MAJOR / MINOR PROJECT ABSTRACT [Form - 1] (YEAR - 20 25)

| NAME OF LA | B COORDINATOR: | Dr. Průvanka | Yadau. | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| TITLE OF PRO | рјест: Доси | Core: Text & | Code Summeriser | in Cloud. |
| PROJECT TRA | ACK: (Tick the appropria | te one / ones) | | |
| 1. R&D (Innovation) | 2. CONSULTANCY (Fetched from Industry) | 3. STARTUP (Self-Business Initiative) | 4. PROJECT POOL (From IBM / INFOSYS) | 5. HARDWARE / EMBEDDED |
| -1 I | DUCTION OF PROJECTS ofect aims to di sation by cloud | ulob a cloud | Leased Solution for Hige Consulate Model (IL | M). The |

detailed analysis of code. The system is scalable be accessible die to its "cloud deployment. TOOLS / TECHNOLOGIES TO BE USED:

| | | • | PURPOSE OF USE |
|---------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| NAME OF TOOL / TECHNOLOGY | VERSION | SOFTWARE / | PURPOSE OF OSE |
| | | HARDWARE | 0.91 |
| Action Names | LA1EST | SOFIWARE | For CIICD & deployment pipelines |
| Andre Denops. | 2A 1E ST | SOFIWARE | For date analysis & model thaining |
| <u>Sataliricki</u> | | SOFTWARE | Continuous Intervation & Deployment |
| CIICD | LATEST | | Version Control & Collaboration |
| B111 | LATEST | SOFTWARE | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| VScode | LATEST | SOFIWARE | Code souring a contropina |

PROPOSED PROJECT MODULES:

| PKOPOZED PKOJECI MODOJEJ | · · |
|--------------------------|---|
| NAME OF MODULE | PROPOSED FUNCTIONALITY IN PROJECT Provide extractive summaries of the clocument. Analyse code for complexity & suggest static code analysis |
| Code Based Analysis | Ensure Scalable & Secure deployment of application. |
| | |

TEAM MEMBER DETAILS:

| I EAW WILLIAM | | | EXPERTISE AREA | ROLE IN PROJECT |
|------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| C - TIDENT NAME | CLASS & GROUP | MOBILE No. | | Worked on Text Symmonisorion. |
| STUDENTIA | | 9588023051 | ML | worder on and the Analysis |
| Garrila Sakhrani | 811A - G2 | 6350166060 | State mount | |
| Hiteshi Agrawal | | 8690603383 | | Workla Gil Lucia |
| Aphishek Gupla | 817A - GI | 8690603000 | bibeline. | & automation |
| 1101303 | | | | |

NOTE: 1. This form is to be submitted by a team of maximum 4 students in the starting of semester to lab coordinator. 2. Students must keep a Xerox copy of this form as reference for project work and attach it to final report.

ROLE SPECIFICATION OF TEAM MEMBERS [Form – 2]

| | | | hdaniu A |
|--|--------------------|---------------|--|
| MEMBER 1 GARVITA S | SAKHRANIL | HANDLING N | ODULE TEXT SUMMARISATION MODULE |
| NAME OF ACTIVITY | SOFT | HARD | DETAILS OF ACTIVITY (STORY) |
| NAME OF ACTIVITY | DEADLINE DATE | DEADLINE DATE | 20120M |
| Caraval Mallin Nandal | week 1 | Week 2 | Explore available um for summerisation. |
| Research HIP/LIM Model | Week 3 | Week 5 | Purphyonell place to be few music |
| Data preprincessing for | _ COECK & | | |
| Summarisation. | Week 5 | week 8 | |
| Model Implementation | | Weeklo | Thain & fine time model to improve |
| Model Training 4 Turing | Week & | | |
| 0 0 | 1 2001/11 | Week12 | Test model, Validate accuracy make |
| Testing le Evaluation | WeekII | 1.2001/13 | Puepared required documents. |
| Final Documentation. | Week 12 | HANDLING M | |
| MEMBER 2 HITESHI AC | SOFT | HARD | DETAILS OF ACTIVITY (STORY) |
| NAME OF ACTIVITY | DEADLINE DATE | DEADLINE DATE | and stephnologies for Code |
| A A A A A A | | wek2 | Complexity bugs detection etc. |
| Research Code Analysis | wan | | Complexity, bug delection System for |
| Tools. Integration | week 3. | Weeks | |
| Jool Integration Design Analysis Workflo | | Week 8 | Design an automoted process for coal |
| Code Methice & Athalys | | weeklo | 1 : 6 2 Anhalula Code Complexity |
| detection. | | | military of war of with insights on |
| Report generation 4 | weekib | Week 10 | a constitute & working |
| Li qualication. | | HANDLING M | MODILIE Moud Integration daysonation. |
| MEMBER 3 ABUSHER | GUPTA | HANDLING IV | DETAILS OF ACTIVITY (STORY) |
| NAME OF ACTIVITY | SOFT DEADLINE DATE | DEADLINE DATE | |
| | | Week 2 | Setup cloud environment for deployment. |
| Cloud Injuastructure | Weeks | Wege | & otal |
| Setup 1 | Week 3 | week 5 | Establish CILCO pipeline using Scuops tool |
| CI/CO pipeline Setup | | Week 8 | Configure security priotocol for clothed resources |
| Security Configuration | Week 8 | weeklo | Implement auto scaling & automate |
| a like the same | - W2C31 | | Jasource management for efficiency. |
| automation. hood testing & perform | ance Week 16 | week 12 | Conduct load testing to ensure |
| Obtinisation. | | | scales effectively under heavy load. |
| MEMBER 4 | | HANDLING N | MODULE OF A STRUCTURE (STORY) |
| NAME OF ACTIVITY | SOFT | HARD | DETAILS OF ACTIVITY (STORY) |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | DEADLINE DATE | DEADLINE DATE | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | - | | |
| | | | |

MENTOR'S NAME & SIGNATURE Mr. Proucen Kumar Yadau.

Praving

NOTE: 1. This form is to be submitted by a team of maximum 4 students in the starting of semester to lab coordinator.

- 2. Every member student must keep a Xerox copy of this form as reference for his / her part in project work.
- 3. Students must provide the detailed list of planned activities along with their completion deadline dates.
- 4. The lab coordinator will check the weekly progress of student against the information provided in this form.

Form-3 PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

| ME OF STUI | DENT – 1 | GIARVITA SAKHI | RANI | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| AME OF PRO | JECT | DocuCore: TEXT | & Code Summariser 1 | n CLOUD |
| THER TEAM | MEMBERS | 2. ABHISHER GUPTA | 3. HITESHI AGRAWAL 4. | |
| WEEK (TO-FROM) | WORKING ON . MODULE | PROGRESS ACHIEVED | COMMENTS | MARKS (X / 10) |
| 13/1/25 | Research | 100 1. | Verified | 10/10 |
| | Exploreani | | Verified | 10/10 |
| ! | Data Collec | 0 | Verified | 9/10 |
| | Nata Prepi | | Verified | 9/10 |
| | Lata Testi | William . | Verified | 19/10 |
| | | 1000 | Verified | 8/10 |
| | Model Des | 3 | Verified | 9/10 |
| 1 | - | Classic Classic | Verified | 9110 |
| 1 | Model T | | Verified | 10/10 |
| | Model Te | Sitt () | Verified | 10/10 |
| , , | 5 Model E | 100% | Verified | 10/10 |
| | S Testing | 1,037 | Verified | 10/10 |
| | s Integral | tidy | Verified | 10/10 |
| 28/04/ | 25 Deployr | nent (207. | 7 3 5 1 | |
| TOTAL WEEKS | MODUI COMPLE (YES / N | TED (POOR / AVG / GOOD) | OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD) | PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMA |
| 13 | Yes | Good | Good | |
| LAR COO | RDINATOR's re | emarks & Signature | rdinators for student – 1 of the team t | |

NOTE:1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 1 of the team to track his / her progress. 2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student

3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.

int.

lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by RMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.

against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.

^{4.} The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.

Form-3 PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

| NAME OF STUDENT - 1 ABHISHEK GUPTA | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| NAME | OF PROJECT | DOCUCORE : TE | DOCUCORE: TEXT & CODE SUMMARISER IN CLOUD | | | | |
| OTHER | TEAM MEMBER | | 2. GARUITA SAKMRANI 3. HITESKI ACIRAWAL 4. | | | | |
| WEEK (TO-FRO | | | COMMENTS | MARKS (X / 10) | | | |
| 13/1/2 | Resea | 90%. | Verified | 9110 | | | |
| 20/1/2 | | e Cloud Provider 1001. | Verified | 10/10 | | | |
| | S Cloud S | | Verified | 10/10 | | | |
| 3/2/2 | | 1 | Verified | 01/01 | | | |
| 10/2/2 | Security | b | Verified | 8/10 | | | |
| 3/3/2 | Security | onliquication 80°1. | Verified | 8/10 | | | |
| 101312 | | N 1 | Verfiel | 8/10 | | | |
| 7/2/20 | Automat | 1400.4 | Verified | 10/10 | | | |
| 241312 | | | Verefried | 9110 | | | |
| 7 14105 | | 10 | Verified | 9/10 | | | |
| 4/4/25 | Performant Portion | isation. 160%. | Verified | 10/10 | | | |
| lulac | o Testing | 160% | Virified | 0101 | | | |
| | L Integration | 10.00 | Verified | 10/10 | | | |
| 1 | Debioyment | | | | | | |
| TOTAL WEEKS | MODULE OVERALL PROGRESS COMPLETED (POOR / AVG / GOOD) (YES / NO) | | OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD) | PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMAT | | | |
| 13 | Yes | Good | Good | | | | |
| COORDINA | TOR's remarks | & Signature | | | | | |

OTE:1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 1 of the team to track his / her progress.

3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.

2

LAB

5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.

6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by student.

^{2.} Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.

^{4.} The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.

Form-3 PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS)

| NAME OF S | STUDENT – 1 | lurea. De | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|----|---------------------------|--|--|
| NAME OF S | 200150 | HITESHI AGRA | WAL | | | | |
| NAME OF PROJECT | | DOCUCORE: TEX | DOCUCORE: TEXT & CODE SUMMARISER IN CLOUD | | | | |
| OTHER TEA | M MEMBERS | 2. GARULTA SAKHRAHI | 3. ABHISHER GUPTA | 4. | | | |
| WEEK (TO-FROM) | WORKING ON MODULE | PROGRESS ACHIEVED | COMMENTS | | MARKS (X / 10) | | |
| 101.10.0 | 0 | | 5.1 · / / · · / | | un I | | |
| 13/1/25 | 1 | | Verified | | 10/10 | | |
| , , , | Emplore to | | Verified | | 10/10 | | |
| • • | Data Collect | | Verified | | 8/10 | | |
| • | Data Prupu | | Verified | | 9/10 | | |
| 10/2/25 | Tool Interes | ition 100% | Verified | | 10/10 | | |
| 3/3/25 | Design And | eysis 100 %. | Verified | | 16/10 | | |
| 10/3/25 | Code Metric | 807. | Verified | | 8/10 | | |
| 17 12/25 | Analysis De | ection 90% | Verified | | 9/10 | | |
| | Report Gen | | Verified | | 8/10 | | |
| 7 14/25 | Visualisatio | 0 | Verified | - | 8/10 | | |
| 14/4/25 | Testing | 100%. | Verified | | 10/10 | | |
| 21/4/25 | Integration | (w1. | Verfiel | | 10/10 | | |
| | Deployment | 1627. | Verified | | 10/10 | | |
| TOTAL WEEKS | MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO) | OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD) | OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD) | 1 | ERCENTAGE RKS ESTIMATE | | |
| 13 | Yes | Good | Good | | | | |
| AB COORDINATOR's remarks & Signature | | | | | | | |

NOTE:1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student – 1 of the team to track his / her progress.

2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.

3. The lab coordinator must evaluate student's work for every lab from a score of 10 points.

- 4. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.
- 5. The lab coordinator must IMMEDIATELY CONTACT MENTOR FACULTY of student in case of POOR PERFORMANCE or 2 CONTINUOUS ABSENCE from lab.
- 6. In case of absence, 00 / 10 MARKS will be awarded if the mentioned work is not presented in next lab by