

PIII Project Progress Report Assessment and Feedback Form Assessment Form 2021

Student Martin Valchev <mv1u18> **Examiner1** OF Bills <obl1a12>
Examiner2 DE Millard <dem>
Title Analysis and Application of Active Learning to Classify Malicious Twitter Posts

Your project student has submitted a [Progress Report](#) in response to the instructions given in the [detailed project guidelines](#). Please would you read and mark the Progress Report.

After marking the Progress Report, you should provide feedback to the student on the planning and progress, the quality of the English (including spelling and grammar) and the report structure.

If the student's progress is poor then it is your responsibility to warn the student. If appropriate, the warning should be in writing indicating the deficiencies and corrective action required with copies to the Tutor, Project Coordinator and Faculty Student Admin office (for inclusion in the student's file).

Academic Integrity

"Similarity Index" returned by the TurnItIn plagiarism check: Where the similarity index is >12%, you should discuss the nature of any similarities in the comments box below.	4 % Similarity
If the similarity index is >12%, or the examiner has other Academic Integrity concerns, they must confirm that they have reviewed the TurnItIn report, discussed the matter with the Academic Integrity Officer and, if necessary, alerted the project coordinator:	<input type="checkbox"/> Academic Integrity Officer Consulted

Record of Marking and Feedback

Marking process

1. Assign a letter grade in each of **Categories** based on **Typical attributes** from the [project marking scheme](#) and record the results in the appropriate location within the grid below.
2. Add a comment for each category. Comments should be typed or written legibly and should convey to another examiner the reasons for the allocated grade.
3. Use the distribution of letter grades to help determine the **Range** in which the final mark should fall. Please make sure that a prizeworthy project scores consistently high on all attributes. In particular a prizeworthy report should be (almost) free from spelling and grammatical errors.
4. Finally assign a mark out of 100 and fill in additional comments on the project as a whole. Here you may wish to comment on the relative importance of the different categories in your assessment.

Cat.	Comments and Feedback	Grades														
		Fail	3rd	2.2	2.1	First										
M	<p>Project Management (inc. Planning & Progress)</p> <p>Project management section is good. Useful gantt chart and breakdown and scheduling of tasks. Iterations description is good, but could be supplemented with a list of iteration deliverables and dates. Good consideration of tools, techniques and risk assessment.</p>	<table><tr><td>F</td><td>E</td><td>D</td><td>C</td><td>B</td><td>A</td><td>A+</td><td>A*</td></tr></table>							F	E	D	C	B	A	A+	A*
F	E	D	C	B	A	A+	A*									
T	<p>Technical Approach</p> <p>Thorough analysis with good identification of requirements, costs, benefits and constraints. The design goes straight into technical details - a broad discussion of the architectre and design would have been good to start with. The architectural design is good and shows how it all fits together well. When talking about UI design, it is often worthwhile to support this with a sketch or mockup. If you number the tasks, you can more easily cross-reference back to them (e.g. from the project management). A little more detail on the evaluation and research methodology would have been useful.</p>	<table><tr><td>F</td><td>E</td><td>D</td><td>C</td><td>B</td><td>A</td><td>A+</td><td>A*</td></tr></table>							F	E	D	C	B	A	A+	A*
F	E	D	C	B	A	A+	A*									
W	<p>Report (inc. Background & Literature Search)</p> <p>Reasonable abstract which explains the goals and objectives of the project. Good exploration of relevant literature in the literature review, although more breadth in research would have been good - the number of references was a little limited. A comparison table or similar of approaches would be good to include. A diagram or illustration would also be good to include in places to better visualise the processes being described. Good summary, although listing the key features to work with might have been worth including. New sections should start on a new page (e.g. Design). Rather than diving straight into sections, try to include a brief lead-in that explains what is coming up in the section to help signpost the reader and structure what is to follow. Remember that any images should be labelled and referenced from the text (e.g. Figure 1). Some grammatical issues - it's always worth getting a friend to proof-read!</p>	<table><tr><td>F</td><td>E</td><td>D</td><td>C</td><td>B</td><td>A</td><td>A+</td><td>A*</td></tr></table>							F	E	D	C	B	A	A+	A*
F	E	D	C	B	A	A+	A*									
Comments on Project as a Whole																
Off to a good start! A bit more in-depth and breadth in the research would be good and a bit more depth to the research methodology in the design, but otherwise a very good report and good start to the project.																
Progress Report Mark awarded by OF Bills					75%											

OF Bills

Signed:

Date: