alpha), v_{q_i}(n_2 q^\beta)\} = \min\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\},$$

we must have $\alpha_i, \beta_i > -M_i$ if T contributes to this sum. Since $\alpha_i + \beta_i = \nu_i$ is fixed, α_i and β_i have finitely many choices. Since this holds for all i, α and β have finitely many choices.

By above, we know that it suffices to show that for any fixed α and β with $\alpha + \beta = \nu$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}$ with $n = n_1 n_2$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\theta_\infty^\pm\left(\frac{T}{2n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^r \theta_{q_i}(T, \pm nq^\nu) \, \mathrm{res}_{s=0} \, \widetilde{F}(s) L^S(1+s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{\prime s/2} \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon} n^\varepsilon.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume $T = n_1 q^\alpha \pm n_2 q^\beta$ so that $\sigma^2 = T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu = (n_1 q^\alpha \mp n_2 q^\beta)^2$. Clearly we have

$$\theta_\infty^\pm \left(\frac{T}{2n^{1/2} q^{\nu/2}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^r \theta_{q_i}(T, \pm nq^\nu) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}} 1.$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) L^S(1+s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{\prime s/2} \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon.$$

Since $\widetilde{F}(s)$ is an odd function, we have $\operatorname{f.p.}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) = 0$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) L^S(1+s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{\prime s/2}$$

$$= \operatorname{f.p.}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) \operatorname{res}_{s=1} L^S(s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) + \operatorname{res}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) \operatorname{f.p.}_{s=1} L^S(s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu)$$

$$+ \operatorname{res}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) \operatorname{res}_{s=1} L^S(s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) (|T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{\prime s/2})'|_{s=0}$$

$$\ll |\operatorname{res}_{s=1} L^S(s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu)| \log |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}' + |\operatorname{f.p.}_{s=1} L^S(s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu)|.$$

For $T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu = \delta = \sigma^2$, by **(??)** we have

$$L^S(s, \delta) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - q_i^{-s}\right) \zeta(s) r(s),$$

where

$$r(s) = \sum_{f \mid \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f^{2s-1}} \prod_{\ell \mid \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} (1 - \ell^{-s}) = \sum_{f \mid \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f^{2s-1}} \sum_{k \mid \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^s}.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=1} L^S(s, \delta) \ll_q \operatorname{res}_{s=1} \zeta(s) r(1) = \sum_{f \mid \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k \mid \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = 1$$

and

$$\operatorname{f.p.}_{s=1} L^S(s, \delta) \ll_q |\operatorname{f.p.}_{s=1} \zeta(s) r(1)| + |r'(1)|$$

$$\ll \left| \sum_{f \mid \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k \mid \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \right| + \left| \sum_{f \mid \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{2 \log f}{f} \sum_{k \mid \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} + \sum_{f \mid \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k \mid \frac{\sigma^{(q)}}{f}} \frac{\mu(k) \log k}{k} \right|$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{d \mid \sigma^{(q)}} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d} \ll 1 + \sum_{d \mid \sigma^{(q)}} \Lambda(d) \ll \log |\sigma^{(q)}|$$

by **??**.

Since $|T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}' = |\sigma^{(q)}|^2$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) L^S(1+s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{\prime s/2} \ll_q 2 \log |\sigma^{(q)}| + \log |\sigma^{(q)}| \ll \log |\sigma^{(q)}|.$$

Finally we have $\sigma^{(q)} = \sigma/q^\gamma$, where $q^\gamma = \sigma_{(q)}$. Thus

$$\gamma_i = v_{q_i}(\sigma) = v_{q_i}(n_1 q^\alpha \mp n_2 q^\beta) \geqslant \min\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}.$$

Hence

$$|\sigma^{(q)}| = |\sigma|/q^\gamma \leqslant |\sigma|/q^{\min\{\alpha,\beta\}} \ll |\sigma| = |n_1 q^\alpha \mp n_2 q^\beta| \ll_q n.$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=0} \widetilde{F}(s) L^S(1+s, T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu) |T^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_{\infty,q}^{\prime s/2} \ll \log |\sigma^{(q)}| \ll \log n \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon. \qquad \square$$

Combining **??**, **??** and **??** yields

Corollary 4.12. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$I_{\text{cusp}}(f^n) = \Sigma(\xi \neq 0) + O_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon}(n^\varepsilon).$$

5. Contribution of the elliptic part: Part 2

The main goal of this section is to prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\Sigma(\xi \neq 0) \ll_{f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \varepsilon} n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}.$$

Recall that

$$\Sigma(\xi \neq 0) = 2\sqrt{n} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r} q^{\nu/2} \sum_{k,f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{k^2 f^3} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S - \{0\}} \text{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi, \pm nq^\nu)$$

$$\times \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} \theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y, \pm nq^\nu) \left[F\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_q^{\prime 1/2}} \right) + \frac{kf^2 n^{-1/2}q^{-\nu/2}}{2\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty}|y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_q^\prime} \right.$$

$$\left. \times V\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_q^{\prime 1/2}} \right) \right] e\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}{kf^2} \right) e_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2} \right) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y.$$

Since the sum over ν is finite, it suffices to show that for fixed sign $\pm$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, the summand is bounded by $n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$. In other words, it suffices to prove that the following is bounded by $n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$:

$$\sqrt{n} \sum_{k,f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{k^2 f^3} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S - \{0\}} \text{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi, \pm nq^\nu) \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} \theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y, \pm nq^\nu)$$

$$\times \left[F\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_q^{\prime 1/2}} \right) + \frac{kf^2 n^{-1/2}q^{-\nu/2}}{2\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty}|y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_q^\prime} V\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_q^{\prime 1/2}} \right) \right] \quad (5.1)$$

$$\times e\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}{kf^2} \right) e_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2} \right) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y.$$

Establishing the bound of $\Sigma(\xi \neq 0)$ involves technical complexities. To enhance readability, we outline the proof strategy here, with full details developed in this section and the appendices. The proof proceeds in three steps:

First, we analyze the Fourier transform in (**??**), which exhibits *singularities* (using results from **??**). This requires deriving *uniform* bounds in parameters ξ, k, f, n, with the nonarchimedean and the global theory developed in **??** and archimedean case following [?, Appendix A]. The main result is **??**.

Next, we establish estimates for generalized Kloosterman sums in **??** (extending methods from [?, Appendix B]), with key results in **??**.

Finally, we directly bound the simplified expression to obtain the desired bound by using the estimate of certain "heights" on the ring of S-integers (**??** and **??**). The main results are **??** and **??**.

Readers familiar with [?, Section 4.2 and Appendices A and B] will recognize numerous similarities in our proof.

5.1. Estimate of the Fourier transform.
(**??**) can be separated into the following two terms

$$\sum_{k,f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}} \frac{1}{k^2 f^3} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S - \{0\}} \text{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi, \pm nq^\nu) \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{Q}_{S_{\text{fin}}}} \theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y, \pm nq^\nu)$$

$$\times F\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2 \mp 4nq^\nu|_q^{\prime 1/2}} \right) e\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}{kf^2} \right) e_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2} \right) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$$

(5.2)

and

$$\sum_{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}}\frac{1}{k^2f^3}\sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\}}\mathrm{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi,\pm nq^\nu)\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{y\in\mathbb{Q}_{S_{\mathrm{fin}}}}\theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y,\pm nq^\nu)\frac{kf^2n^{-1/2}q^{-\nu/2}}{2\sqrt{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty|y^2\mp 4nq^\nu|_q'}}$$

$$\times V\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^\nu|_q'^{1/2}}\right)\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y. \tag{5.3}$$

For $\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S$ and $a\in\mathbb{R}$, we define

$$[\![a\star\xi]\!]=(1+|a\xi|_\infty)\prod_{i=1}^r(1+|\xi|_{q_i}),$$

which is $\asymp|a\xi|$ if $\xi\in\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$ and $a\gg 1$. Note that if $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}$, then $[\![a\star\xi]\!]=[\![1\star a\xi]\!]$.

For $k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}$, we consider the following two terms separately:

$$\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{y\in\mathbb{Q}_{S_{\mathrm{fin}}}}\theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y,\pm nq^\nu)F\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^\nu|_q'^{1/2}}\right)\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y. \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{y\in\mathbb{Q}_{S_{\mathrm{fin}}}}\theta_\infty^\pm(x)\theta_q(y,\pm nq^\nu)\frac{kf^2n^{-1/2}q^{-\nu/2}}{2\sqrt{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty|y^2\mp 4nq^\nu|_q'}}$$

$$\times V\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^\nu|_q'^{1/2}}\right)\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y. \tag{5.5}$$

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that*

$$\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\gg 1.$$

Then for any $M>0$, we have

$$(\text{??})\ll\left(\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{-M}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-2}\frac{n}{(kf^2)^2},$$

where the implied constant only depends on $M,f_\infty,f_{q_i},\pm$ and ν.

Proof: Fix $\iota\in\{0,1\}$ and $\epsilon_i\in\{0,\pm 1\}$. $\widetilde{F}(s)$ and $\theta_{\infty,\sigma},\theta_{q_i,\tau_i}$ satisfy the properties in **??** by **??** and the results in **??**. Hence for any M_1 and $\sigma,\tau_i\in\{0,1\}$, we have

$$\int_{X_\iota}\int_{Y_\epsilon}\theta_{\infty,\sigma}^\pm(x)\theta_{q,\tau}(y,\pm nq^\nu)F\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^\nu|_q'^{1/2}}\right)\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$$

$$\ll\left[\frac{(kf^2)^2}{4nq^\nu}\left(1+\left|\frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^\nu}}{kf^2}\right|\right)\prod_{i=1}^r\left(1+\left|\frac{\xi}{kf^2}\right|_{q_i}\right)\right]^{-M_1}\left(1+\left|\frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^\nu}}{kf^2}\right|\right)^{-1-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^r\left(1+\left|\frac{\xi}{kf^2}\right|_{q_i}\right)^{-1-\frac{\tau_i}{2}}$$

$$\ll\left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\right)^{-M_1}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-1}.$$

Letting $M_1=1+M$, the estimate is reduced to

$$\int_{X_\iota}\int_{Y_\epsilon}\theta_{\infty,\sigma}^\pm(x)\theta_{q,\tau}(y,\pm nq^\nu)F\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^\nu)^{-1/2}}{|x^2\mp 1|_\infty^{1/2}|y^2\mp 4nq^\nu|_q'^{1/2}}\right)\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{\nu/2}}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$$

$$\ll\left(\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{-M}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-2}\frac{n}{(kf^2)^2}.$$

Now we sum over all $\iota,\epsilon_i,\sigma,\tau_i$, and get the desired conclusion. $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that*

$$\left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket \frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \ll 1.$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$(\mathbf{??}) \ll \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket \right)^{-\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant only depends on ε, f_∞, f_{q_i}, $\pm$ and v.

Proof: Using the notations as above. We want to estimate

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{Y_\epsilon} \theta^{\pm}_{\infty,\sigma}(x) \theta_{q,\tau}(y, \pm nq^v) F\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty^{1/2} |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|_q^{/1/2}} \right) e\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2} q^{v/2}}{kf^2} \right) e_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2} \right) dx dy.$$

<u>Case 1:</u> $\sigma = 1$. $\widetilde{F}(s)$ and $\theta_{\infty,\sigma}$, θ_{q_i,τ_i} satisfy the properties in **??** by **??** and the results in **??**. Hence the integral above can be bounded by

$$\left(1 + \left| \frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^v}}{kf^2} \right| \right)^{-1-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \left| \frac{\xi}{kf^2} \right|_{q_i} \right)^{-1-\frac{\tau_i}{2}} \ll \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket^{-1} \left(1 + \left| \frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^v}}{kf^2} \right| \right)^{-1}$$

$$\ll \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \right)^{-1}.$$

<u>Case 2:</u> $\sigma = 0$. $\widetilde{F}(s)$ and $\theta_{\infty,\sigma}$, θ_{q_i,τ_i} satisfy the properties in the last statement of **??** by **??** and the results in **??**. Hence the integral above can be bounded by

$$\left[\left| \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{4nq^v}} \right|^{2-2\varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \left| \frac{\xi}{kf^2} \right|_{q_i} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} + \left(1 + \left| \frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^v}}{kf^2} \right| \right)^{-2} \right] \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \left| \frac{\xi}{kf^2} \right|_{q_i} \right)^{-1-\frac{\tau_i}{2}}$$

$$\ll \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \right)^{-2+2\varepsilon} + \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \right)^{-1} \ll \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \right)^{-1} \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket \right)^{-\varepsilon},$$

since

$$\frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \ll \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

by assumption. The conclusion now follows by summing over σ and τ_i. $\qquad\square$

By **??** and **??** we obtain

Corollary 5.3. *For any $M, \varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(\mathbf{??}) \ll \sum_{\substack{k,f \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}_{(S)}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S - \{0\} \\ \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket \frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \gg 1}} \frac{1}{k^2 f^3} |\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi, \pm nq^v)| \left(\left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket \frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \right)^{-M} \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket^{-2} \frac{n}{(kf^2)^2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{k,f \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}_{(S)}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S - \{0\} \\ \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket \frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \ll 1}} \frac{1}{k^2 f^3} |\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi, \pm nq^v)| \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket \right)^{-\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant only depends on M, ε, f_∞, f_{q_i}, $\pm$ and v.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that $k, f \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}_{(S)}$ such that*

$$\left\llbracket \frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right\rrbracket \frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \gg 1.$$

Then for any $M > 0$, we have

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \right)^{-M} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right]^{-2} \frac{n}{(kf^2)^2},$$

where the implied constant only depends on M, f_∞, f_{q_i}, $\pm$ and v.

Proof: Fix $\iota \in \{0,1\}$, $\epsilon_i \in \{0,\pm 1\}$, and $\sigma, \tau_i \in \{0,1\}$. We consider the following integral

$$
kf^2 \int_{X_\iota} \int_{Y_\epsilon} \frac{\theta^{\pm}_{\infty,\sigma}(x)\theta_{q,\tau}(y,\pm nq^v)n^{-1/2}q^{-v/2}}{2\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|'_q}} V_{\iota,\epsilon}\left(\frac{kf^2(4nq^v)^{-1/2}}{|x^2 \mp 1|^{1/2}_\infty |y^2 \mp 4nq^v|'^{1/2}_q} \right)
$$
$$
\times \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{-2x\xi n^{1/2}q^{v/2}}{kf^2} \right) \mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{-y\xi}{kf^2} \right) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y. \tag{5.6}
$$

By **??** for $\Phi = V_{\iota,\epsilon}$ and

$$
\varphi(x) = \frac{\theta^{\pm}_{\infty,\sigma}(x)}{\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_i(y_i) = \frac{\theta_{q_i,\tau_i}(y_i, \pm nq^v)}{\sqrt{|y_i^2 \mp 4nq^v|'_{q_i}}}
$$

(which is valid by **??** and the results in **??**), we know that for any M_1 and $\sigma, \tau_i \in \{0,1\}$, (**??**) is bounded by

$$
\frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\frac{(kf^2)^2}{4nq^v} \left(1 + \left| \frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^v}}{kf^2} \right| \right) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 + \left| \frac{\xi}{kf^2} \right|_{q_i} \right) \right]^{-M_1} \left(1 + \left| \frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^v}}{kf^2} \right| \right)^{-1 - \frac{\sigma-1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 + \left| \frac{\xi}{kf^2} \right|_{q_i} \right)^{-1 - \frac{\tau_i - 1}{2}}
$$

$$
\ll \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\frac{(kf^2)^2}{4nq^v} \left(1 + \left| \frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^v}}{kf^2} \right| \right) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 + \left| \frac{\xi}{kf^2} \right|_{q_i} \right) \right]^{-M_1} \left(1 + \left| \frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^v}}{kf^2} \right| \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 + \left| \frac{\xi}{kf^2} \right|_{q_i} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\ll \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \right)^{-M_1} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Let $M_1 = 3/2 + M$, we obtain

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \right)^{-M} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right]^{-2} \frac{n}{(kf^2)^2}.$$

Now by summing over all ι, ϵ_i, σ, τ_i we get the desired result. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that $k, f \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}_{(S)}$ such that*

$$
\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \ll 1.
$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \right)^{-\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant only depends on ε, f_∞, f_{q_i}, $\pm$ and v.

Proof: Fix $\iota \in \{0,1\}$, $\epsilon_i \in \{0,\pm 1\}$, and $\sigma, \tau_i \in \{0,1\}$. By **??** for $\Phi = V_{\iota,\epsilon}$, and

$$
\varphi(x) = \frac{\theta^{\pm}_{\infty,\sigma}(x)}{\sqrt{|x^2 \mp 1|_\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_i(y_i) = \frac{\theta_{q_i,\tau_i}(y_i, \pm nq^v)}{\sqrt{|y_i^2 \mp 4nq^v|'_{q_i}}}
$$

(which is valid by **??** and the results in **??**), we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma, \tau_i \in \{0, 1\}$, **(??)** is bounded by

$$\frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\frac{(kf^2)^2}{4nq^\nu} \left(1 + \left|\frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^\nu}}{kf^2}\right|\right) \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \left|\frac{\xi}{kf^2}\right|_{q_i}\right) \right]^{-\varepsilon} \left(1 + \left|\frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^\nu}}{kf^2}\right|\right)^{-1-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \left|\frac{\xi}{kf^2}\right|_{q_i}\right)^{-1-\frac{\tau_i-1}{2}}$$

$$\ll \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\frac{(kf^2)^2}{4nq^\nu} \left(1 + \left|\frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^\nu}}{kf^2}\right|\right) \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \left|\frac{\xi}{kf^2}\right|_{q_i}\right) \right]^{-\varepsilon} \left(1 + \left|\frac{2\xi\sqrt{nq^\nu}}{kf^2}\right|\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \left|\frac{\xi}{kf^2}\right|_{q_i}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi\right]\!\!\right] \right)^{-\varepsilon} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2} \star \xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Summing over all $\iota, \epsilon_i, \sigma, \tau_i$ yields the desired conclusion. $\qquad\square$

By **??** and **??** we obtain

Corollary 5.6. *For any $M > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\textbf{(??)} \ll \sum_{\substack{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},\ \xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\gg 1}} \frac{|\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi,\pm nq^\nu)|}{k^2 f^3} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\right)^{-M} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-2} \frac{n}{(kf^2)^2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},\ \xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\ll 1}} \frac{|\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi,\pm nq^\nu)|}{k^2 f^3} \frac{kf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\right)^{-\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant only depends on $M, \varepsilon, f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \pm$ and ν.

Finally, we conclude that

Corollary 5.7. *For any $M > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\textbf{(??)} \ll \sqrt{n} \sum_{\substack{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},\ \xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\gg 1}} \frac{|\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi,\pm nq^\nu)|}{k^2 f^3} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\right)^{-M} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-2} \frac{n}{(kf^2)^2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},\ \xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\ll 1}} \frac{|\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi,\pm nq^\nu)|}{kf} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\right)^{-\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant only depends on $M, \varepsilon, f_\infty, f_{q_i}, \pm$ and ν.

Proof: The conclusion follows from **??**, **??** and that **(??)** $\ll n^{1/2}((\textbf{??}) + (\textbf{??}))$. $\qquad\square$

5.2. Estimate of the Kloosterman sum part. Form the previous subsection we know that **(??)** is bounded by the sum of the following two terms

$$\sqrt{n} \sum_{\substack{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},\ \xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\gg 1}} \frac{|\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi,\pm nq^\nu)|}{k^2 f^3} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\right)^{-M} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-2} \frac{n}{(kf^2)^2}, \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},\ \xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\ll 1}} \frac{|\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi,\pm nq^\nu)|}{kf} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(kf^2)^2}{n}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{kf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\right)^{-\varepsilon}. \tag{5.8}$$

Lemma 5.8. *Let $k, f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S - \{0\}$. Let $F(k,f,\xi)$ be a nonnegative function. Let $\mathfrak{C}(k,f,\xi)$ be a set of conditions, each of which is of the form $C(k,f,\xi) \ll 1$ or $C(k,f,\xi) \gg 1$ for some nonnegative function C. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0},\,\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \mathfrak{C}(k,f,\xi)}} F(k,f,\xi)\mathrm{Kl}_{k^{(q)},f^{(q)}}^S(\xi,\pm nq^v) \ll_\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{d^2|n,a,k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0},\,\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \mathfrak{C}(ak,df,ad\xi)}} (ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon adk^{1/2}F(ak,df,ad\xi).$$

Proof: By **??** we have

$$\mathrm{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi,\pm nq^v)$$

$$\ll_\varepsilon \delta(\pm 4nq^v;(f^{(q)})^2)\begin{cases} (kf^2)^\varepsilon\sqrt{k\gcd(n,f^2)}\sqrt{\gcd\left(\dfrac{\xi^{(q)}}{\sqrt{\gcd(n,f^2)}},k\right)}, & \dfrac{k\sqrt{\gcd(n,f^2)}}{\operatorname{rad}k}\mid\xi^{(q)}, \\ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $\mathrm{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi,\pm nq^v) \neq 0$, then $x^2 \equiv \pm 4nq^v\ (f^2)$ has solutions. Thus $\gcd(n,f^2)$ must be a square. Write $d = \sqrt{\gcd(n,f^2)}$. Then we obtain

$$\mathrm{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi,\pm nq^v) \ll_\varepsilon \begin{cases} (kf^2)^\varepsilon dk^{1/2}\sqrt{\gcd(\xi^{(q)}/d,k)}, & \dfrac{dk}{\operatorname{rad}k}\mid\xi^{(q)}, \\ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi,\pm nq^v) \ll_\varepsilon (kf^2)^\varepsilon dk^{1/2}\sqrt{\gcd(\xi^{(q)}/d,k)}.$$

Let $a = \gcd(\xi^{(q)}/d,k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}$. By making change of variable $k \mapsto ak$, $f \mapsto df$ and $\xi \mapsto ad\xi$ we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0},\,\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \mathfrak{C}(k,f,\xi)}} F(k,f,\xi)\mathrm{Kl}_{k,f}^S(\xi,\pm nq^v)$$

$$\ll_\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{d^2|n,k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0},\,\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \mathfrak{C}(k,df,d\xi)}} F(k,df,d\xi)(kd^2f^2)^\varepsilon dk^{1/2}\sqrt{\gcd(\xi^{(q)}/d,k)}$$

$$\ll_\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{d^2|n,a,k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0},\,\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \mathfrak{C}(ak,df,ad\xi)}} (ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon adk^{1/2}F(ak,df,ad\xi). \qquad \square$$

First we prove that $(\textbf{??}) \ll n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$. We need the following lemmas:

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose that $M > 1$.*
(1) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\}} \llbracket a \star \xi \rrbracket^{-M} \ll_\varepsilon |a|^{-M+\varepsilon}.$$

(2) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{\llbracket a\star\xi\rrbracket\gg b} \llbracket a \star \xi \rrbracket^{-M} \ll_\varepsilon |a|^{-1}|b|^{-M+1+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof: (1) follows from

$$\sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\}} \llbracket a \star \xi \rrbracket^{-M} \ll_\varepsilon \sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\}} (1+|a\xi|_\infty)^{-M+\varepsilon}\prod_{i=1}^r(1+|\xi|_{q_i})^{-M}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{I\subseteq\{1,...,r\} \\ c_i\in\mathbb{Z}_{<0}\,i\in I \\ c_j\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}\,j\notin I}}\sum_{\substack{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ v_{q_i}(\xi)=c_i}} (1+|a\xi|_\infty)^{-M+\varepsilon}\prod_{i=1}^r(1+|\xi|_{q_i})^{-M}$$

29

$$\ll_\varepsilon \sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\dots,r\}} \prod_{i\in I} \sum_{\substack{c_i=-\infty \\ i\in I}}^{-1} \sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}} (|a\xi|q_1^{c_1}\dots q_r^{c_n})^{-M+\varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{r}(1+q_i^{-c_i})^{-M}$$

$$\ll_\varepsilon \sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}} |a\xi|^{-M+\varepsilon} \sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\dots,r\}} \prod_{i\in I} \sum_{\substack{c_i=-\infty \\ i\in I}}^{-1} \frac{q_i^{c_i(M-\varepsilon)}}{(1+q_i^{c_i})^M} \ll_\varepsilon |a|^{-M+\varepsilon},$$

and (2) follows from

$$\sum_{[\![a\star\xi]\!]\gg b} [\![a\star\xi]\!]^{-M} \ll \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{\leqslant 0}^r} \sum_{\substack{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}-\{0\} \\ [\![a\star q^u\xi]\!]\gg b}} (1+|aq^u\xi|)^{-M}\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1+q_i^{-u_i})^{-M}$$

$$\ll \left(\sum_{q^{-u}\ll b}\sum_{|\xi|\gg\frac{1}{|aq^u|}\frac{b}{q^{-u}}} + \sum_{q^{-u}\gg b}\sum_{|\xi|\geqslant 1}\right)(1+|aq^u\xi|)^{-M}\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1+q_i^{-u_i})^{-M}$$

$$\ll \sum_{q^{-u}\ll b} |aq^u|^{-1}|bq^u|^{-M+1}|q^{-u}|^{-M} + \sum_{q^{-u}\gg b} |aq^u|^{-1-\varepsilon}\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1+q_i^{-u_i})^{-M}$$

$$\ll |a|^{-1}|b|^{-M+1}\log^r|b| + |a|^{-1-\varepsilon}\sum_{q^{-u}\gg b}(q^u)^{M-1-\varepsilon} \ll_\varepsilon |a|^{-1}|b|^{-M+1+\varepsilon}. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 5.10. *For M sufficiently large and any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\text{(??)} \ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}.$$

The implied constant only depends on M, ε, f_∞, f_{q_i}, $\pm$ and v.

Proof: Since $[\![a\star\xi]\!] = [\![1\star a\xi]\!]$ for $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}$, we have

$$\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{ad^2kf^2}\star ad\xi\right]\!\!\right] = \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]$$

for $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}$ and $d^2\mid n$. Thus by **??**, **(??)** is bounded by

$$\sqrt{n}\sum_{\substack{d^2\mid n \\ a,k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0},\, \xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \left[\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\right]\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\gg 1}} \frac{(ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon adk^{1/2}}{d^3f^3a^2k^2}\left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]\right)^{-M}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-2}\frac{n}{(ad^2kf^2)^2}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. We decompose the above sum as

$$\sum_{\substack{a,k,f\in\mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0},\, \xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\} \\ \left[\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\right]\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\gg 1}} = \sum_{\substack{a^2\gg\sqrt{n} \\ k,f,\xi}} + \sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n} \\ f^2\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2} \\ k,\xi}} + \sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n} \\ f^2\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2} \\ k\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2} \\ \xi}} + \sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n} \\ f^2\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2} \\ k\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2} \\ \left[\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\right]\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\gg 1}}$$

and bound these four terms separately.

For the first term, by **??** (1) we have

$$\sqrt{n}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{\substack{a^2\gg\sqrt{n} \\ k,f,\xi}}\cdots \ll \sqrt{n}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{\substack{a^2\gg\sqrt{n} \\ k,f}}\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon adk^{1/2}}{a^2d^3k^2f^3}\left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{-M-1}\sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^S-\{0\}}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\!\right]^{-M-2}$$

$$\ll \sqrt{n}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{\substack{a^2\gg\sqrt{n} \\ k,f}}\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon}{ad^2k^{3/2}f^3}\left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{-M-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\right)^{-M-2+\varepsilon}$$

$$=n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{a^2\gg\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{a^{3+2M-\varepsilon}d^{4+3M-\varepsilon}}\sum_{k,f}\frac{1}{k^{3/2+M}f^{3+2M}}$$

$$\ll n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}\sum_{a^2\gg\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{a^{3+2M-\varepsilon}}\ll n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}(n^{\frac{1}{4}})^{-2-2M+\varepsilon}\ll n^{\varepsilon}.$$

For the second term we have

$$\sqrt{n}\sum_{\substack{d^2\mid n}}\sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}\\ f^2\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}\\ k,\xi}}\cdots\ll\sqrt{n}\sum_{\substack{d^2\mid n}}\sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}\\ f^2\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}\\ k}}\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^{\varepsilon}adk^{1/2}}{a^2d^3k^2f^3}\left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{-M-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\right)^{-M-2+\varepsilon}$$

$$\ll n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{a^{3+2M-\varepsilon}d^{4+3M-\varepsilon}}\sum_{f\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}}\frac{1}{f^{3+2M}}\sum_{k}\frac{1}{k^{3/2+M}}$$

$$\ll n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}\sum_{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{a^{3+2M-\varepsilon}}\sum_{f\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}}\frac{1}{f^{3+2M}}\ll n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}\sum_{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{a^{3+2M-\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}\right)^{-2-2M}$$

$$\ll n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}(n^{\frac{1}{4}})^{-2-2M+\varepsilon}\ll n^{\varepsilon}.$$

For the third term we have

$$\sqrt{n}\sum_{\substack{d^2\mid n}}\sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}\\ f^2\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}\\ k\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2}\\ \xi}}\cdots\ll\sqrt{n}\sum_{\substack{d^2\mid n}}\sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}\\ f^2\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}\\ k\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2}}}\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^{\varepsilon}adk^{1/2}}{a^2d^3k^2f^3}\left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{-M-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\right)^{-M-2+\varepsilon}$$

$$\ll n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{a^{3+2M-\varepsilon}d^{4+3M-\varepsilon}}\sum_{f\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}}\frac{1}{f^{3+2M}}\sum_{k\gg\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2}}\frac{1}{k^{3/2+M}}$$

$$\ll n^{\frac{M+1+\varepsilon}{2}}\sum_{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{a^{3+2M-\varepsilon}}\sum_{f\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}}\frac{1}{f^{3+2M}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}-M}\ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}\sum_{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{a^{2-3\varepsilon}}\sum_{f\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}}\frac{1}{f^2}\ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}.$$

For the fourth term, by **??** (2) we have

$$\sqrt{n}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}\\ f^2\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}\\ k\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2}\\ \left[\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\right]\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\gg1}}\cdots$$

$$\ll\sqrt{n}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}\\ f^2\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}\\ k\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2}}}\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^{\varepsilon}adk^{1/2}}{a^2d^3k^2f^3}\left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{-M-1}\sum_{\left[\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\right]\frac{(adkf^2)^2}{n}\gg1}\left[\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\star\xi\right]\!\right]^{-M-2}$$

$$\ll\sqrt{n}\sum_{d^2\mid n}\sum_{\substack{a^2\ll\sqrt{n}\\ f^2\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}\\ k\ll\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2f^2}}}\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^{\varepsilon}adk^{1/2}}{a^2d^3k^2f^3}\left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{-M-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n}\right)^{M+1-\varepsilon}$$

$$\ll n^\varepsilon \sum_{d^2|n} \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{a^{1+\varepsilon} d^{1+2\varepsilon}} \sum_{f \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \frac{1}{f^{1+2\varepsilon}} \sum_{k \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2 f^2}} \frac{1}{k^{1/2+\varepsilon}} \ll n^\varepsilon \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{a} \sum_{f \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \frac{1}{f} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2 f^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon} \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{f \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \frac{1}{f^2} \ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}.$$

Hence we obtain (**??**) $\ll n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$. $\qquad\square$

Now we prove that (**??**) $\ll n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.11. *Suppose that $b \gg 1$ and $\alpha < 1$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S \\ [\![a \star \xi]\!] \ll b}} [\![a \star \xi]\!]^{-\alpha} \ll \frac{b^{1-\alpha}}{a} \log^r b.$$

Proof: Suppose that $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $u_i = v_{q_i}(\xi) < 0$ if and only if $i \in I$. Then $[\![a \star \xi]\!] = (1 + |a\xi|) \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + |\xi|_{q_i}) \ll b$ only if

$$|a\xi| \ll \frac{b}{\prod_{i \in I}(1 + q_i^{-u_i})}.$$

Since $\prod_{i \in I} q_i^{-u_i} \xi \in \mathbb{Z}$ we know that the sum of such ξ is

$$\ll \sum_{\substack{v_{q_i}(\xi) = u_i \\ [\![a \star \xi]\!] \ll b}} (1 + |a\xi|)^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + |\xi|_{q_i})^{-\alpha} \ll \sum_{\substack{\xi \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ |\xi| \ll \frac{b \prod_{i \in I} q_i^{-u_i}}{a \prod_{i \in I}(1+q_i^{-u_i})}}} a^{-\alpha} \left(\prod_{i \in I} q_i^{-u_i} \right)^\alpha \prod_{i \in I}(1 + q_i^{-u_i})^{-\alpha} |\xi|^{-\alpha}$$

$$\ll a^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{\prod_{i \in I} q_i^{-u_i}}{\prod_{i \in I}(1 + q_i^{-u_i})} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{b \prod_{i \in I} q_i^{-u_i}}{a \prod_{i \in I}(1 + q_i^{-u_i})} \right)^{1-\alpha} \ll a^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{1-\alpha} \frac{\prod_{i \in I} q_i^{-u_i}}{\prod_{i \in I}(1 + q_i^{-u_i})} \ll \frac{b^{1-\alpha}}{a}.$$

Moreover, such u_i must satisfy $\prod_{i \in I} q_i^{-u_i} \ll b$. Hence the number of such $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ is $\ll \log^{\#I} b \ll \log^r b$. This establishes the desired conclusion. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 5.12. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}.$$

The implied constant only depends on ε, f_∞, f_{q_i}, $\pm$ and v.

Proof: By **??** we have

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \sum_{\substack{d^2|n \ a,k,f \in \mathbb{Z}_{(S)}^{>0}, \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^S - \{0\} \\ \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n} \ll 1}} \frac{(ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon a dk^{1/2}}{adkf} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \right)^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore by **??** with $\alpha = 1/2 + \varepsilon$, we obtain

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \sum_{d^2|n} \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \sum_{f^2 \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \sum_{k \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2 f^2}} \sum_{\xi : \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n} \ll 1} \frac{(ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon a dk^{1/2}}{adkf}$$

$$\times \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n} \left[\!\!\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{dkf^2} \star \xi \right]\!\!\right] \right)^{-\varepsilon}$$

$$\ll \sum_{d^2|n} \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \sum_{f^2 \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \sum_{k \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2 f^2}} \frac{(ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon k^{1/2}}{kf} \left(\frac{(ad^2kf^2)^2}{n} \right)^{-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{n}{(ad^2kf^2)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \frac{dkf^2}{\sqrt{n}} \log^r \frac{n}{(ad^2kf^2)^2}$$

$$\ll \sum_{d^2|n} \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \sum_{f^2 \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \sum_{k \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2 f^2}} \frac{(ad^2kf^2)^\varepsilon k^{1/2}}{kf} \left(\frac{n}{(ad^2kf^2)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \frac{dkf^2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\ll n^\varepsilon \sum_{d^2|n} \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \sum_{f^2 \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \sum_{k \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2 f^2}} \frac{1}{a^{1+\varepsilon} d^{1+2\varepsilon} k^{1/2+\varepsilon} f^{1+2\varepsilon}} \ll n^\varepsilon \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \sum_{f^2 \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \frac{1}{af} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2 f^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon} \sum_{a^2 \ll \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{f^2 \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a^2}} \frac{1}{f^2} \ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}. \qquad\qquad \square$$

By **??**, **??**, and **??**, we obtain the main result in this section.

Theorem 5.13. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\Sigma(\xi \neq 0) \ll n^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant only depends on f_∞, f_{q_i} and ε.

Combining **??** and **??** establishes our main result **??**.

<center>APPENDIX A. ESTIMATE OF THE FOURIER TRANSFORM ON THE SEMILOCAL SPACE</center>

In this section we will derive several estimates of the Fourier transform on the semilocal space $\mathbb{Q}_S = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}_{q_r}$ with *singularities*, generalizing the results in [**?**, Appendix A] for the case over $\mathbb{R}$. The archimedean part was analyzed by Altuğ. Hence we mainly need to derive the estimate for the nonarchimedean part, which is direct computation.

We will often use the notation $s = \sigma + \mathrm{i}t$ in this section.

Our main goal of this section is to prove the following theorems:

Theorem A.1. *Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}(s)$ be its Mellin transform. Suppose that $\widetilde{\Phi}(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation on $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 0$, and is of rapid decay for t when $\sigma > 0$ is fixed. Fix a sign $\pm$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\iota \in \{0,1\}$, $\epsilon_i \in \{0,\pm 1\}$. Let $N = \pm nq^\nu$. Let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r$ be complex numbers such that $\mathrm{Re}\, a_i > -2$ for all i. Let*

$$\varphi(x) = |x^2 \mp 1|^{a_0/2} \overline{\varphi}(x) \quad and \quad \psi_i(y_i) = |y_i^2 - 4N|_{q_i}^{'a_i/2} \overline{\psi}_i(y_i),$$

where $\overline{\varphi}(x)$ is a smooth function on the open set $X_\iota = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega_\infty(x) = \iota\}$ and up to the boundary, supported on a bounded set of X_ι, and $\overline{\psi}_i(y_i)$ are smooth and compactly supported functions on $Y_{\epsilon_i} = \{y_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{q_i} \mid \omega_i(y_i) = \epsilon_i\}$. Suppose that for the $\iota = 0$ case, around $x = \pm 1$, $\varphi(x)$ has asymptotic expansions

$$\varphi(\pm(1-x)) \sim |x|^{\frac{a_0}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} c_m^\pm x^m.$$

Let $Y_\epsilon = Y_{\epsilon_1} \times \cdots \times Y_{\epsilon_n}$ and

$$\mathbf{F}_{\iota,\epsilon}(\xi,\eta) = \int_{X_\iota} \int_{Y_\epsilon} \varphi(x) \prod_{i=1}^r \psi_i(y_i) \Phi\left(\frac{C}{|x^2 \mp 1|^{1/2}|y^2 - 4N|_q^{'1/2}}\right) e(-x\xi) e_q(-y\eta) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y.$$

Let

$$[\![\xi,\eta]\!] = (1 + |\xi|_\infty) \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i}).$$

Then for any $M > 0$,

$$\mathbf{F}_{\iota,\epsilon}(\xi,\eta) \ll_{\varphi,\psi,\pm,\nu,M} \left(|C|^2 [\![\xi,\eta]\!]\right)^{-M} (1+|\xi|_\infty)^{-1-\frac{a_0}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^r (1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}}.$$

Note that M can be taken arbitrarily large or arbitrarily close to 0 in the above theorem.

Theorem A.2. *Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}(s)$ be its Mellin transform. Suppose that $\widetilde{\Phi}(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\operatorname{Re} s \geqslant -2$ with only a simple pole at $s = 0$, and is of rapid decay for t when $\sigma \geqslant -2$ is fixed. Fix a sign $\pm$, $v \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. Let $N = \pm n q^v$. Let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant -1}$. Let*

$$\varphi(x) = |x^2 \mp 1|^{a_0/2} \overline{\varphi}(x) \quad and \quad \psi_i(y_i) = |y_i^2 - 4N|_{q_i}^{\prime a_i/2} \overline{\psi_i}(y_i),$$

where $\overline{\varphi}(x)$ is a smooth and compactly supported function on the open set $\mathbb{R}$ and up to the boundary, and $\overline{\psi_i}(y_i)$ are smooth and compactly supported functions on Y_{ϵ_i}. Suppose that for the $\iota = 0$ case, around $x = \pm 1$, $\varphi(x)$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$\varphi(\pm(1-x)) \sim |x|^{\frac{a_0}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} c_m^{\pm} x^m.$$

Let $Y_\epsilon = Y_{\epsilon_1} \times \cdots \times Y_{\epsilon_n}$ and

$$\mathbf{F}_\epsilon(\xi, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{Y_\epsilon} \varphi(x) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \psi_i(y_i) \Phi\left(\frac{C}{|x^2 \mp 1|^{1/2} |y^2 - 4N|_q^{\prime 1/2}}\right) e(-x\xi) e_q(-y\eta) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{F}(\xi, \eta) \ll_{\varphi, \psi, \pm, v} (1 + |\xi|_\infty)^{-1-\frac{a_0}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}}.$$

Moreover, if $a_0 = 0$, then the factor $(1 + |\xi|_\infty)^{-1-\frac{a_0}{2}}$ can be replaced by

$$\left(|C|^2 \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})\right)^{1-\varepsilon} + (1 + |\xi|_\infty)^{-2}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. (The implied constant then also depends on ε.)

A.1. Nonarchimedean analysis. First we consider the nonarchimedean part. We want to estimate the ℓ-adic integral of the form

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \eta) = \int_{\omega_\ell(y) = \epsilon} \psi(y) |y^2 - 4N|_\ell^{\prime s/2} e_\ell(-y\eta) \mathrm{d}y, \tag{A.1}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times$,

$$\omega_\ell(y) = \left(\frac{(y^2 - 4N) |y^2 - 4N|_\ell'}{\ell}\right),$$

$\epsilon \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, $\psi(y)$ is a smooth, compactly supported function on the set $\{y \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell \mid \omega_\ell(y) = \epsilon\}$.

We use the notation $2v = v_\ell(N)$ in this subsection.

Proposition A.3. *If N does not have square roots in $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Then $\mathbf{I}(s, \eta)$ is an entire function for s, and*

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \eta) \ll_{v, \sigma, \psi, M} (1 + |\eta|_\ell)^{-M}$$

for any $M > 0$.

Proof: We first prove that $\mathbf{I}(y, \eta)$ is entire in s. We have

$$|y^2 - 4N|_\ell \gg 1.$$

Indeed, if $v_\ell(4N)$ is odd, then $v_\ell(y^2) \neq v_\ell(4N)$. Hence

$$|y^2 - 4N|_\ell = \max\{|y^2|_\ell, |4N|_\ell\} \geqslant |4N|_\ell \gg_v 1.$$

If $2v' = v_\ell(4N)$ is even, then for $v_\ell(y) \neq v'$ we have the same estimate. Suppose that $v_\ell(y) = v'$. Write $y = \ell^{v'} y_0$ and $4N = \ell^{2v'} n_0$, then

$$|y^2 - 4N|_\ell = \ell^{-2v'} |y_0^2 - n_0|_\ell.$$

We must have $|y_0^2 - n_0|_\ell \geqslant 1/\ell^2$. Otherwise, by Hensel's lemma $y_0^2 - n_0$ would have a root in $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and thus $y^2 - 4N$ would have a root in $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Hence $|y^2 - 4N|_\ell \gg 1$.

Next we prove that $|y^2 - 4N|'_\ell$ is smooth at y_0 if $y_0^2 - 4N \neq 0$. Indeed, for any $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ we have

$$\frac{(y_0 + \delta)^2 - 4N}{y_0^2 - 4N} = 1 + \delta \frac{2y_0 + \delta}{y_0^2 - 4N}.$$

Suppose that $|\delta|_\ell < \max\{|2y_0|_\ell, 1\}$ and $|\delta|_\ell \leqslant \ell^{-2}|y_0^2 - 4N|_\ell / \max\{|2y_0|_\ell, 1\}$, we have

$$\left| \delta \frac{2y_0 + \delta}{y_0^2 - 4N} \right|_\ell \leqslant |\delta|_\ell \frac{\max\{|2y_0|_\ell, 1\}}{|y_0^2 - 4N|_\ell} \leqslant \ell^{-2}.$$

Hence $((y_0 + \delta)^2 - 4N)/(y_0^2 - 4N) \in 1 + \ell^2 \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and hence

$$|(y_0 + \delta)^2 - 4N|'_\ell = |y_0^2 - 4N|'_\ell.$$

Hence $|y^2 - 4N|'_\ell$ is smooth.

Since $\psi(y)$ is compactly supported, the integral converges for s uniformly on every compact subset and thus defines an entire function for s.

Since $\psi(y)$ is compactly supported, $|y_0|_\ell$ and $|y_0^2 - 4N|_\ell$ are bounded when $y_0 \in \mathrm{supp}(\psi)$ (depending only on ν). Hence we can let the δ above independent of $y_0 \in \mathrm{supp}(\psi)$. Hence there exists κ depending only on ν and ψ such that

$$\omega_\ell(y + \delta) = \left(\frac{((y + \delta)^2 - 4N)|(y + \delta)^2 - 4N|'_\ell}{\ell} \right) = \left(\frac{(y^2 - 4N)|y^2 - 4N|'_\ell}{\ell} \right) = \omega_\ell(y)$$

and

$$\psi(y + \delta)|(y + \delta)^2 - 4N|_\ell'^{s/2} = \psi(y)|y^2 - 4N|_\ell'^{s/2}$$

for any s and $|\delta|_\ell \leqslant \ell^{-\kappa}$. By making change of variable $y \mapsto y + \delta$ in (**??**), we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \eta) = \int_{\omega_\ell(y) = \epsilon} \psi(y + \delta)|(y + \delta)^2 - 4N|_\ell'^{s/2} e_\ell(-y\eta) dy = e_\ell(-\delta \eta) \mathbf{I}(s, \eta).$$

Hence if $\mathbf{I}(s, \eta) \neq 0$, we must have $e_\ell(-\delta \eta) = 1$ for all $|\delta|_\ell \leqslant \ell^{-\kappa}$. Hence $|\eta|_\ell \leqslant \ell^\kappa$. That is, $\mathbf{I}(s, \eta) = 0$ if $|\eta|_\ell > \ell^\kappa$. For $|\eta|_\ell \leqslant \ell^\kappa$ we have

$$|\mathbf{I}(s, \eta)| \ll \int_{\omega(y) = \epsilon} |\psi(y)||y^2 - 4N|_\ell'^{\sigma/2} dy \ll_{\psi, \sigma} 1.$$

Hence the conclusion follows by combining these two cases together. $\square$

Proposition A.4. *Suppose that N has square roots $\pm\sqrt{N}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and the support of $\psi(y)$ is disjoint from*

$$\{y \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell \mid |y - 2\sqrt{N}|_\ell \leqslant \ell^{-L}\} \cup \{y \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell \mid |y + 2\sqrt{N}|_\ell \leqslant \ell^{-L}\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{I}(s, \eta)$ is an entire function for s, and

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \eta) \ll_{\nu, \sigma, \psi, M, L} (1 + |\eta|_\ell)^{-M}$$

for any $M > 0$.

Proof: It suffices to note that $|y_0^2 - 4N|, |y_0^2 - 4N|' \gg_{\nu, L} 1$ for $y_0 \in \mathrm{supp}(\psi)$ in this case. The remaining arguments follow verbatim from **??**. Now we prove this claim. By **??** it suffices to show that $|y^2 - 4N| \gg_{\nu, L} 1$ for $y \in \mathrm{supp}(\psi)$. Indeed, we have

$$|y^2 - 4N| = |y + 2\sqrt{N}||y - 2\sqrt{N}| > \ell^{-2L}. \qquad \square$$

Suppose that N has square roots $\pm\sqrt{N}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. For L sufficiently large (we will determine it later), we consider the integral

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) = \int_{\omega_\ell(y) = \epsilon, |y - 2\sqrt{N}| \leqslant \ell^{-L}} |y^2 - 4N|_\ell'^{s/2} e_\ell(-y\eta) dy. \qquad (A.2)$$

It has singularities at $y = \pm 2\sqrt{N}$ with exponent $s/2$. Hence $\mathbf{J}(s, \eta)$ converges for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > -2$ (by **??**) and defines a holomorphic function on this half plane.

Theorem A.5. *For any $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, $\mathbf{J}(s, \eta)$ is a sum of at most three of the functions of the following form*

$$A \frac{1}{1 - \ell^{-2-s}} B^{s/2} \qquad and \qquad AB^{s/2},$$

where $A \ll (1 + |\eta|_\ell)^{-1}$ and $B \asymp (1 + |\eta|_\ell)^{-1}$. The implied constants only depend on ℓ, ν and L.

In particular, for any $\sigma > -2$ we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) \ll (1 + |\eta|_\ell)^{-1 - \frac{\sigma}{2}},$$

where the implied constant only depends on σ, ℓ, ν and L.

In the proof of the above theorem we will add an additional technical assumption for L for convenience of our computation (which will be explicit in the proof). Since we can always choose L satisfying this assumption in the following arguments, this will not affect our main results.

Proof: In the proof we omit the subscript ℓ in ω_ℓ, $|\cdot|_\ell$ and $|\cdot|'_\ell$. We denote $w = -v_\ell(\eta)$.

Let $\widetilde{\omega}(y) = \omega(y + 2\sqrt{N})$. By making change of variable $y \mapsto y + 2\sqrt{N}$ we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) = e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) \int_{y \in \ell^L \mathbb{Z}_\ell,\ \widetilde{\omega}(y) = \epsilon} |y(y + 4\sqrt{N})|'^{s/2} e_\ell(-y\eta) dy.$$

We assume that $L \geqslant \nu + 4$. In this case we have

$$\frac{y(y + 4\sqrt{N})}{4y\sqrt{N}} = 1 + \frac{y}{4\sqrt{N}} \in 1 + \ell^2 \mathbb{Z}_\ell.$$

Hence $|y(y + 4\sqrt{N})|' = |4y\sqrt{N}|'$. Therefore

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) = e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) \int_{y \in \ell^L \mathbb{Z}_\ell,\ \widetilde{\omega}(y) = \epsilon} |4y\sqrt{N}|'^{s/2} e_\ell(-y\eta) dy.$$

Moreover

$$\widetilde{\omega}(y) = \left(\frac{y(y + 4\sqrt{N})|y(y + 4\sqrt{N})|'}{\ell} \right) = \left(\frac{4y\sqrt{N}|4y\sqrt{N}|'}{\ell} \right).$$

Case 1: $\ell \neq 2$.

We have $\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) = e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) \sum_{u=L}^{+\infty} J_u(s, \eta)$, where

$$J_u(s, \eta) = \int_{y \in \ell^u \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ \widetilde{\omega}(y) = \epsilon} |4y\sqrt{N}|'^{s/2} e_\ell(-y\eta) dy.$$

Case 1.1: $\epsilon = 0$.

For convenience, we choose $L \not\equiv \nu$ (2) in this case.

In this case $\widetilde{\omega}(y) = \epsilon$ if and only if $v_\ell(4y\sqrt{N})$ is odd, that is, $u \not\equiv \nu$ (2). For such u, we have $|4y\sqrt{N}|'_\ell = \ell^{-u-\nu+1}$. Hence $J_u(s, \eta) = 0$ if $|\eta| > \ell^u$ and

$$J_u(s, \eta) = \ell^{-u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \ell^{(-u-\nu+1)s/2}$$

otherwise.

For $w \geqslant L$ we have $e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) = 1$ and thus

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) = \sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u \not\equiv \nu\ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \ell^{(-u-\nu+1)s/2},$$

which equals

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \ell^{(-\nu+1)s/2} \frac{\ell^{-w(1+s/2)}}{1 - \ell^{2-s}} = |\eta|^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \frac{1}{1 - \ell^{2-s}} |\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|'^{s/2}$$

if $w \not\equiv \nu$ (2) and equals

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right) \ell^{(-\nu+1)s/2} \frac{\ell^{-(w+1)(1+s/2)}}{1 - \ell^{2-s}} = |\eta|^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right) \frac{\ell^{-1}}{1 - \ell^{2-s}} |\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|'^{s/2}$$

if $w \equiv \nu$ (2).

For $w < L$ we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) = e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) \sum_{\substack{u=L \\ u \not\equiv \nu \ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right) \ell^{(-u-\nu+1)s/2},$$

$$= e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right) \ell^{(-\nu+1)s/2} \frac{\ell^{-L(1+s/2)}}{1 - \ell^{2-s}} = e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta)\ell^{-L} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right) \frac{1}{1 - \ell^{2-s}} \ell^{(-\nu-L+1)s/2}.$$

Case 1.2: $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

We choose L such that $L \equiv \nu$ (2) in this case.

In this case $\widetilde{\omega}(y) = \epsilon$ if and only if $v_\ell(4y\sqrt{N})$ is even and

$$\left(\frac{4y_0 n_0}{\ell}\right) = \epsilon,$$

where $y_0 = y|y|$ and $n_0 = \sqrt{N}|\sqrt{N}|$. For $u \equiv \nu$ (2) we have

$$J_u(s, \eta) = \ell^{-u} \ell^{(-u-\nu)s/2} \int_{y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times, \ \chi_\ell(y_0) = \epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0)} e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0 \eta) dy_0,$$

where $\chi_\ell = \left(\frac{\cdot}{\ell}\right)$. Note that

$$\int_{y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times, \ \chi_\ell(y_0) = \epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0)} e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0 \eta) dy_0 = \int_{y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times, \ \chi_\ell(y_0) = \epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0)} e_\ell(-\ell^u (y_0 + \ell a)\eta) dy_0$$

$$= e_\ell(-\ell^{u+1} a\eta) \int_{y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times, \ \chi_\ell(y_0) = \epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0)} e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0 \eta) dy_0$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$. Hence for $|\eta| > \ell^{u+1}$ we have $J_u(s, \eta) = 0$. For $|\eta| \leqslant \ell^u$ we have $e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0 \eta) = 1$ for all $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$. Hence

$$J_u(s, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \ell^{-u} \ell^{(-u-\nu)s/2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right).$$

Finally we consider the case $|\eta| = \ell^{u+1}$. Write $\eta = \eta_0 \ell^{-u-1}$, where $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$. Then

$$\int_{y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times, \ \chi_\ell(y_0) = \epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0)} e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0 \eta) dy_0 = \int_{y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times, \ \chi_\ell(y_0) = \epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0\eta_0)} e_\ell\left(-\frac{y_0}{\ell}\right) dy_0 = \frac{1}{\ell} \mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0\eta_0)},$$

where for any sign $\pm$, the _Gauss sum_ $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^\pm$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}_\ell^\pm = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{F}_\ell \\ \left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right) = \pm 1}} e\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right).$$

For $w \geqslant L + 1$ we have $e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) = 1$ and thus

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u \equiv \nu \ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right) \ell^{(-u-\nu)s/2}$$

for $w \equiv \nu$ (2) and

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u \equiv \nu \ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right) \ell^{(-u-\nu)s/2} + \ell^{-w+1} \ell^{(-w+1-\nu)s/2} \frac{1}{\ell} \mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0\eta_0)}$$

for $w \not\equiv \nu$ (2). Hence for $w \equiv \nu$ (2) we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right)\ell^{-\nu s/2}\frac{\ell^{-w(1+s/2)}}{1 - \ell^{-2-s}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right)|\eta|^{-1}\frac{1}{1 - \ell^{-2-s}}|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|^{\prime s/2},$$

while for $w \not\equiv \nu$ (2) we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right)\ell^{-\nu s/2}\frac{\ell^{-(w+1)(1+s/2)}}{1 - \ell^{-2-s}} + \ell^{-w}\ell^{(-w-\nu+1)s/2}\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0\eta_0)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right)|\eta|^{-1}\frac{\ell^{-1}}{1 - \ell^{-2-s}}(\ell^{-1}|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|)^{s/2} + |\eta|^{-1}|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|^{\prime s/2}\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\epsilon\chi_\ell(n_0\eta_0)}.$$

For $w \leqslant L$ we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta)\sum_{\substack{u=L \\ u\equiv\nu\ (2)}}^{+\infty}\ell^{-u}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right)\ell^{(-u-\nu)s/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell}\right)\ell^{-\nu s/2}\frac{\ell^{-L(1+s/2)}}{1 - \ell^{-2-s}}.$$

Case 2: $\ell = 2$.

We have $\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta)\sum_{u=L}^{+\infty}J_u(s,\eta)$, where

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \int_{y\in\ell^u\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ \widetilde{\omega}(y)=\epsilon}|4y\sqrt{N}|^{\prime s/2}e_\ell(-y\eta)\mathrm{d}y.$$

Case 2.1: $\epsilon = 0$.

We choose $L \equiv \nu$ (2) in this case.

In this case, $u \not\equiv \nu$ (2), or $u \equiv \nu$ (2) and $y_0 n_0 \equiv 3$ (4), where $y_0 = y|y|$ and $n_0 = \sqrt{N}|\sqrt{N}|$.

We first consider the case $u \not\equiv \nu$ (2). We have $|4y\sqrt{N}|' = \ell^{3-2-u-\nu} = \ell^{1-u-\nu}$. Hence $J_u(s,\eta) = 0$ if $|\eta| > \ell^u$ and

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-\nu+1)s/2}$$

otherwise.

Now we consider the case for $u \equiv \nu$ (2) and $y_0 n_0 \equiv 3$ (4). We have $|4y\sqrt{N}|' = \ell^{2-2-u-\nu} = \ell^{-u-\nu}$. Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-\nu)s/2}\int_{y_0\in\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ y_0 n_0\equiv 3\ (4)}e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta)\mathrm{d}y_0.$$

Note that

$$\int_{y_0\in\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ y_0 n_0\equiv 3\ (4)}e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta)\mathrm{d}y_0 = \int_{y_0\in\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ y_0 n_0\equiv 3\ (4)}e_\ell(-\ell^u(y_0 + \ell^2 a)\eta)\mathrm{d}y_0$$

$$= e_\ell(-\ell^{u+2}a\eta)\int_{y_0\in\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ y_0 n_0\equiv 3\ (4)}e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta)\mathrm{d}y_0.$$

Hence for $|\eta| > \ell^{u+2}$ we have $J_u(s,\eta) = 0$. For $|\eta| \leqslant \ell^u$ we have $e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta) = 1$ for all $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$. Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{4}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-\nu)s/2}.$$

For $|\eta| = \ell^{u+1}$ we have

$$e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta) = e_\ell\left(-\frac{y_0\eta_0}{\ell}\right) = -1$$

if $\eta = \ell^{-u-1}\eta_0$. Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = -\frac{1}{4}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-\nu)s/2}.$$

Finally, we consider the case $|\eta| = \ell^{u+2}$. By setting $\eta = \ell^{-u-2}\eta_0$, we have

$$\mathrm{e}_\ell(-\ell^u y_0 \eta) = \mathrm{e}_\ell\left(-\frac{y_0\eta_0}{4}\right) = \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{3n_0^{-1}\eta_0}{4}\right) = \mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{n_0\eta_0}{4}\right).$$

Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{4}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-v)s/2}\mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{n_0\eta_0}{4}\right).$$

For $w \geqslant L+1$ we have $\mathrm{e}_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) = 1$ and thus

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u\not\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-v+1)s/2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-v)s/2} + \frac{1}{4}\ell^{-w+2}\ell^{(-w-v+2)s/2}\mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{n_0\eta_0}{4}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{-1}\frac{1}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|^{s/2} + |\eta|^{-1}(\ell^2|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|)^{s/2}\mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{n_0\eta_0}{4}\right)$$

for $w \equiv v\ (2)$ and

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u\not\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-v+1)s/2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-v)s/2} - \frac{1}{4}\ell^{-w+1}\ell^{(-w-v+1)s/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{-1}\frac{1}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}(\ell|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|)^{s/2} + \frac{1}{8}|\eta|^{-1}\frac{1}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}(\ell^{-1}|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|)^{s/2} - \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{-1}(\ell|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|)^{s/2}$$

for $w \not\equiv v\ (2)$.

For $w \leqslant L$ we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \mathrm{e}_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta)\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{u=L \\ u\not\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-v+1)s/2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\substack{u=L \\ u\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty} \ell^{-u}\ell^{(-u-v)s/2}\right]$$

$$= \mathrm{e}_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta)\frac{1}{2}\ell^{-L}\frac{1}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}(\ell^{-L-v})^{s/2}.$$

Case 2.2: $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

For simplicity we only deal with the case $\epsilon = -1$. The other case is similar. We choose $L \equiv v\ (2)$ in this case.

We have $|4y\sqrt{N}|' = \ell^{-2-u-v}$. Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2}\int_{y_0\in\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ y_0n_0\equiv 5\ (8)} \mathrm{e}_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta)\mathrm{d}y_0.$$

Note that

$$\int_{y_0\in\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ y_0n_0\equiv 5\ (8)} \mathrm{e}_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta)\mathrm{d}y_0 = \int_{y_0\in\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ y_0n_0\equiv 5\ (8)} \mathrm{e}_\ell(-\ell^u(y_0+\ell^3 a)\eta)\mathrm{d}y_0$$

$$= \mathrm{e}_\ell(-\ell^{u+3}a\eta)\int_{y_0\in\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times,\ y_0n_0\equiv 5\ (8)} \mathrm{e}_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta)\mathrm{d}y_0.$$

Hence for $|\eta| > \ell^{u+3}$ we have $J_u(s,\eta) = 0$. For $|\eta| \leqslant \ell^u$ we have $\mathrm{e}_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta) = 1$ for all $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$. Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2}.$$

For $|\eta| = \ell^{u+1}$ we have

$$\mathrm{e}_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta) = \mathrm{e}_\ell\left(-\frac{y_0\eta_0}{\ell}\right) = -1$$

if $\eta = \ell^{-u-1}\eta_0$. Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = -\frac{1}{8}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2}.$$

For $|\eta| = \ell^{u+2}$ we write $\eta = \ell^{-u-2}\eta_0$. Then we have

$$e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0 \eta) = e_\ell\left(-\frac{y_0\eta_0}{4}\right) = e\left(\frac{5n_0^{-1}\eta_0}{4}\right) = e\left(\frac{n_0\eta_0}{4}\right).$$

Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2}e\left(\frac{n_0\eta_0}{4}\right).$$

Finally, for $|\eta| = \ell^{u+3}$ we write $\eta = \ell^{-u-3}\eta_0$. Then we have

$$e_\ell(-\ell^u y_0\eta) = e_\ell\left(-\frac{y_0\eta_0}{8}\right) = e\left(\frac{5n_0^{-1}\eta_0}{8}\right) = e\left(\frac{5n_0\eta_0}{8}\right).$$

Hence

$$J_u(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2}e\left(\frac{5n_0\eta_0}{8}\right).$$

For $w \geqslant L+2$ we have $e_\ell(-2\sqrt{N}\eta) = 1$ and thus

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{8}\sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2} + \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-w+2}\ell^{(-w-v)s/2}e\left(\frac{n_0\eta_0}{4}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8}|\eta|^{-1}\frac{1}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}|4\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|^{s/2} + \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{-1}|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|^{s/2}e\left(\frac{n_0\eta_0}{4}\right)$$

for $w \equiv v\ (2)$ and

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{8}\sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2} - \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-w+1}\ell^{(-1-w-v)s/2} + \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-w+3}\ell^{(1+w-v)s/2}e\left(\frac{5n_0\eta_0}{8}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{16}|\eta|^{-1}\frac{1}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}(\ell^{-3}|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|)^{s/2} - \frac{1}{4}|\eta|^{-1}|2\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|^{s/2} + |\eta|^{-1}(\ell|\sqrt{N}\eta^{-1}|)^{s/2}e\left(\frac{5n_0\eta_0}{8}\right)$$

for $w \not\equiv v\ (2)$.

For $w \leqslant L$ we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{8}\sum_{\substack{u=L \\ u\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2} = \frac{1}{8}\frac{\ell^{-L(1+s/2)}}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}\ell^{(-v-2)s/2} = \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-L}\frac{1}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}\ell^{(-L-v-2)s/2}.$$

For $w = L+1$ we have

$$\mathbf{J}(s,\eta) = \frac{1}{8}\sum_{\substack{u=w \\ u\equiv v\ (2)}}^{+\infty}\ell^{-u}\ell^{(-2-u-v)s/2} - \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-L}\ell^{(-2-L-v)s/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{32}\ell^{-L}\frac{1}{1-\ell^{-2-s}}\ell^{(-L-v-4)s/2} - \frac{1}{8}\ell^{-L}\ell^{(-2-L-v)s/2}.$$

Finally, by combining the computations of $\mathbf{J}(s,\eta)$ together we obtain our result. $\quad\square$

A.2. Archimedean analysis. Now we consider the archimedean part. We will mainly use the results of [?, Appendix A]. We consider the integral

$$\mathbf{I}(s,\xi) = \int_{\omega_\infty(x)=\iota}\varphi(x)|x^2 \mp 1|^{s/2}e(-x\xi)dx, \tag{A.3}$$

where

$$\omega_\infty(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x^2 \mp 1 > 0, \\ 1, & x^2 \mp 1 < 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi(x)$ is a smooth function with bounded support.

40

Proposition A.6. *If* sgn $N = -1$, *then* $\mathbf{I}(s, \xi)$ *is an entire function for s, and*

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \xi) \ll_{\sigma, \varphi, M} (1 + |\xi|)^{-M}$$

for any $M > 0$.

Proof: In this case

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x)|x^2 + 1|^{s/2} e(-x\xi) d\xi.$$

Since $\varphi(x)$ smooth and up to the boundary, supported in a bounded set and $|x^2 + 1| \gg 1$, the integral converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset for s and hence defines an entire function.

We consider the cases $|\xi| \ll 1$ and $|\xi| \gg 1$ separately.

Case 1: $|\xi| \ll 1$.

In this case, we bound the integral trivially and obtain

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \xi) \ll \int_{\omega_\infty(x)=\iota} |\varphi(x)||x^2 + 1|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} dx \ll_{\sigma, \psi} 1.$$

Since $1 + |\xi| \asymp 1$, we obtain the result in this case.

Case 2: $|\xi| \gg 1$.

In this case, by integration by parts K times we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \eta) = \frac{1}{(-2\pi i\xi)^K} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d^K}{dx^K} \left(\varphi(x)|x^2 + 1|^{\frac{s}{2}}\right) e(-x\xi) dx.$$

By Leibniz rule, we have

$$\frac{d^K}{dx^K} \left(\varphi(x)|x^2 + 1|^{s/2}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{K} \binom{K}{j} \varphi^{(K-j)}(x) \frac{d^j}{dx^j} |x^2 + 1|^{\frac{s}{2}}.$$

By induction, $\frac{d^j}{dx^j} |x^2 + 1|^{s/2} = a_j(x)|x^2 + 1|^{s/2}$, where

$$a_0(x) = 1, \qquad a_{j+1}(x) = a'_j(x) + 2xa_j(x) \log |x^2 + 1|.$$

Hence $a_j(x)$ are smooth functions. This yields

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \eta) \ll |\xi|^{-K} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=0}^{K} \binom{K}{j} |\varphi^{(K-j)}(x)||a_j(x)||x^2 + 1|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} dx \ll_{\varphi, \sigma, K} |\xi|^{-K}.$$

Finally, let $K = \lceil M \rceil$ and note that $1 + |\xi| \asymp |\xi|$ we obtain our result in this case. $\square$

Proposition A.7. *Suppose that* sgn $N = +1$ *and the support of $\varphi(x)$ is disjoint from*

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid |x - 1| \leqslant \kappa\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid |x + 1| \leqslant \kappa\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{I}(s, \xi)$ is an entire function for s, and

$$\mathbf{I}(s, \xi) \ll_{\sigma, \varphi, M} (1 + |\xi|)^{-M}$$

for any $M > 0$.

Proof: Follows almost verbatim from **??**. The only difference are the following:
• We need to consider the boundary terms at $x = \pm 1 \pm \kappa$ but they all vanish by our assumption.
• We need to consider $\frac{d^j}{dx^j} |x^2 - 1|^{s/2} = b_j(x)|x^2 - 1|^{s/2}$, where

$$b_0(x) = 1, \qquad b_{j+1}(x) = b'_j(x) + 2xb_j(x) \log |x^2 - 1|.$$

$b_j(x)$ are smooth in supp(φ) in this case. $\square$

Now we assume that $\operatorname{sgn} N = +1$. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \in [-2,2]$ and $\phi \equiv 1$ in $[-1,1]$. For $\kappa \in\ ]0,1/2[$, we define

$$\phi_\kappa(x) = \phi\left(\frac{|1-|x||}{\kappa}\right).$$

Then ϕ is supported near ± 1.

Finally, we state our main theorems for the archimedean case, which are just reformulations of the last three results in [**?**, Appendix A].

Let $c_i^\pm$ be complex numbers. We define the integral transform

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varphi,m}^{\sigma,\pm}(\Xi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)} \Xi(s) c_m^\pm\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(m+1+\frac{a+s}{2}\right)x^{-\frac{s}{2}}\mathrm{d}s$$

with

$$c_m^\pm\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{1+m+\frac{a+s}{2}} 2^{\frac{s}{2}} \sum_{\substack{j+k=m \\ j,k\geqslant 0}} \frac{c_k^\pm}{(-2)^j}\binom{s/2}{j}.$$

Here we use the usual logarithm on the region $\mathbb{C} - \{iy \mid y \leqslant 0\}$ to define $(i/2\pi)^{1+m+(a+s)/2}$. Note that $c_m^\pm(s/2)$ is an entire function with at most polynomial growth in the vertical direction. On the other hand, $\Gamma(m+1+\frac{a+s}{2})$ has exponential decay in the vertical direction by Stirling formula.

Theorem A.8. *Let $\Xi(s)$ be a holomorphic function on the half plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$. Also, we assume that $\Xi(s)$ has rapid decay in t for each fixed σ. Let $\varphi(x) = |1-x^2|^{\frac{a}{2}}\overline{\varphi}(x)$, where $\overline{\varphi}(x)$ is smooth in and up to the boundary of $]-1,1[$. Assume that around $x = \pm 1$ we have asymptotic expansions*

$$\varphi(\pm(1-x)) \sim |x|^{\frac{a}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} c_m^\pm x^m.$$

Then for any $\sigma,\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $|\xi| \gg 1$, and $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s}\int_{-1}^{1}\varphi(x)|1-x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}}\mathrm{e}(-x\xi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s$$

$$=\sum_{\pm}\sum_{m=0}^{K}\frac{\mathrm{e}(\mp\xi)\mathcal{A}_{\varphi,m}^{\sigma,\pm}(\Xi)(\pm C^2\xi)}{(\pm\xi)^{m+1+\frac{a}{2}}} + O\left(\sup_{\substack{\operatorname{Re} s = 2\tau \\ |t| \gg 1}}|\Xi(s)||C^2\xi|^{-\tau}|\xi|^{-K-2-\frac{a}{2}}\right),$$

The implied constant only depends on φ, K, σ, τ and a. If $\Xi(s)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\operatorname{Re} s > -2$, with has at most a simple pole at $s=0$ and holomorphic otherwise, we can take $\tau = 0$.

Proof: This theorem is essentially the content of [**?**, Theorem A.14]. The only difference is that we have to take care of the bound of $\Xi(s)$ in the error term. By going through the proofs in loc. cit., the error term is obtained by shifting the contour to $\sigma = \tau/2$. Hence the conclusion is immediate. $\qquad\square$

Theorem A.9. *Let $\Xi(s)$ be a holomorphic function on the half plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$. Also, we assume that $\Xi(s)$ has rapid decay in t for each fixed σ. Let $\varphi(x) = |1-x^2|^{\frac{a}{2}}\overline{\varphi}(x)$, where $\overline{\varphi}(x)$ is a smooth, compactly supported function in and up to the boundary of $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \cap\ (]-\infty,-1[\cup\ ]1,\infty[)$. Assume that around $x = \pm 1$ we have asymptotic expansions*

$$\varphi(\pm(1-x)) \sim |x|^{\frac{a}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} c_m^\pm x^m.$$

Then for any $\sigma,\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $|\xi| \gg 1$, and $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s}\int_{|x|>1}\varphi(x)|1-x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}}\mathrm{e}(-x\xi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s$$

$$= \sum_{\pm} \sum_{m=0}^{K} \frac{e(\mp\xi)(-1)^m \mathcal{A}_{\varphi,m}^{\sigma,\pm}(\Xi)(\mp C^2\xi)}{(\mp\xi)^{m+1+\frac{a}{2}}} + O\left(\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\, s=2\tau \\ |t|\gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||C^2\xi|^{-\tau}|\xi|^{-K-2-\frac{a}{2}} \right),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi,m}^{\sigma,\pm}(\Xi)(x)$ is defined in the previous theorem. The implied constant only depends on φ, K, σ, τ and a. If $\Xi(s)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\mathrm{Re}\, s > -2$, with has at most a simple pole at $s = 0$ and holomorphic otherwise, we can take $\tau = 0$.

Proof: This theorem is essentially the content of [**?**, Theorem A.15] and that the error term is obtained by shifting the contour to $\sigma = \tau/2$. $\qquad\square$

Corollary A.10. *Let $\Xi(s)$ be a meromophic function on the half plane $\mathrm{Re}\, s > -2$. Suppose that $\Xi(s)$ is holomorphic except for a pole at $s = 0$. Also, we assume that $\Xi(s)$ has rapid decay in t for each fixed σ. Let $\varphi(x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that around $x = \pm1$ we have asymptotic expansions*

$$\varphi(\pm(1-x)) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} c_m^\pm x^m.$$

Then for any $\sigma, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $|\xi| \gg 1$, and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x)|1-x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}} e(-x\xi) dx ds \ll \sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\, s=0 \\ |t|\gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||\xi|^{-2} + \sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\, s=-2+2\varepsilon \\ |t|\gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||C|^{2-2\varepsilon}.$$

The implied constant only depends on φ and ε.

Proof: By **??** and **??** with $a = 0$, $K = 0$ and $\tau = 0$ (we can do this since $\Xi(s)$ has at most simple pole at $s = 0$), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s} \int_{|x|<1} \varphi(x)|1-x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}} e(-x\xi) dx ds = \sum_{\pm} \frac{e(\mp\xi)\mathcal{A}_{\varphi,0}^{\sigma,\pm}(\Xi)(\pm C^2\xi)}{\pm\xi} + O\left(\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\, s=0 \\ |t|\gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||\xi|^{-2} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s} \int_{|x|>1} \varphi(x)|1-x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}} e(-x\xi) dx ds = \sum_{\pm} \frac{e(\mp\xi)\mathcal{A}_{\varphi,0}^{\sigma,\pm}(\Xi)(\mp C^2\xi)}{\mp\xi} + O\left(\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\, s=0 \\ |t|\gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||\xi|^{-2} \right).$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varphi,0}^{\sigma,\pm}(\Xi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \Xi(s) c_0^\pm\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{-\frac{s}{2}} ds.$$

The integrand is holomorphic on $\mathrm{Re}\, s > -2$ except for a simple pole at $s = 0$. Hence we can shift the contour to $(-2+2\varepsilon)$ and by residue theorem we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varphi,0}^{\sigma,\pm}(\Xi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2-2\varepsilon)} \Xi(s) c_0^\pm\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{-\frac{s}{2}} ds + \mathrm{res}_{s=0}\, c_0^\pm(s)\Xi(s)$$

$$= c_0^\pm(0) \mathrm{res}_{s=0}\, \Xi(s) + O\left(\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\, s=-2+2\varepsilon \\ |t|\gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||x|^{1-\varepsilon} \right).$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s} \int_{|x|<1} \varphi(x)|1-x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}} e(-x\xi) dx ds$$

$$= c_0^\pm(0) \mathrm{res}_{s=0}\, \Xi(s) \sum_{\pm} \frac{e(\mp\xi)}{\pm\xi} + O\left(\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\, s=-2+2\varepsilon \\ |t|\gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||C^2|^{1-\varepsilon}|\xi|^{-\varepsilon} + \sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\, s=0 \\ |t|\gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||\xi|^{-2} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s}\int_{|x|>1}\varphi(x)|1-x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}}\mathrm{e}(-x\xi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s$$

$$=c_0^{\pm}(0)\,\mathrm{res}_{s=0}\,\Xi(s)\sum_{\pm}\frac{\mathrm{e}(\mp\xi)}{\mp\xi}+O\left(\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\,s=-2+2\varepsilon\\|t|\gg1}}|\Xi(s)||C^2|^{1-\varepsilon}|\xi|^{-\varepsilon}+\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\,s=0\\|t|\gg1}}|\Xi(s)||\xi|^{-2}\right).$$

By adding them together and observe that $|\xi|\gg1$, we obtain our result. $\square$

A.3. Global estimates. In this subsection we prove **??** and **??**. Note that $v_{q_i}(N)=v_i$. Hence the constant v in the local computation becomes $v_i/2$ in our case.

For the proof of these two theorems we omit the variables depending the implied constants, which will only depend on $\varphi,\psi_i,\pm,v,M,\varepsilon,L_i,\kappa,\sigma$ and a_i if occurred.

Proof of ??: By Mellin inversion formula, for $\sigma>0$ we have

$$\mathbf{F}_{\iota,\epsilon}(\xi,\eta)=\int_{X_\iota}\int_{Y_\epsilon}\varphi(x)\prod_{i=1}^r\psi_i(y_i)\left[\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s}|x^2\mp1|^{s/2}|y^2-4N|_q^{\prime s/2}\mathrm{d}s\right]\mathrm{e}(-x\xi)\prod_{i=1}^r\mathrm{e}_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y.$$

Since $\widetilde{\Phi}(s)$ decreases rapidly vertically, we can interchange the integrals over s and x,y. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{F}_{\iota,\epsilon}(\xi,\eta)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s}\left[\int_{X_\iota}\varphi(x)|x^2\mp1|^{s/2}\mathrm{e}(-x\xi)\mathrm{d}x\prod_{i=1}^r\int_{Y_{\epsilon_i}}\psi_i(y_i)|y_i^2-4N|_{q_i}^{\prime s/2}\mathrm{e}_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i)\mathrm{d}y_i\right]\mathrm{d}s$$

$$=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s}\left[\int_{X_\iota}\overline{\varphi}(x)|x^2\mp1|^{\frac{a_0+s}{2}}\mathrm{e}(-x\xi)\mathrm{d}x\prod_{i=1}^r\int_{Y_{\epsilon_i}}\overline{\psi}_i(y_i)|y_i^2-4N|_{q_i}^{\prime\frac{a_i+s}{2}}\mathrm{e}_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i)\mathrm{d}y_i\right]\mathrm{d}s.$$

Fix $\kappa\in]0,1/2[$. Write

$$\overline{\varphi}(x)=\overline{\varphi}(x)(1-\phi_\kappa(x))+\overline{\varphi}(x)\phi_\kappa(x)=\varphi^{\blacktriangle}(x)+\varphi^{\blacktriangledown}(x)$$

if $\mathrm{sgn}\,N=+1$ and

$$\overline{\varphi}(x)=\varphi^{\blacktriangle}(x)$$

if $\mathrm{sgn}\,N=-1$. Moreover, write

$$\overline{\psi}_i(y_i)=\overline{\psi}_i(y_i)(1-\mathbb{1}_{U_i})+\overline{\psi}_i(y_i)\mathbb{1}_{U_i}=\psi_i^{\blacktriangle}(y_i)+\psi_i^{\blacktriangledown}(y_i)$$

if N has square roots in $\mathbb{Q}_{q_i}$ and

$$\overline{\psi}_i(y_i)=\psi_i^{\blacktriangle}(y_i)$$

if N has no square roots in $\mathbb{Q}_{q_i}$, where

$$U_i=\{y_i\in\mathbb{Q}_{q_i}\mid|y_i-2\sqrt{N}|_\ell\leqslant\ell^{-L_i}\}\sqcup\{y_i\in\mathbb{Q}_{q_i}\mid|y_i+2\sqrt{N}|_\ell\leqslant\ell^{-L_i}\}$$

for L_i such that $\overline{\varphi}(y_i)$ is constant on each of the two constituents of U_i and that L_i satisfies the technical conditions in **??**.

Thus we only need to estimate

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s}\left[\int_{X_\iota}\varphi^{\blacklozenge_0}(x)|x^2\mp1|^{\frac{a_0+s}{2}}\mathrm{e}(-x\xi)\mathrm{d}x\prod_{i=1}^r\int_{Y_{\epsilon_i}}\psi_i^{\blacklozenge_i}(y_i)|y_i^2-4N|_{q_i}^{\prime\frac{a_i+s}{2}}\mathrm{e}_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i)\mathrm{d}y_i\right]\mathrm{d}s,\quad\text{(A.4)}$$

where $\blacklozenge_i\in\{\blacktriangle,\blacktriangledown\}$.

Let

$$\mathbf{I}_0(s,\xi)=\int_{X_\iota}\varphi^{\blacklozenge_0}(x)|x^2\mp1|^{\frac{a_0+s}{2}}\mathrm{e}(-x\xi)\mathrm{d}x$$

and

$$\mathbf{I}_i(s,\eta_i)=\int_{Y_{\epsilon_i}}\psi_i^{\blacklozenge_i}(y_i)|y_i^2-4N|_{q_i}^{\prime\frac{a_i+s}{2}}\mathrm{e}_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i)\mathrm{d}y_i.$$

44

Then

$$(\textbf{??}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s} \mathbf{I}_0(s, \xi) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{I}_i(s, \eta_i) ds.$$

For simplicity, we assume that $\blacklozenge_i = \blacktriangledown$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and $\blacklozenge_i = \blacktriangle$ for all $i = m+1, \ldots, r$. Hence by **??** and **??**, for any $i = m+1, \ldots, r$, $\mathbf{I}_i(s, \eta_i)$ is an entire function for s, and for any $\tau_i > 0$ we have

$$\mathbf{I}_i(s, \eta_i) \ll (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i}.$$

Case 1: $\blacklozenge_0 = \blacktriangle$.
By **??** and **??**, $\mathbf{I}_0(s, \xi)$ is an entire function for s, and for any $\tau > 0$ we have

$$\mathbf{I}_0(s, \xi) \ll (1 + |\xi|)^{-\tau}.$$

Also, by **??**, we have

$$\mathbf{I}_i(s, \eta_i) \ll (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1 - \frac{a_i + \sigma}{2}}.$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

By moving the contour to $\sigma = M/2$ in (**??**), we obtain

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{|\widetilde{\Phi}(s)|}{C^\sigma} (1 + |\xi|)^{-\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1 - \frac{a_i + \sigma}{2}} \prod_{i=m+1}^{r} (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i}$$

$$\ll \left(|C|^2 [\![\xi, \eta]\!] \right)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|_\infty)^{-1 - \frac{a_0}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1 - \frac{a_i}{2}}.$$

Case 2: $\blacklozenge_0 = \blacktriangledown$.
We will consider the cases $|\xi| \ll 1$ and $|\xi| \gg 1$ separately.
Case 2.1: $|\xi| \ll 1$.
For any fixed $\sigma' > 0$, we have $\mathrm{Re}\, a_0 + \sigma' > -2$. Hence

$$|\mathbf{I}_0(s, \xi)| \le \int_{X_\iota} |\varphi^{\blacktriangledown}(x)| |x^2 \mp 1|^{\frac{a_0 + \sigma}{2}} dx \ll_{\sigma'} 1.$$

Therefore, a similar argument as in Case 1 shows that

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{|\widetilde{\Phi}(s)|}{C^\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1 - \frac{a_i + \sigma}{2}} \prod_{i=m+1}^{r} (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i}$$

$$\ll \left(|C|^2 [\![\xi, \eta]\!] \right)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|_\infty)^{-1 - \frac{a_0}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1 - \frac{a_i}{2}}.$$

Case 2.2: $|\xi| \gg 1$.
This is the most complicated part and we will use the generalized results for the archimedean places. Since we are considering $\varphi^{\blacktriangledown}(x)$, we must have $\mathrm{sgn}\, N = 1$. Thus $X_\iota =]-1, 1[$ or $X_\iota =]-\infty, -1[\cup]1, +\infty[$. By the definition of the bump function we know that $\varphi^{\blacktriangledown}(x)$ has the same asymptotic expansion as $\varphi(x)$ near ± 1.

For simplicity we only consider the case $X_\iota =]-1, 1[$, another case is similar. Let

$$\Xi(s) = \widetilde{\Phi}(s) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{I}(s, \eta_i).$$

Then we have

$$(\textbf{??}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s} \mathbf{I}_0(s, \xi) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi^{\blacktriangledown}(x) |1 - x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathrm{e}(-x\xi) dx ds.$$

By **??** with $K = 0$ and $\tau = M$, we obtain

$$(\textbf{??}) = \sum_\pm \frac{e(\mp\xi)\mathcal{A}^{\sigma,\pm}_{\varphi,0}(\Xi)(\pm C^2\xi)}{(\pm\xi)^{1+\frac{a}{2}}} + O\left(\sup_{\substack{\operatorname{Re} s = 2M \\ |t| \gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||C^2\xi|^{-M}|\xi|^{-2-\frac{a}{2}}\right).$$

By moving the contour (σ) to $(2M)$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}^{\sigma,\pm}_{\varphi,0}(\Xi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2M)} \Xi(s) c_0^\pm\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{a+s}{2}\right) x^{-\frac{s}{2}} ds \ll_{\varphi,M} \sup_{\substack{\operatorname{Re} s = 2M \\ |t| \gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||x|^{-M}$$

since $\Gamma(s)$ has exponential decay vertically and $c_0^\pm$ has at most polynomial growth vertically. Therefore

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \sup_{\substack{\operatorname{Re} s = 2M \\ |t| \gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||C^2\xi|^{-M}|\xi|^{-1-\frac{a}{2}} + \sup_{\substack{\operatorname{Re} s = 2M \\ |t| \gg 1}} |\Xi(s)||C^2\xi|^{-M}|\xi|^{-2-\frac{a}{2}}$$

$$\ll \sup_{\substack{\operatorname{Re} s = 2M \\ |t| \gg 1}} |\Xi(s)|(|C^2\xi|)^{-M}|\xi|^{-1-\frac{a}{2}}.$$

By **??**, **??**, and **??** we have

$$|\Xi(s)| = |\widetilde{\Phi}(s)|\prod_{i=1}^m |\mathbf{I}(s,\eta_i)| \prod_{i=m+1}^r |\mathbf{I}(s,\eta_i)| \ll_{\psi_i,\tau_i,\nu_i,M} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}-M} \prod_{i=m+1}^r (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i}.$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s = 2M$. This yields

$$(\textbf{??}) \ll \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}-M} \prod_{i=m+1}^r (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i} (|C|^2|\xi|)^{-M}|\xi|^{-1-\frac{a}{2}}$$

$$\ll \left(|C|^2[\![\xi,\eta]\!]\right)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|_\infty)^{-1-\frac{a_0}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + |\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}}. \qquad \square$$

Proof of ??: The first statement is implied in **??** by summing over ι and ϵ, so we only need to prove the second one.

By Mellin inversion formula, for $\sigma > 0$ we have

$$\mathbf{F}_\epsilon(\xi,\eta) = \int_\mathbb{R}\int_{Y_\epsilon} \varphi(x)\prod_{i=1}^r \psi_i(y_i)\left[\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s}|x^2 \mp 1|^{s/2}|y^2 - 4N|_q^{\prime s/2} ds\right] e(-x\xi)\prod_{i=1}^r e_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i) dx dy.$$

Since $\widetilde{\Phi}(s)$ decreases rapidly vertically, we can interchange the integral over s and x,y. Thus we obtain

$$\mathbf{F}_\epsilon(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s}\left[\int_\mathbb{R} \varphi(x)|x^2 \mp 1|^{s/2} e(-x\xi) dx \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{Y_{\epsilon_i}} \psi_i(y_i)|y_i^2 - 4N|_{q_i}^{\prime s/2} e_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i) dy_i\right] ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s}\left[\int_\mathbb{R} \overline{\varphi}(x)|x^2 \mp 1|^{\frac{a_0+s}{2}} e(-x\xi) dx \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{Y_{\epsilon_i}} \overline{\psi_i}(y_i)|y_i^2 - 4N|_{q_i}^{\prime\frac{a_i+s}{2}} e_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i) dy_i\right] ds.$$

Write

$$\overline{\varphi}(x) = \overline{\varphi}(x)(1 - \phi_\kappa(x)) + \overline{\varphi}(x)\phi_\kappa(x) = \varphi^\blacktriangle(x) + \varphi^\blacktriangledown(x)$$

if $\operatorname{sgn} N = +1$ and

$$\overline{\varphi}(x) = \varphi^\blacktriangle(x)$$

if $\operatorname{sgn} N = -1$. Moreover, write

$$\overline{\psi_i}(y_i) = \overline{\psi_i}(y_i)(1 - \mathbb{1}_{U_i}) + \overline{\psi_i}(y_i)\mathbb{1}_{U_i} = \psi_i^\blacktriangle(y_i) + \psi_i^\blacktriangledown(y_i)$$

if N has square roots in $\mathbb{Q}_{q_i}$ and

$$\overline{\psi_i}(y_i) = \psi_i^\blacktriangle(y_i)$$

if N has no square roots in $\mathbb{Q}_{q_i}$, where U_i is as defined in the previous theorem.

It therefore suffices to bound

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)}{C^s}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi^{\blacklozenge_0}(x)|x^2\mp1|^{\frac{a_0+s}{2}}e(-x\xi)dx\prod_{i=1}^{r}\int_{Y_{\epsilon_i}}\psi_i^{\blacklozenge_i}(y_i)|y_i^2-4N|_{q_i}^{\prime\frac{a_i+s}{2}}e_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i)dy_i\right]ds,\quad(\text{A}.5)$$

where $\blacklozenge_i\in\{\blacktriangle,\blacktriangledown\}$.

Let

$$\mathbf{I}_0(s,\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi^{\blacklozenge_0}(x)|x^2\mp1|^{\frac{a_0+s}{2}}e(-x\xi)dx$$

and

$$\mathbf{I}_i(s,\eta_i)=\int_{Y_{\epsilon_i}}\psi_i^{\blacklozenge_i}(y_i)|y_i^2-4N|_{q_i}^{\prime\frac{a_i+s}{2}}e_{q_i}(-y_i\eta_i)dy_i.$$

Case 1: $\blacklozenge_0=\blacktriangle$.
We have proved that

$$\mathbf{F}_{\iota,\epsilon}(\xi,\eta)\ll(1+|\xi|_\infty)^{-\tau}\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}}.$$

Thus the result follows immediately by summing over ι.

Case 2: $\blacklozenge_0=\blacktriangledown$.
We will consider the cases $|\xi|\ll1$ and $|\xi|\gg1$ separately.

Case 2.1: $|\xi|\ll1$.

In this case, $(1+|\xi|)^{-1-\frac{a_0}{2}}\asymp1\asymp(1+|\xi|)^{-2}$. Hence the conclusion follows immediately from **??** by summing over ι.

Case 2.2: $|\xi|\gg1$.
Let

$$\Xi(s)=\widetilde{\Phi}(s)\prod_{i=1}^{r}\mathbf{I}(s,\eta_i).$$

Then we have

$$(\textbf{??})=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s}\mathbf{I}_0(s,\xi)ds=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}\frac{\Xi(s)}{C^s}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi^{\blacktriangledown}(x)|1-x^2|^{\frac{s}{2}}e(-x\xi)dxds.$$

By **??**, we obtain

$$(\textbf{??})\ll\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\,s=0\\|t|\gg1}}|\Xi(s)||\xi|^{-2}+\sup_{\substack{\mathrm{Re}\,s=-2+2\varepsilon\\|t|\gg1}}|\Xi(s)||C|^{2-2\varepsilon}.$$

By **??**, **??**, and **??** we have

$$|\Xi(s)|=|\widetilde{\Phi}(s)|\prod_{i=1}^{m}|\mathbf{I}(s,\eta_i)|\prod_{i=m+1}^{r}|\mathbf{I}(s,\eta_i)|\ll\prod_{i=1}^{m}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\frac{a_i}{2}-\varepsilon}\prod_{i=m+1}^{r}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}\,s=-2+2\varepsilon$ and

$$|\Xi(s)|\ll\prod_{i=1}^{m}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}}\prod_{i=m+1}^{r}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}\,s=0$. This yields

$$(\textbf{??})\ll\prod_{i=1}^{m}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}}\prod_{i=m+1}^{r}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i}|\xi|^{-2}+\prod_{i=1}^{m}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\frac{a_i}{2}-\varepsilon}\prod_{i=m+1}^{r}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-\tau_i}|C|^{2-2\varepsilon}$$

$$\ll\left[\left(|C|^2\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})\right)^{1-\varepsilon}+(1+|\xi|_\infty)^{-2}\right]\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1+|\eta_i|_{q_i})^{-1-\frac{a_i}{2}}$$

since $1+|\xi|\asymp|\xi|$ in this case. $\qquad\qquad\square$

In this section, we establish an estimate for $\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi, m)$, where $k, f \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}_{(S)}$ and $\xi, m \in \mathbb{Z}^S$. The proofs are based on the results in [**?**, Appendix B].

Let ℓ be a prime. For $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define $a_{(\ell)} = \ell^{v_\ell(a)}$ and $a^{(\ell)} = a/a_{(\ell)}$.

Proposition B.1. *Let $k, f \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}_{(S)}$ and $\xi, m \in \mathbb{Z}^S$. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi, m) = \prod_\ell \mathrm{Kl}^{(\ell)}_{k_{(\ell)}, f_{(\ell)}} \left(((kf^2)^{(\ell)})^{-1}\xi, m \right),$$

where $((kf^2)^{(\ell)})^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of $(kf^2)^{(\ell)}$ modulo $(kf^2)_{(\ell)}$.

Proof: Let

$$kf^2 = \prod_{j=1}^t (kf^2)_{(\ell_j)}$$

be the prime factorization. By Chinese remainder theorem, we have an isomorphism

$$\varphi : \prod_{j=1}^t \mathbb{Z}/(kf^2)_{(\ell_j)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/kf^2$$

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_t) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^t a_j (kf^2)^{(\ell_j)}((kf^2)^{(\ell_j)})^{-1}.$$

Observe that $\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_t) \equiv a_j \pmod{(kf^2)_{(\ell_j)}}$. Moreover,

$$\frac{\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_t)\xi}{kf^2} = \frac{\xi}{kf^2} \sum_{j=1}^t a_j (kf^2)^{(\ell_j)}((kf^2)^{(\ell_j)})^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{a_j((kf^2)^{(\ell_j)})^{-1}\xi}{(kf^2)_{(\ell_j)}}$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_t)\xi}{kf^2}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^t \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{a_j((kf^2)^{(\ell_j)})^{-1}\xi}{(kf^2)_{(\ell_j)}}\right), \quad \mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_t)\xi}{kf^2}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^t \mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{a_j((kf^2)^{(\ell_j)})^{-1}\xi}{(kf^2)_{(\ell_j)}}\right).$$

Hence by using the equivalent definition (**??**),

$$\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi, m) = \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{\substack{a_j \bmod (kf^2)_{(\ell_j)} \\ a_j^2 - 4m \equiv 0 \ (f^2_{(\ell_j)})}} \prod_{j=1}^t \left(\frac{(\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_t)^2 - 4m)/f^2}{k_{(\ell_j)}}\right) \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_t)\xi}{kf^2}\right) \mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_t)\xi}{kf^2}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{\substack{a_j \bmod (kf^2)_{(\ell_j)} \\ a_j^2 - 4m \equiv 0 \ (f^2_{(\ell_j)})}} \prod_{j=1}^t \left(\frac{(a_j^2 - 4m)/f^2}{k_{(\ell_j)}}\right) \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{a_j((kf^2)^{(\ell_j)})^{-1}\xi}{(kf^2)_{(\ell_j)}}\right) \mathrm{e}_q\left(\frac{a_j((kf^2)^{(\ell_j)})^{-1}\xi}{(kf^2)_{(\ell_j)}}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^t \mathrm{Kl}^{(\ell)}_{k_{(\ell_j)}, f_{(\ell_j)}} \left(((kf^2)^{(\ell_j)})^{-1}\xi, m \right). \qquad \square$$

Now we use the results of [**?**, Appendix B] to obtain the estimates. We remark that the proof of [**?**, Appendix B] uses the Weil bound [**?**] of the Kloosterman sums. More precisely, if

$$S(a, b; c) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})^\times} \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{ax + bx^{-1}}{c}\right),$$

then

$$|S(a, b; c)| \leqslant 2\sqrt{\gcd(a, b, c)}\sqrt{c}.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we define

$$\delta(a;b) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x^2 \equiv a \ (b) \text{ has a solution,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition B.2. *Suppose that $\xi, m \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ and $\ell \notin S$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Kl}^{(\ell)}_{\ell^u, \ell^v}(\xi, m) \ll \delta(4m; \ell^{2v}) \begin{cases} \ell^{u + \min\{2v, v_\ell(m)\}/2}, & v_\ell(\xi) \geqslant u + \dfrac{1}{2}\min\{2v, v_\ell(m)\}, \\ \dfrac{\ell^{u + \min\{2v, v_\ell(m)\}/2}}{\sqrt{\ell}}, & v_\ell(\xi) = u - 1 + \dfrac{1}{2}\min\{2v, v_\ell(m)\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Choose $\xi', m' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\xi \equiv \xi' \pmod{\ell^{u+2v}}$ and $m \equiv m' \pmod{\ell^{u+2v}}$. By [**?**, Corllary B.6], we have

$$\mathrm{Kl}^{(\ell)}_{\ell^u, \ell^v}(\xi', m') \ll \delta(4m'; \ell^{2v}) \begin{cases} \ell^{u + \min\{2v, v_\ell(m')\}/2}, & v_\ell(\xi') \geqslant u + \dfrac{1}{2}\min\{2v, v_\ell(m')\}, \\ \dfrac{\ell^{u + \min\{2v, v_\ell(m')\}/2}}{\sqrt{\ell}}, & v_\ell(\xi') = u - 1 + \dfrac{1}{2}\min\{2v, v_\ell(m')\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By **??**, the left hand side of the two formulas are equal. A straightforward verification shows that the right hand side of them are equal. □

Having established the local case, we now proceed to the global estimate.

Corollary B.3. *Suppose that $\xi, m \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ and $k, f \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}_{(S)}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\mathrm{Kl}^S_{k,f}(\xi, m) \ll_\varepsilon \delta(4m; f^2) \begin{cases} (kf^2)^\varepsilon \sqrt{k \gcd(m^{(q)}, f^2)} \sqrt{\gcd\left(\dfrac{\xi^{(q)}}{\sqrt{\gcd(m^{(q)}, f^2)}}, k\right)}, & \dfrac{k\sqrt{\gcd(m^{(q)}, f^2)}}{\mathrm{rad}\, k} \mid \xi^{(q)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\mathrm{rad}\, a = \prod_{\ell \mid a} \ell.$$

Proof: This follows directly from **??** by going through the proof of [**?**, Corllary B.8]. Note that the last claim in the proof of loc. cit. should be corrected. The right bound is

$$\prod_{\ell \mid kf^2} O(1) = O(1)^{\omega(kf^2)} = O(1)^{O(\log\log kf^2)} \ll_\varepsilon (kf^2)^\varepsilon,$$

where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of prime divisors of n. □

REFERENCES

[Alt15] Salim Ali Altuğ, *Beyond endoscopy via the trace formula: 1. Poisson summation and isolation of special representations*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 10, 1791–1820.

[Alt17] _____, *Beyond endoscopy via the trace formula, II: Asymptotic expansions of Fourier transforms and bounds towards the Ramanujan conjecture*, Amer. J. Math. **139** (2017), no. 4, 863–913.

[BB13] Valentin Blomer and Farrell Brumley, *The role of the Ramanujan conjecture in analytic number theory*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **50** (2013), no. 2, 267–320.

[Che25] Yuhao Cheng, *Beyond endoscopy for* GL₂ *over* ℚ *with ramification: Poisson summation*, preprint (2025), `ArXiv:2505.18967`.

[Del74] Pierre Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil. I*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **43** (1974), 273–307.

[Del80] _____, *La conjecture de Weil. II*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **52** (1980), 137–252.

[GH24] Jayce R. Getz and Heekyoung Hahn, *An introduction to automorphic representations: with a view toward trace formulae*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 300, Springer, 2024.

[GJ79] Stephen Gelbart and Hervé Jacquet, *Forms of* GL(2) *from the analytic point of view*, Automorphic forms, representations and *L*-functions Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, pp. 213–251.

[HPS79] R. Howe and I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *A counterexample to the "generalized Ramanujan conjecture" for (quasi-) split groups*, Automorphic forms, representations and *L*-functions , Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, pp. 315–322.

[JL70] Hervé Jacquet and Robert P. Langlands, *Automorphic forms on* GL(2), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 114, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[Kna97] Anthony W Knapp, *Theoretical aspects of the trace formula for GL*(2), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 61, 1997, pp. 355–405.

[Kuz81] N V Kuznecov, *Petersson's conjecture for cusp forms of weight zero and Linnik's conjecture. sums of Kloosterman sums*, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik **39** (1981), no. 3, 299.

[Lan04] Robert P. Langlands, *Beyond endoscopy*, Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004, pp. 611–697.

[Lan13] _____ , *Singularités et transfert*, Ann. Math. Qué. **37** (2013), no. 2, 173–253.

[MS04] Werner Müller and Brigit Spreh, *Absolute convergence of the spectral side of the Arthur trace formula for* GL$_n$ *(with appendix by E.M. Lapid)*, Geometric And Functional Analysis **14** (2004), no. 1, 58–93.

[Sar05] Peter Sarnak, *Notes on the generalized Ramanujan conjectures*, Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties (James Arthur, David Ellwood, and Robert Kottwitz, eds.), vol. 4, Citeseer, 2005, pp. 659–685.

[Ten15] Gérald Tenenbaum, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 163, American Mathematical Soc., 2015.

[Wei48] André Weil, *On some exponential sums*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **34** (1948), 204–207.

QIUZHEN COLLEGE, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, 100084, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: chengyuhaomath@gmail.com