

Math. Program., Ser. A (2016) 156:59–99 DOI 10.1007/s10107-015-0871-8



FULL LENGTH PAPER

Accelerated gradient methods for nonconvex nonlinear and stochastic programming

Saeed Ghadimi · Guanghui Lan

【优化】Accelerated Gradient



张楚珩 🔮

清华大学 交叉信息院博士在读

28 人赞同了该文章

加速的梯度下降算法。

原文传送门

Ghadimi, Saeed, and Guanghui Lan. "Accelerated gradient methods for nonconvex nonlinear and stochastic programming." Mathematical Programming 156.1-2 (2016): 59-99.

Beck, Amir. First-order methods in optimization. Vol. 25. SIAM, 2017. 【Book】

特色

强化学习问题其实质就是一个优化问题; 策略梯度方法就是一种梯度上升算法。这里罗列一些梯度上升/下降算法的结论。

正文

一、和强化学习的联系

首先,如果把强化学习问题看做优化问题的话,一般规定目标函数 $J_{(r_0)}=V^{\infty}(\rho)$ 。那么该目标函数具有什么样的性质呢?即,该优化问题属于什么类型?

- 该目标函数是非凸的(nonconvex)
- 可以认为该目标函数是平滑的(smooth)
- 强化学习本身不带来局部极小值,但是策略的参数化方法可能带来局部极小值(single-mode, 我瞎起的)
- 不考虑策略参数化方法的问题,一般梯度严格等于零时有全局最优(gradient dominance)
- 它还具有一些更为『好』的性质(other regularities)

Motivation

- $\max_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta})$ is a nonconvex problem
 - Obviously, $J(\pi) = (1 \gamma P^{\pi})r_{\pi}$ is not linear. If it is convex, let $r \to -r$, then we have another valid RL problem that is concave. Therefore, it is nonconvex.
- · "Single-mode": exact zero gradient implies optimality
- More regularities, e.g., [1]



Motivation

- We can assume $J(\pi_{\theta})$ is smooth [2]
 - Direct parametrization (tabular case):

$$\|\nabla_{\pi}V^{\pi}(s_0) - \nabla_{\pi}V^{\pi'}(s_0)\|_2 \le \frac{2\gamma|A|}{(1-\gamma)^3} \|\pi - \pi'\|_2$$

Softmax parametrization with relative entropy regularization:

$$L_{\lambda}(\theta) = V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mu) + \frac{\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}| |\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{s,s} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) \qquad ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta) - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta) - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta) - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\mathcal{S}|} ||\nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta') - \nabla_{\theta} L_{\lambda}(\theta')||_{2} \leq \beta_{\lambda} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \frac{8}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{(1 - \gamma)^{3}} + \frac{2\lambda}{(1$$

Other Lipschitz and smooth parameterizations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\pi_{\theta}(a|s) - \pi_{\theta'}(a|s)\| \leq \beta_1 \|\theta - \theta'\|_2 \\ & \|\nabla_{\theta}\pi_{\theta}(a|s) - \nabla_{\theta}\pi_{\theta'}(a|s)\|_2 \leq \beta_2 \|\theta - \theta'\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} & (\beta_1\text{-Lipschitz}) \\ & (\beta_2\text{-smooth}) \end{aligned} \quad \|\nabla_{\theta}V^{\tau_{\theta}}(s_0) - \nabla_{\theta}V^{\tau_{\theta'}}(s_0)\|_2 \leq \beta \|\theta - \theta'\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad \beta = \frac{\beta_2 |\mathcal{A}|}{(1 - \gamma)^2} + \frac{2\gamma\beta_1^2 |\mathcal{A}|^2}{(1 - \gamma)^3}$$

Motivation

- Policy gradient methods = gradient ascent methods for smooth, nonconvex optimization problems
- Nonconvex (and possibly stochastic) gradient ascent ensures that the gradient norm decays well w.r.t. #iterations/#samples. [5]
- However, this does not imply fast performance improvement due to distribution mismatch. The gradient domination shows this, e.g., for direct parametrization (other parametrizations are more involved, but the idea is similar)

$$V^*(\rho) - V^\pi(\rho) \ \leq \ \left\| \frac{d_\rho^{\pi^*}}{d_\mu^\pi} \right\|_\infty \max_{\pi} \ (\bar{\pi} - \pi)^\top \nabla_\pi V^\pi(\mu)$$

• This is exploration problem.



Motivation

· Performance difference lemma

$$V^{\pi_1}(\rho) - V^{\pi_2}(\rho) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\pi_1}} [\langle \pi_1(s) - \pi_2(s), Q^{\pi_2}(s) \rangle]$$

· Gradient domination

$$V^*(\rho) - V^{\pi}(\rho) \le \left\| \frac{d_{\rho}^{\pi^*(s)}}{d_{\mu}^{\pi}(s)} \right\|_{\infty} \max_{\pi'} \left[\mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\pi}} [(\pi'(s) - \pi(s), A^{\pi}(s))] \right]$$

• Policy gradient theorem
$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\pi_{\theta}}}[(\nabla_{\theta} \pi_{\theta}(s), A^{\pi}(s))]$$

知了 @张建珩

二、回顾: Nesterov's Accelerated Gradient

接下来,作为热身,回顾一下非常著名的 Nesterov 提出的加速梯度下降算法。该算法按照 o(t/N²) 收敛,其中N表示迭代次数(就是下面的k)。但是该算法只针对凸优化问题,因此不使用强化学 习问题。今天要重点读的文章就是针对非凸优化的加速算法。

Recap: Nesterov's acceleration for convex optimization

· Update rule:

$$y^{k} = x^{k} + \frac{k-1}{k+2}(x^{k} - x^{k-1})$$
$$x^{k+1} = y^{k} - s\nabla f(y^{k})$$

· Convergence rate [3]:

Theorem If
$$f$$
 is convex, $f(x^k) - f(x^*) \le \frac{2||x^0 - x^*||}{k^2}$.

三、问题设定

这里要研究的问题主要是两类:一类是普通的光滑非凸函数的优化;另一类这里写作 composite functions 的优化。后一类可能不太好理解:如果说前一类对应的为 gradient descent 算法的话,那么后一类优化问题对应的一种特殊情况是 projected gradient descent。因为强化学习里面还是会遇到这种要做 projection 的情形的(比如考虑一个 direct parameterization 或者说 tabular case),因此我也把相关结论抄了一下以备后用。

除此之外,还考虑两种情形:一种情形就是能够获取准确的梯度(exact gradient),这种情况下我们分析 iteration complexity;另一种情形就是需要对梯度进行估计(stochastic gradient),这种情况在强化学习的分析中更有用,因为强化学习关系的 sample complexity,能和 stochastic gradient 访问的次数相联系。

Setting: smooth or composite functions

• Problem 1: smooth functions

$$\Psi^* = \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{D}^n} \Psi(\mathbf{x}). \quad \|\nabla \Psi(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{x})\| \le L_{\Psi} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

• Problem 2: composite functions

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(x) + \mathcal{X}(x), \quad \Psi(x) := f(x) + h(x), \tag{1.3}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}_{L_f}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is possibly nonconvex, $h \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}_{L_h}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is convex, and \mathcal{X} is a simple convex (possibly non-smooth) function with bounded domain (e.g., $\mathcal{X}(x) = \mathcal{I}_X(x)$ with $\mathcal{I}_X(\cdot)$ being the indicator function of a convex compact set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$). Clearly, we have $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}_{L_\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $L_\Psi = L_f + L_h$.

THIS WHEN

Settings for composite functions

• How to analyze projected gradient ascent? [4]

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = P_C(\mathbf{x}^k - t_k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)),$$

It can be written as $\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}^k) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k \rangle + \frac{1}{2t_k} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 \right\}.$ We can set $g(x) = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(x)$ that equals 0 when $x \in \mathcal{C}$, otherwise equals ∞ .

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}^k) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k \rangle + g(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2t_k} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 \right\}.$$

In fact, this equals the definition of proximal operator

$$\mathrm{prox}_f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{argmin}_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \right\} \ \textit{for any} \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}.$$



Settings for composite functions

· Definition

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}(x, y, c) &:= \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathbb{R}^*} \left\{ \langle y, u \rangle + \frac{1}{2c} \|u - x\|^2 + \mathcal{X}(u) \right\}. \\ \mathcal{G}(x, y, c) &:= \frac{1}{c} [x - \mathcal{P}(x, y, c)]. \end{split}$$

- Example
 - If $X(x) = I_X(x)$, $P(x, y, c) = P_X(x cy)$.
 - If $y = \nabla \Psi(x)$, $G(x, \nabla \Psi(x), c)$ is called gradient mapping.
 - If $\mathcal{X}(x) = 0$, $\mathcal{G}(x, \nabla \Psi(x), c) = \nabla \Psi(x)$.

Lemma 3 Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be given and denote $g = \nabla \Psi(x)$. If $\|\mathcal{G}(x, g, c)\| \le \epsilon$ for some c > 0, then

$$-\nabla \Psi(\mathcal{P}(x,g,c)) \in \partial \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{P}(x,g,c)) + \mathcal{B}(\epsilon(cL_{\Psi}+1)),$$

where $\partial \mathcal{X}(\cdot)$ denotes the subdifferential of $\mathcal{X}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{B}(r):=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\|x\|\leq r\}$.



Settings for stochastic gradients

- Consider $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}_{L_\Psi}(\mathbb{E}^n)$ is bounded from below, and a stochastic oracle
 - a) $\mathbb{E}[G(x, \xi_k)] = \nabla \Psi(x)$,
 - b) $\mathbb{E}\left[\|G(x,\xi_k) \nabla \Psi(x)\|^2\right] \leq \sigma^2$.

四、算法和结论

AG: Optimize smooth functions with exact gradient

• The accelerated gradient (AG) algorithm

$$\begin{split} x_k^{md} &= (1-\alpha_k) x_{k-1}^{ag} + \alpha_k x_{k-1} \\ x_k &= x_{k-1} - \lambda_k \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \end{split}$$

Proper step size policy

$$x_k^{ag} = x_k^{md} - \beta_k \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}).$$

Properties

$$\alpha_k = \frac{2}{k+1} \quad and \quad \beta_k = \frac{1}{2L_{\Psi}} \quad \lambda_k \in \left[\beta_k, \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_k}{4}\right)\beta_k\right]$$

$$\min_{k=1,\dots,N} \|\nabla \Psi(x_k^{md})\|^2 \leq \frac{6L_{\Psi}[\Psi(x_0) - \Psi^*]}{N}. \quad \text{where N is #iterations}$$

- When $\Psi(\cdot)$ is convex, we can use larger step sizes $\lambda_k = \frac{k\beta_k}{2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{split} \min_{k=1,\dots,N} \|\nabla \Psi(x_k^{md})\|^2 &\leq \frac{96L_{\Psi}^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{N(N+1)(N+2)}, \\ \Psi(x_N^{ag}) - \Psi(x^*) &\leq \frac{4L_{\Psi} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{N(N+1)}. \end{split}$$



AG: Optimize composite functions with exact gradient $x_k^{md} = (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1}^{ag} + \alpha_k x_{k-1}$.

• The accelerated gradient (AG) algorithm

$$\begin{split} x_k &= \mathcal{P}(x_{k-1}, \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \lambda_k), \\ x_k^{ag} &= \mathcal{P}(x_k^{md}, \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \beta_k). \end{split}$$

Proper step size policy

$$\alpha_k = \frac{2}{k+1}$$
 and $\beta_k = \frac{1}{2L_{\Psi}}$. $\lambda_k = \frac{k \beta_k}{2}$

Properties

$$\min_{k=1,\dots,N} \|\mathcal{G}(x_k^{md}, \nabla \Psi(x_k^{md}), \beta_k)\|^2 \\ \leq 24L_{\Psi} \left[\frac{4L_{\Psi} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{N(N+1)(N+2)} + \frac{L_f}{N} (\|x^*\|^2 + M^2) \right]$$

· Recall the setting

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(x) + \mathcal{X}(x), \quad \Psi(x) := f(x) + h(x),$$
 (1.3)

where $f \in \mathcal{C}_{L_{\ell}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is possibly nonconvex, $h \in \mathcal{C}_{L_{k}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is convex, and \mathcal{X} is a simple convex (possibly non-smooth) function with bounded domain (e.g., $\mathcal{X}(x) = \mathcal{I}_{X}(x)$ with $\mathcal{I}_{X}(\cdot)$ being the indicator function of a convex compact set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$). Clearly, we have $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_{L_{k}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $L_{\Psi} = L_f + L_h$.

知了 @张楚珩

RSAG: Optimize smooth functions with stochastic gradient

- Algorithm randomized stochastic AG (RSAG)
 - Update rule

$$\begin{split} x_k^{md} &= (1-\alpha_k)x_{k-1}^{ag} + \alpha_k x_{k-1},\\ x_k &= x_{k-1} - \lambda_k G(x_k^{md}, \xi_k),\\ x_k^{ag} &= x_k^{md} - \beta_k G(x_k^{md}, \xi_k). \end{split}$$

• Output x_R , where $R \sim Categorical(p_1, \cdots, p_N)$

RSAG: Optimize smooth functions with stochastic gradient

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet & \text{ Proper step size policy} \\ & \alpha_k = \frac{2}{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \frac{1}{2L_\Psi} \quad \lambda_k \in \left[\beta_k, \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_k}{4}\right)\beta_k\right] \\ & \text{ Where } \widetilde{D} = \sqrt{[\Psi(x_0) - \Psi(x^*)]/L_\Psi} \end{aligned} \\ \end{cases} \quad p_k = \frac{\lambda_k C_k}{\sum_{\tau=1}^N \lambda_\tau C_\tau}, \quad \beta_k = \min\left\{\frac{8}{21L_\Psi}, \frac{\widetilde{D}}{\sigma\sqrt{N}}\right\}$$

Properties

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \Psi(x_R^{md})\|^2] \leq \frac{21L_{\Psi}[\Psi(x_0) - \Psi^*]}{4N} + \frac{4\sigma[L_{\Psi}(\Psi(x_0) - \Psi^*)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{N}}$$



RSAG: Optimize composite functions with stochastic gradient

- Algorithm: use a mini-batch to estimate gradient $\begin{array}{c} \bar{G}_k = \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} G(x_k^{md}, \xi_{k,i}) \\ x_k^{md} = (1-\alpha_k) x_{k-1}^{ag} + \alpha_k x_{k-1}. \\ x_k = \mathcal{P}(x_{k-1}, \bar{G}_k, \lambda_k), \end{array}$ $x_k^{ag} = \mathcal{P}(x_k^{md}, \tilde{G}_k, \beta_k),$
- Proper step size policy

Proper step size policy
$$\alpha_k = \frac{2}{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \frac{1}{2L_{\Psi}}. \quad \lambda_k = \frac{k \, \beta_k}{2} \quad p_k = \frac{\Gamma_k^{-1} \beta_k (1 - L_{\Psi} \beta_k)}{\sum_{\tau=1}^N \Gamma_{\tau}^{-1} \beta_{\tau} (1 - L_{\Psi} \beta_{\tau})} \quad \beta_k = \min \left\{ \frac{8}{21 L_{\Psi}}, \frac{\tilde{D}}{\sigma \sqrt{N}} \right\}$$

$$m_k = \left\lceil \frac{\sigma^2}{L_{\Psi} \tilde{D}^2} \min \left\{ \frac{k}{L_f}, \frac{k(k+1)N}{L_{\Psi}} \right\} \right\rceil$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{G}(x_R^{md}, \nabla \Psi(x_R^{md}), \beta_R)\|^2] \leq 96L_{\Psi} \left[\frac{4L_{\Psi}(\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \tilde{D}^2)}{N(N+1)(N+2)} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{L_f(\|x^*\|^2 + M^2 + 2\tilde{D}^2)}{N} \right]$$



参考文献

References

- [1] Dadashi, Robert, et al. "The Value Function Polytope in Reinforcement Learning." arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.11524 (2019).
- [2] Agarwal, Alekh, et al. "Optimality and Approximation with Policy Gradient Methods in Markov Decision Processes." arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.00261 (2019).
- [3] IIIS 2018 Spring: ATCS, Lecture Notes 12, url https://sealzhang.tk/assets/files/2018-07-18-
- [4] Beck, Amir. First-order methods in optimization. Vol. 25. SIAM, 2017.
- [5] Ghadimi, Saeed, and Guanghui Lan. "Accelerated gradient methods for nonconvex nonlinear and stochastic programming." Mathematical Programming 156.1-2 (2016): 59-99.

发布于 2019-11-02

最优化 机器学习 吴恩达(Andrew Ng)

▲ **赞同 28** ▼ ● 4条评论 ▼ 分享 ● 喜欢 ★ 收藏 …

文章被以下专栏收录

强化学习前沿 读呀读paper

进入专栏