Workshop on Kernel Methods in Bioinformatics

# New String Kernels for Biosequence Data

Christina Leslie
Department of Computer Science
Columbia University

# Biological Sequence Classification Problems

- *Protein classification:* Learn how to classify protein sequence data into families and superfamilies defined by structure/function relationships

```
VLSPADKTNVKAAWGKVGAHAGEYGAEALER
MFLSFPTTKTYFPHFDLSHGSAQVKGHGKKV
ADALTNAVAHVDDMPNALSALSDLHAHKLRV
DPVNFKLLSHCLLVTLAAHLPAEFTPAVHAS
LDKFLASVSTVLTSKYR
```



- *Pre-mRNA splicing prediction:* Learn to distinguish exons from pseudo exons based on their splice/pseudo splice signals and intronic flanking regions



# Biological Sequence Classification Problems

- *Protein classification:* Learn how to classify protein sequence data into families and superfamilies defined by structure/function relationships

**VLSPADKTNVKAAWGKVGAHAGEYGAEALER**
**MFLSFPTTKTYFPHFDLSHGSAQVKGHGKKV**
**ADALTNAVAHVDDMPNALSALSDLHAHKLRV**
**DPVNFKLLSHCLLVTLAAHLPAEFTPAVHAS**
**LDKFLASVSTVLTSKYR**



- *Pre-mRNA splicing prediction:* Learn to distinguish exons from pseudo exons based on their splice/pseudo splice signals and intronic flanking regions



# Protein Classification




- *Remote homologs*: sequences that belong to the same superfamily but not the same family – remote evolutionary relationship
- Use *discriminative supervised learning* approach (SVMs) to *train* a classifier for remote homology detection

# Kernels for Discrete Objects

- Can define kernels for sequences, graphs, other *discrete* objects for use with kernel-based classifiers:

$$\{ \text{sequences} \} \xrightarrow{F} R^N$$

For sequences $x$, $y$, feature map F, kernel value is inner product in feature space

$$K(x, y) = \langle F(x), F(y) \rangle$$

- Original string kernels [Watkins, Haussler, later Lodhi *et al.*] require quadratic time in sequence length, $O(|x| \, |y|)$, to compute each kernel value $K(x, y)$

# String Kernels for Biosequences

- We'll define new fast *string kernels* for biological sequence data
  - Biologically-inspired underlying feature map
  - Kernels scale linearly with sequence length, $O(c_K(|x| + |y|))$ to compute
  - Strong protein classification performance
  - Many models for *inexact sequence matching*

    $\rightarrow$ Mismatches

    $\rightarrow$ Gaps, substitutions, wildcards

# Outline

1. Mismatch kernel
   - Feature maps indexed by k-mers
   - Inexact matching through mismatches
   - Efficient computation of mismatch kernel
   - Fast prediction
2. Experimental results on SCOP dataset
3. Other models for inexact matching
   - Kernels from gaps, substitutions, wildcards
   - Results for new kernels on SCOP experiments

# Spectrum-based Feature Map

- Idea: feature map based on *spectrum* of a sequence
  - The k-spectrum of a sequence is the set of all k-length contiguous subsequences that it contains
  - Feature map is indexed by all possible k-length subsequences (“k-mers”) from the alphabet of amino acids
  - Dimension of feature space = $|\Sigma|^k$ ($|\Sigma| = 20$ for amino acids)

**AKQDYYYYEI**



**AKQ**
**KQD**
**QDY**
**DYY**
**YYY**
**YYY**
**YYE**
**YEI**

# k-Spectrum Feature Map

- Feature map for k-spectrum with no mismatches:

  For sequence $x$, $F_{(k)}(x) = (F_t(x))_{\{\text{k-mers } t\}}$,

  where $F_t(x)$ = #occurrences of $t$ in $x$

**AKQDYYYYEI**



**( 0 , 0 , … , 1 , … , 1 , … , 2 )**
**AAA AAC … AKQ … KQD … YYY**

C. Leslie, E. Eskin, and W. Noble, *The Spectrum Kernel: A String Kernel for SVM Protein Classification.* Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing, 2002.

# Inexact Matching through Mismatches

- For k-mer $s$, the *mismatch neighborhood* $N_{(k,m)}(s)$ is the set of all k-mers $t$ within m mismatches from $s$
- Size of mismatch neighborhood is $O(|\Sigma|^m k^m)$



# (k,m)-Mismatch Feature Map

- Feature map for k-spectrum, allowing m mismatches:

  For a k-mer $s$, $F_{(k,m)}(s) = (F_t(s))_{\{\text{k-mers } t\}}$,

  where $F_t(s) = 1$ if $t$ is in neighborhood $N_{(k,m)}(s)$,

  $F_t(s) = 0$ otherwise

**AKQ** ⟶ **( 0 , … , 1 , … , 1 , … , 1 , … , 1 , … , 0 )**

**AAQ AKQ DKQ EKQ**

- Extend additively to longer sequences $x$ by summing over all k-mers $s$ in $x$

C. Leslie, E. Eskin, J. Weston and W. Noble, *Mismatch String Kernels for SVM Protein Classification.* Neural Information Processing Systems 2002.

# Computing the (k,m)-Mismatch Kernel

- Use *mismatch tree* to organize lexical traversal of all instances of k-mers (with mismatches) in the training data
  - Each path down to a leaf corresponds to a coordinate in feature map
  - Kernel values for all training sequences updated at each leaf node
  - Depth-first traversal can be accomplished with recursive function



# Computing the Kernel for Pair of Sequences

- Traversal of trie for k=3, m=1




$x$ : **EADLALGKAVF**

$y$ : **ADLALGADQVFNG**

# Computing the Kernel for Pair of Sequences

- Traversal of trie for k=3, m=1






# Computing the Kernel for Pair of Sequences

- Traversal of trie for k=3, m=1

$x$ : **EADLALGKAVF**

$y$ : **ADLALGADQVFNG**




Update kernel value for K($x$, $y$) by adding contribution for feature **ADL**

Scales linearly with length, $O(k^{m+1}|\Sigma|^m(|x|+|y|))$

# SVM Classifiers

- Linear classifier defined in feature space by

  $$f(x) = \langle \mathbf{w}, F(x) \rangle + b$$

  where sign(f($x$)) gives prediction
- SVM solution gives normal vector

  $$\mathbf{w} = \Sigma_i \, y_i \, \alpha_i \, F(x_i)$$

  as a linear combination of *support vectors*, involving weights $\alpha_i$ and labels $y_i$



# Fast prediction

- SVM training determines subset of training sequences corresponding to *support vector sequences* and their weights: $(x_i, \alpha_i)$
- Linear decision rule in feature space:

$$f(x) = \Sigma_i \, y_i \, \alpha_i \, \langle F(x_i), F(x) \rangle + b$$

- $F(x)$ is sum of feature vectors $F(s)$ for k-mers $s$ in $x$

$\rightarrow$ Precompute per k-mer scores for classifier

$\rightarrow$ Test sequences can be classified in *linear time* via lookup of k-mers

# Outline

1. Mismatch kernel
   - Feature maps indexed by k-mers
   - Inexact matching through mismatches
   - Efficient computation of mismatch kernel
   - Fast prediction
2. Experimental results on SCOP dataset
3. Other models for inexact matching
   - Kernels from gaps, substitutions, wildcards
   - Results for new kernels on SCOP experiments

# SCOP Experiments




- Tested with experiments on SCOP dataset from Jaakkola *et al.*
- Experiments designed to ask: Could the method discover a new family of a known superfamily?

# SCOP Experiments

- 160 experiments for 33 target families from 16 superfamilies
- Compared results against
  - SVM-Fisher (HMM-based kernel)
  - SAM-T98 (profile HMM)
  - PSI-BLAST (heuristic alignment-based method)
- *ROC scores*: area under the graph of true positives as a function of false positives, scaled so that both axes vary between 0 and 1

# Results Across All Target Families



# Background on Fisher-SVM

- Previous solution [Jaakkola, Diekhans, Haussler]:
  - Use positive examples to train profile HMM, ($M_+$ ,$\theta_0$)
  - For each training example $x$, *Fisher score* is gradient of log-likelihood score for $x$ given $M_+$ (evaluated at $\theta_0$)

$$x \longrightarrow \nabla_\theta \log P(x \mid M_+, \theta)$$

- Method relies on generative model
  - Requires large amount of data or sophisticated priors to train $M_+$
  - Expensive: dynamic programming (quadratic in sequence length) – for each sequence $x$, forward-backward algorithm to compute features

# Aside: Connection with Fisher Kernel

- Consider order k-1 Markov chain model for positive sequences, with parameters

  $\theta^{t|s_1..s_{k-1}} = P(x_j = t \mid x_{j-k+1}..x_{j-1} = s_1..s_{k-1})$

- Corresponding Fisher coordinate for $x$ is

  (#occurrences of $s_1..\ s_{k-1}t$ in $x$)/$\theta^{t|s_1..s_{k-1}}$

  - (#occurrences of $s_1..s_{k-1}$ in $x$ )

- Fisher kernel for Markov chain model similar to k-spectrum kernel

# Interpretation of Mismatch-SVM Classifier

- Rank features by $|w_i|$, associate to +/- class by sign($w_i$)
- Top positively-weighted k-mer features learned by SVM map to *conserved regions* in the *multiple alignment* of positive training sequences



# Interpretation of Mismatch-SVM Classifier

- Rank features by $|w_i|$, associate to +/- class by sign
- Top positively-weighted k-mer features learned by SVM map to *conserved regions* in the *multiple alignment* of positive training sequences



# Advantages of Mismatch-SVM

- Mismatch-SVM performs as well as SVM-Fisher but avoids computational expense, training difficulties of profile HMM
- Advantages of string kernel:
  - *Efficient computation*: scales linearly with sequence length
  - *Fast prediction*: classify test sequences in linear time
  - *Interpretation* of learned classifier
  - *General approach* for biosequence data, does not rely on alignment or generative model

# Outline

1. Mismatch kernel
   - Feature maps indexed by k-mers
   - Inexact matching through mismatches
   - Efficient computation of mismatch kernel
   - Fast prediction
2. Experimental results on SCOP dataset
3. Other models for inexact matching
   - Kernels from gaps, substitutions, wildcards
   - Results for new kernels on SCOP experiments

# Other Fast(er) Kernels for Inexact Matching

- Mismatch kernel is linear in sequence length, but constant $c_K = k^{m+1}|\Sigma|^m$ depends on alphabet size
- Other models for inexact matching can achieve $O(c_K(|x| + |y|))$ with $c_K$ independent of $|\Sigma|$
  - Restricted gaps
  - Probabilistic substitutions
  - Wildcards

C. Leslie and R. Kuang, *Fast String Kernels for Inexact String Matching.*

# Inexact Matching through Gaps

- For g-mer $s$, the *gapped match set* $G_{(g,k)}(s)$ consists of all k-mers $t$ that occur in $s$ with g - k gaps
- Size of gapped match set is $O(g^{g-k})$, independent of $|\Sigma|$



# (g,k)-Gappy Kernel

- Several possibilities for feature map:

  *Unweighted*: For a g-mer $s$, $F_{(g,k)}(s) = (F_t(s))_{\{\text{k-mers } t\}}$,

  where $F_t(s) = 1$ if $t$ is in gapped match set $G_{(g,k)}(s)$,

  $F_t(s) = 0$ otherwise

  *Weighted*: For $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, use instead

  $F_t(s) = (1/\lambda^k) \Sigma_{\{\text{subseq(s) = t}\}} \lambda^{\text{length(subseq(s))}}$

  where $F_t(s)$ can be computed by dynamic programming




- Extend additively by summing over all g-mers $s$ in $x$

# Gappy Kernel Computation

- Traverse instance g-mers in the data, greedily align to k-length paths (k-mer features)
- At leaf node, count instances for each input sequence (unweighted) or perform restricted dynamic programming (weighted)
- *Complexity*: $O(c_K(|x| + |y|))$ with $c_K = g^{g-k+1}$ (unweighted) or

  $(g-k)g^{g-k+1}$ (weighted)



# Gappy Kernel SCOP Results



# Inexact Matching through Probabilistic Substitutions

- Use *substitution matrices* to obtain P(a|b), substitution probabilities for residues a, b
- The *mutation neighborhood* $M_{(k,\sigma)}(s)$ is the set of all k-mers $t$ such that

  $$-\Sigma_{i=1\ldots k} \log P(s_i|t_i) < \sigma$$

- For a k-mer $s$, map $F_{(k,\sigma)}(s) = (F_t(s))_{\{\text{k-mers } t\}}$,
  where $F_t(s) = 1$ if $t$ is in neighborhood $M_{(k,\sigma)}(s)$,
  $F_t(s) = 0$ otherwise;
  extend additively
- Trie computation with $c_K = k N_\sigma$, where $N_\sigma$ is maximum size of mutation neighborhood

# Substitution Kernel SCOP Results



# Inexact Matching through Wildcards

- Introduce wildcard character "$*$", define feature space indexed by k-mers from $\Sigma \cup \{*\}$, allowing up to m wildcards
- For a k-mer $s$, $F_{(k,m)}(s) = (F_t(s))_{\{\text{k-mers } t\}}$,

  where $F_t(s) = \lambda^{num(*,t)}$ , if $t$ matches $s$, num($*$,$t$) =#wildcards,

  $F_t(s) = 0$ otherwise;

  extend additively

**AKQ** ⟶ **( 0 , … , 1 , … , λ , … , λ , … , λ , … , 0 )**

**AKQ** **AK*** **A*Q** ***KQ**

- Compute with (pruned) depth k trie over $\Sigma \cup \{*\}$, $c_K = k^{m+1}$
- Alternative weightings introduced elsewhere by Eskin and Snir

# Wildcard Kernel SCOP Results



# Conclusions and Further Work

- String kernels that incorporate *inexact matching*, used with SVMs, are competitive with best-known methods for protein classification
- Gaps, substitutions, and wildcards lead to computation time $O(c_K(|x| + |y|))$, where $c_K$ is independent of alphabet size
- *Convex combinations* of kernels could lead to improved performance [see Vishwanathan and Smola for exact matching case]
- Can describe all the kernels here using *transducer formalism* of Cortes *et al.*

# (5,1)-Mismatch vs Fisher Using ROC Scores



# (5,1)-Mismatch vs Fisher Using ROC-50 Scores



# (5,1)-Mismatch vs. 3-Spectrum Using ROC Scores



# (5,1)-Mismatch vs. 3-Spectrum Using ROC-50 Scores