

CLÉMENT VIGUIER

PHD THESIS

CONTENTS

Introduction	5
1 Mountain grasslands	7
1.1 Geography, climate and managements: les drivers . . .	7
1.2 Mountain grasslands under climate change	7
1.3 Mountain grasslands, source of services	7
1.4 Let's talk about traits	7
2 Modelling ecological systems	9
2.1 Models as understanding and testing tools	9
2.2 Modelling plant communities	9
2.3 Modelling coexistence	10
2.4 Breaking the resolution-specificity trade-off	10
3 Phenotypic plasticity of organisms	11
3.1 Stability and plasticity	11
3.2 Costs and limits of plasticity	11
3.3 Plasticity and coexistence	11
I Extend mountgrass	15
1 Include nitrogen: source of trade-off	19
1.1 Stable coexistence: the need for a resource dependent tissue efficiency	19
2 Specific resistance carbon pools: diversify strategies (and memory)	21
3 Land-use: a important driver	23
3.1 Proto-model of management	23
3.2 Individual and collective response	23
3.3 Remaining questions	23
4 Local adaptation and epigenetic: between species and indi- vidual memory	25
5 Making it all fun	27
5.1 Documentation and vignette	27
5.2 Fun and simple simulations	27

CONTENTS

5.3	Theme and shiny ?	27
-----	-----------------------------	----

INTRODUCTION

1 MOUNTAIN GRASSLANDS

MOUNTAIN GRASSLANDS HAVE AN UNIQUE BEAUTY DRAWN BY THE DIVERSITY OF FLOWERS COLOURS, THE STRONG CONTRAST BETWEEN THE LUXURIOUS GREEN VEGETATION AND THE ROUGHNESS OF THE NAKED ROCKS, AND THE FEELING THAT LIVING IN SUCH PLACES, AT THE EDGE OF LIVING CONDITIONS, IS A FIGHT WORTH FIGHTING. I COULD ILLUSTRATE THIS BEAUTY WITH THOUSANDS PICTURES AND WORDS, AND IT WOULD CERTAINLY CONVINCE YOU THAT THESE ECOSYSTEMS WORTH SPENDING TIME STUDYING THEM TO BETTER UNDERSTAND AND PROTECT THEM. I COULD ALSO DESCRIBE THEIR ROLE IN THE ECONOMY OF ALPINE REGIONS, CURRENTLY SUBJECT OF GREAT MODIFICATION, AND GREATER TO COME. BUT YOU WOULD NOT SEE THESE RICH SYSTEMS AS I SEE THEM: AS AN INTRICATE NETWORK OF INTERACTION LIVING CREATURES, WITH THEIR OWN CHARACTERISTICS, STRATEGY AND EXPERIENCE, FORMING A DYNAMIC SYSTEM... CAPTURING THIS BEAUTY IS ONE CHALLENGE OF THIS MODELLING PhD.

I MUST NOW GIVE YOU AN OVERVIEW ON THE MOUNTAIN GRASSLANDS

The idea is to go from context, to services to ecology. At the end of this part, it is obvious that ecosystem services can be derived from traits and that we need tools for prediction of MG dynamics.

Geography, climate and managements: les drivers

Mountain grasslands under climate change

Mountain grasslands, source of services

Let's talk about traits

response trait and effect traits (?) <- you need to talk about this to better introduce the shift from there to a deep/low level traits to composite traits (SLA if related to a certain resource use strategy, is also a composite trait (Nitrogen, light and water all affect the SLA value). View such traits as mono dimensional is dangerous as it simplify a lot (and I might have fallen within this trap). Having low level traits that define the overall strategy (and not how to achieve it) should help to have a more systemic view (good term here ? view of the system with all its parts) and better understand the rules that drive the development. But they also imply the need for new mechanisms to link such traits and strategies to actual, measurable traits that define the phenotype as we see it (at the level of interaction or services provides).

Opportunity to emphasize the fact (a simple scheme should help here)

CHAPTER 1. MOUNTAIN GRASSLANDS

that apparent traits are usefull (and measurable) to define the current effect on the environment (and so interactions between plants) and on provided services, but they are difficult to use to predict the dynamic of individuals and communities (precisely because they are changing and composit traits that respond to the environment).

2 MODELLING ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS

The message here should be that: we know how to model vegetation systems, but we need finer resolution (from species and com, to traits, to individual responses) and bigger (higher number of species) scale -> generic framework and individual response.

Based on two particular similar models: taubert, and Lohier.

Models as understanding and testing tools

"Physicien de la biologie"

Justify the modelling approach - what's a model ? simplification of reality

Long subject refer to models in ecosystem sciences. Different classes of model, and different objectives. Mechanistic models: understanding and testing hypothesis.

Model as understanding tools: how does modelling help us understanding the system we are modelling.

The need for mechanistic model and emergent properties of models. Process-based models vs statistical model (what happen outside the data (example of flickering tails of regression models), similar to bayesian approach, the model is constrained by our understanding of processes.)

mechanistic models: risks of lack of mechanisms, complex calibration, lot of parameters. Statistical model have the advantage of parcymony: minimum number of parameters to reproduce a pattern.

Modelling plant communities

Different levels of modelling: from communities to individuals

community approaches, CWM to importance of individuals.

Processes

This is a paragraphe Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut

TEST PRAGRAPH TITLE - NOW
WHAT HAPPEN IF IT LAYS ON MUL-
TIPLES LINES

massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

1

Agent-based models

Review of existing models (grasslands and forest)

Comparison of two existing models

How to build around/from that.

Modelling coexistence

Message: modelling coexistence is a challenge because 1) do not know/understand all mechanisms, 2) challenging to incorporate enough mechanisms, 3) costly computation and data wise. -> need for more generic and complete (multiple mechanisms approaches.

What is diversity and why model it?

On why model coexistence: better understanding of mechanisms, tool to evaluate and predict changes in coexistence.



The concept of niche

Coexistence mechanisms

Breaking the resolution-specificity trade-off

use of generic species

still a trade-off with scale.

some notes

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

¹ a short sidenote

3 PHENOTYPIC PLASTICITY OF ORGANISMS

Message here ?

Stability and plasticity

Costs and limits of plasticity

Plasticity and coexistence

SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS

How to model vegetation system with higher resolution at bigger scale?

How does plasticity work in plants?

Effect of plasticity of plant interactions (and coexistence)?

Effect of plasticity on resistance/resilience to climatic events?

Effect of this mechanism on overall services provision?

I

EXTEND MOUNTGRASS

THIS SECTION IS MEANT TO INCLUDE THOUGHTS AND IDEAS ON HOW TO EXTEND MOUNTGRASSBUT THAT COULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE FIRST VERSIONS OF THE MODEL FOR VARIOUS REASONS. DESPITE NOT BEING INCLUDED, THESE EXTENSIONS ARE INTERESTING FROM A SCIENTIFIC OR TECHNICAL POINT OF VIEW, AND I HOPE THESE NOTES CAN BE USEFUL TO ANYONE INTERESTED IN MOUNTGRASSOR INDIVIDUAL BASED VEGETATION MODELLING.

1 INCLUDE NITROGEN: SOURCE OF TRADE-OFF

As seen previously in chapter , the emergence of trade-off in growth strategy in the actual framework actually rely on a strong genetic constraint over plant plasticity. Indeed, without plasticity cost and low reactivity there would be a high rate of phenotypic convergence of individuals from different species. This is explained by the existence of optimum carbon partitioning (for a given size) in a stable environment. The coexistence of different resource use strategies (exploitative vs conservative) is allowed only through temporal variations and non equilibrium state. This is quite common since a lot of models will predict rapid dominance of one entity in case of equilibrium (need references here).

Multiple questions arise from this observation: are the conclusions of this work still interesting in the understanding of the coexistence mechanisms? (I hope I did convince you in the dedicated part of this document, see .. for more details), is it possible to see coexistence of multiple strategies in a temporally stable environment? how can we produce trade-off by including only one more resource?

In the following paragraphs I try to answer these questions with theoretical arguments and suggestions on how to integrate them in MOUNTGRASS.

Stable coexistence: the need for a resource dependent tissue efficiency

Coexistence mechanisms are listed and detailed in the introduction of this thesis (see chapter ??). Here I focus on the efficiency of tissues... Nitrogen based, why coexistence ? different phenotype correspond to different limiting resources and for different resource availabilities, different phenotype will optimize the return cost of tissues. Nitrogen also allow the model to have an extra dimension into strategy: WUE (local scale) versus NUE (global scale) (element of reflexion in Maire's thesis).

Its also can be related to

2 SPECIFIC RESISTANCE CARBON POOLS: DIVERSIFY STRATEGIES (AND MEMORY)

Original idea was to have specific carbon pools for different function, and weight the relative allocation based on gain projections.

3 LAND-USE: A IMPORTANT DRIVER

Proto-model of management

Mapping, digestibility and selectivity (smoothing). Grazing and mowing. Height correction.

Individual and collective response

Response could be to grow thinner, more fragile leaves to go back on tracks (and take advantages of nutrients and lower competition) or grow bigger leaves and invest in predation resistance/avoidance.

Remaining questions

Calibration of herbivory pressure.

4 LOCAL ADAPTATION AND EPIGENETIC: BETWEEN SPECIES AND INDIVIDUAL MEMORY

5 MAKING IT ALL FUN

Making it fun to use, so that people use it. Making it pretty ?

Documentation and vignette

Fun and simple simulations

Theme and shiny ?