How stable are literacy and numeracy in adulthood?

<sup>2</sup> Clemens Lechner<sup>1</sup>, Britta Gauly<sup>1,2</sup>, & Ai Miyamoto<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences

<sup>2</sup> University of Siegen, Germany

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations.
- Enter author note here.

5

- The authors made the following contributions. Clemens Lechner: Conceptualization,
- 9 Writing Original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing; Britta Gauly: Writing -
- Review & Editing; Ai Miyamoto: Writing Review & Editing.
- 11 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Clemens Lechner, B2,
- 1, 68159 Mannheim. E-mail: clemens.lechner@gesis.org

13 Abstract

We analysed the stability of competences.

15 Keywords: literacy; numeracy

Word count: X

How stable are literacy and numeracy in adulthood?

Tables

References

Table 1
(ref:cors-caption)

	PIAAC-	L (3 years)	NEPS	(6 years)
Group	Literacy	Numeracy	Literacy	Numeracy
Total sample	.83	.81	.65	.74
Total sample	[.80, .85]	[.78, .83]	[.58, .72]	[.65, .83]
Gender				
Male	.83	.81	.66	.70
111010	[.80, .86]	[.77, .84]	[.56, .75]	[.60, .80]
Female	.82	.79	.65	.70
Tomato	[.79, .85]	[.76, .83]	[.54, .75]	[.58, .82]
Education				
low (ISCED 0-3)	.80	.78	.56	.68
	[.77, .83]	[.74, .81]	[.45, .67]	[.56, .81]
intermediate (ISCED 4/5B)	.78	.74	.62	.67
( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	[.71, .84]	[.67, .81]	[.50, .75]	[.55, .78]
high (ISCED 5A/6)	.78	.76	.60	.77
0 ( , , ,	[.73, .82]	[.70, .81]	[.44, .76]	[.69, .86]
Age Group				
18–34 years	.83	.81	.64	.69
·	[.79, .86]	[.78, .85]	[.48, .79]	[.53, .86]
35–44 years	.82	.80	.70	.64
·	[.78, .87]	[.75, .85]	[.51, .88]	[.49, .80]
45-54 years	.84	.81	.63	.69
·	[.80, .89]	[.76, .86]	[.52, .74]	[.59, .79]
55 + years	.79	.80	.49	.67
	[.73, .84]	[.75, .86]	[.36, .62]	[.53, .80]

Note. (ref:cors-note)

Mean-Level Changes in Literacy and Numeracy across three (in PIAAC-L) to six (in NEPS) years of adulthood.

Table 2

	Lite	Literacy	m Nun	Numeracy
Group	read.piaac	read.piaac read.piaac.d	math.piaac	math.piaac.d
Total sample	1.81 [0.78, 2.82]	20.0	0.38 [-0.9, 1.74]	0.01
Gender				
Male	3.00 [1.48, 4.50]	0.12	1.68 [-0.5, 3.90]	0.05
Female	0.59 [-0.9, 2.14]	0.02	-0.95 [-2.7, 0.89]	-0.03
Education				
low (ISCED $0-3$ )	0.88 [-0.5, 2.32]	0.04	0.82 [-1.1, 2.81]	0.03
intermediate (ISCED $4/5B$ )	0.72 [-1.5, 3.02]	0.03	-0.10 [-3.0, 2.88]	0.00
high (ISCED $5A/6$ )	4.79 [2.55, 7.01]	0.19	-0.34 [-3.1, 2.51]	-0.01

Table 3 continued

	Lite	Literacy	m Nun	Numeracy
Group	read.piaac	read.piaac read.piaac.d math.piaac math.piaac.d	math.piaac	math.piaac.d
Age Group				
18 34 rooms	5.55	0.00	7.48	76.0
10-04 years	[3.74, 7.35]	0.52	[5.37,9.58]	£7.0
25_11 vroons	0.18	0.01	-2.16	20.0
00 ++ ycats	[-2.3, 2.71]	70:0	[-5.5, 1.20]	0.00
75 57 x00srs	0.64	0.03	-2.05	20.0
10-01 years	[-1.4, 2.75]		[-4.6, 0.52]	0.00
자 proovs	0.05	0.00	-3.34	0.11
oo T years	[-2.6, 2.74]	0000	[-6.1, -0.4]	-0.11

Note. Pooled results across 10 PVs in each data source. Data are weighted (for details, see Method).

Mean-Level Changes in Literacy and Numeracy across three (in PIAAC-L) to six (in NEPS) years of adulthood. Table 3

	Lite	Literacy	Nun	Numeracy
Group	read.neps	read.neps.d	math.neps	math.neps.d
Total sample	-0.04	-0.04	-0.01	-0.01
Gender				
Male	0.00 [-0.0, 0.09]	0.00	-0.05 [-0.1, 0.06]	90.0-
Female	-0.07 [-0.1, 0.02]	-0.08	0.03	0.04
Education				
low (ISCED $0-3$ )	0.03 [-0.0, 0.14]	0.03	0.04	0.05
intermediate (ISCED $4/5B$ )	-0.10 [-0.2, 0.00]	-0.11	-0.07 [-0.2, 0.08]	-0.08
high (ISCED $5A/6$ )	-0.26 [-0.3, -0.1]	-0.30	-0.14 [-0.2, 0.00]	-0.16

Table 3 continued

	Lite	Literacy	m Nun	Numeracy
Group	read.neps	read.neps read.neps.d math.neps math.neps.d	math.neps	math.neps.d
Age Group				
18 24 2002	0.07	80 0	0.05	NO 0
10-04 years	[-0.1, 0.25]	0.00	[-0.1, 0.26]	0.03
25 11 20000	-0.06	20.0	-0.09	0.10
oo-44 years	[-0.2, 0.08]	0.0	[-0.2, 0.05]	0.10
75 F.J. 270020	-0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.01
40-04 years	[-0.1, 0.11]	10:0-	[-0.1, 0.11]	10.0-
ጥ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	-0.12	0 17	0.00	00 0
oo + years	[-0.2, 0.01]	-0.14	[-0.1, 0.15]	0.00

Note. Pooled results across 10 PVs in each data source. Data are weighted (for details, see Method).