Unit 5

The Original Manuscript

Recommende order for writing an original manuscript

- 1. Tables and Figures
- 2. Results
- 3. Methods
- 4. Introduction
- 5. Discussion
- 6. Abstract

5.1 Tables and Figures

- Editors, reviewers, and readers may look first at titles, abstracts and tables and figures
- Figures and tables should stand alone and tell a complete story. The reader should not need to refer back to the main text.
- Use the fewest figures and tables needed to tell the story
- Do not represent the same data in both a figure and a table

When to choose a table and when a figure?

- Table
 - · Give precise results
 - Display many values/variables
- Figures
 - Visual impact
 - Show trends and patterns
 - Tell a quick story
 - Tell the whole story
 - Highlight a particular result

Table title

- Identify the specific topic or point of the table
- Use the same key terms in tha table title, the column headings, and the text of the paper
- · Keep it brief

Table footnotes

- Use superscript symbols to identify footnotes, according to journal guidelines
- Use footnotes to explain statistically significant differences
- Use footnotes to explain experimental details or abbreviations

Table formats

- Model your tables from already published tables!
- Don't re-invent the wheel!
- Use the "3 horizontal lines" principle

Types of figures

- 1. Primary evidence
 - prove things with images
- 2. Graphs
 - Line Graphs
 - Used to show trends
 - Bar Graphs
 - Used to compare groups at one time point
 - Scatter Plots
 - Used to show relationships between two variables
 - Tell a quick visual story
 - Keep it simple!
 - Make it easy to distinguish groups

- If it's too complex, maybe it belongs in a table
- 3. Drawings and diagrams
 - illustrate an experimental set-up or work-flow
 - indicate flow of participants
 - illustrate cause and effect relationships or cycles
 - give a hypothetical model

Figure legends

May contain:

- 1. Brief title
- 2. Essential experimental details
- 3. Definitions of symbols or line/bar patterns
- 4. Explanation of panels
- 5. Statistical information

5.2 Results

- Summarize what the data show
 - Point out simple relationships
 - Describe big-picture trends
 - Cite figures or tables that present supporting data
- Avoid simply repeating the numbers that are already available in tables and figures
- Break into subsections, with headings (if needed)
- Complement the information that is already in tables and figures
 - Give precise values that are not available in the figure
 - Report the percent change or percent difference if absolute values are given in the table
- Repeat/Highlight only the most important numbers
- What verbe tense?

- Use past tense for completed actions
- Use the present tense for assertions that continue to be true, such as what tables show, what you believe, and what the data suggest

5.3 Methods

- Since you can talk about the subjects of your experiments, "we" can be used sparingly while maintaining the active voice
- Give a clear overview of what was done
- Give enough information to replicate the study
 - Who, what, when, where, how, and why
 - Materials
 - Participants/subjects
 - Experimental protocol/study design
 - Measurements
 - Instruments
 - Analyses
- Be complete, but make life easy for you reader!
 - 1. Break into smaller sections with subheadings
 - 2. Cite a reference for commonly used methods
 - 3. Display in a flow diagram or table where possible
- You may use jargon and the passive voice more liberally in the methods section
- Verb tense
 - Report methods in past tense
 - Use present tense to describe how data are presented in the paper
- · Use the active voice!
 - More lively!

5.4 Introduction

- Typically 3 paragraphs long. Recommended range: 2 to 5
- It is **not** an exhaustive review of your topic. Should focus on the specific hypothesis/aim of your study
- · Structure:
 - Background, known information
 - Knowledge gap, unknown information
 - Hypothesis, question, purpose statement
 - Approach, plan of attack, proposed solution
 - Why does your approahc fills the gaps
- Keep paragraphs short
- Write for a general audience (clear, concise, non-technical)
- Take the reader step by step from what is known to what is unknown. End with your specific question
- Emphasize how your study fills in the gaps
- Explicitly state your research question/aim/hypothesis
- Do not answer the research question (no results or implications)
- Summarize at high level! Leave detailed descriptions, speculations, and criticisms of particular studies for the discussion

5.5 Discussion

- Structure:
 - Answer the question asked
 - Support your conclusion (your data, other's data)
 - Defend your conclusion (anticipate criticisms)
 - Give the "big-picture" take-home message
- What do my results mean and why should anyone care?

Key finding (answer to the question(s) asked in Intro.)

- Start with: "WE FOUND THAT..." (or something similar)
- Explain what the data mean (big-picture!)
- · State if the findings are novel

Key secondary findings

Context

- · Give possible mechanisms or pathways
- · Compare your results with other people's results
- Discuss how your findings support or challenge the paradigm

Strengths and limitations

- Anticipate readers' questions/criticisms
- · Explain why your results are robust

What's next

- Recommended confirmatory studies ("needs to be confirmed")
- Point out unanswered questions and future directions

The "so what?": implicate, speculate, recommend

- Give the big-picture (human) implications of basic science findings
- Tell readers why they should care

Strong conclusion

- Restate your main finding.
- · Give a final take-home message.

Showcase good writing!

- Use the active voice
- Tell it like a story
- Start and end with the main finding
 - "We found that..."
- Don't travel too far from your data
 - Focus on what your data do prove, not what you had hoped your data would prove
- Focus on the limitations that matter, not generic limitations
- Make sure your take-home message is clear and consistent
- Verb tense:
 - Past, when referring to study details, results, analyses, and backgorun research
 - Present, when talking about what the data suggest

5.6 Abstract

- Overview of the main story
- Gives highlights from each section of the paper
- Limited length (100-300 words)
- Stands on it own
- Used, with title, for electronic search engines
- Most often, the only part people red
- Structure:
 - Background
 - Question/Aim/Hypothesis
 - Experiments (Quick summary)
 - Results (Key results found, Minimal raw data)
 - Conclusion: Theanswer to the question asked/take-home message
 - Implication, speculation, or recommandation
- May be structured with subheadings