

Optimality and Approximation with Policy Gradient Methods in Markov Decision Processes

Alekh Agarwal* Sham M. Kakade† Jason D. Lee‡ Gaurav Mahajan§

【强化学习 98】PG Theory Summary



张楚珩 🔮

清华大学 交叉信息院博士在读

38 人赞同了该文章

这篇文章太经典了,今天在想某个问题的时候需要用到,由推导了一遍,做了个总结。

原文传送门

Agarwal, Alekh, et al. "Optimality and Approximation with Policy Gradient Methods in Markov Decision Processes." arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.00261 (2019).

正文

Algorithm	Error	Miterations
Direct parametrization $w/$ projected gradient ascent $\pi^{(t+1)} = P_{\Delta(A)^{(t)}}(\pi^{(t)} + \eta \nabla_{\pi} V^{(t)}(\mu))$	$\min_{\varepsilon \in \Gamma} \left\{ V^*(\rho) - V^{(\varepsilon)}(\rho) \right\} \le \varepsilon$ (Theorem 4.2)	$\frac{64\gamma S A }{(1-\gamma)^6e^2} \left\ \frac{d_S^{\alpha^*}}{\mu} \right\ _m^2$
Softmax parametrization (w/o regularization) $\theta^{(r+1)} = \theta^{(r)} + \eta \nabla_{\theta} V^{(r)}(\mu)$	Only asymptotic convergence (Theorem 5.1)	May need exponential number of iterations
Softmax parametrization w/ relative entropy regularization $L_{\lambda}(\theta) = V^{\alpha_{\theta}}(\mu) + \frac{\lambda}{ S A } \sum_{x,x} \log \pi_{\theta}(\alpha x)$ $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}^{2}(\theta^{(t)})$	$\min_{\ell < T} \frac{ \mathbb{V}^*(\rho) - \mathbb{V}^{(\ell)}(\rho) }{ (Corollary 5.4)} \le \epsilon$	$\frac{320 S ^2 A ^2}{(1-\gamma)^6e^2}\left\ \frac{d_2^{n'}}{\mu}\right\ _{\infty}^2$
NPG with softmax parametrization $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta F_{\rho}(\theta^{(t)})^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} V^{(t)}(\rho)$ $\Leftrightarrow \theta^{(t+1)}_{i,k} = \theta^{(t)}_{i,k} + \frac{\eta}{1 - \rho} A^{(t)}(s, a)$	$V^*(\rho) - V^{(7)}(\rho) \le \epsilon$ (Theorem 5.7)	$\frac{2}{(1-\gamma)^2e}$
Unconstrained approximate NPG $\begin{array}{ll} \theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta_0 \omega^t (\omega^{(t)}) \text{ a simple based NPG)} \\ \Leftrightarrow \omega^{(t)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta_0 \omega^t (\omega^{(t)}) \text{ as simple Layed} \\ \Leftrightarrow \omega^{(t)} \in \operatorname{arg min} L_v(\omega; \theta) \\ L_v(\omega; \theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\Lambda \cap v} [(\Lambda^{v_0} \zeta, a)^{-} - \omega^{-} \nabla_{\theta} (\pi \pi_{\theta} (a))^2] \\ v(x, a) = d_y^{N_{\theta}}(x) \eta_{\theta}(a \ x) \end{array}$	$\begin{aligned} \min_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N} \\ l \neq 0}} \left\{ V^{\alpha}(\rho) - V^{(1)}(\rho) \right\} &\leq e + \frac{\sqrt{\ell_{approx}}}{1 - \gamma} \\ \text{where } & \frac{1}{L_{a}(\rho(l)^{1})} \rho(l)^{2} &\leq \ell_{approx} \end{aligned}$	$\frac{2\beta W^2 \log A }{(1-\gamma)^2 e^2}$ where β smooth, $\left\ \omega^{(t)}\right\ _2 \leq W$
Unconstrained approximate NPG $\mathbf{v}(s,a) = \frac{d_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{Te}}(s) n_{\mathbf{ft}}(a s)}{a^{\mathrm{I}(1)}} \operatorname{can achieve arg \min_{\mathbf{v}} L_{\mathbf{v}}(s;\theta)$	$\min_{\epsilon \in \Gamma} \left[V^{+}(\rho) - V^{(\ell)}(\rho) \right] \le \epsilon + \frac{1}{\left[1 - V\right]} \left\ \frac{v^{*}}{v_{2}} \right\ _{\omega} \epsilon_{\alpha p v = v}$ where $L^{*}_{v}(\rho^{(1)}) = \min_{\alpha} L_{v}(\alpha e \theta^{(1)}) \le \epsilon_{\alpha p p v = v}$ $(Corollary 6.5)$	$\frac{2\beta W^2 \log A }{(1-\gamma)^3 \epsilon^2}$
Unconstrained approximate NPG $\nu(s, a) = d_{\nu_0}^{\nu_0}(s) \pi_0(a s)$ Consider estimation error for $\min_{\omega} L_{\nu}(\omega; \theta)$	$\min_{t \in \Gamma} \left[\mathcal{V}^*(\rho) - \mathcal{V}^{(t)}(\rho) \right] \leq \epsilon + \sqrt{\frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)^3} \left\ \frac{\mathcal{V}^*}{\mathcal{V}_t} \right\ _{\infty}} \left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\text{spyrex}}} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{\sqrt{N}} \right) $ (Corollary 6.5)	$\frac{2\beta^{2}\delta^{2}\log(A)}{(1-\gamma)^{2}\epsilon^{2}}$ where $\left\ \tilde{\omega}^{(t)}\right\ _{2}\leq\tilde{W}$
Projected Policy Gradient for constrained policy class $\theta^{(t+1)} = P_{\theta}(\theta^{(t)} + \eta \nabla_{\theta} V^{(t)}(\mathbf{r}))$	$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}} \left\{ V^*(\rho) - V^{(\mathbf{t})}(\rho) \right\} &\leq (W^* + 1) \epsilon + \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)^2} \left\ \frac{\mathbf{d}_g^{W}}{\mu} \right\ _{w} \epsilon_{approx} \\ & \text{where } \epsilon_{SFE}^* \left\{ \theta^{(1)} \right\} = \epsilon_{approx} \text{and} \left\ \omega^{(1)} \right\ _2 \leq W^* \\ & \left(\operatorname{Corollary} 6.14 \right) \end{split}$	
		M J WMEII

Direct parametrization

Direct parametrization with projected gradient ascent $\pi^{(t+1)} = P_{\Delta(A)^{|S|}}(\pi^{(t)} + \eta \nabla_{\pi} V^{(t)}(\mu))$

$$\pi^{(t+1)} = P_{\Delta(A)^{|S|}}(\pi^{(t)} + \eta \nabla_{\pi} V^{(t)}(\mu))$$

Theorem 4.2. The projected gradient ascent algorithm (9) on $V^{\pi}(\mu)$ with stepsize $\eta = \frac{(1-\gamma)^3}{2\gamma|\mathcal{A}|}$ satisfies for all distributions $\rho \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$,

$$\min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \leq \epsilon \quad \textit{whenever} \quad T > \frac{64\gamma |\mathcal{S}| |\mathcal{A}|}{(1-\gamma)^6 \epsilon^2} \left\| \frac{d_{\rho}^{\pi^{\star}}}{\mu} \right\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Theorem 4.2 proof sketch

- 1. Smoothness of $V^{\pi}(\mu)$ with $\beta=rac{2\gamma|A|}{(1-\gamma)^3}$ (Lemma E3)
- #samples to magnitude of the update (projected gradient ascent, Bech, 2017)
- Small magnitude of the update to small gradient (projection, Ghadimi and Lan, 2016)
- Small gradient to optimality (Lemma 4.1)

Softmax parametrization w/ relative entropy regularization

$$L_{\lambda}(\theta) = V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mu) + \frac{\lambda}{|S||A|} \sum_{s,a} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) \,, \qquad \theta^{(t+1)} = \, \theta^{(t)} + \eta \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda} \big(\theta^{(t)}\big)$$

Corollary 5.4. (Iteration complexity with relative entropy regularization) Let $\beta_{\lambda} := \frac{8\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{|S|}$. Starting from any initial $\theta^{(0)}$, consider the updates (13) with $\lambda = \frac{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}{2} \frac{dS^*}{|S|}$ and $\eta = 1/\beta_{\lambda}$. Then for all starting state distributions ρ , we have

$$\min_{t \leq T} \left\{ V^{\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \leq \epsilon \quad \text{whenever} \quad T \geq \frac{320|\mathcal{S}|^2|\mathcal{A}|^2}{(1-\gamma)^6 \epsilon^2} \left\| \frac{d_{\rho}^{\pi^{\star}}}{\mu} \right\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Corollary 5.4 proof sketch

- 1. Smoothness of $L_{\lambda}(\theta)$ with $\beta_{\lambda}=\frac{8y}{(1-y)^3}+\frac{2\lambda}{|S|}$ (Lemma E4)
- 2. #samples to small gradient (unconstrained gradient ascent, Ghadimi and Lan, 2013)
- Small gradient to optimality (Theorem 5.3)



Natural policy gradient with softmax parametrization and exact gradient

$$F_{\rho}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{\alpha}^{\pi_{\theta}}} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot \mid S)} [(\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a \mid s)) (\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a \mid s))^{T}] \quad \theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta F_{\rho} \big(\theta^{(t)}\big)^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} V^{(t)}(\rho)$$

Theorem 5.7 (Global convergence for Natural Policy Gradient Ascent). Suppose we run the NPG updates (15) using $\rho \in \Delta(S)$ and with $\theta^{(0)} = 0$. Fix $\eta > 0$. For all T > 0, we have:

$$V^{(T)}(\rho) \ge V^*(\rho) - \frac{\log |A|}{\eta T} - \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)^2 T}$$

In particular, setting $\eta \geq (1-\gamma)^2 \log |\mathcal{A}|$, we see that NPG finds an ϵ -optimal policy in a number of iterations that is at most:

Theorem 5.7 proof sketch

- 1. NPG update has a simple form under softmax parametrization (Lemma 5.6)
- Improvement lower bound exists in terms of the partition function (Lemma 5.8)

NPG gives large weights to rare situations, and therefore the algorithm does not need μ and the sample complexity does not involve distribution mismatch coefficient using exact gradients.



Unconstrained approximate NPG

 $\theta^{(t+1)} = \ \theta^{(t)} + \eta \omega^{(t)} \ (\omega^{(t)} \text{ is sample based NPG)} \Leftrightarrow \omega^{(t)} \in \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{u}} L_{\boldsymbol{v}}(\omega; \boldsymbol{\theta})$

Theorem 6.4. (NPG approximation) Fix a comparison policy π and a state distribution ρ . Define ν as the induced state-action measure under π , i.e.

 $\nu(s, a) = d^{\pi}_{\rho}(s)\pi(a|s).$

Suppose that the update rule (18) starts with $\theta^{(0)} = 0$ and uses the (arbitrary) sequence of weights $w^{(0)}, \dots, w^{(r)}$; that Assumption 6.2 holds; and that for all t < T,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} L_{\nu}(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}) \leq \widetilde{\epsilon}_{appent}, \quad \|w^{(t)}\|_{2} \leq W.$$

$$\min_{t \in T} \left\{ V^{\pi}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left(\sqrt{\tilde{\epsilon}_{appear}} + \frac{\log |A|}{\eta T} + \frac{\eta \beta W^2}{2} \right).$$

Theorem 6.4 proof sketch

- 1. NPG update is equivalent to minimize $\arg\min_{\omega} L_{\nu}(\omega;\theta)$ (Kakade, 2001)
- 2. Assume that the parametrized policies are β -smooth (Assumption 6.2)
- 3. Performance difference lemma (Lemma 3.2) and Jensen's inequality

知了 @张建珩

发布于 2019-10-31

强化学习 (Reinforcement Learning) 深度学习 (Deep Learning)

文章被以下专栏收录



进入专栏