
Brief summary

- This is just a brief summary of important points in this course. You certainly need to read the textbook and/or other materials to fully understand these topics.
- Textbook: D.J. Griffiths, “Introduction to Quantum Mechanics”, 3rd ed.
Chapter “Ch.” and Section “§” numbers refer to this book.
- Other references: J.J. Sakurai, “Modern Quantum Mechanics”.
- Note: Einstein convention of implicit summation over repeated indices.

Contents

I. Fundamentals and Formalism (~ Ch.1 & Ch.3)	2
II. One-dimensional Eigenvalue Problems (~ Ch.2)	5
III. Three-dimensional Problems (~ Ch.4)	7
IV. Identical Particles (~ Ch.5)	11
V. Time-independent Perturbation Theory (~ Ch.7)	13
VI. Variational Principle (~ Ch.8)	16
VII. The WKB Approximation (~ Ch.9)	17
VIII. Quantum Dynamics (~ Ch.11)	19
A. Time-dependent Perturbation Theory	19
B. Adiabatic Approximation (~ §11.5)	22
IX. Scattering (~ Ch.10)	25

I. FUNDAMENTALS AND FORMALISM (\sim CH.1 & CH.3)

- **Wavefunction**: complex function $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$.
 - Here \mathbf{r} labels the classical configuration of the system, *e.g.* position of a particle.
 - **Normalization**: $\int d\mathbf{r} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 = 1$.
 - **Statistical interpretation** (by Max Born):
 $|\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$ is the *a priori* probability density for the particle to be at \mathbf{r} , at time t .
- Hilbert space: \approx complex linear space of wavefunctions, with an “inner product”.
 - Inner product: $(\phi, \psi) \equiv \int d\mathbf{r} (\phi(\mathbf{r}))^* \psi(\mathbf{r})$, also called “overlap between ϕ and ψ ”.
 - * Hermitivity: $(\phi, \psi) = (\psi, \phi)^*$.
 - * Linear with respect to (w.r.t) the 2nd argument: $(\phi, \sum_i c_i \psi_i) = \sum_i c_i \cdot (\phi, \psi_i)$.
 Then anti-linear w.r.t. the 1st argument: $(\sum_i c_i \phi_i, \psi) = \sum_i c_i^* \cdot (\phi_i, \psi)$.
 - * Positive semi-definiteness: $(\psi, \psi) \geq 0$.
 - * Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (can be derived from the above three facts):
 $(\psi, \psi) \cdot (\phi, \phi) \geq (\psi, \phi) \cdot (\phi, \psi) = |(\psi, \phi)|^2$.
- **Dirac symbols**: ‘kets’ $|\psi\rangle$, and ‘bras’ $\langle\psi|$;
 - ‘kets’: abstract notation for a (pure) quantum state, a ‘vector’ in Hilbert space.
 - ‘bras’: a ‘dual vector’ in the ‘dual space’ (linear space of linear functionals).
 $\langle\psi|$ maps a quantum state to a complex number, $|\phi\rangle \mapsto (\psi, \phi)$.
 - $\langle\psi|\phi\rangle$ is a complex number, the inner product $(\psi, \phi) = \int d\mathbf{r} \psi^* \phi$.
 - $|\phi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is a linear operator, maps one quantum state to another, $|\varphi\rangle \mapsto |\phi\rangle \cdot \langle\psi|\varphi\rangle$.
- **Linear operators**: linear mapping of wavefunctions, $\hat{O} : \psi \mapsto \hat{O}\psi$
 - Products of operators, $\hat{O}_1 \hat{O}_2 : \psi \mapsto (\hat{O}_1 \hat{O}_2)\psi \equiv \hat{O}_1(\hat{O}_2\psi)$.
 - **Hermitian conjugate** \hat{O}^\dagger of an operator \hat{O} : $\langle\hat{O}^\dagger\psi|\phi\rangle = \langle\psi|\hat{O}\phi\rangle$, for any states ψ, ϕ .
 - $(\hat{O}^\dagger)^\dagger = \hat{O}$. $(\hat{O}_1 \hat{O}_2)^\dagger = \hat{O}_2^\dagger \hat{O}_1^\dagger$. If $\hat{O}^\dagger = \hat{O}$ then \hat{O} is a *hermitian operator*.
 - **Commutator**: $[\hat{O}_1, \hat{O}_2] \equiv \hat{O}_1 \hat{O}_2 - \hat{O}_2 \hat{O}_1$.
 Anti-commutator $\{\hat{O}_1, \hat{O}_2\} \equiv \hat{O}_1 \hat{O}_2 + \hat{O}_2 \hat{O}_1$.

- (1D) Position operator, $\hat{x}: \psi(x) \mapsto x \cdot \psi(x)$. Hermitian.
- (1D) Momentum operator, $\hat{p}_x: \psi(x) \mapsto -i\hbar \partial_x \psi(x)$. Hermitian.
- Canonical commutation relation: $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x] = i\hbar$.
- Eigenbasis:
 - Eigenstates & eigenvalues: if $\hat{O}|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$, where λ is a complex number, then the state $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{O} with eigenvalue λ (also denoted by $|\hat{O} = \lambda\rangle$)
 - * If $[\hat{O}, \hat{B}] = c \cdot \hat{B}$, then $\hat{B}|\hat{O} = \lambda\rangle \propto |\hat{O} = \lambda + c\rangle$ (this state may vanish).
 - For “observable” (hermitian operator) \hat{O} : eigenvalues must be real, eigenstates for different eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other (have zero inner product).
 - Label the eigenstates $|\psi_k\rangle$ of a hermitian operator by a real number index k (may not be the eigenvalue itself, may be discrete, *e.g.* integers), without “degeneracy” (given k , there is a unique eigenstate, upto overall phase factor), the normalization of these states are, $\langle \psi_k | \psi_{k'} \rangle = \begin{cases} \delta_{k,k'}, & \text{discrete eigenvalues \& indices,} \\ \delta(k - k'), & \text{continuous eigenvalues \& indices.} \end{cases}$
 - Completeness of the eigenbasis: any state $|\psi\rangle$ can be expanded into a linear superposition of the eigenbasis $|\psi_k\rangle$, $|\psi\rangle = \sum_k c_k |\psi_k\rangle$, $c_k = \langle \psi_k | \psi \rangle$. Here “ \sum_k ” may contain integral over continuous index k .
 - * “Resolution of identity”: $\hat{1} = \sum_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|$.
 - (1D) Position eigenbasis $|x\rangle$: $\hat{x}|x\rangle = x|x\rangle$, $\langle x|x'\rangle = \delta(x - x')$.
 $|\psi\rangle = \int dx |x\rangle \langle x|\psi\rangle$, and $\langle x|\psi\rangle = \psi(x)$ is the wavefunction.
 - (1D) Momentum eigenbasis $|p\rangle$: $\hat{p}|p\rangle = p|p\rangle$, $\langle p|p'\rangle = \delta(p - p')$, $\langle x|p\rangle = \frac{e^{ipx/\hbar}}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}}$.
 $|\psi\rangle = \int dp |p\rangle \langle p|\psi\rangle$, $\langle p|\psi\rangle = \tilde{\psi}(p)$ is “wavefunction in momentum representation”.
 - * In momentum representation: $\hat{x} : \tilde{\psi}(p) \mapsto i\hbar \partial_p \tilde{\psi}(p)$, and $\hat{p} : \tilde{\psi}(p) \mapsto p \cdot \tilde{\psi}(p)$.
 - Under *complete orthonormal basis* $|\psi_k\rangle$, state $|\psi\rangle$ is a column vector $\langle \psi_k | \psi \rangle$, operator \hat{O} is a matrix $O_{k',k} \equiv \langle \psi_{k'} | \hat{O} | \psi_k \rangle$, and $\langle \psi_{k'} | \hat{O} \psi \rangle = \sum_k O_{k',k} \langle \psi_k | \psi \rangle$ (matrix-vector product). The indices k, k' may be continuous.
- Measurement ‘postulate’ & generalized statistical interpretation:
 - Each measurement of an observable \hat{O} under state $|\psi\rangle$, will produce one of the eigenvalues (say, λ) of \hat{O} , with *a priori* probability $|\langle \hat{O} = \lambda | \psi \rangle|^2$. $|\hat{O} = \lambda\rangle$ is the ‘normalized’ eigenstate of \hat{O} .

- If λ is continuous, $|\langle \hat{O} = \lambda | \psi \rangle|^2$ is the probability density.
- “Collapse postulate”: after this measurement, the state becomes $|\hat{O} = \lambda\rangle$.
- If the eigenstates $|\hat{O} = \lambda, k\rangle$ are degenerate, and labeled by another index k , then the probability (density) is “ \sum_k ” $|\langle \hat{O} = \lambda, k | \psi \rangle|^2$, the “collapsed” state is $\left(\sum_k |\langle \hat{O} = \lambda, k | \psi \rangle|^2 \right)^{-1/2} \sum_k |\hat{O} = \lambda, k\rangle \langle \hat{O} = \lambda, k | \psi \rangle$.
- Expectation value $\langle \hat{O} \rangle_\psi$: *a priori* average of measurement results, $\langle \psi | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle$.
- Uncertainty principle: $\sigma_A^2 \cdot \sigma_B^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} |\langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle|^2$. See §3.5 for proof.
The variance is $\sigma_A^2 \equiv \langle (\hat{A} - \langle \hat{A} \rangle)^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2$.
- Schrödinger equation: $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \hat{H} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$.
 - Hamiltonian \hat{H} is a (bosonic) hermitian operator.
 - For classical Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$, the quantum Hamiltonian is $\hat{H} = H(\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}})$, and $\hat{H} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = H(\mathbf{r}, -i\hbar \partial_{\mathbf{r}}) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$. For example: for non-relativistic particle, $H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r})$, then $\hat{H} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = [-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r})] \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$
 - * Probability current: $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \text{Re}[\psi^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{-i\hbar \nabla}{m} \psi(\mathbf{r})]$ for non-relativistic particle.
It satisfies the *continuity equation* for probability: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2) + \text{div} \mathbf{J} = 0$.
 - Stationary states: eigenstates for time-independent \hat{H} .
Stationary Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = E \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$,
then $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \exp(-i \frac{E \cdot t}{\hbar}) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t=0)$. The expectation value of any observable (not explicitly involving t) under a stationary state, does not change over time.
 - If \hat{H} is independent of time t , generic solutions of Schrödinger equation are linear superpositions of stationary states, $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n c_n e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \psi_n(\mathbf{r})$.
Here n labels energy eigenvalues (may be continuous), c_n are complex coefficients, E_n are energy eigenvalues (eigenvalues of \hat{H}), ψ_n are eigenstate wavefunctions of \hat{H} for eigenvalue E_n .
 - Heisenberg equations of motion (Equation 6.78 in §6.8): $\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{Q} \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [\hat{H}, \hat{Q}] \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial \hat{Q}}{\partial t} \rangle$.
The expectation values are taken under a solution $\psi(t)$ of Schrödinger equation.
 - If $\frac{\partial \hat{Q}}{\partial t} = 0$ and $[\hat{H}, \hat{Q}] = 0$, then observable \hat{Q} is *conserved*, $\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{Q} \rangle = 0$.

II. ONE-DIMENSIONAL EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS (\sim CH.2)

- $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x})$. Namely, $\hat{H}\psi(x) = [-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_x^2 + V(x)]\psi(x)$.
- Qualitative features (*c.f.* Sturm-Liouville theory):
 - The “energy spectrum” (collection of energy eigenvalues) contains discrete “bound states”, between $\min_x V(x)$ and $\min\{V(+\infty), V(-\infty)\}$; and continuous “scattering states”, above $\min\{V(+\infty), V(-\infty)\}$.
 - Bound states are non-degenerate, bound state wavefunctions $\psi_n(x)$, labeled by integer n , are orthonormal, $\langle\psi_n|\psi_{n'}\rangle = \delta_{n,n'}$. And $\psi_n(x)$ can be chosen real.
 - If $V(x)$ is finite in a neighborhood of x , the eigenstate $\psi_n(x)$ is smooth at x . If $V(x) = +\infty$ in a neighborhood of x , the eigenstate $\psi_n(x)$ vanishes at x .
 - Node: where $\psi_n(x) = 0$. (excluding the trivial case above at $V(x) = +\infty$) Nodes are “simple”, where $\psi_n(x) = 0$, $\partial_x\psi_n(x) \neq 0$.
 - For bound states, ground state (lowest energy state) wavefunction has no node; n -th excited state wavefunction has n nodes, $x_i^{(n)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; nodes of adjacent levels are interpenetrating, $x_1^{(n)} < x_1^{(n-1)} < x_2^{(n)} < x_2^{(n-1)} < \dots < x_{n-1}^{(n-1)} < x_n^{(n)}$.
NOTE: the n here may not be exactly the label(quantum number) of ψ_n .
 - Inversion symmetry: if $V(x) = V(-x)$, for bound states, the ground state is even function, the n -th excited state has $\psi_n(-x) = (-1)^n\psi_n(x)$. (see NOTE above)
NOTE: the inversion center may be at $x_0 \neq 0$, $V(x_0 + x) = V(x_0 - x)$.
- (§2.4) Free particle: $V(x) = V_0 = \text{const.}$
 - System has “translation symmetry”: if $\psi(x)$ is eigenstate of \hat{H} , then $\psi(x+a)$ is also eigenstate with the same eigenvalue. Here a is an arbitrary real constant.
 - Eigenstates: **plane waves**, $\psi_p(x) = \frac{e^{ipx/\hbar}}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}}$, $E_p = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V_0$. $p \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Wave packet: $\Psi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(p - p_0) \cdot e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}[(p-p_0)\cdot x - (E_p - E_{p_0})\cdot t]} dp \cdot \frac{\exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}(p_0\cdot x - E_{p_0}\cdot t))}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}}$
 $= f(x, t) \cdot \frac{\exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}(p_0\cdot x - E_{p_0}\cdot t))}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}}$. Here $\phi(p-p_0)$ has a “narrow” peak around $p = p_0$ (peak width $\ll p_0$), $f(x, t)$ is a “broad” envelope function (relevant length scale $\gg \frac{\hbar}{p_0}$).
 The peak of the envelop function moves with the “**group velocity**” $v_g \equiv \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial p}$.

- (§2.2) Infinite square potential well: $V(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty, & x - x_0 < 0 \text{ or } x - x_0 > a; \\ 0, & 0 < x - x_0 < a. \end{cases}$
 - Eigenstates: **standing waves**, $\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin(\frac{n\pi}{a}(x - x_0))$, $E_n = \frac{\hbar^2 n^2 \pi^2}{2ma^2}$. $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
- (§2.5) δ -function potential: $V(x) = \alpha \cdot \delta(x - x_0)$. NOTE: α has unit of (energy·length).
 - Boundary condition for eigenstates $\psi_n(x)$ at the δ -function potential position: integrate the stationary Schrödinger equation over an infinitesimal region $-\delta < x - x_0 < \delta$, and take limit $\delta \rightarrow +0$, $\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_x \psi_n \right]_{x=x_0-0}^{x=x_0+0} + \alpha \psi_n(x_0) = 0$.
If the potential contains an additional smooth part, this is still true.
 - The eigenstate wavefunction is continuous, but its 1st derivative may not be continuous, at the δ -function potential position.
- (§2.6) Finite square potential well: $V(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x - x_0 < -a \text{ or } x - x_0 > a; \\ -V_0, & -a < x - x_0 < a. \end{cases}$
 - For any positive V_0 and a , there is at least one “even-parity” bound state.
 - Resonant tunneling for scattering states: when $E = \frac{\hbar^2 n^2 \pi^2}{2m(2a)^2} - V_0 > 0$, the would-be bound state energy for infinite square well, the “transmission coefficient” = 1.
- (§2.3) **Harmonic oscillator**: $V(x) = \frac{m\omega^2}{2} x^2$.
 - **Ladder operators**: $\hat{a}_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} (\hat{x} \mp \frac{i}{m\omega} \hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} (x \mp \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \partial_x)$. $[\hat{a}_-, \hat{a}_+] = 1$.
 $(\hat{a}_{\pm})^\dagger = \hat{a}_{\mp}$. $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 \hat{x}^2}{2} = \hbar\omega \cdot (\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + \frac{1}{2})$.
 - $\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_-$ is positive semi-definite: $\langle \psi | \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- | \psi \rangle = \langle (\hat{a}_+)^{\dagger} \psi | \hat{a}_- \psi \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_- \psi | \hat{a}_- \psi \rangle \geq 0$, for any ψ . Therefore hermitian operator $\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_-$ has non-negative eigenvalues.
 - Commutators $[\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_-, \hat{a}_{\pm}] = (\pm 1) \cdot \hat{a}_{\pm}$. Then $\hat{a}_{\pm} |\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- = n\rangle \propto |\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- = n \pm 1\rangle$.
 - Therefore $\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_-$ eigenvalues n are non-negative integers.
 - $\psi_0(x) = (\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi})^{1/4} \exp(-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2)$, and $\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (\hat{a}_+)^n \psi_0(x)$. $E_n = \hbar\omega \cdot (n + \frac{1}{2})$.
 $\hat{a}_+ \psi_n = \sqrt{n+1} \psi_{n+1}$; $\hat{a}_- \psi_n = \sqrt{n} \psi_{n-1}$, in particular $\hat{a}_- \psi_0 = 0$.
 - See §2.3.2 for “Analytic Method” (solving differential equations):
 $\psi_n(x) = (\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi})^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}}) \exp(-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2)$. \hat{H}_n are Hermite polynomials.
 - * $e^{-(x-t)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_n(x) e^{-x^2}$. [Related to the “coherent state”].
 - * $H_{n+1}(x) = 2x \cdot H_n(x) - 2n \cdot H_{n-1}(x)$. [Check $\hat{x} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}_- + \hat{a}_+)$].

III. THREE-DIMENSIONAL PROBLEMS (\sim CH.4)

- Central potential problem: $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(r)$. Potential V depends only on radius r .
 - Separation of variables in polar coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) :

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r (r^2 \partial_r) - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\hat{L}^2}{\hbar^2} \right] + V(r).$$

$$\hat{L}^2 = -\hbar^2 \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta) + \frac{1}{(\sin \theta)^2} \partial_\phi^2 \right].$$
 - Eigenstates are of the form $\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = R(r) \cdot Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$.
 - “spherical harmonics”: $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \frac{(l-|m|)!}{(l+|m|)!}} P_\ell^m(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi}, & m \geq 0; \\ \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \frac{(l-|m|)!}{(l+|m|)!}} P_\ell^m(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi}, & m < 0. \end{cases}$
 - * “associated Legendre polynomial”: $P_\ell^m(x) = (1-x^2)^{|m|/2} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{|m|} P_\ell(x)$.
 - * “Legendre polynomial”: $P_\ell(x) = \frac{1}{2^\ell \ell!} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^\ell [(x^2-1)^\ell]$.
 - * “orbital angular momentum quantum number” ℓ is a non-negative integer.
 - * “magnetic quantum number” $m = -\ell, -\ell+1, \dots, \ell$, is integer.
 - * Orthonormal property: $\int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi [Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)]^* Y_{\ell'}^{m'}(\theta, \phi) = \delta_{\ell, \ell'} \delta_{m, m'}$.
 - Radial equation: define $u(r) \equiv r \cdot R(r)$, it satisfies a 1D Schrödinger equation,

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_r^2 + V(r) + \frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)}{2mr^2} \right] u(r) = E \cdot u(r).$$
 Here $\frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)}{2mr^2}$ is the “centrifugal potential”. E is the energy eigenvalue.
 - * $R(r) \sim r^\ell$ when $r \rightarrow 0$, for normalizable eigenfunction.
 - * Energy eigenvalues depend on ℓ and another “principal quantum number”, but will be independent of m , so at least $(2\ell+1)$ -fold degenerate.
- Example: free particle, $V(r) = V_0 = \text{const.}$
 - Spherical waves: $\psi_k(r, \theta, \phi) \propto j_\ell(kr) Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$, $E = V_0 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$.
 - “spherical Bessel function”: $j_\ell(x) = (-x)^\ell \left(\frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx}\right)^\ell \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)$.
 $j_\ell(x) \sim x^\ell$ when $x \rightarrow 0$, $\sim \frac{\sin(x - \frac{\ell}{2}\pi)}{x}$ when $x \rightarrow +\infty$.
 - “spherical Neumann function”: $n_\ell(x) = -(-x)^\ell \left(\frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx}\right)^\ell \left(\frac{\cos x}{x}\right)$.
 $n_\ell(x) \sim x^{-\ell-1}$ when $x \rightarrow 0$, $\sim -\frac{\cos(x - \frac{\ell}{2}\pi)}{x}$ when $x \rightarrow +\infty$.
- Example: finite spherical potential well: $V(r) = \begin{cases} -V_0, & r < a; \\ 0, & r > a. \end{cases}$
 - If V_0 or a is too small, there will be NO bound state. See Textbook Problem 4.11.

- Example: 3D harmonic oscillator (Textbook Problem 4.46, 4.47), $V(r) = \frac{m\omega^2 r^2}{2}$.
 - $E_{n\ell m} = \hbar\omega \cdot (n + \frac{3}{2})$. Here $(n - \ell)$ is an even non-negative integer.
 - Side remark: the degeneracy $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ is higher than $(2\ell + 1)$, due to a hidden $SU(3)$ symmetry, higher than just 3D rotation symmetry $SO(3)$. There are more conserved observables than the orbital angular momentum $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$, including $\hat{x}\hat{y} + \frac{\hat{p}_x\hat{p}_y}{m^2\omega^2}$, $\hat{y}\hat{z} + \frac{\hat{p}_y\hat{p}_z}{m^2\omega^2}$, $\hat{z}\hat{x} + \frac{\hat{p}_z\hat{p}_x}{m^2\omega^2}$, $\hat{x}^2 - \hat{y}^2 + \frac{\hat{p}_x^2 - \hat{p}_y^2}{m^2\omega^2}$, $\hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 - 2\hat{z}^2 + \frac{\hat{p}_x^2 + \hat{p}_y^2 - 2\hat{p}_z^2}{m^2\omega^2}$,
- (§4.2) Example: “hydrogen atom”, $V(r) = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{r}$, here e is elementary charge.
 - $E_{n\ell m} = E_1 \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}$. Here $(n - \ell)$ is a positive integer.
 - The “**Rydberg energy**” $E_1 = -\frac{m}{2\hbar^2} (\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0})^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\frac{1}{a_0})^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{a_0} \approx -13.6\text{eV}$.
The “**Bohr radius**” $a_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0}{e^2} \approx 0.53\text{\AA}$.
 - **Ground state wavefunction** $\psi_{100} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi a_0^3}} e^{-r/a_0}$.
 - $\psi_{n\ell m} = \sqrt{(\frac{2}{na_0})^3 \frac{(n-\ell-1)!}{2n[(n+\ell)!]^3}} e^{-r/na_0} (\frac{2r}{na_0})^\ell L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(\frac{2r}{na_0}) Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$. (see §4.2).
 - Side remark: the degeneracy n^2 is higher than $(2\ell + 1)$, due to a hidden $SO(4)$ symmetry, higher than just 3D rotation symmetry $SO(3)$. There are more conserved observables than the orbital angular momentum $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$, including the Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector, $\hat{\mathbf{A}} \equiv -(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0}) \cdot m \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r} + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \times \hat{\mathbf{L}} - \hat{\mathbf{L}} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}})$.
- (§4.3) **Orbital angular momentum: $\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}$, or $\hat{L}_a = \epsilon_{abc} \hat{r}_b \hat{p}_c$.**
 - Levi-Civita symbol: $\epsilon_{abc} = \begin{cases} +1, & abc = xyz, yzx, zxy; \\ -1, & abc = zyx, xzy, yxz; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ $\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon_{adf} = \delta_{bd}\delta_{cf} - \delta_{bf}\delta_{cd}$.
 - \hat{L}_a are all hermitian (observable). $[\hat{L}_a, \hat{L}_b] = i\hbar\epsilon_{abc}\hat{L}_c$, or $\hat{\mathbf{L}} \times \hat{\mathbf{L}} = i\hbar\hat{\mathbf{L}}$.
 - $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 \equiv \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 + \hat{L}_z^2$ is hermitian and positive semi-definite. $[\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2, \hat{L}_a] = 0$.
 - **Ladder operators: $\hat{L}_\pm = \hat{L}_x \pm i\hat{L}_y$. $(\hat{L}_\pm)^\dagger = \hat{L}_\mp$, $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_\pm] = \pm\hbar\hat{L}_\pm$, $[\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2, \hat{L}_\pm] = 0$.**
 - In polar coordinates, \hat{L}_a does not depend on radius r .
 $\hat{L}_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$, $\hat{L}_\pm = \pm\hbar e^{\pm i\phi} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \pm i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi})$
 - **Simultaneous eigenstates of $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2$ and \hat{L}_z : $|\ell, m\rangle \equiv |\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \ell(\ell+1)\hbar^2, \hat{L}_z = m\hbar\rangle$.**
Here ℓ is non-negative integer, $m = -\ell, -\ell+1, \dots, \ell$.

- * Proof: suppose we have $|\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \alpha\hbar^2, \hat{L}_z = \beta\hbar\rangle$, by the commutation relations, $\hat{L}_\pm|\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \alpha\hbar^2, \hat{L}_z = \beta\hbar\rangle \propto |\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \alpha\hbar^2, \hat{L}_z = (\beta \pm 1)\hbar\rangle$. By $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \hat{L}_z(\hat{L}_z \pm 1) + \hat{L}_\mp \hat{L}_\pm$, $\hat{L}_\mp \hat{L}_\pm$ is positive semi-definite, we have $\alpha \geq \beta(\beta \pm 1)$. The “ladder” of β generated by \hat{L}_\pm must be truncated on both sides. Namely, there is a β_{\max} such that (s.t.) $\hat{L}_+|\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \alpha\hbar^2, \hat{L}_z = \beta_{\max}\hbar\rangle = 0$, and a β_{\min} s.t. $\hat{L}_-|\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \alpha\hbar^2, \hat{L}_z = \beta_{\min}\hbar\rangle = 0$. $\beta_{\max} - \beta_{\min}$ is non-negative integer. Then $\alpha = \beta_{\max}(\beta_{\max} + 1) = \beta_{\min}(\beta_{\min} - 1)$. The solution is $\beta_{\max} = -\beta_{\min} = \ell$, $\alpha = \ell(\ell + 1)$, and $\beta_{\max} - \beta_{\min} = 2\ell$ is non-negative integer.
- * For orbital angular momentum, consider $\hat{L}_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$, and the fact that the wavefunction $\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = \psi(r, \theta, \phi + 2\pi)$, the m must be integer, then ℓ must also be integer. [A rigorous argument in Textbook(2nd Ed.) Problem 4.57]
- Wavefunction for $|\ell, m\rangle$: $R(r)Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$.
- $\hat{L}_\pm|\ell, m\rangle = \hbar\sqrt{(\ell \mp m)(\ell \pm m + 1)}|\ell, m \pm 1\rangle$, under Condon-Shortley convention.
- NOTE: this fixes the relative phases between $|\ell, m\rangle$ states with different m .
- (§4.4.1) Spin-1/2: internal 2-dimensional Hilbert space.
 - Spin angular momentum operators: $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$. $[\hat{S}_a, \hat{S}_b] = i\hbar\epsilon_{abc}\hat{S}_c$.
 - Two basis states: $|S = \frac{1}{2}, S_z = +\frac{1}{2}\rangle, |S = \frac{1}{2}, S_z = -\frac{1}{2}\rangle$. (Usually $|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle$)
 - Under above basis, $\hat{S}_a = \frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_a$, σ_a are Pauli matrices.
 - $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. $\sigma_a\sigma_b = \delta_{ab}\sigma_0 + i\epsilon_{abc}\sigma_c$.
So commutator $[\sigma_a, \sigma_b] = 2i\epsilon_{abc}\sigma_c$, anti-commutator $\{\sigma_a, \sigma_b\} = 2\delta_{ab}\sigma_0$.
 - Spin-1/2 state: $|\psi\rangle = \psi_\uparrow|\uparrow\rangle + \psi_\downarrow|\downarrow\rangle$, also written as $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_\uparrow \\ \psi_\downarrow \end{pmatrix}$.
The expectation values of spin operators are $\langle\psi|\hat{S}_a|\psi\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \cdot (\psi_\uparrow^*, \psi_\downarrow^*) \cdot \sigma_a \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\uparrow \\ \psi_\downarrow \end{pmatrix}$.
Here $\psi_\uparrow, \psi_\downarrow$ are complex numbers, with normalization $|\psi_\uparrow|^2 + |\psi_\downarrow|^2 = 1$.
 - Spinor wavefunction: the spin-1/2 wavefunctions may depend on \mathbf{r} (or other degrees of freedom), $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) \\ \psi_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}$. The normalization is $\int [|\psi_\uparrow(\mathbf{r})|^2 + |\psi_\downarrow(\mathbf{r})|^2] d\mathbf{r} = 1$.
This is also written as $\psi(\mathbf{r}, s)$ with $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$, and $\sum_s \int d\mathbf{r} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, s)|^2 = 1$.
 - Larmor precession (§4.4.2): $\hat{H} = -\gamma \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}} = -\gamma B_b \hat{S}_b$. By the Heisenberg equation of motion, $\frac{d}{dt}\langle\hat{S}_a\rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar}\langle[\hat{H}, \hat{S}_a]\rangle = \gamma\epsilon_{bac}B_b\langle\hat{S}_c\rangle$, or $\frac{d}{dt}\langle\hat{\mathbf{S}}\rangle = -\gamma \mathbf{B} \times \langle\hat{\mathbf{S}}\rangle$. So $\langle\hat{\mathbf{S}}\rangle$ will rotate around \mathbf{B} without changing length and angle between $\langle\hat{\mathbf{S}}\rangle$ and \mathbf{B} .

- (§4.4.3) Addition of angular momentum:
 - Consider two independent Hilbert spaces, \mathcal{H}_{J_1} and \mathcal{H}_{J_2} , with complete orthonormal basis $|J_1, m_1\rangle$ and $|J_2, m_2\rangle$ respectively. Here $m_i = -J_i, -J_i + 1, \dots, J_i$.
 - In each \mathcal{H}_{J_i} , there are angular momentum operators $\hat{J}_{i,a}$, satisfying $[\hat{J}_{i,a}, \hat{J}_{i,b}] = i\hbar\epsilon_{abc}\hat{J}_{i,c}$, $\hat{J}_{i,z}|J_i, m_i\rangle = \hbar m_i|J_i, m_i\rangle$, $\hat{J}_{i,\pm} \equiv \hat{J}_{i,x} \pm i\hat{J}_{i,y}$, and $\hat{J}_{i,\pm}|J_i, m_i\rangle = \hbar\sqrt{(J_i \mp m_i)(J_i \pm m_i + 1)}|J_i, m_i \pm 1\rangle$.
 - Make the tensor product Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{J_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{J_2}$, with $(2J_1 + 1)(2J_2 + 1)$ complete orthonormal basis $|J_1, m_1\rangle \otimes |J_2, m_2\rangle$ (usuall just $|J_1, m_1\rangle|J_2, m_2\rangle$).
 - In $\mathcal{H}_{J_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{J_2}$, define total angular momentum operators $\hat{J}_a = \hat{J}_{1,a} + \hat{J}_{2,a}$. Here $\hat{J}_{1,a}$ ($\hat{J}_{2,a}$) acts only on the first (second) factor of $|J_1, m_1\rangle|J_2, m_2\rangle$ basis, so actually $\hat{J}_a = \hat{J}_{1,a} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{J_2} + \mathbb{1}_{J_1} \otimes \hat{J}_{2,a}$, where $\mathbb{1}_{J_i}$ is the identity operator(matrix) in \mathcal{H}_{J_i} . $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ still satisfies $[\hat{J}_a, \hat{J}_b] = i\hbar\epsilon_{abc}\hat{J}_c$. So we can find simultaneous eigenstates of $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$ and \hat{J}_z in $\mathcal{H}_{J_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{J_2}$, $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2|J, m\rangle = \hbar^2 J(J + 1)|J, m\rangle$ and $\hat{J}_z|J, m\rangle = \hbar m|J, m\rangle$.
 - **Clebsch-Gordon theorem: the total angular momentum quantum number J can be $|J_1 - J_2|$, or $(|J_1 - J_2| + 1), \dots$, up to $(J_1 + J_2)$.**
 - * This means that by some unitary transformation (basis change), the tensor product Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{J_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{J_2} \sim \mathcal{H}_{|J_1 - J_2|} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{|J_1 - J_2| + 1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_{J_1 + J_2}$, the direct sum of subspaces with fixed J quantum number. As consistency check, the dimensions match, $(2J_1 + 1)(2J_2 + 1) = \sum_{J=|J_1 - J_2|}^{J_1 + J_2} (2J + 1)$.
 - **Clebsch-Gordon coefficient (C-G coefficient):** $C_{m_1 m_2 m}^{J_1 J_2 J}$, also $\langle J_1, m_1; J_2, m_2 | J, m \rangle$.
 - * Definition: $|J, m\rangle = \sum_{m_1, m_2} C_{m_1 m_2 m}^{J_1 J_2 J} |J_1, m_1\rangle |J_2, m_2\rangle$.
 - * $C_{m_1 m_2 m}^{J_1 J_2 J}$ is a $(2J_1 + 1)(2J_2 + 1)$ -dimensional unitary matrix, if we view (J, m) combination as row index, (m_1, m_2) combination as column index.
 - * Selection rule: for non-zero $C_{m_1 m_2 m}^{J_1 J_2 J}$, $m = m_1 + m_2$, because $\hat{J}_z = \hat{J}_{1,z} + \hat{J}_{2,z}$; and J must be one of $|J_1 - J_2|, (|J_1 - J_2| + 1), \dots, (J_1 + J_2)$.
 - * By $0 = \hat{J}_+ |J, J\rangle = (\hat{J}_{1,+} + \hat{J}_{2,+}) \sum_{m_1, m_2} C_{m_1 m_2 J}^{J_1 J_2 J} |J_1, m_1\rangle |J_2, m_2\rangle$. We have $\sqrt{(J_1 - m_1 + 1)(J_1 + m_1)} C_{m_1 - 1, m_2, J}^{J_1, J_2, J} = -\sqrt{(J_2 - m_2 + 1)(J_2 + m_2)} C_{m_1, m_2 - 1, J}^{J_1, J_2, J}$. This solves all $C_{m_1, m_2, J}^{J_1, J_2, J}$ up to overall factor.
 - Example: two spin-1/2, total spin S can be $S = 0 = |\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}|$ (**spin singlet**) or $S = 1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ (**spin triplet**). See §4.4.3.

IV. IDENTICAL PARTICLES (\sim CH.5)

- Generic N -particle wavefunction: $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, t)$, $\int |\psi|^2 \prod_i d\mathbf{r}_i = 1$, $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = \hat{H}_N \psi$, and $\hat{H}_N = H_N(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_N, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_N)$, $\hat{H}_N \psi = H_N(\mathbf{r}_1, -i\hbar \partial_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, -i\hbar \partial_{\mathbf{r}_N}) \psi$.
- *Non-interacting particles*: $\hat{H}_N = \sum_{i=1}^N H_{1,i}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i)$. If $\hat{H}_{1,i}$ has eigenstates $\psi_{k_i,i}(\mathbf{r})$ with eigenvalue $E_{k_i,i}$ (k_i labels eigenstates of $\hat{H}_{1,i}$), then $\psi_{k_1,1}(\mathbf{r}_1) \cdots \psi_{k_N,N}(\mathbf{r}_N)$ is an eigenstate of \hat{H}_N with eigenvalue $\sum_{i=1}^N E_{k_i,i}$. **These direct product wavefunctions form complete orthonormal basis for N -particle Hilbert space of *distinguishable* particles.**
 - Generic N -particle states will be superpositions of the direct product basis, and may be *entangled* (cannot be written as a direct product state).
- **For *identical particles*, legitimate observables $\hat{O}_N(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_N, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_N)$ including \hat{H}_N must be invariant under permutations of particle labels $1, 2, \dots, N$, so that the particles are *indistinguishable*.** For example, non-interacting \hat{H}_N must be $\hat{H}_N = \sum_{i=1}^N H_1(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i)$, the sum of identical 1-particle Hamiltonians for each particle.
 - For example, 2-particle potentials must be $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} V(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$. Here the $\frac{1}{2}$ factor is to remove double-counting of the same (i, j) pair, and $V(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = V(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_i)$.
- Permutation group S_N (not required): *permutation* σ , rearrangement of $\{1, \dots, N\}$. $\sigma(i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ is also a number in $1, \dots, N$, and $\sigma(i) \neq \sigma(j)$ for $i \neq j$. Also represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \cdots & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix}$. There are $N!$ distinct permutations in S_N .
 - Product $\sigma \cdot \mu$ (usually just $\sigma\mu$) of permutations σ and μ : $(\sigma \cdot \mu)(i) = \sigma(\mu(i))$.
 - Identity permutation $\mathbf{1}$: $\mathbf{1}(i) = i$. So $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \cdot \sigma = \sigma$.
 - Inverse σ^{-1} of a permutation σ : $\sigma^{-1} \cdot \sigma = \sigma \cdot \sigma^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$.
 - *Transposition* $\sigma_{i,j}$ ($i \neq j$): swap of i, j only, $\sigma(i) = j$, $\sigma(j) = i$, and $\sigma(k) = k$ for $k \neq i, j$. Note that $\sigma_{i,j} \cdot \sigma_{i,j} = \mathbf{1}$.
 - Any permutation can be represented as a (non-unique) product of transpositions. For example, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_{2,4} \sigma_{1,3} \sigma_{1,2} = \sigma_{1,3} \sigma_{1,2} \sigma_{1,4} = \sigma_{3,4} \sigma_{2,3} \sigma_{3,4} \sigma_{1,2} \sigma_{2,3} = \dots$
 - Even(odd) permutations: product of even(odd) number of transpositions. *Signature (sign)* $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \pm 1$ for even(odd) permutation σ .

- For *identical particles*, a legitimate wavefunction $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)$ should be invariant (up to overall complex phase factor) under permutations of particle labels $1, \dots, N$.

- $\psi(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(1)}, \mathbf{r}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{\sigma(N)}) = R(\sigma) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)$, here $R(\sigma)$ is a complex phase factor ($|R(\sigma)| = 1$) that depends only on the permutation σ .
- $R(\sigma\mu) = R(\sigma)R(\mu)$. Then $[R(\sigma_{i,j})]^2 = R(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, so $R(\sigma_{i,j}) = \pm 1$, and because $\sigma_{i',j'} = \sigma_{i,i'}\sigma_{j,j'}\sigma_{i,j}\sigma_{i,i'}\sigma_{j,j'}$, $R(\sigma_{i',j'}) = [R(\sigma_{i,i'})]^2[R(\sigma_{j,j'})]^2R(\sigma_{i,j}) = R(\sigma_{i,j})$.
- **Bosons:** $R(\sigma_{i,j}) = +1$, then $R(\sigma) = +1$ for permutation σ . ψ is fully symmetric.
- **Fermions:** $R(\sigma_{i,j}) = -1$, then $R(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$. ψ is fully anti-symmetric.
- Given 1-particle basis $\psi_k(\mathbf{r})$ labeled by quantum number k , N -identical-particle state with 1 particle in ψ_{k_1} , 1 particle in ψ_{k_2} , \dots , 1 particle in ψ_{k_N} , is:
bosons: $\propto \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{k_1}(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \psi_{k_N}(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(N)}) = \text{perm}[\psi_{k_i}(\mathbf{r}_j)]$;
fermions: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N \psi_{k_i}(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(i)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det[\psi_{k_i}(\mathbf{r}_j)]$. (**Slater determinant**)
- **Pauli exclusion principle:** if $k_i = k_j$ for $i \neq j$, the above anti-symmetrized N fermion state vanishes. Fermions cannot occupy the same 1-particle state twice.

- Spinful wavefunctions: $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, s_1; \mathbf{r}_2, s_2; \dots; \mathbf{r}_N, s_N)$. Here s_i labels discrete internal states (*e.g.* spin) of particle i .

- $\psi(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(1)}, s_{\sigma(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{r}_{\sigma(N)}, s_{\sigma(N)}) = \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, s_1; \dots; \mathbf{r}_N, s_N)$ for bosons;
 $\psi(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(1)}, s_{\sigma(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{r}_{\sigma(N)}, s_{\sigma(N)}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, s_1; \dots; \mathbf{r}_N, s_N)$ for fermions.
- Simple case: $\psi = \psi_{\text{orbital}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \chi_{\text{spin}}(s_1, \dots, s_N)$ factorizes into orbital and spin wavefunctions. Here χ_{spin} is a complex number for given (s_1, \dots, s_N) . The permutation signs will be distributed to the orbital and spin wavefunctions.
- For two spin-1/2 identical particles, the spin wavefunction can be anti-symmetric (spin singlet), $\chi_{\text{spin}}(\uparrow, \downarrow) = -\chi_{\text{spin}}(\downarrow, \uparrow) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\chi_{\text{spin}}(\uparrow, \uparrow) = \chi_{\text{spin}}(\downarrow, \downarrow) = 0$, then ψ_{orbital} is anti-symmetric/symmetric for bosons/fermions respectively.
- The spin triplet states for two spin-1/2 are symmetric. $|S=1, S_z=1\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|S=1, S_z=0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$, $|S=1, S_z=-1\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$. Then $\psi_{\text{orbital}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ is symmetric/anti-symmetric for bosons/fermions respectively.
- For N spin-1/2, the highest total spin (total $S = \frac{N}{2}$) states are fully symmetric, $|S = \frac{N}{2}, S_z\rangle \propto (\hat{S}_-)^{\frac{N}{2}-S_z} |S = \frac{N}{2}, S_z = \frac{N}{2}\rangle = (\sum_i \hat{S}_{i,-})^{\frac{N}{2}-S_z} |\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle$.

V. TIME-INDEPENDENT PERTURBATION THEORY (\sim CH.7)

- The problem: time-independent Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \lambda \hat{H}^{(1)}$, λ is a “small” real parameter. $\hat{H}^{(0)}$ has complete orthonormal eigenstates $\psi_n^{(0)}$ with eigenvalues $E_n^{(0)}$. Solve the eigenvalues and eigenstates of \hat{H} as (asymptotic) series of λ .
- Non-degenerate perturbation: energy level $E_n^{(0)}$ is non-degenerate. Assume the n -th eigenvalue for \hat{H} is $E_n = E_n^{(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k E_n^{(k)}$, and eigenstate $\psi_n \propto \psi_n^{(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \psi_n^{(k)}$.
 - Here $\psi_n^{(k)}$ is not normalized, and $\langle \psi_n^{(k)} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ can be assumed without loss of generality: If $\langle \psi_n^{(k)} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle \neq 0$, define $|\tilde{\psi}_n^{(k)}\rangle = |\psi_n^{(k)}\rangle - |\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle \langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \psi_n^{(k)} \rangle$, then $\langle \tilde{\psi}_n^{(k)} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle = 0$, and $|\psi_n\rangle \propto (1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \psi_n^{(k)} \rangle) |\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k |\tilde{\psi}_n^{(k)}\rangle \propto |\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle + \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{k'=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{k'} \langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \psi_n^{(k')} \rangle} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k |\tilde{\psi}_n^{(k)}\rangle$, the 2nd term is orthogonal to $\psi_n^{(0)}$ and can be re-expanded into Taylor series of λ .
 - Then $|\psi_n^{(k)}\rangle = \sum_{m, m \neq n} |\psi_m^{(0)}\rangle \cdot c_m^{(k)}$, for $k \geq 1$. And $c_m^{(k=0)} \equiv \delta_{m,n}$.
 - $\hat{H}|\psi_n\rangle = E_n|\psi_n\rangle$ expanded to λ^k order is ($k \geq 1$),

$$\hat{H}^{(0)}|\psi_n^{(k)}\rangle + \hat{H}^{(1)}|\psi_n^{(k-1)}\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^k E_n^{(j)}|\psi_n^{(k-j)}\rangle. \quad [1]$$
 - Overlap Eq. [1] with $\langle \psi_n^{(0)} |$, use $\langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(0)} = E_n^{(0)} \langle \psi_n^{(0)} |$,

$$\langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_n^{(k-1)} \rangle = E_n^{(k)}. \quad [2]$$
 For $k > 1$, this is $\sum_{m, m \neq n} \langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle c_m^{(k-1)} = E_n^{(k)}$.
 - Overlap Eq. [1] with $\langle \psi_m^{(0)} |$, for $m \neq n$,

$$\sum_{m', m' \neq n} \langle \psi_m^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_{m'}^{(0)} \rangle c_{m'}^{(k-1)} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} E_n^{(j)} c_m^{(k-j)} = (E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}) c_m^{(k)}. \quad [3]$$
 - $E_n^{(k)}$ and $c_m^{(k)}$ can be solved recursively from Eq. [2,3].
 - **1st order perturbation:** $E_n^{(1)} = \langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle$, $c_m^{(1)} = \frac{\langle \psi_m^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}}$.
 - **2nd order perturbation:** $E_n^{(2)} = \sum_{m, m \neq n} \frac{\langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle \langle \psi_m^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}}$.
 2nd order perturbation always lowers the ground state energy, because the denominator is negative, and numerator $|\langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle|^2$ is non-negative.
 - Side remark: each term in $E_n^{(k)}$ can be viewed as a “virtual” k -step transition process, starting and ending at $\psi_n^{(0)}$. Each step contribute a matrix element of $\hat{H}^{(1)}$, and there are $k - 1$ energy difference $E_n^{(0)} -$ (intermediate state energy) in the denominator. For notation simplicity, define $T_{n,m} \equiv \langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle$, $\Delta_{n,m} \equiv E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}$, and \sum'_m as $\sum_{m, m \neq n}$. We have

- * 3rd order (not required): $E_n^{(3)} = \sum'_m \sum'_p \frac{T_{n,m} T_{m,p} T_{p,n}}{\Delta_{n,m} \Delta_{n,p}} - \sum'_m \frac{T_{n,m} T_{m,n}}{(\Delta_{n,m})^2} T_{n,n}$.
- * 4th order (not required): $E_n^{(4)} = \sum'_m \sum'_p \sum'_q \frac{T_{n,m} T_{m,p} T_{p,q} T_{q,n}}{\Delta_{n,m} \Delta_{n,p} \Delta_{n,q}} - (\sum'_m \sum'_p \frac{T_{n,m} T_{m,p} T_{p,n}}{(\Delta_{n,m})^2 \Delta_{n,p}} - \sum'_m \frac{T_{n,m} T_{m,n} T_{n,n}}{(\Delta_{n,m})^3}) T_{n,n} - \sum'_m \frac{T_{n,m} T_{m,n}}{(\Delta_{n,m})^2} \sum'_p \frac{T_{n,p} T_{p,n}}{\Delta_{n,p}}$.
- Non-degenerate perturbation theory is good for $|\langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \lambda \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle| \ll |E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}|$.
- Example: harmonic oscillator under constant force,

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 \hat{x}^2}{2} = \hbar\omega(\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + \frac{1}{2}), E_n^{(0)} = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}), |\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle = \frac{(\hat{a}_+)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle.$$

$$\lambda \hat{H}^{(1)} = -f \hat{x} = -f \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_-). \text{ (Let } \lambda = 1 \text{ here, or view } f \text{ as the parameter)}$$
 - * $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2(\hat{x} - f/m\omega^2)^2}{2} - \frac{f^2}{2m\omega^2}$. Exact eigenvalues are $E_n = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{f^2}{2m\omega^2}$.
 - * $T_{n,m} = -f \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\sqrt{n} \delta_{n,m+1} + \sqrt{m} \delta_{n+1,m}), \Delta_{n,m} = \hbar\omega(n - m)$.
 - * $E_n^{(1)} = T_{n,n} = 0$.
 - * $E_n^{(2)} = \sum'_p \frac{T_{n,p} T_{p,n}}{\Delta_{n,p}} = (-f \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}})^2 (\frac{n}{\hbar\omega} + \frac{n+1}{-\hbar\omega}) = -\frac{f^2}{2m\omega^2}$, from $p = n \mp 1$.
 - * **Exercise (not required):** check $E_n^{(3)} = 0$ and $E_n^{(4)} = 0$.
 - * Note: $\hat{H}(-f) = \hat{I} \cdot \hat{H}(f) \cdot \hat{I}^{-1}$, where \hat{I} is the unitary spatial-inversion operator ($x \rightarrow -x$), then $E_n(-f) = E_n(f)$, odd-order perturbations must vanish.
- Degenerate perturbation: energy level $E_n^{(0)}$ is g -fold degenerate ($g > 1$), with orthonormal eigenstates $\psi_{n,i}^{(0)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, g$). Assume an eigenvalue for \hat{H} is $E_{n,i} = E_n^{(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k E_{n,i}^{(k)}$, and eigenstate $\psi_{n,i} \propto \sum_{j=1}^g \psi_{n,j}^{(0)} c_{j,i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \psi_{n,i}^{(k)}$, for $i = 1, \dots, g$.
 - Here $\psi_{n,i}^{(k)}$ is not normalized, and $\langle \psi_{n,j}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,i}^{(k)} \rangle = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ for any $i, j = 1, \dots, g$.
 - $c_{j,i}$ is a $g \times g$ unitary matrix to be solved. Define new basis for the degenerate subspace, $|\tilde{\psi}_{n,i}^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^g |\psi_{n,j}^{(0)}\rangle c_{j,i}$. Then $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \psi_{n,i} = \tilde{\psi}_{n,i}^{(0)}$.
 - Assume $|\psi_{n,i}^{(k)}\rangle = \sum_{m,m \neq n} |\psi_m^{(0)}\rangle c_{m,i}^{(k)}$, for $k \geq 1$.
 - Consider $\hat{H}|\psi_{n,i}\rangle = E_{n,i}|\psi_{n,i}\rangle$, for λ^1 order, overlap with $\langle \psi_{n,j'}^{(0)}|$, we have
 - **1st order secular equation:** $\sum_{j=1}^g \langle \psi_{n,j'}^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_{n,j}^{(0)} \rangle c_{j,i} = E_{n,i}^{(1)} c_{j',i}$.
 Each column of $c_{j,i}$ matrix is an eigenvector of $\hat{H}^{(1)}$ restricted on the degenerate subspace with $g \times g$ matrix element $\langle \psi_{n,j'}^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_{n,j}^{(0)} \rangle$.
 - If the g eigenvalues $E_{n,i}^{(1)}$ of 1st order secular equation are non-degenerate, each column of $c_{j,i}$ (namely $|\tilde{\psi}_{n,i}^{(0)}\rangle$) is determined up to phase factors. Then higher order perturbations are given by non-degenerate perturbation theory under $\tilde{\psi}_{n,i}^{(0)}$ basis.
 For example, $|\psi_{n,i}^{(1)}\rangle = \sum_{m,m \neq n} |\psi_m^{(0)}\rangle \frac{\langle \psi_m^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \tilde{\psi}_{n,i}^{(0)} \rangle}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}}$, $E_{n,i}^{(2)} = \sum_{m,m \neq n} \frac{|\langle \psi_m^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \tilde{\psi}_{n,i}^{(0)} \rangle|^2}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}}$.

- If some (or all) of $E_{n,i}^{(1)}$ are degenerate, we need to find proper basis in that degenerate subspace, $\tilde{\psi}_{n,i}^{(0)} = \sum_j \tilde{\psi}_{n,j}^{(0)} \tilde{c}_{j,i}$, from the 2nd order secular equation, $\sum_j \left(\sum_{m,m \neq n} \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}_{n,j}^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle \langle \psi_m^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | \tilde{\psi}_{n,j}^{(0)} \rangle}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}} \right) \tilde{c}_{j,i} = E_{n,i}^{(2)} \tilde{c}_{j,i}$.
- If there is an observable \hat{A} that commutes with $\hat{H}^{(0)}$ and $\hat{H}^{(1)}$, then you can divide the Hilbert space by the different eigenvalues of \hat{A} , and degenerate perturbation theory may be avoided in each subspace. See §7.2.2.
- Almost-degenerate case: if the off-diagonal matrix element of perturbation is larger than energy difference between the two original levels connected by this matrix element, $|\langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \lambda \hat{H}^{(1)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle| \gg |E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}|$, then these two levels should be treated by degenerate perturbation theory. See for example §7.4.2.
- Hellmann-Feynman theorem: if \hat{H}_λ depends on parameter λ , and ψ_λ is the *non-degenerate normalized* n -th eigenstate with eigenvalue $E_n(\lambda)$, $\hat{H}_\lambda \psi_\lambda = E_n(\lambda) \psi_\lambda$, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} E_n(\lambda) = \langle \psi_\lambda | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} | \psi_\lambda \rangle$.
 - Proof: take derivative w.r.t. λ on, $E_n(\lambda) \cdot \langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\lambda \rangle = \langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{H}_\lambda | \psi_\lambda \rangle$. And then use $\hat{H}_\lambda | \psi_\lambda \rangle = E_n(\lambda) | \psi_\lambda \rangle$ and $\langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{H}_\lambda = \langle \psi_\lambda | E_n(\lambda)$.
 - This can be used to evaluate certain expectation values under energy eigenstates. For example, harmonic oscillator $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 \hat{x}^2}{2}$ has eigenvalue $E_n = \hbar\omega \cdot (n + \frac{1}{2})$, then $\langle \psi_n | \hat{x}^2 | \psi_n \rangle = \langle \psi_n | \frac{1}{m\omega} \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \omega} | \psi_n \rangle = \frac{1}{m\omega} \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \omega} = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} (n + \frac{1}{2})$.
 - The “ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}$ ” needs careful treatment, on what other parameters to be held fixed. For example, to evaluate $\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \rangle$ in a eigenstate of a 3D central potential problem, you can formally take derivative w.r.t. the orbital angular momentum quantum number ℓ (although ℓ should be integers), because the radial equation is (see III), $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} [\frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r (r^2 \partial_r) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}] R(r) = E \cdot R(r)$, then $\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \rangle = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2(2\ell+1)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \ell}$. However, when computing $\frac{\partial E}{\partial \ell}$, it is usually not the “principal quantum number” that should be held fixed. For the 3D harmonic oscillator and hydrogen atom problems, $(n - \ell)$ should be held fixed instead, because it labels the energy levels for a given ℓ . See Textbook Problem 7.42.

VI. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE (\sim CH.8)

- For a Hamiltonian (hermitian operator) \hat{H} , its ground state energy (lowest eigenvalue) $E_0 = \min_{\psi} \frac{\langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$. Here \min_{ψ} is the minimum with respect to all wavefunction ψ .
 - Conversely, for any ψ , $\frac{\langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} \geq E_0$. The equal sign happens if and only if ψ is (one of) the ground state(s).
 - In practice, we cannot go over all states ψ in the Hilbert space. Usually we compute the expectation value $E(\vec{\lambda}) \equiv \frac{\langle \psi(\vec{\lambda}) | \hat{H} | \psi(\vec{\lambda}) \rangle}{\langle \psi(\vec{\lambda}) | \psi(\vec{\lambda}) \rangle}$ under states $\psi(\vec{\lambda})$ parametrized by parameter $\vec{\lambda}$ (which can contain more than one parameters λ_i). Then $\min_{\vec{\lambda}} E(\vec{\lambda})$, the minimum of $E(\vec{\lambda})$ with respect to $\vec{\lambda}$, can be a good approximation (upper bound) for the ground state energy.
 - In practice, we may not obtain the analytic formula for $E(\vec{\lambda})$. And some times $E(\vec{\lambda})$ has to be evaluated by Monte Carlo with statistical error.
 - To minimize $E(\vec{\lambda})$, it may be helpful to know its gradient $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} E(\vec{\lambda})$, and then use methods like “steepest descent”. Similar to the Hellmann-Feynman theorem, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} E(\vec{\lambda}) = \frac{2}{\langle \psi(\vec{\lambda}) | \psi(\vec{\lambda}) \rangle} \{ \text{Re}[\langle \psi(\vec{\lambda}) | \hat{H} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \psi(\vec{\lambda}) \rangle] - E(\vec{\lambda}) \cdot \text{Re}[\langle \psi(\vec{\lambda}) | \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \psi(\vec{\lambda}) \rangle] \}$. If $\psi(\vec{\lambda})$ is always normalized, the second term vanishes.
 - Although you may get very accurate ground state energy by this variational method, the wavefunction you get may not be very accurate approximation to the true ground state wavefunction. Example: \hat{H} has two levels $E_0 < E_1$ with orthonormal eigenstates $\psi_{0,1}$, take a not-very-accurate $\psi = \psi_0 + 0.1\psi_1$, then the expectation value $\frac{\langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} = E_0 + \frac{0.01}{1.01}(E_1 - E_0)$ is very close to E_0 .
- Extension (see Textbook Problem 8.4): once we find a good approximation $\tilde{\psi}_0$ to the ground state, then the first excited state energy can be *approximated* by $\min_{\psi, \langle \psi | \tilde{\psi}_0 \rangle = 0} \frac{\langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$. Here the minimum is taken in the subspace orthogonal to $\tilde{\psi}_0$.
 - Related theorem (not required) (see *e.g.* Section I.4 of “Methods of Mathematical Physics” volume I by Courant & Hilbert): Hermitian \hat{H} in n -dimensional Hilbert space has eigenvalues $E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \dots \leq E_n$. Restrict \hat{H} onto a $(n-1)$ dimensional subspace (orthogonal to one state in original Hilbert space), with new eigenvalues $E'_1 \leq E'_2 \leq \dots \leq E'_{n-1}$. Then $E_1 \leq E'_1 \leq E_2 \leq E'_2 \leq \dots \leq E'_{n-1} \leq E_n$.

VII. THE WKB APPROXIMATION (\sim CH.9)

- Consider eigenvalue problem of $\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_x^2 + V(x)$, $\hat{H}\psi(x) = E \cdot \psi(x)$.
- The eigenstate “wave” function is $\psi(x) = A(x) \cdot e^{i\phi(x)}$, where A, ϕ are real functions (amplitude and phase). Rewrite it as $\psi(x) = A_0 e^{iu(x)}$, where $u(x) = \phi(x) - i \log \frac{A(x)}{A_0}$ is a complex function. The Schrödinger equation becomes $(\frac{du}{dx})^2 - i \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}[E - V(x)]$. Define $K(x) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}[E - V(x)]}$ and $\kappa(x) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}[V(x) - E]}$.
- Assume $|\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2}| \ll |(\frac{du}{dx})^2|$, this equation can be solved recursively,
 $\frac{d}{dx}u_0 = \pm K(x)$; and $\frac{d}{dx}u_{n+1} = \pm \sqrt{[K(x)]^2 + i \frac{d^2}{dx^2}u_n}$, for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. In particular,
 $\frac{d}{dx}u_1 = \pm \sqrt{[K(x)]^2 + i \frac{d^2}{dx^2}u_0} = \pm \sqrt{[K(x)]^2 \pm i \frac{d}{dx}K(x)}$.
- Assume $|\frac{d}{dx}K(x)| \ll |[K(x)]^2|$, the 1st order result is $\frac{d}{dx}u_1 \approx \pm K(x) + i \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \log[K(x)]$.
 We get the WKB approximation, $\psi(x) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(x)}} \exp[\pm i \int^x K(x') dx']$.
 - Classically-allowed region: $E > V(x)$. $K(x)$ is real (local wavevector), ψ is traveling waves (to the right/left for \pm sign). The probability amplitude $|\psi(x)|^2$ is inversely proportional to the classical velocity $\sqrt{\frac{2[E-V(x)]}{m}}$ at x . The probability current for $\frac{A}{\sqrt{K(x)}} e^{\pm i \int^x K(x') dx'}$ is a constant $\pm |A|^2$.
 - Classically-forbidden region: $E < V(x)$. $K(x) = i\kappa(x)$ is pure imaginary, ψ is exponentially decaying/growing functions $\frac{1}{\sqrt{i\kappa(x)}} \exp[\mp \int^x \kappa(x) dx]$.
 - Close to the *turning point* [where $V(x) = E$], the above assumptions and the WKB approximation does not work.
- Connection formula: consider a turning point x_0 , with $V(x) \sim E + V'(x_0)(x - x_0)$ around it. First consider the case $V'(x_0) > 0$, define $z = (\frac{2mV'(x_0)}{\hbar^2})^{1/3}(x - x_0)$.
 - ψ approximately satisfy the *Airy's equation*, $\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\psi = z \cdot \psi$. The solution is $\psi = a \cdot \text{Ai}(z) + b \cdot \text{Bi}(z)$. Here Ai, Bi are *Airy functions*, with asymptotic behavior:
 for $z \gg 0$, $\text{Ai}(z) \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}}$, $\text{Bi}(z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}} e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}}$;
 for $z \ll 0$, $\text{Ai}(z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(-z)^{1/4}} \sin[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3/2} + \frac{\pi}{4}]$, $\text{Bi}(z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(-z)^{1/4}} \cos[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3/2} + \frac{\pi}{4}]$.
 - For $x \gg x_0$, $\psi(x)$ is linear superposition of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa(x)}} \exp(\mp \int_{x_0}^x \kappa(x') dx')$, decays/grows exponentially, and $\int_{x_0}^x \kappa(x') dx' \approx \frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}$.

- For $x \ll x_0$, $\psi(x)$ is linear superposition of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{K(x)}} \exp(\mp i \int_x^{x_0} K(x') dx')$, traveling to the right/left, and $\int_x^{x_0} K(x') dx' \approx \frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3/2}$.
 - Match the Airy functions asymptotic form with the WKB results, where $|z| \gg 1$ but the linear approximation to $V(x)$ is still good (possible when $\hbar \rightarrow 0$).
- For $V'(x_0) > 0$ case, $\psi(x) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(x)}} \{2A \sin[\phi(x) + \frac{\pi}{4}] + B \cos[\phi(x) + \frac{\pi}{4}]\}, & x \ll x_0; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa(x)}} \{A \exp[-\gamma(x)] + B \exp[\gamma(x)]\}, & x \gg x_0. \end{cases}$
 where $\phi(x) \equiv \int_x^{x_0} K(x') dx'$, and $\gamma(x) \equiv \int_{x_0}^x \kappa(x') dx'$, are both positive.
- For $V'(x_0) < 0$ case, $\psi(x) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(x)}} \{2A \sin[\phi(x) + \frac{\pi}{4}] + B \cos[\phi(x) + \frac{\pi}{4}]\}, & x \gg x_0; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa(x)}} \{A \exp[-\gamma(x)] + B \exp[\gamma(x)]\}, & x \ll x_0. \end{cases}$
 but here $\phi(x) \equiv \int_{x_0}^x K(x') dx'$, and $\gamma(x) \equiv \int_x^{x_0} \kappa(x') dx'$, are both positive.

- Applications: tunneling through a smooth potential barrier.

$V(x) > E$ for $a < x < b$; $V(x) < E$ otherwise. Assume incoming(A) and reflected(B) waves in $x < a$, and transmitted waves(F) in $x > b$. Define $\phi(x) \equiv \int_x^a K(x') dx'$, and $\gamma(x) \equiv \int_a^x \kappa(x') dx'$. And $\gamma \equiv \gamma(b) = \int_a^b \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{2m[V(x) - E]} dx$ is assumed to be large.

$$\psi(x) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(x)}} \{B \exp[i(\phi(x) + \frac{\pi}{4})] + A \exp[-i(\phi(x) + \frac{\pi}{4})]\}, & x \ll a; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa(x)}} \left\{ \frac{i(B-A)}{2} \exp[-\gamma(x)] + (B+A) \exp[\gamma(x)] \right\}, & a \ll x \ll b; \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{K(x)}} F \exp[i(\int_b^x K(x') dx' + \frac{\pi}{4})], & x \gg b. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\gamma(x) = \gamma - \int_x^b \kappa(x') dx'$. The connection formula at b is: $\frac{i(B-A)}{2} e^{-\gamma} = F$, and $(B+A)e^{\gamma} = \frac{iF}{2}$. So $F = -i \frac{4}{4e^{\gamma} + e^{-\gamma}} A \approx -i A e^{-\gamma}$, the transmission coefficient $T \equiv \frac{|F|^2}{|A|^2} \approx e^{-2\gamma}$.

- Applications: bound state in a smooth potential well.

$V(x) < E$ for $a < x < b$; $V(x) > E$ otherwise. Define $\phi(x) \equiv \int_a^x K(x') dx'$.

$$\psi(x) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa(x)}} A \exp[-\int_x^a \kappa(x') dx'], & x \ll a; \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{K(x)}} A \sin[\phi(x) + \frac{\pi}{4}] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{K(x)}} (-A) \sin[\int_x^b K(x') dx' - \phi(b) - \frac{\pi}{4}], & a \ll x \ll b; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa(x)}} A' \exp[-\int_b^x \kappa(x') dx'], & x \gg b. \end{cases}$$

The connection formula at b is: $\phi(b) - \frac{\pi}{2} = n\pi$ and $A' = (-1)^n A$, with integer n . This is the *Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition* $\int_a^b \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{2m[E - V(x)]} dx = (n + \frac{1}{2})\pi$, or $\oint p \cdot dx = (n + \frac{1}{2})h$, where \oint is integrating over a closed classical trajectory in the phase space (x - p space).

VIII. QUANTUM DYNAMICS (\sim CH.11)

A. Time-dependent Perturbation Theory

- The problem: Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{V}(t)$, λ is a “small” parameter. $\hat{H}^{(0)}$ has complete orthonormal eigenstates $\psi_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ with eigenvalues $E_n^{(0)}$. Assume the solution to $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = \hat{H}(t) \psi$ is $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n c_n(t) e^{-iE_n^{(0)}t/\hbar} \psi_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$. Solve the coefficients $c_n(t)$ as (asymptotic) series of λ .
- The differential equations for $c_n(t)$ is, $\frac{d}{dt} c_n(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_m \lambda V_{n,m}(t) e^{i\omega_{n,m}t} \cdot c_m(t)$. Here $V_{n,m}(t) \equiv \langle \psi_n^{(0)} | \hat{V}(t) | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle$, $\omega_{m,n} \equiv \frac{1}{\hbar} (E_m^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)})$. Assume $c_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k c_n^{(k)}(t)$, and $c_n^{(0)}(t) = c_n(0)$, $c_n^{(k)}(t=0) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$. Then $c_n^{(k)}(t) = \int_0^t -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_m V_{n,m}(t') e^{i(E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)})t'} \cdot c_m^{(k-1)}(t') dt'$, this can in principle solve $c_n(t)$ to all orders of λ (Dyson series).
- “Sudden approximation”: if $\hat{V}(t)$ is nonzero for a “short” period $0 < t < \epsilon$, and $\hat{V}(t)$ is “bounded” (for example, $\sqrt{\sum_m |V_{n,m}(t)|^2} \leq V_0 < +\infty$, for any n), then $|c_n(\epsilon) - c_n(0)| \leq \frac{1}{\hbar} \lambda V_0 \epsilon$, the final state equals to the initial state when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- “Pulse” perturbation (see Textbook Problem 11.4): $\hat{V}(t) = \hat{V}_0 \cdot f(t)$, here \hat{V}_0 is independent of t , and $f(t) \sim \delta(t)$ has a “sharp” peak at $t = 0$ with $\int f(t) dt = 1$. Then the above series expansion solution does not work. If the peak width $\epsilon \ll \frac{1}{\omega_{m,n}}$, then for $-\epsilon < t < \epsilon$, the equation is approximately $\frac{d}{dt} c_n(t) = f(t) \cdot \sum_m \frac{-i}{\hbar} (\hat{V}_0)_{n,m} \cdot c_m(t)$. The solution is $c_n(\epsilon) = \exp[\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} f(t) dt \cdot \sum_m \frac{-i}{\hbar} (\hat{V}_0)_{n,m}] \cdot c_m(-\epsilon) = \exp[\frac{-i}{\hbar} (\hat{V}_0)]_{n,m} \cdot c_m(-\epsilon)$. Here $\exp[\frac{-i}{\hbar} (\hat{V}_0)]$ is the exponential of the constant matrix $\frac{-i}{\hbar} (\hat{V}_0)$.
- Transition probability, $P_{n \rightarrow m}(t)$: initial ($t = 0$) state is one of original eigenstates, $c_m(0) = \delta_{m,n}$, turn on the perturbation for time up to t , the final probability $|c_m(t)|^2$ is the “transition probability from initial state n to final state m over time t ” ($m \neq n$).
- **Transition rate**, $\Gamma_{n \rightarrow m} \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{P_{n \rightarrow m}(t)}{t}$.
- Usually just use the 1st order result: $c_n(t) \approx c_n(0) + \sum_m \frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \lambda V_{n,m}(t') e^{i\omega_{n,m}t'} dt' \cdot c_m(0)$. Then the transition probability $P_{n \rightarrow m}(t) \approx |\frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \lambda V_{m,n}(t') e^{i\omega_{m,n}t'} dt'|^2$.
- Harmonic perturbation: $\hat{V}(t) = \hat{V}_+ e^{-i\omega t} + \hat{V}_- e^{i\omega t}$. $\hat{V}_- = (\hat{V}_+)^\dagger$ are time-independent. Then $\frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \lambda V_{m,n}(t') e^{i\omega_{m,n}t'} dt' = \frac{-i}{\hbar} [(\lambda V_+)_{m,n} \frac{e^{i(\omega_{m,n}-\omega)t}-1}{\omega_{m,n}-\omega} + (\lambda V_-)_{m,n} \frac{e^{i(\omega_{m,n}+\omega)t}-1}{\omega_{m,n}+\omega}]$.

$$P_{n \rightarrow m}(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left[|(\lambda V_+)_{m,n}|^2 \cdot \frac{4 \sin^2(\frac{(\omega_{m,n} - \omega)t}{2})}{(\omega_{m,n} - \omega)^2} + |(\lambda V_-)_{m,n}|^2 \cdot \frac{4 \sin^2(\frac{(\omega_{m,n} + \omega)t}{2})}{(\omega_{m,n} + \omega)^2} + (\text{cross terms}) \right].$$

Use $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sin^2(xt)}{x^2 t} = \pi \delta(x)$, we have the “Fermi’s golden rule”:

$$\Gamma_{n \rightarrow m} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left[|(\lambda V_+)_{m,n}|^2 \delta(E_m^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)} - \hbar\omega) + |(\lambda V_-)_{m,n}|^2 \delta(E_m^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)} + \hbar\omega) \right].$$

- Under 1st order approximation, the system can absorb or emit one energy quantum $\hbar\omega$ (e.g. one photon) in the transition.
- *Lifetime* of a state: the total “decay rate” of state n is $\Gamma_n \equiv \sum_{m, m \neq n} \Gamma_{n \rightarrow m}$.
Then $P_{n \rightarrow n}(t) \sim (1 - \Gamma_n t) \sim e^{-\Gamma_n t}$, the *lifetime* of state n is $\tau_n = \frac{1}{\Gamma_n}$.
This can be formally represented by an imaginary part of energy, $-\mathrm{i} \frac{\Gamma_n \hbar}{2}$.
- About the δ functions: define *density of states* $\rho(E) = \sum_m \delta(E - E_m^{(0)})$, here \sum_m may be an integral, define average square matrix element from state n to energy level E , $\overline{|\lambda V_{\pm}|^2}_{n \rightarrow E} \equiv \frac{1}{\rho(E)} \sum_m \delta(E - E_m^{(0)}) |(\lambda V_{\pm})_{m,n}|^2$, then the 1st order result is, $\Gamma_n = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \rho(E_n^{(0)} + \hbar\omega) \overline{|\lambda V_+|^2}_{n \rightarrow (E_n^{(0)} + \hbar\omega)} + \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \rho(E_n^{(0)} - \hbar\omega) \overline{|\lambda V_-|^2}_{n \rightarrow (E_n^{(0)} - \hbar\omega)}$.
- Detailed balance (§11.3): $\Gamma_{n \rightarrow m} = \Gamma_{m \rightarrow n}$. Absorption rate = emission rate.
- (§11.2) Application: coupling to classical electromagnetic wave (see also Sakurai’s “Modern Quantum Mechanics”, Section 5.7).

- Time-dependent electrostatic potential $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

Electric field $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$, magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$.

- Hamiltonian for a non-relativistic particle with mass m and electric charge q ,

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{2m} + q\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) + V(\mathbf{r}). \quad \hat{\mathbf{p}} \equiv -\mathrm{i}\hbar\nabla - q\mathbf{A}. \quad [\hat{P}_a, \hat{P}_b] = \mathrm{i}\hbar q \epsilon_{abc} B_c. \quad \text{Under Coulomb gauge } (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0), \quad \hat{H} = [\frac{1}{2m} \hat{\mathbf{p}}^2 + V(\mathbf{r})] + [q\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{q^2}{2m} \mathbf{A}^2].$$

- * The Schrödinger equation is preserved under “gauge transformation”,

$$\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} + \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \theta, \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi - \frac{\hbar}{q} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \quad \psi \rightarrow e^{\mathrm{i}\theta} \psi, \quad \text{where } \theta = \theta(\mathbf{r}, t).$$

$$\text{Exercise: check } \hat{\mathbf{P}}\psi \rightarrow e^{\mathrm{i}\theta} \hat{\mathbf{P}}\psi, \quad (\mathrm{i}\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - q\phi)\psi \rightarrow e^{\mathrm{i}\theta} (\mathrm{i}\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - q\phi)\psi.$$

- * Classical equations of motion: Exercise: check that \hat{H} produces,

$$m \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle = -\langle \nabla V \rangle + q \langle \mathbf{E} \rangle + q \langle \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}{m} \times \mathbf{B} \rangle, \quad \text{for time-independent fields.}$$

- Monochromatic linear-polarized electromagnetic wave: choose $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0$,

$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2A_0 \mathcal{E} \cos(\frac{\omega}{c} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)$, here \mathcal{E} is a unit vector (polarization vector), \mathbf{n} is another unit vector (propagation direction), and $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathcal{E} = 0$ (transverse wave).

Then $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2A_0 \omega \mathcal{E} \sin(\frac{\omega}{c} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)$.

- Ignore \mathbf{A}^2 term, treat $[-\frac{q}{m}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}]$ as time-dependent perturbation, for monochromatic wave, it is a harmonic perturbation, $\hat{V}_+ = -\frac{q}{m}A_0 e^{i\frac{\omega}{c}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}$.
- Electric dipole approximation: when the relevant wavelength $\lambda = \frac{c}{\omega}$ is much larger than relevant wavefunctions' length scale, we can approximate $e^{i\frac{\omega}{c}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \sim 1$. Then $(\hat{V}_+)_{m,n} = -qA_0 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \langle \psi_m^{(0)} | \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}{m} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle = -qA_0 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \langle \psi_m^{(0)} | \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}_0, \hat{\mathbf{r}}] | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle = -i\omega_{m,n} A_0 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \langle \psi_m^{(0)} | q\mathbf{r} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle$. Here $\omega_{m,n} \equiv \frac{E_m^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)}}{\hbar}$. At resonance, $\omega_{m,n} = \pm\omega$, then $(\hat{V}_+)_{m,n} = \mp \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{E}_0 \cdot \mathbf{p}_{m,n}$, where $\mathbf{E}_0 = 2A_0\omega \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ is the amplitude of electric field, $\mathbf{p}_{m,n} \equiv \langle \psi_m^{(0)} | q\mathbf{r} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle$ is the electric dipole matrix element. This justifies formulas (11.39-11.40) in §11.2.1.

* For hydrogen atom problem, $V(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{Zq^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$, wavefunctions length scale is $R_{\text{atom}} \sim \frac{a_0}{Z}$, where a_0 is Bohr radius, relevant energy scale $\hbar\omega \sim \frac{Zq^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0(a_0/Z)}$, so $\frac{R_{\text{atom}}}{\lambda} \sim Z \cdot \alpha$, where $\alpha \equiv \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c} \sim \frac{1}{137}$ is fine-structure constant. For light atoms (small Z), we can use the electric dipole approximation.

- The energy density for this electromagnetic wave is $u = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} E_0^2$. So $\Gamma_{n \rightarrow m} = u \cdot \frac{\pi}{\epsilon_0 \hbar} |\mathbf{p}_{m,n}|^2 [\delta(E_m^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)} - \hbar\omega) + \delta(E_m^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)} + \hbar\omega)]$. For incoherent electromagnetic radiation (§11.2.3), with frequency distribution $\rho(\omega)$ for energy density, (energy density for frequency in $[\omega, \omega + d\omega]$ is $\rho(\omega)d\omega$), the “stimulated” transition rate is $\Gamma_{n \rightarrow m} = \frac{\pi}{3\epsilon_0 \hbar^2} |\mathbf{p}_{m,n}|^2 \rho(|\omega_{m,n}|)$.
- Spontaneous emission (§11.3): rate “ A ” = $\frac{\pi}{3\epsilon_0 \hbar^2} |\mathbf{p}_{m,n}|^2 \cdot \hbar\omega \cdot \rho_0(\omega)$, where ρ_0 is the “density of states” for photons, $\frac{\omega^2}{\pi^2 c^3}$. This can be viewed as coupling to zero-point fluctuation of electromagnetic fields.
- (§11.3.3) Dipole selection rule: for central potential problem, states $\psi_{n\ell m}$ are labeled by “principal quantum number” n , orbital angular momentum quantum number ℓ , and magnetic quantum number m . Conservation of angular momentum restricts possible nonzero dipole matrix elements $\langle \psi_{n'\ell'm'} | \mathbf{r} | \psi_{n\ell m} \rangle$.
 - Nonzero $\langle \psi_{n'\ell'm'} | \hat{z} | \psi_{n\ell m} \rangle$ must have $m' = m$, because $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{z}] = 0$, then $0 = \langle \psi_{n'\ell'm'} | [\hat{L}_z, \hat{z}] | \psi_{n\ell m} \rangle = (m' - m)\hbar \langle \psi_{n'\ell'm'} | \hat{z} | \psi_{n\ell m} \rangle$.
 - Nonzero $\langle \psi_{n'\ell'm'} | \hat{x} | \psi_{n\ell m} \rangle = (m' - m)i \langle \psi_{n'\ell'm'} | \hat{y} | \psi_{n\ell m} \rangle = (m' - m)^2 \langle \psi_{n'\ell'm'} | \hat{x} | \psi_{n\ell m} \rangle$, must have $m' = m \pm 1$, because $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{y}] = -i\hbar\hat{x}$, $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{x}] = i\hbar\hat{y}$.
 - Nonzero $\langle \psi_{n'\ell'm'} | \mathbf{r} | \psi_{n\ell m} \rangle$ must have $\ell' = \ell \pm 1$. (see §11.3.3)

B. Adiabatic Approximation ($\sim \S 11.5$)

- **Adiabatic theorem:** For $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle$, if $\hat{H}(t)$ changes “slowly”, and $\psi(t=0)$ is the n -th instantaneous eigenstate of $\hat{H}(t=0)$, then $\psi(t)$ will remain to be the n -th instantaneous eigenstate of $\hat{H}(t)$.

- Suppose $|\psi_{n,t}\rangle$ is the n -th instantaneous eigenstate of $\hat{H}(t)$,

$$\hat{H}(t) |\psi_{n,t}\rangle = E_n(t) |\psi_{n,t}\rangle. \quad [4]$$

Define $\theta_n(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_n(t') dt'$. Assume $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n c_n(t) e^{-i\theta_n(t)} |\psi_{n,t}\rangle$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} c_m(t) = - \sum_n c_n(t) e^{i[\theta_m(t) - \theta_n(t)]} \langle \psi_{m,t} | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{n,t} \rangle. \quad [5]$$

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on Eq. [4], overlap with $\langle \psi_{m,t} |$, for $m \neq n$, $\langle \psi_{m,t} | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{n,t} \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_{m,t} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial t} | \psi_{n,t} \rangle}{E_n(t) - E_m(t)}$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} c_m(t) = -c_m(t) \langle \psi_{m,t} | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{m,t} \rangle - \sum_{n, n \neq m} c_n(t) e^{i[\theta_m(t) - \theta_n(t)]} \frac{\langle \psi_{m,t} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial t} | \psi_{n,t} \rangle}{E_n(t) - E_m(t)}. \quad [6]$$

- If $|\frac{\langle \psi_{m,t} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial t} | \psi_{n,t} \rangle}{E_n(t) - E_m(t)}| \cdot (\text{time scale}) \ll 1$, the 2nd term in Eq. [6] can be ignored. Define $A_m(t) = -i \langle \psi_{m,t} | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{m,t} \rangle$, $\gamma_m(t) = \int_0^t A_m(t') dt'$, then $c_m(t) \sim e^{-i\gamma_m(t)} c_m(t=0)$.
 A_m and γ_m are real, $A_m - (A_m)^* = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\langle \psi_{m,t} | \psi_{m,t} \rangle) = 0$.

- **Berry's phase** (γ_m above): If \hat{H} depends on a vector parameter $\vec{R}(t)$, so instantaneous eigenvalues $E_n(\vec{R})$ and eigenstates $\psi_{n,\vec{R}}$ are also functions of \vec{R} .

- $\gamma_n(T) = -i \int_0^T \langle \psi_n | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n \rangle \cdot \frac{d\vec{R}}{dt} dt = -i \int_{\vec{R}(0)}^{\vec{R}(T)} \langle \psi_n | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n \rangle \cdot d\vec{R} = \int_{\vec{R}(0)}^{\vec{R}(T)} \vec{A}_n(\vec{R}) \cdot d\vec{R}$.

$\vec{A}_n \equiv -i \langle \psi_n | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n \rangle$ is the “Berry connection” (analogue of vector potential).

Here \vec{A}_n is a vector in parameter space, $(\vec{A}_n)_i \equiv -i \langle \psi_n | \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i} \psi_n \rangle$.

- Gauge transformation: redefine $\tilde{\psi}_{n,\vec{R}} = e^{i\theta(\vec{R})} \psi_{n,\vec{R}}$, then $\vec{\tilde{A}}_n = \vec{A}_n + \nabla_{\vec{R}} \theta$,
 $\tilde{\gamma}_n = \gamma_n + [\theta(\vec{R}(T)) - \theta(\vec{R}(0))]$.

- For periodic evolution, $\vec{R}(T) = \vec{R}(0)$, the Berry's phase, $\gamma_n = \oint \vec{A}_n \cdot d\vec{R}$, is well-defined modulo integer multiple of 2π . It only depends on the path in parameter space, independent of speed of evolution (is a “geometric phase”).

- By Stokes theorem, $\oint_{\text{closed path}} \vec{A}_n \cdot d\vec{R} = \iint_{\text{area enclosed}} \vec{B}_n \cdot d\vec{a}$, here $\vec{B}_n \equiv \nabla_{\vec{R}} \times \vec{A}_n$ is the “Berry curvature” (analogue of magnetic field, gauge invariant), $d\vec{a}$ is area element for the surface enclosed by $\vec{R}(t)$.

- The “cross product” of two vectors is a rank-2 antisymmetric tensor, $(\vec{A} \times \vec{A}')_{i,j} \equiv A_i A'_j - A_j A'_i = -(\vec{A} \times \vec{A}')_{j,i}$. So $(\vec{B}_n)_{i,j} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i} (\vec{A}_n)_j - \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} (\vec{A}_n)_i$. In 3D space, \vec{B}_n is dual to a vector, $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} (\vec{B}_n)_{i,j}$.

- Area element $d\vec{a}$ is also a rank-2 antisymmetric tensor, if the surface is defined by real parameters u, v as $\vec{R}(u, v)$, then $(d\vec{a})_{i,j} = (\frac{\partial R_i}{\partial u} \frac{\partial R_j}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial R_j}{\partial u} \frac{\partial R_i}{\partial v}) du dv$. The surface integral's integrand is $\vec{B}_n \cdot d\vec{a} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\vec{B}_n)_{i,j} (d\vec{a})_{i,j}$.
- $\vec{B}_n = -i[\langle \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n |] \times [|\nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n \rangle] = -i \sum_m \langle \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle \times \langle \psi_m | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n \rangle$, namely, $(\vec{B}_n)_{i,j} = -i(\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i} \psi_n | \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} \psi_n \rangle - \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} \psi_n | \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i} \psi_n \rangle)$
 $= -i \sum_m (\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i} \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle \langle \psi_m | \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} \psi_n \rangle - \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle \langle \psi_m | \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i} \psi_n \rangle)$,
 note the $m = n$ term does not contribute, because $\langle \psi_n | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n \rangle = -\langle \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n | \psi_n \rangle$, so the “cross product” vanishes; for $m \neq n$, $\langle \psi_m | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \psi_n \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_m | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \hat{H} | \psi_n \rangle}{E_n - E_m}$, similar to $\langle \psi_{m,t} | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{n,t} \rangle$. Then $\vec{B}_n = -i \sum_{m,m \neq n} \frac{\langle \psi_n | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \hat{H} | \psi_m \rangle \times \langle \psi_m | \nabla_{\vec{R}} \hat{H} | \psi_n \rangle}{(E_m - E_n)^2}$
- Sum of Berry curvatures for all eigenstates vanishes, $\sum_n \vec{B}_n = 0$.
- Example: spin-1/2, parameter \vec{R} is unit 3D vector ($|\vec{R}| = 1$), $\hat{H}(\vec{R}) = \vec{R} \cdot \frac{2}{\hbar} \hat{\mathbf{S}}$.
 - Under $|\hat{S}_z = \pm \frac{\hbar}{2}\rangle$ eigenbasis ($|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle$), $\hat{H} = \vec{R} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = R_x \sigma_x + R_y \sigma_y + R_z \sigma_z$, here $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ are Pauli matrices. Then $\hat{H}^2 = \vec{R}^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} = \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}$, eigenvalues are $E_{\pm} = \pm 1$.
 - If $\vec{R} = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta)$, then (up to complex phase factors),
 $|\psi_+(\vec{R})\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |\uparrow\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} |\downarrow\rangle$, $|\psi_-(\vec{R})\rangle = -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} |\uparrow\rangle + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} |\downarrow\rangle$.
 $\langle \psi_+ | \boldsymbol{\sigma} | \psi_+ \rangle = \vec{R}$, $\langle \psi_- | \boldsymbol{\sigma} | \psi_- \rangle = -\vec{R}$. Also note that $\nabla_{\vec{R}} \hat{H} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.
 - Any spin-1/2 state $\psi_{\uparrow} |\uparrow\rangle + \psi_{\downarrow} |\downarrow\rangle$ is a $|\psi_+(\vec{R})\rangle$ state upto a complex phase factor. All inequivalent spin-1/2 states are faithfully parametrized by \vec{R} .
 - For the E_+ level, the Berry curvature $\vec{B}_+ = -i \frac{\langle \psi_+ | \boldsymbol{\sigma} | \psi_- \rangle \times \langle \psi_- | \boldsymbol{\sigma} | \psi_+ \rangle}{(E_+ - E_-)^2}$
 $= -\frac{i}{4} [\langle \psi_+ | \boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} | \psi_+ \rangle - \langle \psi_+ | \boldsymbol{\sigma} | \psi_+ \rangle \times \langle \psi_+ | \boldsymbol{\sigma} | \psi_+ \rangle] = \frac{1}{4} \langle \psi_+ | 2\boldsymbol{\sigma} | \psi_+ \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \vec{R}$.
 - For a closed path in the parameter space of \vec{R} (unit sphere S^2 , also called “Bloch sphere”), the Berry's phase = ($\frac{1}{2}$ of the surface area enclosed on the sphere).
 - $\iint_{\text{Bloch sphere}} \vec{B}_+ \cdot d\vec{a} = 2\pi \neq 0$. There is a “magnetic monopole” in the center !
 So you CANNOT define a smooth single-valued \vec{A}_+ over entire sphere.
 - You can define \vec{A}_+ on the sphere excluding one point, *e.g.* the above $|\psi_+(\vec{R})\rangle$ produces $\vec{A}_+ = -\frac{1-\cos \theta}{2 \sin \theta} \vec{e}_{\varphi}$, where \vec{e}_{φ} is the unit vector along φ -direction, which is well-defined except at the “south pole” $\theta = \pi$.
- (§4.5.2) Aharonov-Bohm effect: for a closed path in real space, enclosing magnetic flux $\Phi = \oint \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{R}$, from a static magnetic field, adiabatically transporting a “local”

electron wavefunction (*e.g.* a bound state in a small potential well moving with \mathbf{R}) will generate Berry's phase $\frac{q\Phi}{h}$, here q is the electric charge.

- Consider $\hat{H} = \frac{[\hat{\mathbf{p}} - q\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})]^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r})$, $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \psi = \hat{H} \psi$, in a region with $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = 0$. Define $\psi'(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-i \int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{r}} \frac{q}{h} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}') \cdot d\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \psi(\mathbf{r})$. Here the integral is from a fixed point \mathbf{r}_0 to \mathbf{r} through a smooth path in the region. Then $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \psi' = [\frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r})] \psi'$. By semi-classical (WKB-like) approximation, ψ for particle traveling along this path acquires total phase, $\int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{h} \mathbf{p} \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{r}} \frac{q}{h} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$, (kinetic phase) + (“A-B phase”).
- If the region is “not simply connected” (has a hole, and magnetic flux Φ through the hole, $\oint_{\text{hole}} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \Phi$). The “A-B phase” depends on path from \mathbf{r}_0 to \mathbf{r} , different paths around the hole produce interference pattern depending on Φ .
- Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition is, $\oint \mathbf{p} \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \oint q\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = (n + \frac{1}{2})h$. For cyclotron motion, suppose the cyclotron orbit radius is r , angular frequency $\omega = qB/m$ (solved by $q \cdot r\omega \cdot B = m \cdot r\omega^2$), then $(mr\omega \cdot 2\pi r - q\pi r^2 B) = (n + \frac{1}{2})h$. This produces the exact Landau level energy, $E_n = \frac{m(r\omega)^2}{2} = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2})$.
- Magnetic flux changes “momentum” quantization [Textbook Eq. (10.74)]: use cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) ; magnetic flux Φ along $r = 0$ line, $\mathbf{A} = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi r} \mathbf{e}_\theta$; particle is on a ring ($r = R, z = 0$), $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{P}_\theta^2}{2m}$, $\hat{P}_\theta = -i\hbar \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta - \frac{q\Phi}{2\pi r}$. Wavefunction ψ must be periodic w.r.t. $\theta \bmod 2\pi$. “Momentum” eigenvalues are $\hat{P}_\theta = \frac{2\pi\hbar n - q\Phi}{2\pi R}$, for integer n . Quantized energy levels depend on Φ . Adiabatically change Φ by $\Phi_0 \equiv \frac{2\pi\hbar}{q}$ (*magnetic flux quantum*) will shift the energy levels by one step in n .
- Dirac quantization condition for magnetic monopole: a real magnetic monopole with magnetic charge q_m at $\vec{r} = 0$ will produce magnetic field $\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0 q_m}{4\pi} \frac{\vec{r}}{r^2}$ at \vec{r} . The magnetic flux through a sphere enclosing the monopole is $\Phi = \iint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{a} = \mu_0 q_m$. Consider a electric charge q_e moving around the monopole, *e.g.* along the equator, the A-B phase obtained can be computed by the magnetic flux either through the upper hemisphere or the lower hemisphere, $\frac{q_e \Phi_{\text{upper hemisphere}}}{h} = \frac{\mu_0 q_m q_e}{2h}$ or $-\frac{q_e \Phi_{\text{lower hemisphere}}}{h} = -\frac{\mu_0 q_m q_e}{2h}$. Therefore $q_m q_e = \frac{2\pi n \hbar}{\mu_0}$, for some integer n .
 - * If there is one magnetic monopole q_m somewhere in the universe, then all electric charges must be quantized !

IX. SCATTERING (\sim CH.10)

- Hamiltonian is free particle kinetic energy plus a (short-ranged) scattering potential $V(\mathbf{r})$, we will consider only 3D non-relativistic particle here. $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r})$.
 - We will only consider *elastic scattering* here. Scattering potential is time-independent. Particle's energy is conserved.
- Scattering can be viewed as (degenerate) time-independent perturbation problem:

The eigenstate for energy $E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$ is incoming plane wave e^{ikz} (assumed to be along $+z$ direction), plus outgoing scattered waves, $\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = e^{ikz} + f(\theta, \phi) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}$.

 - Current density of incoming plane wave is $\frac{\hbar k}{m}$. Scattered particle current through a solid angle element $d\Omega$ is $\frac{\hbar k}{m} |f(\theta, \phi)|^2 d\Omega$. The ratio is the ...
 - **Differential cross section:** $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(\theta, \phi)|^2$. (See Textbook Figure 11.3)
 - **Scattering cross section:** $\sigma \equiv \int \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} d\Omega$. Total scattered particle current divided by incoming current density. Note: both $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$ and σ have units of “area”.
- Scattering can also be viewed as time-dependent perturbation problem:

Initial($t \rightarrow -\infty$) state is plane wave (broad wave packet) e^{ikz} ; slowly turn on/off the scattering potential, $e^{-\epsilon|t|}V(\mathbf{r})$, ($\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$); final($t \rightarrow +\infty$) state contains outgoing scattered waves and “forward scattering” (remnant of incoming wave).
- General solution: stationary Schrödinger equation becomes, $(\nabla^2 + k^2)\psi = \frac{2mV(\mathbf{r})}{\hbar^2}\psi$.
 - Define the Green's function: $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ satisfies, $(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2 + k^2)G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -4\pi\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. Note: $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ as a function of \mathbf{r} is a spherical wave emitted from \mathbf{r}' .
 - $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. Fourier transform $G(\mathbf{r})$, $g(\mathbf{k}') \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int G(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r}$, then $g(\mathbf{k}') = \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k'^2 - k^2}$. But we need to avoid the poles at $k' = k$.
 - Green's function: $G_+(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{1}{k'^2 - (k^2 + i\epsilon)} e^{i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{k}'$ ($\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$)
 $= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty (k')^2 dk' \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{e^{ik'r \cos \theta}}{k'^2 - (k^2 + i\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{i\pi r} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{k'}{k'^2 - (k^2 + i\epsilon)} e^{ik'r} dk' = \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}$.
 This is an *outgoing* spherical wave.
 - Lippman-Schwinger equation: $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e^{ikz}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int G_+(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \frac{2mV(\mathbf{r}')}{\hbar^2} \psi(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}'$.
 When $|\mathbf{r}| \gg |\mathbf{r}'|$, $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \approx r - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'$. Define outgoing wavevector $\mathbf{k}' = k \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}$.

- $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}(e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}f(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}))$. Here \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{k}') is incoming(outgoing) wavevector.
The scattering amplitude $f(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{4\pi} \int e^{-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}'} \frac{2mV(\mathbf{r}')}{\hbar^2} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}'$.

- (First-order) Born approximation:

$$f(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) \approx -\frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{4\pi} \int e^{-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}'} \frac{2mV(\mathbf{r}')}{\hbar^2} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} d\mathbf{r}' = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}'} V(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}'.$$

Here $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$ is the “momentum transfer”. For central potential $V(r)$,

$$f(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) \approx f(q) = -\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{r \sin(qr)}{q} V(r) dr, \text{ depends only on } q = |\mathbf{q}| = 2k \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}).$$

- Range of validity of Born approximation: need “ $\frac{1}{r}f(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$ ” to be small in the range of V . Consider a finite-range $V(r)$, $|V(r)| \leq V_0$, and $V(r > a) = 0$.
For small q , we need $\frac{2mV_0 a^2}{\hbar^2} \ll 1$; for large q , we need $\frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2 q^2} \ll 1$.
- Example: Coulomb potential (Rutherford scattering, Textbook Example 11.6).
 $V(r) = -\frac{A}{r}$, then $f(q) = \frac{2mA}{\hbar^2 q^2} = \frac{A}{4E \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2})}$. The total cross section diverges.

- Partial wave analysis: for central scattering potential $V(r)$,

$$\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = e^{ikr \cos \theta} + f(\theta) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}. \text{ Expand into Legendre polynomials } P_\ell(\cos \theta).$$

- $e^{ikr \cos \theta} = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (2\ell+1) i^\ell j_\ell(kr) P_\ell(\cos \theta) \sim \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (2\ell+1) \frac{e^{ikr} - (-1)^\ell e^{-ikr}}{2ikr} P_\ell(\cos \theta)$,
for large r , contains both outgoing and incoming spherical waves.
- Expand $f(\theta)$, $f(\theta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (2\ell+1) f_\ell P_\ell(\cos \theta)$, f_ℓ are complex numbers.
Total cross section $\sigma = 2\pi \int_0^\pi |f(\theta)|^2 \sin \theta d\theta = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty 4\pi (2\ell+1) |f_\ell|^2$.
For large r , $\psi \sim \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (2\ell+1) \frac{(1+2ikf_\ell)e^{ikr} - (-1)^\ell e^{-ikr}}{2ikr} P_\ell(\cos \theta)$.
- $1 + 2ikf_\ell = e^{2i\delta_\ell}$, for conservation of probability current in each channel. Here δ_ℓ is the “**phase shift**” in angular momentum ℓ channel. Then $f_\ell = \frac{1}{k} e^{i\delta_\ell} \sin \delta_\ell$.
- Total cross section $\sigma = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \sigma_\ell$. σ_ℓ is cross section in angular momentum ℓ channel, $\sigma_\ell = 4\pi (2\ell+1) |f_\ell|^2 = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} (2\ell+1) \sin^2 \delta_\ell \leq \frac{4\pi}{k^2} (2\ell+1)$.
- To compute δ_ℓ , solve radial equation $[-\frac{1}{r} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} r + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + \frac{2mV(r)}{\hbar^2}] R(r) = k^2 R(r)$,
then $R(r) \sim j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell \sim \frac{\sin(kr + \delta_\ell - \frac{\ell\pi}{2})}{kr}$, for large r .
- Optical theorem: $\frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im}[f(\theta \rightarrow 0)] = \sigma$. (See *e.g.* Sakurai’s “Modern Quantum Mechanics” Section 7.3). Forward scattering amplitude is related to total cross section.
- In partial wave expansion, $\sigma_\ell = \frac{4\pi}{k} (2\ell+1) \text{Im}(f_\ell)$, using $P_\ell(1) = 1$.