# Grading Criteria

**Title (Pre-Proposal) Hearing**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Pre-Proposal Statement (Team Grade)** | |
| Project Title   * The title gives a complete overview of what the project is all about. | **(5)** |
| Scope and Limitations of the Study   * The scope and limitations of the project are clearly defined. | **(15)** |
| Significance of the Study   * The significance of the project is clearly described | **(10)** |
| Requirements Specifications   * Program, Software, and Hardware Specifications are clearly defined. * Software and Hardware requirements are available. * Major program/modules of the project give justification to the project title. | **(15)** |
| Mechanics   * Organization and Fluidity of ideas are apparent. * Formatting and layout are consistent. * All parts of the manuscript are grammatically correct. | **(5)** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Feasibility   * The proposed system is feasible/achievable in terms of: |  |
| * Operational Feasibility * Technical Feasibility | **(15)** |
| O Schedule Feasibility |  |
| o Economic Feasibility  Practicality   * The proposed system is a product of a sound judgment. * The proposed system is relevant to the course. * The proposed system is useful. * The proposed system provides a unique solution, innovation, or a new insight. | **(10)** |
| Knowledge in the Field |  |
| * The proponents demonstrate knowledge in the field of computing. * The research team shows mastery of the proposed system. | **(10)** |
| **TOTAL** | **(85)** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Oral Examination (Individual Grade)** |  |  |  |  |
| Clarity and Content of the Answer **(10)**   * The student thoroughly explains all points. * The responses are very clear and   comprehensive. |  |  |  |  |
| Delivery **(5)**   * Delivery is spontaneous. * The student is very confident. |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL (15)** |  |  |  |  |
| **PRE-PROPOSAL HEARING TOTAL SCORE (100)** |  |  |  |  |