Migrating 100 Billion rows of financial data

- Existing Setup
- Challenges with Postgres and need for migration
- Migration Strategy and the nuances
- Single node Postgres to Multi-node Clickhouse(s)
- Benefits

08/06/2023

WhoAmI

- Ritesh Shrivastav
- Software Engineer at Zerodha
- Over 7 years of building and scaling Console
- @johnnybravo-xyz <ritesh@shrivastav.xyz>

Existing Setup

- Console stores and processes reports for over 10 million clients
- Over 100 billion rows across 8 tables using ~40TB of storage
- Single node Postgres that serves live traffic
- One more supplementary Postgres to aid ETL-pipeline
- This talk is about migrating data from this supplementary Postgres.

Challenges with Postgres

- Pushed single-node Postgres to its limits
- Hardware requirements for maintaining these nodes are prohibitively expensive
- Storage was also becoming a bottleneck.
- ETL pipelines became choke point
 - Ingesting data took 3.5 hours on average
 - Low throughput while ingesting data into multiple tables parallely

Why Clickhouse?

- SQL support with more goodies
- Queries are lightning fast
- Data ingestion too is lighning fast
- Data Compression
- Materialized Views
- Easy to scale on commodity server(s)
- Cons?
 - Update/Deletes have to be planned well
 - Data merge timelines are non-definite

Migration

- Make sure existing queries can be changed/adapted to CH's SQL
- Finding the right schema
 - Table Engines
 - Data Types
 - Compression Codecs

Sample Table Schema

Postgres

```
CREATE TABLE orders (
  user id
                   varchar
  order date
                   date
  order_punch time datetime
  order exec time datetime
  trade type
                  varchar
  quantity
                   decimal
  price
                   decimal
  exchange
                  varchar
  symbol
                varchar
  secondary id
               varchar
  order id
                   varchar
PARTITION BY RANGE(order date)
```

Clickhouse

```
CREATE TABLE orders (
  user id
                     String
  order date
                    Date
  order time
                    Array(DateTime)
  trade type
                    LowCardinality(String)
  gty price
                     Array(Decimal)
  exch symbol
                    Array(String)
  order ids
                     Array(String)
ENGINE = MergeTree
PARTITION BY toYYYYMM(order date)
ORDER BY (order date, user id)
SETTINGS index granularity = 365
```

Migration

- Convert data into CSVs with format that fits the new schema
- Move them via block storages or use HTTP interface

```
$ psql> \COPY (
    SELECT
      user_id, order_date,
      trade_type,
      JSON_BUILD_ARRAY(order_punch_time, order_exec_time) AS order_time,
      JSON_BUILD_ARRAY(price, quantity) AS qty_price
      JSON_BUILD_ARRAY(exchange, symbol) AS exch_symbol,
      JSON_BUILD_ARRAY(secondary_id, order_id) AS order_ids
      FROM orders
    WHERE
      order_date >= '2017-01-01'
      AND order_date <= '2017-12-31'
) TO '/tmp/ch-orders/partition-1-2017.csv' CSV HEADER</pre>
```

\$ cat /tmp/ch-orders/partition-1-2017.csv | clickhouse-client -d console --query "INSERT INTO orders FORMAT CSVWithNames"

08/06/2023

Sharding Data into multiple nodes

- Don't repeat mistake of single-node setup like Postgres
- Clickhouse scales linearly so, its easy to deploy multiple instances.
- Physically shard data into multiple nodes
- Deal with multiple shards in app logic than to get into menace of zookeeper and all.
 - CH has improved with CH-Keeper and we plan to take a look at it again in future

08/06/2023

Benefits

- We have six EC2 instances for the price of one huge EC2 instance used for single-node Postgres
- 50% savings on storage, can be improved further with use of codecs
- Data ingestion is 10x faster
- Extracting data in bulk for ETL-pipeline takes 10 mins compared to 140 mins from previous setup
 - Ingest data in parallel to multiple tables