

Chapter 5

Dependable Memory Hierarchy

The Memory Hierarchy

The BIG Picture

- Common principles apply at all levels of the memory hierarchy
 - ◆ Based on notions of caching
- At each level in the hierarchy
 - ◆ Block placement
 - ◆ Finding a block
 - ◆ Replacement on a miss
 - ◆ Write policy

Block Placement

- Determined by associativity
 - ◆ Direct mapped (1-way associative)
 - One choice for placement
 - ◆ n-way set associative
 - n choices within a set
 - ◆ Fully associative
 - Any location
- Higher associativity reduces miss rate
 - ◆ Increases complexity, cost, and access time

Finding a Block

Associativity	Location method	Tag comparisons
Direct mapped	Index	1
n-way set associative	Set index, then search entries within the set	n
Fully associative	Search all entries	#entries
	Full lookup table	0

- Virtual memory
 - ◆ Full table lookup makes full associativity feasible
 - ◆ Benefit in reduced miss rate
- Cache and TLB
 - ◆ Set-associative, some cache uses direct map

Replacement

- Choice of entry to replace on a miss
 - ◆ Least recently used (LRU)
 - Complex and costly hardware for high associativity
 - ◆ Random
 - Close to LRU, easier to implement
- Virtual memory
 - ◆ LRU approximation with hardware support
- Cache
 - ◆ Both LRU and random is ok

Write Policy

- Write-through
 - ◆ Update both upper and lower levels
 - ◆ Simplifies replacement, but may require write buffer
- Write-back
 - ◆ Update upper level only
 - ◆ Update lower level when block is replaced
 - ◆ Need to keep more state
 - ◆ For virtual memory, only write-back is feasible, given disk write latency

Sources of Misses

- Compulsory misses (aka cold start misses)
 - ◆ First access to a block
- Capacity misses
 - ◆ Due to finite cache size
 - ◆ A replaced block is later accessed again
- Conflict misses (aka collision misses)
 - ◆ In a non-fully associative cache
 - ◆ Due to competition for entries in a set
 - ◆ Would not occur in a fully associative cache of the same total size

Cache Design Trade-offs

Design change	Effect on miss rate	Negative performance effect
Increase cache size		
Increase associativity		
Increase block size		

Cache Design Trade-offs

Design change	Effect on miss rate	Negative performance effect
Increase cache size	Decrease capacity misses	May increase access time
Increase associativity		
Increase block size		

Cache Design Trade-offs

Design change	Effect on miss rate	Negative performance effect
Increase cache size	Decrease capacity misses	May increase access time
Increase associativity	Decrease conflict misses	May increase access time
Increase block size		

Cache Design Trade-offs

Design change	Effect on miss rate	Negative performance effect
Increase cache size	Decrease capacity misses	May increase access time
Increase associativity	Decrease conflict misses	May increase access time
Increase block size	Decrease compulsory misses	Increases miss penalty. For very large block size, may increase miss rate due to pollution. <i>conflict miss</i>

longer copy time

Multilevel On-Chip Caches


Characteristic	ARM Cortex-A8 <i>avoid structure cache</i>	Intel Nehalem
L1 cache organization	Split instruction and data caches	Split instruction and data caches
L1 cache size	32 KiB each for instructions/data	32 KiB each for instructions/data per core
L1 cache associativity	4-way (I), 4-way (D) set associative	4-way (I), 8-way (D) set associative
L1 replacement	Random	Approximated LRU
L1 block size	64 bytes	64 bytes
L1 write policy	Write-back, Write-allocate(?)	Write-back, No-write-allocate
L1 hit time (load-use)	1 clock cycle	4 clock cycles, pipelined
L2 cache organization	Unified (instruction and data)	Unified (instruction and data) per core
L2 cache size	128 KiB to 1 MiB	256 KiB (0.25 MiB)
L2 cache associativity	8-way set associative	8-way set associative
L2 replacement	Random(?)	Approximated LRU
L2 block size	64 bytes	64 bytes
L2 write policy	Write-back, Write-allocate (?)	Write-back, Write-allocate
L2 hit time	11 clock cycles	10 clock cycles
L3 cache organization	-	Unified (instruction and data)
L3 cache size	-	8 MiB, shared
L3 cache associativity	-	16-way set associative
L3 replacement	-	Approximated LRU
L3 block size	-	64 bytes
L3 write policy	-	Write-back, Write-allocate
L3 hit time	-	35 clock cycles

2-Level TLB Organization

Characteristic	ARM Cortex-A8	Intel Core i7
Virtual address	32 bits	48 bits
Physical address	32 bits	44 bits
Page size	Variable: 4, 16, 64 KiB, 1, 16 MiB	Variable: 4 KiB, 2/4 MiB
TLB organization	<p>1 TLB for instructions and 1 TLB for data</p> <p>Both TLBs are fully associative, with 32 entries, round robin replacement</p> <p>TLB misses handled in hardware</p>	<p>1 TLB for instructions and 1 TLB for data per core</p> <p>Both L1 TLBs are four-way set associative, LRU replacement</p> <p>L1 I-TLB has 128 entries for small pages, 7 per thread for large pages</p> <p>L1 D-TLB has 64 entries for small pages, 32 for large pages</p> <p>The L2 TLB is four-way set associative, LRU replacement</p> <p>The L2 TLB has 512 entries</p> <p>TLB misses handled in hardware</p>

Dependability

Reliability



- Fault: failure of a component
 - ◆ May or may not lead to system failure

Dependability Measures

- Reliability: ^{平均无故障时间} mean time to failure (MTTF)
- Service interruption: ^{平均维修时间} mean time to repair (MTTR)
- Mean time between failures ^{total time}
 - ◆ $MTBF = MTTF + MTTR$
- Availability = $MTTF / (MTTF + MTTR)$
- Improving Availability
 - ◆ Increase MTTF: fault avoidance, fault tolerance, fault forecasting
 - ◆ Reduce MTTR: fault detection, fault diagnosis and fault repair


The Hamming SEC Code

$HID = n$ 可以输出 $n-1$ 个 error

n 个 error, $HID \geq 2n+1$
才可以纠错

■ Hamming distance


- ◆ Minimum number of bits that are different between two valid bit patterns
- ◆ E.g. use 111 to represent 1, use 000 to represent 0, hamming distance (d) is 3, $d=3$.



■ Minimum distance = 2 provides single bit error detection

- ◆ E.g. odd-parity code: 10 \rightarrow 101, 11 \rightarrow 110, $d = 2$

■ Minimum distance = 3 provides single error correction(SEC), 2 bit/ double error detection (DED)



- correct code
- incorrect code

Encoding SEC

- To calculate Hamming code:
 - ◆ Number bits from 1 on the left
 - ◆ All bit positions that are a power of 2 are parity bits (bit 1 2 4 8 are parity bits)
 - ◆ Each parity bit checks certain data bits:

Bit position		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Encoded data bits		p1	p2	d1	p4	d2	d3	d4	p8	d5	d6	d7	d8
Parity bit coverage	p1	X		X		X		X		X		X	
	p2		X	X			X	X			X	X	
	p4				X	X	X	X					X
	p8								X	X	X	X	X

Decoding SEC

- Value of parity bits indicates which bits are in error
 - ◆ Use numbering from encoding procedure
 - ◆ E.g.
 - Parity bits = 0000 indicates no error
 - Parity bits = 0101 indicates bit 10 was flipped

Bit position		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Encoded data bits		p1	p2	d1	p4	d2	d3	d4	p8	d5	d6	d7	d8
Parity bit coverate	p1	X		X		X		X		X		X	
	p2		X	X			X	X			X	X	
	p4				X	X	X	X					X
	p8								X	X	X	X	X

✓ 0
 X 1
 ✓ 0
 X 1

SEC/DED Code

- Add an additional parity bit for the whole word (ph)
- Make Hamming distance = 4

	p1	p2	d1	p4	d2	d3	d4	p8	d5	d6	d7	d8	ph
p1 parity	x		x		x		x		x		x		
p2 parity		x	x			x	x			x	x		
p4 parity				x	x	x	x					x	
p8 parity								x	x	x	x	x	
ph parity	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

- ◆ Parity for p1 p2 p4 p8 correct, ph correct → no error
 - ◆ Any of parity for p1 p2 p4 p8 incorrect, ph incorrect → single bit error
 - ◆ Parity for p1 p2 p4 p8 correct, ph incorrect → ph bit error
 - ◆ Any of parity for p1 p2 p4 p8 incorrect, ph correct → double bit error
- ECC DRAM uses SEC/DED with 8 bits protecting each 64 bits

Summary

- Cache Performance
 - ◆ Mainly depends on miss rate and miss penalty
- To improve cache performance:
 - ◆ Fully associative cache
 - ◆ Set-associative cache
 - ◆ Replacement policy
 - ◆ Multilevel cache
- Dependability
 - ◆ MTTF, MTTR, reliability, availability
 - ◆ Hamming code: SEC/DED code

Virtual Machines

- Host computer emulates guest operating system and machine resources
 - ◆ Improved isolation of multiple guests
 - ◆ Avoids security and reliability problems
 - ◆ Aids sharing of resources
- Virtualization has some performance impact
 - ◆ Feasible with modern high-performance computers
- Examples
 - ◆ IBM VM/370 (1970s technology!)
 - ◆ VMWare
 - ◆ Microsoft Virtual PC

Virtual Machine Monitor

- Maps virtual resources to physical resources
 - ◆ Memory, I/O devices, CPUs
- Guest code runs on native machine in user mode
 - ◆ Traps to VMM on privileged instructions and access to protected resources
- Guest OS may be different from host OS
- VMM handles real I/O devices
 - ◆ Emulates generic virtual I/O devices for guest

Example: Timer Virtualization

- In native machine, on timer interrupt
 - ◆ OS suspends current process, handles interrupt, selects and resumes next process
- With Virtual Machine Monitor
 - ◆ VMM suspends current VM, handles interrupt, selects and resumes next VM
- If a VM requires timer interrupts
 - ◆ VMM emulates a virtual timer
 - ◆ Emulates interrupt for VM when physical timer interrupt occurs

Instruction Set Support

- User and System modes
- Privileged instructions only available in system mode
 - ◆ Trap to system if executed in user mode
- All physical resources only accessible using privileged instructions
 - ◆ Including page tables, interrupt controls, I/O registers
- Renaissance of virtualization support
 - ◆ Current ISAs (e.g., x86) adapting

Concluding Remarks

- Fast memories are small, large memories are slow
 - ◆ We really want fast, large memories ☹️
 - ◆ Caching gives this illusion 😊
- Principle of locality
 - ◆ Programs use a small part of their memory space frequently
- Memory hierarchy
 - ◆ L1 cache ↔ L2 cache ↔ ... ↔ DRAM memory
↔ disk
- Virtual Memory and TLB