CS 241, Lecture 22: Heap Management and Loaders

1 Heap

- The **Binary Buddy System**: we start with, say, 512 bytes of heap memory. Suppose we try to allocate 19 bytes. We need one more for bookkeeping, so we allocate 20 bytes. This fits in a block size of $2^5 = 32$ bytes. So we spolit our memory until we find such a block, and reserve the entire block.
- So our block starts like [512] and ends with [32|32|64|128|256] (bold is reserved).
- Now let's next request 63 bytes (which requires 64 bytes of space). This gives us something along the lines of [32|32|64|128|256]
- Now let's request 40 bytes as we know, this becomes 41 bytes. We need a 64 byte block we don't have, so we split the 128 block and get: [32|32|64|64|256]
- Now let's free the 63 block. We get: [32|32|64|64|64|256]
- Now free the 19 byte block, giving us $[32|32|64|\mathbf{64}|64|256]$. We can collapse 32 and it's neighbouring buddy, giving us $[64|64|\mathbf{64}|64|256]$, from which we can then collapse into $[128|\mathbf{64}|64|256]$.
- Now finally, free the 40 byte block, giving us [128|64|64|256], which we repeatedly collapse to get our original [256] block of memory.
- Some languages are nice, and they provide automatic memory management, like Java. How is this done?
- One technique is **reference counting**. The idea is that for each heap block, keep track of the number of pointers that point to it.
- We must watch every pointer and update reference counts each time a pointer is reassigned.
- If a block's reference count reaches 0, reclaim it.
- If a block points to another block and vice versa (and nothing points to the cluster), then the cluster is unreachable and should be cleaned.
- Another technique is **marking and sweeping**. Here, we scan the entire stack and global variables, and search for pointers. We mark the heap blocks that have pointers to them. We then scan the heap and reclaim any blocks that aren't marked.
- Essentially, this is a graph traversal problem.

- A third technique is **copying the collector**. The idea is to split the heap into two halves, say, H_1 and H_2 . Allocate memory in H_1 , and when it is full or we cannot find enough memory, copy H_1 into H_2 .
- After the copy, H_2 has all the memory stored contiguously. Then, from now on, allocate to H_2 (in essence, flip the roles of H_1 and H_2).
- This leaves no fragmentation, and new and delete are very quick but we can only use half the heap at a time!
- A more common variant is to split the heap into 3 or 4 regions, and reserve one region for the copy step instead.

2 Loaders

• Let's write the dumbest but techinically correct operating system:

```
repeat:
   P <- next program to run
   copy P into memory at 0x0
   jalr $0
   beq $0, $0, repeat</pre>
```

Note that this is how mips.twoints and mips.array work.

- The operating system is a program that needs to be in memory where should it be?
- We let the loader deside instead of choosing different addresses at assembly time, which may lead to collisions.
- So the loader's job is to:
 - Take a program P as input
 - Find a location α in memory for P
 - Copy P to memory, starting at α
 - Return α to the OS
- Introducing our OS, version 2.0:

```
repeat:
   P <- next program to run
$3 <- loader(P)
   jalr $3
   beq $0, $0, repeat</pre>
```

- Our input is machine code in the form of words, from w_1 to w_k . Note that $n = k + stack_space$, which is how much space we keep for the stack (if the name wasn't self-explanatory)
- This gives us code like:

```
for i from i to k:
    MEM[alpha + 4 * i] = w_i
$30 <- alpha + 4 * n
return alpha</pre>
```

Unfortunately, there are some issues with this approach: labels may be resolved to incorrect addresses!

• So to solve this, we might do something like:

```
.word id <- need to add alpha to id
.word constant <- do not reallocate!
branching commands etc. <- do not reallocate!</pre>
```

- But recall that we need to translate assembly code into machine code. So given 0x00000018, is this a .word constant or a .word id? We don't know!
- Introducing OS 3.0:

- Usually, the output of assemblers is not pure machine code, it's object code.
- We see this in our MERL(MIPS Executable Relocatable Linkable) files.
- In this file, we need the code, location of .word id, and some auxiliary information.
- So for example:

```
beq $0, $0, 2
.word endfile ;file length
.word endcode ;code + header
;Insert MIPS Assembly here
.word 0x4 ;constant no relocation
.word 0x8 ;constant no relocation
.word A ;needs relocation
B: jr $31
A: beq $0, $, B ;no relocation
endcode: ;MERL symbol table
.word 0x1 ;format code 1 means relocate
.word 0x14 ;location of A
endfile:
```

- Note this requires two passes the first pass records the size of the file, starts counting address at 0x0c instead of 0x0, and record the location of .word id instructions. The second pass outputs the header, MIPS machine code, and relocation table.
- Note that even with this, it is possible to write code that only works at address 0. For example:

```
lis $2
.word 12
jr $2
jr $31
```

We should never encode address as anything other than labels, so that your loader can update the references — that is, **NEVER hardcode addresses**!

• our loader relocation algorithm is as follows:

```
read_line() // skip cookie
endMod <-- read_line() // end of MERL file</pre>
codeLen <- read_line - 12 // no header in codeLen</pre>
alpha <- findFreeRAM(codeLen)</pre>
for (int i = 0; i < codeLen; ++i)</pre>
    MEM[alpha + i] <- read_line()</pre>
i <- codeLen + 12 // start of relocation table
while (i < endMod)</pre>
    format <- read line()</pre>
    if (Format == 1)
        rel <- read line()</pre>
         // go forward by alpha and back by header len
         // alpha + rel - 12 since we don't load header
        MEM[alpha + rel - 12] += alpha - 12
    else
        ERROR
    i += 8
```

- How do we resolve situations where we have labels in different files?
- We could cat all such files together, but why should we reassemble these files more than once?
- A better solution is the assemble the files first, **then** cat· right?
- But remember only one piece can be at 0x0 at a time so those assembled files need to be MERL files, and not just MIPS files.
- Note that concatenating two MERL files does not give a valid MERL file!
- More doom! We haven't really resolved the issue with labels in different files.

- We need to modify our assembler when we encounter a .word where the label is not in the file, we need to print a placeholder, 0x0 in our case, and indicate that we cannot run this program until our value of the id is given.
- For example, consider the following two .asm files:

```
a.asm:
lis $3
.word label
===
b.asm:
label: sw $5, -4($30)
```

where we cannot run a.asm without linking with b.asm

- We need to extend our MERL file so that it can notify us when we need to assemble with multiple files.
- Now let's consider another (related) thing error checking. Let's say we had a typo:

```
lis $3
.word banana
bananas:
```

- Did we make a mistake? How do we recognize this error? Without any changes, our assembler might believe this label, banana, exists elsewhere and load this with a placeholder this might not be desired!
- How do we tell our assembler what is an error and what is intentional?
- .import id is the command which asks for which id we should be linking in.
- This does not assemble to a word of MIPS.
- Errors will occur if the label id is not in the current file and there is no .import id in the file.
- We need to add entries in the MERL symbol table, and include format code 0x11 for External Symbol Reference(ESR).
- What needs to be in an ESR entry?
 - Where the symbol is being used
 - The name of said symbol

For example:

```
0x11 ;format code
;location used
;length of the name in symbol (n)
;lst ASCII char of the name of symbol
;2nd ASCII char of the name of symbol
...
;nth ASCII char of the name of symbol
```

- Another fun problem what if lables are duplicated. We wouldn't want to export a label in this situation this is why we have the .export directive.
- .export label makes label avaliable for linking with other files. Like import, it doesn't translate to a word in MIPS, but rather tell the assembler to make an entry in the MERL symbol table.
- The assembler makes an ESD, or an External Symbol Definition, for these words, following the format:

```
0x05 ;format code
;address the symbol represents
;length of name of symbol(n)
;1st ASCII char
...
;nth ASCII char
```

- Now, our MERL file contains the code, the address that needs relocating, as well as the addresses and names of every ESR and ESD. Our linker is complete.
- Linker algorithm:

Algorithm 1 Algorithm to link two merl files m_1 and m_2

- 1: $\alpha = m_1.codeLen 12$
- 2: Relocate m_2 by α .
- 3: Add α to each entry of m_2 's symbol table
- 4: if labels of the exports of m_1 and m_2 are non-empty then
- 5: ERROR
- 6: end if
- 7: //(See next page...)

Algorithm 2 Algorithm to link two merl files m_1 and m_2 con't

```
1: for \langle addr_1, label \rangle in m_1's imports do
       if there exists a \langle addr_2, label \rangle in m_2's exports then
 2:
          m_1.code[addr_1] = addr_2
 3:
          Remove \langle addr_1, label \rangle from m_1's imports
 4:
          Add addr_1 to m_1's relocates:
 5:
       end if
 6:
 7: end for
 8: for \langle addr_2, label \rangle in m_2's imports do
       if there exists a \langle addr_1, label \rangle in m_1's exports then
          m_2.code[addr_2] = addr_1
10:
          Remove \langle addr_2, label \rangle from m_2's imports
11:
          Add addr_2 to m_2's relocates:
12:
       end if
13:
14: end for
15: // See last page
```

Algorithm 3 Algorithm to link two merl files m_1 and m_2 con't

- 1: imports = union of m_1 and m_2 's imports
- 2: exports = union of m_1 and m_2 's exports
- 3: relocates = union of m_1 and m_2 's relocates
- 4: output 0x10000002 (MERL cookie)
- 5: output total codeLen + total (import, export, relocates) + 12
- 6: output total codeLen + 12
- 7: output m_1 code
- 8: output m_2 code
- 9: output Imports, exports, relocates