

# Perfect Security

Cryptography and Protocols  
Andrei Bulatov

## Symmetric Encryption Scheme 对称加密方案

- A symmetric encryption scheme is a triple of algorithms  $(K, E, D)$ 
  - $K$  key generation
  - $E$  encryption algorithm
  - $D$  decryption algorithm
- For simplicity assume that  $k \leftarrow K$  uniformly at random,  $k \in \{0,1\}^l$   
or  $k \in U_l$  bit string of length  $l$ .
- $P \in \{0,1\}^m$  plaintext
 

$$E : \{0,1\}^l \times \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \{0,1\}^* \quad | \quad E_k(P) = C \text{ ciphertext}$$

$$D : \{0,1\}^l \times \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m \quad | \quad D_k(C) = P \text{ plaintext}$$

← 不同算法, 长度不同

$\{0,1\}^l \times (\{0,1\}^l \times \{0,1\}^*) = \{0,1\}^*$
- In general,  $E$  is randomized,  $D$  is deterministic
 

↓

Encryption algorithm is random

also called "Information theoretical security". 只是 keep key secure 是不行的.

## Perfect Security

例: Ignore key, send the plaintext as cyphertext, it's not secure

只是让 attacker cannot recover the plaintext 也不行

例: Send all bits of the original plaintext except the last one, keep the last bit very secure. it's not secure.

- Let  $(K, E, D)$  be a symmetric encryption scheme. It is said to be perfectly secure if for any two plaintexts  $P_1, P_2$  and a ciphertext  $C$

$P_1$  &  $P_2$  有相同的概率被加密为  $C$

$$\Pr[E_k(P_1) = C] = \Pr[E_k(P_2) = C],$$

where the probability is over the random choice  $k \leftarrow K$ , and also over the coins flipped by  $E$

even attackers know 1 of 2 possible plaintext, and the cyphertext, there's no way to prefer one of the plaintext to the other.

## Security as a Game

- We assume that Eve is almighty *全能的*
- Game
  - Alice chooses a key  $k$
  - Eve chooses 2 plaintexts and gives them to Alice *the 2 plaintext are easier for her*
  - Alice encrypts one of them and sends to Eve
  - Eve decides which one is encrypted

Eve wins if her decision is right  $P_r = \frac{1}{2}$

- The system is perfectly secure if Eve wins with probability  $1/2$
- This notion of security is very strong: *If Eve can do anything reasonable about CT, the system is insecure.*

Suppose that Eve can learn something about  $P$ . More precisely she can compute a function  $g(C) = f(P) \in \{0,1\}$  *← only 1 bit*

Then she chooses  $P_1, P_2$  with  $f(P_1) \neq f(P_2)$

*↳ That's why the def don't allow Eve know sth about  $P$ .*

## Example

- Let  $(K, E, D)$  be a substitution cipher over the alphabet  $\Sigma$  consisting of 26 Latin letters.  $K$  picks a random permutation of  $\Sigma$ , that is  $\pi \leftarrow \text{Perm}(\Sigma)$ .

The set of possible plaintexts is the set of all 3-letters English words.

- This SES is not perfectly secure.
- There are  $P_1, P_2$  such that for some  $C$

$$\Pr[E_k(P_1) = C] \neq \Pr[E_k(P_2) = C],$$

- Take  $P_1 = \text{'FEE'}$  and  $P_2 = \text{'FAR'}$ , and  $C = \text{'XYY'}$ . Then
- $$\Pr[E_k(P_1) = C] = [\text{prob. that } F \rightarrow X, E \rightarrow Y] = \frac{24!}{26!} = \frac{1}{25 \cdot 26}$$

$$\Pr[E_k(P_2) = C] = 0$$

same as horse race example  
 $F \rightarrow X, E \rightarrow Y$ , 其它字母排列的方法有  
 24! 种

## One-Time Pad

- The one-time pad is the following cryptosystem  $(K, E, D)$ :

- $k \leftarrow K$  uniformly at random from  $\{0,1\}^m$
- the set of possible plaintexts is  $\{0,1\}^m$
- $E: \{0,1\}^m \times \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$

$$P = P^1 \dots P^m, \quad k = k^1 \dots k^m$$

$$C = C^1 \dots C^m, \quad \text{where } C^i = P^i \oplus k^i (\text{mod } 2)$$

- $D: \{0,1\}^m \times \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$

$$P^i = C^i \oplus k^i (\text{mod } 2)$$

$$k \oplus C = k \oplus (k \oplus m) = (k \oplus k) \oplus m = 0 \oplus m = m$$

## Perfect Security of OTP

- **Theorem.**

The OTP is perfectly secure

- **Proof.**

For any  $P_1, P_2, C \in \{0,1\}^m$  we have to prove that

$$\Pr[E_k(P_1) = C] = \Pr[E_k(P_2) = C],$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[E_k(P_1) = C] &= \Pr[k \oplus P_1 = C] \\ &= \frac{|\{k \in \{0,1\}^m : k \oplus P_1 = C\}|}{|\{0,1\}^m|} = \frac{1}{2^m} \end{aligned}$$

*only 1 key possible*  
↓

$$\Pr[E_k(P_2) = C] = \frac{1}{2^m}$$

缺:  $|k| \geq |P|$

If the key can be sent securely, the P can be send securely.

## Short Key – No Security

### ● Theorem

There is no perfectly secure SES with  $m$ -bit messages and  $m - 1$ -bit keys

### ● Proof (optional)

Suppose  $(K, E, D)$  is such SES.

Set  $S_0 = \{E_k(0^m) | k \in \{0,1\}^{m-1}\}$  *all possible keys*

Since  $|\{0,1\}^{m-1}| = 2^{m-1}$ , we have  $|S_0| \leq 2^{m-1}$  *小子: 可能有2个key加密成相同的c*  
*↓*  
*1 key → 1 ciphertext*

Choose  $C \notin S_0$  and  $P$  such that there is key  $k$  with  $E_k(P) = C$

Then *↳ since all plain text with m bits should have different ciphertext with the same key (otherwise, cannot decrypt), then there're  $2^m$  ciphertext*

$$\Pr[E_k(0^m) = C] = 0, \text{ while}$$

$$\Pr[E_k(P) = C] > 0$$