std::optional<T&>

Document #: D2988R0 Date: 2023-10-02

Project: Programming Language C++

Audience: LEWG Reply-to: Steve Downey

<sdowney@gmail.com, sdowney2@bloomberg.net>

1 Abstract

An optional over a reference such that the post condition on assignment is independent of the engaged state, always producing a rebound reference, and assigning a U to a T is disallowed by static_assert if a bind a U can not be bound to a T&.

2 Comparison table

```
Before After

std::optional<Cat*> c = find_cat("Fido");
if (c) {
    if (*c) {
        *c = Cat("Fynn", color::orange);
    }
}

o.transform([&](auto c&){
        c = Cat("Fynn", color::orange);
    });
```

3 Motivation

Optionals holding references are common other than in the standard library's implementation. The desire for such a feature is well understood, and many optional types in commonly used libraries provide it, with the semanics proposed here. One standard library implementation already provides an implementation of std::optional<T&> but disables its use, because the standard forbids it.

The research in JeanHeyd Meneide's References for Standard Library Vocabulary Types - an optional case study. [P1683R0] shows conclusively that rebind semantics are the only safe semantic as assign through on engaged

is too bug-prone. Implementations that attempt assign-through are abandoned. The standard library should follow existing practice and supply an optional<T&> that rebinds on assignment.

Additional background reading on optional<T&> can be found in JeanHeyd Meneide's article To Bind and Loose a Reference [BindRef].

There is a principled reason not to provide a partial specialization over T& as the sematics are in some ways subtly different than the primary template. Assignment may have side-effects not present in the primary, which has pure value semantics. However, I argue this is misleading, as reference semantics often has side-effects. The proposed semantic is similar to what an optional<std::reference_wrapper<T>> provides, with much greater usability.

There are well motivated suggestions that perhaps instead of an optional T&> there should be an optional_ref<T> that is an independent primary template. This proposal rejects that. We need a policy over all sum types as to how reference semantics should work, as optional is a variant over T and monostate. That the library sum type can not express the same range of types as the product type, tuple, is an increasing problem as we add more types logically equivalent to a variant. The template types optional and expected should behave as extensions of variant<T, monostate> and variant<T, E>, or we lose the ability to reason about generic types.

That from std::tuple<Args...> we can't guarantee that std::variant<Args...> is valid is a problem, and one that reflection can't solve. A language sum type could, but we need agreement on the semantics.

The sematics of a variant with a reference are as if it holds the address of the referent when refering to that referent. All other sematics are worse. Not being albe to express a variant < T&> is inconsistent, hostile, and strictly worse than disallowing it.

In freestanding environments or for safety-critical libraries, an optional type over references is important to implement containers, that otherwise as the standard library either would cause undefined behavior when accessing an non-available element, throw an exception, or silently create the element. Returning a plain pointer for such an optional reference, as the core guidelines suggest, is a non-type-safe solution and doesn't protect in any way from accessing an non-existing element by a nullptr dereference. In addition, the monadic APIs of std::optional makes is especially attractive by streamlining client code receiving such an optional reference, in contrast to a pointer that requires an explicit nullptr check and de-reference.

4 Design

The design is straightforward. The optional <T&> holds a pointer to the underlying object of type T, or nullptr if the optional is disengaged. The implementation is simple, especially with C++20 and up techniques, using concept constraints. As the held pointer is a primitive regular type with reference semantics, many operations can be defaulted and are noexcept by nature. See https://github.com/steve-downey/optional_ref and https://github.com/steve-downey/optional_ref/blob/main/src/smd/optional/optional.h for a reference implementation. The optional <T&> implementation is less than 200 lines of code, much of it the monadic functions with identical textual implementations with different signatures and different overloads being called.

In place construction is not supported as it would just be a way of providing immediate life-time issues.

5 Shallow vs Deep const

There is some implementation divergence in optionals about deep const for optional<7&>. That is, can the referred to int be modified through a const optional<int&>. Does operator->() return an int* or a const int*, and does operator*() return an int& or a const int&. I believe it is overall more defensible if the const is shallow as it would be for a struct ref {int * p;} where the constness of the struct ref does not affect if the p pointer can be written through. This is consistent with the rebinding behavior being proposed.

Where deeper constness is desired, optional<const T&> would prevent non const access to the underlying object.

6 Wording

```
Modify 22.5 Optional Objects
```

```
add
```

```
Class template optional[optional.optional ref]
General[optional.optional_ref.general]
namespace std {
namespace std {
 template < class T>
 class optional<T&> {
 public:
   using value_type = T;
    [optional_ref.ctor], constructors
         constexpr optional() noexcept;
         constexpr optional(nullopt_t) noexcept;
         constexpr optional(const optional&) noexcept;
         constexpr optional(optional&&) noexcept;
         template < class U = T>
           constexpr optional(U&&);
         template <class U>
           constexpr explicit optional(const optional <U>& rhs) noexcept;
      [optional_ref.dtor], destructor
         constexpr ~optional();
      [optional_ref.assign], assignment
         constexpr optional& operator=(nullopt_t) noexcept;
         constexpr optional& operator=(const optional&);
         constexpr optional& operator=(optional&&) noexcept(/* see below */);
         template <class U = T>
           constexpr optional& operator=(U&&);
         template <class U>
           constexpr optional& operator=(const optional<U>&);
         template <class U>
           constexpr optional& operator=(optional<U>&&);
      [optional_ref.swap], swap
         constexpr void swap(optional&) noexcept(/* see below */);
      [optional_ref.observe], observers
         constexpr T*
                            operator->() const noexcept;
         constexpr T&
                            operator*() const& noexcept;
                         operator*() const&& noexcept;
         constexpr T&&
         constexpr explicit operator bool() const noexcept;
         constexpr bool
                            has_value() const noexcept;
                             value() const&;
         constexpr T&
         constexpr T&& value() const&&;
         template <class U>
           constexpr T value_or(U&&) const&;
      [optional_ref.monadic], monadic operations
         template <class F>
```

```
constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) &;
            template <class F>
              constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) &&;
            template <class F>
              constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) const&;
            template <class F>
              constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) const&&;
            template <class F>
              constexpr auto transform(F&& f) &;
            template <class F>
              constexpr auto transform(F&& f) &&;
            template <class F>
              constexpr auto transform(F&& f) const&;
            template <class F>
              constexpr auto transform(F&& f) const&&;
            template <class F>
              constexpr optional or_else(F&& f) &&;
            template <class F>
              constexpr optional or_else(F&& f) const&;
         [optional_ref.mod], modifiers
            constexpr void reset() noexcept;
    private:
      T *val;
                        // exposition only
  Constructors[optional_ref.ctor]
  constexpr optional() noexcept;
  constexpr optional(nullopt\_t) noexcept;
1 Postconditions: *this does not contain a value.
<sup>2</sup> Remarks: No contained value is initialized. For every object type T these constructors are constexpr constructors
  ([dcl.constexpr]).
  constexpr optional(const optional& rhs);
<sup>3</sup> Effects: Initializes val with the value of rhs.val
4 Postconditions: rhs.has value() == this->has value().
<sup>5</sup> Remarks: The constructor is trivial.
  constexpr optional(optional&&) noexcept;
3 Effects: Initializes val with the value of rhs.val
4 Postconditions: rhs.has_value() == this->has_value().
<sup>5</sup> Remarks: The constructor is trivial.
            template<class U = T>
              constexpr optional(U&&);
```

- ³ Constraints:
- (3.1) !is-optional<decay_t<U>>::value is true
 - 3 Mandlites: -std::is_constructible_v<std::add_lvalue_reference_t<T>,(3U>; -std::is_lvalue_reference<U>::value

- ³ Effects: Initializes val with the address of u
- 4 Postconditions: this->has_value() == true.

```
template <class U>
  constexpr explicit optional(const optional<U>& rhs) noexcept;
```

- ³ Constraints:
- (3.1) !is-optional<decay_t<U>>::value is true

 - ³ Effects:

Destructor [optional_ref.dtor]

```
constexpr ~optional();
```

⁵ Remarks: The destructor is trivial.

7 References

 $[BindRef] \ Jean Heyd \ Meneide. \ To \ Bind \ and \ Loose \ a \ Reference. \\ https://thephd.dev/to-bind-and-loose-a-reference-optional$

[P1683R0] JeanHeyd Meneide. 2020-02-29. References for Standard Library Vocabulary Types - an optional case study.

https://wg21.link/p1683r0