

Math. Program., Ser. A (2016) 156:59–99
DOI 10.1007/s10107-015-0871-8



CrossMark

FULL LENGTH PAPER

Accelerated gradient methods for nonconvex nonlinear and stochastic programming

Saeed Ghadimi · Guanghui Lan

【优化】Accelerated Gradient



张楚琦

清华大学 交叉信息院博士在读

28 人赞同了该文章

加速的梯度下降算法。

原文传送门

Ghadimi, Saeed, and Guanghui Lan. "Accelerated gradient methods for nonconvex nonlinear and stochastic programming." *Mathematical Programming* 156.1-2 (2016): 59-99.

Beck, Amir. First-order methods in optimization. Vol. 25. SIAM, 2017. 【Book】

特色

强化学习问题其实质就是一个优化问题；策略梯度方法就是一种梯度上升算法。这里罗列一些梯度上升/下降算法的结论。

正文

一、和强化学习的联系

首先，如果把强化学习问题看做优化问题的话，一般规定目标函数 $J(\pi_\theta) = V^{\pi_\theta}(\rho)$ 。那么该目标函数具有什么样的性质呢？即，该优化问题属于什么类型？

- 该目标函数是非凸的（nonconvex）
- 可以认为该目标函数是平滑的（smooth）
- 强化学习本身不带来局部极小值，但是策略的参数化方法可能带来局部极小值（single-mode，我瞎起的）
- 不考虑策略参数化方法的问题，一般梯度严格等于零时有全局最优（gradient dominance）
- 它还具有一些更为『好』的性质（other regularities）

Motivation

- $\max_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta})$ is a nonconvex problem
 - Obviously, $J(\pi) = (1 - \gamma P^{\pi})r_{\pi}$ is not linear. If it is convex, let $r \rightarrow -r$, then we have another valid RL problem that is concave. Therefore, it is nonconvex.
- “Single-mode”: exact zero gradient implies optimality
- More regularities, e.g., [1]

知乎 @张楚琦

Motivation

- We can assume $J(\pi_{\theta})$ is smooth [2]

- Direct parametrization (tabular case):

$$\left\| \nabla_{\theta} V^{\pi}(s_0) - \nabla_{\theta} V^{\pi'}(s_0) \right\|_2 \leq \frac{2\gamma|\mathcal{A}|}{(1-\gamma)^2} \|\pi - \pi'\|_2$$

- Softmax parametrization with relative entropy regularization:

$$L_{\lambda}(\theta) = V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mu) + \frac{\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}||\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{s,a} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) \quad \left\| \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta) - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') \right\|_2 \leq \beta_{\lambda} \|\theta - \theta'\|_2 \quad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1-\gamma)^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|}$$

- Other Lipschitz and smooth parameterizations:

$$\begin{array}{|l|l|l|} \hline |\pi_{\theta}(a|s) - \pi_{\theta'}(a|s)| \leq \beta_1 \|\theta - \theta'\|_2 & (\beta_1\text{-Lipschitz}) & \left\| \nabla_{\theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_0) - \nabla_{\theta} V^{\pi_{\theta'}}(s_0) \right\|_2 \leq \beta \|\theta - \theta'\|_2 \\ \hline \left\| \nabla_{\theta} \pi_{\theta}(a|s) - \nabla_{\theta'} \pi_{\theta'}(a|s) \right\|_2 \leq \beta_2 \|\theta - \theta'\|_2 & (\beta_2\text{-smooth}) & \beta = \frac{\beta_1|\mathcal{A}|}{(1-\gamma)^2} + \frac{2\gamma\beta_2^2|\mathcal{A}|^2}{(1-\gamma)^3} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

知乎 @张楚琦

Motivation

- Policy gradient methods = gradient ascent methods for smooth, nonconvex optimization problems
- Nonconvex (and possibly stochastic) gradient ascent ensures that the gradient norm decays well w.r.t. #iterations/#samples. [5]
- However, this does not imply fast performance improvement due to distribution mismatch. The gradient domination shows this, e.g., for direct parametrization (other parametrizations are more involved, but the idea is similar)

$$V^*(\rho) - V^\pi(\rho) \leq \left\| \frac{d_\pi^*}{d_\rho^*} \right\|_\infty \max_{\pi} (\bar{\pi} - \pi)^\top \nabla_\pi V^\pi(\rho)$$

- This is exploration problem.

Motivation

- Performance difference lemma

$$V^{\pi_1}(\rho) - V^{\pi_2}(\rho) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\pi_1}} [\langle \pi_1(s) - \pi_2(s), Q^{\pi_2}(s) \rangle]$$

- Gradient domination

$$V^*(\rho) - V^{\pi}(\rho) \leq \left\| \frac{d_{\rho}^{\pi^*}(s)}{d_{\mu}^{\pi}(s)} \right\|_{\infty} \max_{\pi'} \left[\mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\pi}} [\langle \pi'(s) - \pi(s), A^{\pi}(s) \rangle] \right]$$

- Policy gradient theorem

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\pi_{\theta}}} [\langle \nabla_{\theta} \pi_{\theta}(s), A^{\pi}(s) \rangle]$$

知乎 @张楚珩

二、回顾：Nesterov's Accelerated Gradient

接下来，作为热身，回顾一下非常著名的 Nesterov 提出的加速梯度下降算法。该算法按照 $\mathcal{O}(1/N^2)$ 收敛，其中 N 表示迭代次数（就是下面的 k）。但是该算法只针对凸优化问题，因此不使用强化学习问题。今天要重点读的文章就是针对非凸优化的加速算法。

Recap: Nesterov's acceleration for convex optimization

- Update rule:

$$\begin{aligned} y^k &= x^k + \frac{k-1}{k+2}(x^k - x^{k-1}) \\ x^{k+1} &= y^k - s \nabla f(y^k) \end{aligned}$$

- Convergence rate [3]:

$$\text{Theorem} \quad \text{If } f \text{ is convex, } f(x^k) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{2\|x^0 - x^*\|^2}{k^2}.$$

知乎 @张楚珩

三、问题设定

这里要研究的问题主要是两类：一类是普通的光滑非凸函数的优化；另一类这里写作 composite functions 的优化。后一类可能不太好理解：如果说前一类对应的为 gradient descent 算法的话，那么后一类优化问题对应的一种特殊情况是 projected gradient descent。因为强化学习里面还是会遇到这种要做 projection 的情形的（比如考虑一个 direct parameterization 或者说 tabular case），因此我也把相关结论抄了一下以备后用。

除此之外，还考虑两种情形：一种情形就是能够获取准确的梯度（exact gradient），这种情况下我们分析 iteration complexity；另一种情形就是需要对梯度进行估计（stochastic gradient），这种情况在强化学习的分析中更有用，因为强化学习关系的 sample complexity，能和 stochastic gradient 访问的次数相联系。

Setting: smooth or composite functions

- Problem 1: smooth functions

$$\Psi^* = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(x), \quad \|\nabla \Psi(y) - \nabla \Psi(x)\| \leq L_\Psi \|y - x\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

- Problem 2: composite functions

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(x) + \mathcal{X}(x), \quad \Psi(x) := f(x) + h(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where $f \in C_{L_f}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is possibly nonconvex, $h \in C_{L_h}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is convex, and \mathcal{X} is a simple convex (possibly non-smooth) function with bounded domain (e.g., $\mathcal{X}(x) = \mathcal{I}_X(x)$ with $\mathcal{I}_X(\cdot)$ being the indicator function of a convex compact set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$). Clearly, we have $\Psi \in C_{L_\Psi}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $L_\Psi = L_f + L_h$.

Settings for composite functions

- How to analyze projected gradient ascent? [4]

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = P_C(\mathbf{x}^k - t_k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)),$$

It can be written as $\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}^k) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k \rangle + \frac{1}{2t_k} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 \right\}.$

We can set $g(x) = \mathcal{I}_C(x)$ that equals 0 when $x \in C$, otherwise equals ∞ .

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}^k) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k \rangle + g(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2t_k} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 \right\}.$$

In fact, this equals the definition of proximal operator

$$\operatorname{prox}_f(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \right\} \text{ for any } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Settings for composite functions

- Definition

$$\mathcal{P}(x, y, c) := \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \langle y, u \rangle + \frac{1}{2c} \|u - x\|^2 + \mathcal{X}(u) \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{G}(x, y, c) := \frac{1}{c} [x - \mathcal{P}(x, y, c)].$$

- Example

- If $\mathcal{X}(x) = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}}(x)$, $\mathcal{P}(x, y, c) = P_{\mathcal{X}}(x - cy)$.
- If $y = \nabla \Psi(x)$, $\mathcal{G}(x, \nabla \Psi(x), c)$ is called gradient mapping.
- If $\mathcal{X}(x) = 0$, $\mathcal{G}(x, \nabla \Psi(x), c) = \nabla \Psi(x)$.

Lemma 3 Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be given and denote $g = \nabla \Psi(x)$. If $\|\mathcal{G}(x, g, c)\| \leq \epsilon$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$-\nabla \Psi(\mathcal{P}(x, g, c)) \in \partial \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{P}(x, g, c)) + \mathcal{B}(\epsilon(cL_{\Psi} + 1)),$$

where $\partial \mathcal{X}(\cdot)$ denotes the subdifferential of $\mathcal{X}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{B}(r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \leq r\}$.

知乎 @张楚珩

Settings for stochastic gradients

- Consider $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_{L_{\Psi}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{E}^n)$ is bounded from below, and a stochastic oracle

$$\text{a) } \mathbb{E}[G(x, \xi_k)] = \nabla \Psi(x),$$

$$\text{b) } \mathbb{E} \left[\|G(x, \xi_k) - \nabla \Psi(x)\|^2 \right] \leq \sigma^2.$$

知乎 @张楚珩

四、算法和结论

AG: Optimize smooth functions with exact gradient

- The accelerated gradient (AG) algorithm

- Proper step size policy

$$\alpha_k = \frac{2}{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \frac{1}{2L\psi} \quad \lambda_k \in \left[\beta_k, \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_k}{4}\right) \beta_k \right]$$

- Properties

$$\min_{k=1,\dots,N} \|\nabla \Psi(x_k^{md})\|^2 \leq \frac{6L\psi[\Psi(x_0) - \Psi^*]}{N}, \quad \text{where } N \text{ is \#iterations}$$

- When $\Psi(\cdot)$ is convex, we can use larger step sizes $\lambda_k = \frac{k\beta_k}{2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{k=1,\dots,N} \|\nabla \Psi(x_k^{md})\|^2 &\leq \frac{96L\psi^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{N(N+1)(N+2)}, \\ \Psi(x_N^{ag}) - \Psi(x^*) &\leq \frac{4L\psi \|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{N(N+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_k^{md} &= (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1}^{ag} + \alpha_k x_{k-1}, \\ x_k &= x_{k-1} - \lambda_k \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \\ x_k^{ag} &= x_k^{md} - \beta_k \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}). \end{aligned}$$

AG: Optimize composite functions with exact gradient

- The accelerated gradient (AG) algorithm
- Proper step size policy

$$\begin{aligned}x_k^{md} &= (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1}^{ag} + \alpha_k x_{k-1}, \\x_k &= \mathcal{P}(x_{k-1}, \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \lambda_k), \\x_k^{ag} &= \mathcal{P}(x_k^{md}, \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \beta_k).\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_k = \frac{2}{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \frac{1}{2L_\Psi}, \quad \lambda_k = \frac{k\beta_k}{2}$$

- Properties

$$\min_{k=1,\dots,N} \|\nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \beta_k\|^2 \leq 24L_\Psi \left[\frac{4L_\Psi \|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{N(N+1)(N+2)} + \frac{L_f}{N} (\|x^*\|^2 + M^2) \right]$$

- Recall the setting

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(x) + \mathcal{X}(x), \quad \Psi(x) := f(x) + h(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where $f \in C_{L_f}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is possibly nonconvex, $h \in C_{L_h}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is convex, and \mathcal{X} is a simple convex (possibly non-smooth) function with bounded domain (e.g., $\mathcal{X}(x) = \mathcal{I}_X(x)$ with $\mathcal{I}_X(\cdot)$ being the indicator function of a convex compact set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$). Clearly, we have $\Psi \in C_{L_\Psi}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $L_\Psi = L_f + L_h$.

知乎 @张楚珩

RSAG: Optimize smooth functions with stochastic gradient

- Algorithm randomized stochastic AG (RSAG)

- Update rule

$$\begin{aligned}x_k^{md} &= (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1}^{ag} + \alpha_k x_{k-1}, \\x_k &= x_{k-1} - \lambda_k G(x_k^{md}, \xi_k), \\x_k^{ag} &= x_k^{md} - \beta_k G(x_k^{md}, \xi_k).\end{aligned}$$

- Output x_R , where $R \sim \text{Categorical}(p_1, \dots, p_N)$

知乎 @张楚珩

RSAG: Optimize smooth functions with stochastic gradient

- Proper step size policy

$$\alpha_k = \frac{2}{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \frac{1}{2L_\Psi} \quad \lambda_k \in \left[\beta_k, \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_k}{4}\right) \beta_k \right] \quad p_k = \frac{\lambda_k C_k}{\sum_{\tau=1}^N \lambda_\tau C_\tau}, \quad \beta_k = \min \left\{ \frac{8}{21L_\Psi}, \frac{\tilde{D}}{\sigma\sqrt{N}} \right\}$$

Where $\tilde{D} = \sqrt{[\Psi(x_0) - \Psi(x^*)]/L_\Psi}$

- Properties

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \Psi(x_R^{md})\|^2] \leq \frac{21L_\Psi[\Psi(x_0) - \Psi^*]}{4N} + \frac{4\sigma[L_\Psi(\Psi(x_0) - \Psi^*)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{N}}$$

RSAG: Optimize composite functions with stochastic gradient

- Algorithm: use a mini-batch to estimate gradient

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}_k &= \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} G(x_k^{md}, \xi_{k,i}) \\ x_k^{md} &= (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1}^{ag} + \alpha_k x_{k-1}, \\ x_k &= \mathcal{P}(x_{k-1}, \tilde{G}_k, \lambda_k), \\ x_k^{ag} &= \mathcal{P}(x_k^{md}, \tilde{G}_k, \beta_k),\end{aligned}$$

- Proper step size policy

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k &= \frac{2}{k+1} \text{ and } \beta_k = \frac{1}{2L_\Psi}, \quad \lambda_k = \frac{k\beta_k}{2}, \quad p_k = \frac{\Gamma_k^{-1}\beta_k(1-L_\Psi\beta_k)}{\sum_{\tau=1}^N \Gamma_\tau^{-1}\beta_\tau(1-L_\Psi\beta_\tau)}, \quad \beta_k = \min\left\{\frac{8}{21L_\Psi}, \frac{\tilde{D}}{\sigma\sqrt{N}}\right\} \\ m_k &= \left\lceil \frac{\sigma^2}{L_\Psi\tilde{D}^2} \min\left\{\frac{k}{L_f}, \frac{k(k+1)N}{L_\Psi}\right\} \right\rceil\end{aligned}$$

- Properties

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{G}(x_R^{md}, \nabla\Psi(x_R^{md}), \beta_R)\|^2] \leq 96L_\Psi \left[\frac{4L_\Psi(\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \tilde{D}^2)}{N(N+1)(N+2)} + \frac{L_f(\|x^*\|^2 + M^2 + 2\tilde{D}^2)}{N} \right]$$

知乎 @张楚琦

参考文献

References

- [1] Dadashi, Robert, et al. "The Value Function Polytope in Reinforcement Learning." arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.11524 (2019).
- [2] Agarwal, Alekh, et al. "Optimality and Approximation with Policy Gradient Methods in Markov Decision Processes." arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.00261 (2019).
- [3] IIS 2018 Spring: ATCS, Lecture Notes 12, url https://sealzhang.tk/assets/files/2018-07-18-ATCS_lecture_note12.pdf
- [4] Beck, Amir. First-order methods in optimization. Vol. 25. SIAM, 2017.
- [5] Ghadimi, Saeed, and Guanghui Lan. "Accelerated gradient methods for nonconvex nonlinear and stochastic programming." Mathematical Programming 156.1-2 (2016): 59-99.

知乎 @张楚琦

发布于 2019-11-02

- 最优化
- 机器学习
- 吴恩达 (Andrew Ng)

▲ 赞同 28 ▼

💬 4 条评论

🔗 分享

❤️ 喜欢

★ 收藏

⋮

文章被以下专栏收录



强化学习前沿

读呀读paper

进入专栏