# **Oracle Taxation Theory**

August 8, 2019



# Goal Refresher

## PfC < CoC

The purpose of the taxation  $(T_t)$  and buyback policies  $(X_t)$  is to ensure that the price of the token prevents 51% attacks. Formally, we want

$$\frac{\gamma M_t}{\text{Profit from Corruption}} < \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} p_t S_t}_{\text{Cost of Corruption}}$$

This can be controlled by system because, if priced correctly, the token market cap should be

$$p_t S_t = E \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+r} \right)^s X_{t+s} \right]$$



# Last Time

#### Tax rates in deterministic world

We learned that, in a deterministic world, that tax rates

- Must eventually be roughly the same as the interest that we would like token holders to earn
- If people believe that the system margin will grow, then we can support relatively low taxes for a prolonged period of time



# Stochastic Model

## Why do we need stochastic?

In the deterministic model, there are no unexpected fluctuations or growth, and thus no risk

In a model where margin grows without risk, there's no need to have a rainy day fund or to provide any other form of self-insurance

In reality, we will need to ensure that there are funds to intevene and influence prices to ensure that PfC < CoC — To think about the right way to intervene, we need a stochastic framework



#### Framework

Margin,  $M_t$  now follows a stochastic Markov process rather than a deterministic process

$$M_{t+1} = f(M_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})$$

Our goal will be to choose the "right" sequence of taxes to impose,  $\{T_t\}$ , and buybacks to make,  $\{X_t\}$ 



# What does "right" mean?

We have several, potentially competing goals to achieve with  $\{\mathcal{T}_t\}$  and  $\{X_t\}$ 

- First of all, need to ensure PfC < CoC
- · Minimize the tax costs and volatility
- Minimize the cost of buyback policy by minimizing  $\{X_t\}$  (do we also care about volatility here too?)



# Two steps to find policies

1. Find the buyback policy,  $X^*(M_t)$ , which solves

$$\min_{\{X_t\}} \quad E\left[\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^s X_{t+s}\right]$$
Subject to
$$PfC < CoC$$

2. Once we have a buyback policy, we want to determine how to finance the buybacks

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\{T_t\}}{\text{min}} & E\left[\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^s \frac{1}{2} T_t^2\right] \\ & \text{Subject to} \\ & T_t + (1+r)D_t \geq D_{t+1} + X_t^*(M_t) \end{aligned}$$



## Determine the Buybacks

In this context, a Markov Perfect Strategy is a buyback policy,  $X^*(M_t): M \to \mathcal{R}$  which is time-invariant.

Effectively, the buyback policy must only be a function of current margin,  $M_t$ .

**Proposition**: There exists a MP strategy  $X^*(M_t)$  such that there is no function  $X^*(M^t)$  which achieves a lower cost of buybacks



## **Determine the Buybacks**

Buyback function will satisfy

$$2\gamma M_{t} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s} E\left[X^{*}(M_{t+1})|M_{t}\right]$$

If  $M_t$  follows a discrete Markov chain, can write  $X^*(M)$  as

$$X = 2\gamma \left( I - \frac{1}{1+r} P \right) \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ \vdots \\ M_N \end{bmatrix}$$



#### Two cases

## Case 1: Persistent Margin

In the case of relatively persistent margin, the buyback levels are relatively inelastic and do not move much relative to margin — In one numerical example, for a \$100,000 change in margin the buybacks only change by about \$5,000.

## Case 2: Transitory Margin

In the case of transitory (not persistent) margin, the buyback levels respond much more strongly — In one numerical example, for a \$100,000 change in margin the buybacks change by about \$75,000.

