

Network Telemetry and Incident Report

Incident ID: NE-2026-010

Status: RESOLVED

Severity: HIGH

Report Generated: January 24, 2026 16:20 UTC

Executive Summary

Core router hardware failure in Northeast Regional Network (Zone NE-2B) caused significant service degradation affecting 142,000 subscribers in New York metro area on January 23, 2026. Juniper MX960 core router (NE-2B-CORE-R03) experienced catastrophic line card failure at 14:42 UTC, resulting in 40% capacity loss for the zone. Failure triggered automatic failover to redundant router pair, but elevated traffic load caused performance degradation (latency spikes, packet loss, reduced throughput) for 3 hours until failed router capacity restored via replacement line card. Root cause identified as manufacturing defect in line card forwarding ASIC (Application-Specific Integrated Circuit) causing thermal runaway and chip failure. Affected line card model (MPC7E-MRATE) has known failure pattern; Juniper issued service bulletin in December 2025 recommending proactive replacement. Our organization had scheduled replacement for February maintenance window; failure occurred before planned replacement. No data loss; service fully restored. Incident highlights importance of proactive component replacement for known-issue hardware and maintaining adequate spare inventory for rapid restoration.

Incident Timeline

Normal Operations: January 23, 2026, 00:00-14:41 UTC

Line Card Failure: January 23, 2026, 14:42 UTC (MPC7E-MRATE line card on NE-2B-CORE-R03)

Automatic Failover: January 23, 2026, 14:42 UTC (< 1 second, traffic shifted to redundant router NE-2B-CORE-R04)

Performance Degradation Detected: January 23, 2026, 14:43 UTC (latency spikes on surviving routers)

Incident Declared: January 23, 2026, 14:48 UTC

Spare Line Card Located: January 23, 2026, 15:05 UTC (spare inventory at local data center)

Replacement Installation Began: January 23, 2026, 15:30 UTC (on-site engineer dispatched)

Line Card Installed: January 23, 2026, 16:12 UTC

Router Reboot and Initialization: January 23, 2026, 16:15-16:28 UTC

Traffic Restoration: January 23, 2026, 16:32 UTC (failed capacity restored, load rebalanced)

Performance Stabilization: January 23, 2026, 17:15 UTC (all metrics returned to normal)

Post-Incident Validation: January 23, 2026, 17:15-20:00 UTC

Incident Closed: January 24, 2026, 08:00 UTC

Total Duration: 17 hours 18 minutes (failure to incident closure)

Service Impact Duration: 3 hours 30 minutes (14:42-18:15 UTC, degraded performance)

Capacity Restoration Duration: 1 hour 50 minutes (15:30-17:20 UTC, replacement to stabilization)

Affected Network Region

Primary Zone: Northeast Regional Network - Zone NE-2B

Geographic Coverage: New York metro - Manhattan (Midtown, Downtown), Queens, Brooklyn (western), Bronx (southern)
Network Tier: Tier-1 Critical Infrastructure (Major Metro Core)
Subscriber Count: ~840,000 total subscribers in zone
Affected Subscribers - Performance Degradation: ~142,000 subscribers (17% of zone) experiencing latency spikes, packet loss, reduced throughput
Affected Subscribers - No Service: 0 subscribers (automatic failover prevented complete service loss)
Unaffected Subscribers: ~698,000 subscribers (83% of zone) - geographically distributed across other router paths, minimal impact

Services Affected: - Mobile broadband (5G and LTE) - performance degradation (slower speeds, higher latency) - Fixed wireless access - performance degradation - Enterprise connectivity - latency spikes, intermittent packet loss (SLA violations for some enterprise customers) - Video streaming - buffering and quality reduction - VoIP - call quality degradation (jitter, brief audio drops)

Service Impact Severity: - **14:42-17:15 UTC (2.5 hours):** Moderate degradation (30-40% throughput reduction, 3-5x latency increase, 2-4% packet loss) - **17:15-18:15 UTC (1 hour):** Mild degradation (as capacity restored and traffic rebalanced, performance gradually improved) - **18:15+ UTC:** Normal performance restored

Affected Network Components

Core Router - Catastrophic Line Card Failure

Router: NE-2B-CORE-R03 (Juniper MX960) - Status: DEGRADED (14:42-17:15 UTC) - Operating with reduced capacity due to line card failure - **Issue:** Line card failure (MPC7E-MRATE) caused 40% capacity loss - **Impact:** Router unable to carry normal traffic load; traffic shifted to redundant router pair - **Resolution:** Line card replaced with spare; router capacity restored

Failed Component: MPC7E-MRATE Line Card (Slot 2) - **Model:** Juniper MPC7E-MRATE (Modular Port Concentrator, 7th generation, Multi-Rate) - **Function:** High-density 100GbE/40GbE/10GbE Ethernet forwarding - **Ports:** 2x 100GbE ports, 8x 10GbE ports (all ports failed when line card failed) - **Traffic Capacity:** 240 Gbps throughput (40% of router's total 600 Gbps capacity) - **Failure Mode:** ASIC thermal runaway causing chip failure; line card became unresponsive and powered off - **Age:** 5.2 years in service (installed August 2020) - **Service History:** No previous issues; routine maintenance only

Other Line Cards on NE-2B-CORE-R03 (Unaffected): - **Slot 0:** MPC7E-MRATE - OPERATIONAL (2x 100GbE, 8x 10GbE) - **Slot 1:** MPC7E-MRATE - OPERATIONAL (2x 100GbE, 8x 10GbE) - **Slot 3:** MPC7E-MRATE - OPERATIONAL (2x 100GbE, 8x 10GbE) - All other line cards continued operating normally during incident

Redundant Router Pair:

Router: NE-2B-CORE-R04 (Juniper MX960) - Redundant Pair - Status: OPERATIONAL but overloaded (14:42-17:15 UTC) - **Normal Load:** 280 Gbps average (47% of 600 Gbps capacity) - **Post-Failure Load:** 480 Gbps (80% of capacity) - absorbed traffic from failed router - **Impact:** Operating within capacity but at elevated utilization; caused performance degradation - **Performance:** CPU utilization increased to 78% (vs. normal 45%), memory utilization 82% (vs. normal 68%)

Traffic Impact - Automatic Failover and Overload

Traffic Routing Architecture: Zone NE-2B served by 4 core routers in active/active configuration: - **NE-2B-CORE-R01:** 240 Gbps traffic (normal operations) - **NE-2B-CORE-R02:** 260 Gbps traffic (normal operations) - **NE-2B-CORE-R03:** 280 Gbps traffic (FAILED - line card down, capacity reduced to 160 Gbps) - **NE-2B-CORE-R04:** 280 Gbps traffic (OVERLOADED - absorbed failed capacity, load increased to 480 Gbps)

Total Zone Capacity: 2,400 Gbps (4 routers \times 600 Gbps each)
Normal Traffic Load: 1,060 Gbps (44% of total capacity)
Post-Failure Capacity: 2,160 Gbps (one router reduced capacity)
Post-Failure Load: 1,060 Gbps (unchanged demand, but redistributed)

Why Performance Degraded Despite Automatic Failover:

Automatic Failover Worked: - Traffic from failed line card (240 Gbps) automatically rerouted to redundant router (NE-2B-CORE-R04) within < 1 second - BGP routing protocol detected failure and converged to alternate paths - No complete service loss (this is success - redundancy prevented outage)

But Caused Overload on Surviving Router: - NE-2B-CORE-R04 went from 280 Gbps (47% capacity) to 480 Gbps (80% capacity) - High utilization caused: - Increased latency (longer packet queuing times) - Packet drops (queue overflow during traffic bursts) - Reduced throughput (congestion avoidance algorithms slowing traffic)

Why Other Routers Didn't Absorb Load: Routing protocols (BGP, ISIS) use routing policies and metrics to determine traffic paths. Failed traffic routed to NE-2B-CORE-R04 because: - R04 is designated redundant pair for R03 (routing policy configuration) - R04 and R03 serve same geographic clusters (cell towers, fiber aggregation points) - Routing to R01/R02 would require additional hops (less efficient, policy-based avoidance)

Result: Temporary capacity shortage on R04 until failed R03 capacity restored

BGP and Routing Impact

BGP Sessions: - All BGP sessions remained established (no session failures) - Routing convergence time: <1 second (sub-second failover, no noticeable outage) - Route updates: 2,800 route withdrawals + 2,800 new route advertisements (routes moved from failed line card to surviving paths)

ISIS (Interior Gateway Protocol): - ISIS detected line card failure and updated link-state database - Alternate paths calculated and installed in forwarding table - Convergence time: <500ms (very fast)

Routing Protocols Worked as Designed: - Automatic failure detection - Rapid convergence to alternate paths - No manual intervention required

Customer Experience - Performance Degradation Patterns

Affected Subscribers (142,000): Subscribers whose traffic normally routed through NE-2B-CORE-R03 experienced: - **Latency:** Increased from 18ms average to 55-85ms (3-5x increase) - **Packet Loss:** Increased from 0.08% to 2-4% (25-50x increase) - **Throughput:** Reduced by 30-40% (data speeds slower) - **Jitter:** Increased from 2ms to 18-35ms (affecting VoIP, video quality)

Typical Customer Impact Scenarios: - **Web Browsing:** Pages load 2-3 seconds slower (noticeable but tolerable) - **Video Streaming:** Buffering every 30-60 seconds; quality reduced from 4K/1080p to 720p/480p (adaptive bitrate adjusting to reduced throughput) - **VoIP/Voice Calls:** Audio jitter, brief dropouts (1-2 seconds), robotic voice quality - **Online Gaming:** High latency (55-85ms vs. normal 18ms), lag, game disconnections - **File Downloads:** 30-40% slower speeds

Unaffected Subscribers (698,000): Subscribers whose traffic routed through NE-2B-CORE-R01, R02, or other zones experienced normal performance (no impact).

Network Telemetry Summary

Pre-Failure Baseline (14:00-14:41 UTC - Normal Operations)

Network Performance (Zone NE-2B): - **Total Zone Traffic:** 1,060 Gbps average - **Per-Router Load:** - NE-2B-CORE-R01: 240 Gbps (40% capacity) - NE-2B-CORE-R02: 260 Gbps (43% capacity) - NE-2B-CORE-R03: 280 Gbps (47% capacity) [FAILED ROUTER] - NE-2B-CORE-R04: 280 Gbps (47% capacity) [ABSORBED LOAD] - **Average Latency:** 18ms intra-zone, 32ms zone-to-core - **Packet Loss:** 0.08% (normal) - **Jitter:** 2ms (normal) - **Connection Success Rate:** 99.4%

Router Performance (NE-2B-CORE-R03, pre-failure): - **CPU Utilization:** 48% (normal for load level) - **Memory Utilization:** 64% (normal) - **Line Card Temperatures:** Normal (ASIC: 68-72°C, within normal operating range 0-85°C) - **Power Draw:** Normal (line cards drawing expected power levels)

Line Card Failure Event (14:42 UTC)

14:42:08 UTC - ASIC Temperature Anomaly Detected: - Line card slot 2 (MPC7E-MRATE) ASIC temperature increased rapidly: 72°C → 95°C in 12 seconds - Temperature exceeded maximum operating specification (85°C) - Thermal alarm triggered

14:42:20 UTC - Line Card Unresponsive: - ASIC temperature reached 110°C (critical thermal runaway condition) - Line card became unresponsive to control plane - Router attempted to reset line card (power cycle)

14:42:24 UTC - Line Card Powered Off: - Router detected line card failure (unresponsive after reset attempt) - Automatically powered off line card to prevent further damage and potential chassis overheating - All ports on line card (2x 100GbE, 8x 10GbE) went offline simultaneously

14:42:25 UTC - Routing Convergence: - Router control plane detected port failures - ISIS routing protocol updated: Links down, remove from forwarding - BGP sessions transitioned: 2,800 routes withdrawn from failed line card paths - Alternate paths calculated and installed: Routes moved to NE-2B-CORE-R04 - Total convergence time: 420ms (sub-second failover)

Physical Failure Mode: Post-incident analysis of failed line card revealed: - **Forwarding ASIC (chip):** Catastrophic failure, chip physically damaged (visible burn marks, delamination) - **Root Cause:** Manufacturing defect causing ASIC thermal runaway - Defect in chip die attach (thermal interface between chip and heat sink) - Poor thermal contact caused inadequate heat dissipation - Chip temperature increased under load, exceeded thermal limits - Chip entered thermal runaway (higher temperature → higher leakage current → more heat generation → even higher temperature) - Chip failed catastrophically when temperature reached 110°C

Performance Degradation Period (14:42-17:15 UTC)

Immediate Impact (14:42-14:45 UTC): - Traffic from failed line card (240 Gbps) shifted to NE-2B-CORE-R04 - NE-2B-CORE-R04 load: 280 Gbps → 480 Gbps (71% increase) - Brief traffic disruption during routing convergence: <500ms

Sustained Degradation (14:45-17:15 UTC, 2.5 hours):

NE-2B-CORE-R04 Performance (Overloaded): - **Traffic Load:** 480 Gbps (80% of 600 Gbps capacity) - **CPU Utilization:** 78% (vs. normal 45%) - CPU processing increased packet forwarding load - **Memory Utilization:** 82% (vs. normal 68%) - Routing table, forwarding table, queuing buffers consuming memory - **Queue Depth:** Increased 4-6x (longer packet queuing times) - **Packet Drops:** 2-4% (queue overflows during traffic bursts)

Customer Experience (142,000 affected subscribers): - **Latency:** 55-85ms (vs. normal 18ms) - 3-5x increase due to queuing delays - **Packet Loss:** 2-4% (vs. normal 0.08%) - Queue overflows - **Throughput:** Reduced 30-40% (TCP congestion control backing off due to packet loss) - **Jitter:** 18-35ms (vs. normal 2ms) - Variable queuing delays - **Connection Success Rate:** 92-94% (vs. normal 99.4%) - Some connection attempts timing out

Trouble Tickets: - 380 trouble tickets opened in 2.5-hour period (moderate volume) - Common complaints: "Slow internet", "Video buffering", "Choppy voice calls", "Game lag"

Capacity Restoration (15:30-17:15 UTC, 1 hour 45 minutes)

15:30 UTC - Replacement Line Card Installation Began: - On-site engineer arrived at data center with spare MPC7E-MRATE line card - Powered off failed router (standard procedure for line card replacement) - Removed failed line card from Slot 2 - Installed spare line card in Slot 2

16:12 UTC - Line Card Installed: - Physical installation complete - Router powered on, boot sequence initiated

16:15-16:28 UTC - Router Initialization: - Router boot sequence: 13 minutes (normal for MX960 with full configuration) - Line cards initialized and brought online - Routing protocols (ISIS, BGP) re-established sessions with peers

16:32 UTC - Traffic Restoration: - New line card fully operational, forwarding traffic - Routing protocols converged, traffic began shifting back to NE-2B-CORE-R03 - Load rebalancing: NE-2B-CORE-R04 load decreased from 480 Gbps → 310 Gbps (over 15 minutes) - NE-2B-CORE-R03 load increased from 0 Gbps → 250 Gbps (restored capacity)

16:32-17:15 UTC - Performance Gradual Improvement: - As traffic rebalanced, performance metrics gradually returned to normal - **16:45 UTC:** Latency improved to 32ms (approaching normal), packet loss reduced to 0.8% - **17:00 UTC:** Latency 22ms, packet loss 0.2% (near-normal) - **17:15 UTC:** Latency 19ms, packet loss 0.09% (normal baseline restored)

Post-Restoration Performance (17:15+ UTC - Normal Operations)

Network Performance (Zone NE-2B): - **Total Zone Traffic:** 1,055 Gbps average (matches pre-incident baseline) - **Per-Router Load:** - NE-2B-CORE-R01: 242 Gbps (40% capacity) - NE-2B-CORE-R02: 258 Gbps (43% capacity) - NE-2B-CORE-R03: 275 Gbps (46% capacity) [RESTORED with new line card] - NE-2B-CORE-R04: 280 Gbps (47% capacity) [NORMAL load] - **Average Latency:** 19ms intra-zone, 33ms zone-to-core (normal) - **Packet Loss:** 0.09% (normal) - **Jitter:** 2.1ms (normal) - **Connection Success Rate:** 99.3% (normal)

Validation: - All metrics returned to pre-incident baseline - No ongoing performance issues - Replacement line card operating normally (temperatures, power draw within specifications)

Detected Issue: Hardware Failure - Manufacturing Defect in Line Card ASIC

Issue Classification

Primary Issue: Hardware component failure - Line card ASIC thermal runaway due to manufacturing defect

Secondary Issue: Known issue component - Juniper issued service bulletin (December 2025) identifying MPC7E-MRATE line card thermal failure risk; replacement scheduled but not yet implemented

Tertiary Issue: Capacity planning - Zone operating with adequate redundancy, but individual router overload caused performance degradation (not outage)

Root Cause: Manufacturing Defect in Juniper MPC7E-MRATE Line Card

Failed Component: Juniper MPC7E-MRATE line card, Slot 2, Router NE-2B-CORE-R03

Specific Failure: Forwarding ASIC (Broadcom Jericho+ BCM88690) thermal runaway caused by manufacturing defect

What Is an ASIC? ASIC = Application-Specific Integrated Circuit. Custom-designed chip optimized for specific function. In network routers, forwarding ASICs handle high-speed packet processing (routing lookups, forwarding decisions, quality-of-service, access control lists). These chips process billions of packets per second, requiring substantial power and generating significant heat.

Normal ASIC Thermal Management: - ASIC generates heat during operation (power dissipation) - Heat sink attached to ASIC via thermal interface material (TIM) conducts heat away from chip - Heat sink fins dissipate heat to airflow (fans cool equipment) - Temperature remains within operating range (0-85°C typical for networking ASICs)

What Went Wrong - Thermal Runaway:

Manufacturing Defect: Forensic analysis of failed line card (vendor lab analysis) identified:
- **Defective Die Attach:** Thermal interface between ASIC chip and heat sink had poor contact due to manufacturing defect - **Void in Thermal Interface Material:** TIM had 30-40% void area (air gaps) instead of full contact - **Poor Thermal Contact:** Inadequate heat transfer from ASIC to heat sink; heat trapped in chip

Thermal Runaway Sequence: 1. **Initial Operation (5+ years):** ASIC operated normally despite defect because traffic load was moderate; temperature within acceptable range (68-75°C) 2. **Increased Load (January 23, 14:30-14:42 UTC):** Traffic load increased to 290 Gbps (due to normal afternoon traffic peak); ASIC processing load increased 3.

Temperature Rise (14:42:08 UTC): ASIC temperature began rising rapidly: 72°C → 95°C in 12 seconds 4. **Thermal Runaway (14:42:15 UTC):** Temperature exceeded 85°C; ASIC entered thermal runaway - **Physics:** Higher temperature → Higher leakage current in transistors → More power dissipation → Even higher temperature - **Positive Feedback Loop:** Temperature increase causes more heat generation, causing further temperature increase (runaway condition) 5. **Critical Failure (14:42:20 UTC):** ASIC reached 110°C, exceeding absolute maximum rating; chip failed catastrophically (physical damage to silicon die) 6. **Automatic Shutdown (14:42:24 UTC):** Router detected line card failure and powered off line card to prevent further damage

Why Failure Occurred Now (After 5 Years of Normal Operation): - Manufacturing defect was always present (since initial installation, August 2020) - ASIC operated at or near thermal limits for 5+ years (68-75°C) - January 23 traffic load slightly higher than typical (290 Gbps vs. usual 280 Gbps) - Small additional load pushed ASIC over thermal threshold - Once thermal runaway initiated, failure was rapid and catastrophic (<20 seconds)

Lesson: Latent defects can exist for years before causing failure; small changes in operating conditions can trigger catastrophic failures

Known Issue - Juniper Service Bulletin

Juniper Service Bulletin PSN-2025-12-042 (December 2025): Juniper Networks issued service bulletin identifying thermal failure risk for MPC7E-MRATE line cards manufactured in specific date range (July 2019 - March 2021): - **Issue:** Manufacturing defect in thermal interface material application (inconsistent TIM coverage, voids) - **Risk:** ASIC thermal runaway and failure under high load conditions - **Affected Serial Numbers:** Specific range identified (~15% of MPC7E-MRATE line cards manufactured during period) - **Recommendation:** Proactive replacement of affected line cards

Our Response to Service Bulletin: - December 2025: Reviewed service bulletin, identified 18 affected line cards in our network (including failed line card on NE-2B-CORE-R03) - **Action Planned:** Proactive replacement during February 2026 maintenance window (6-8 week lead time to order replacement line cards) - **Prioritization:** Scheduled for February due to spare availability (had adequate spares for emergency replacement if failure occurred) - **Result:** Failure occurred before planned replacement (unfortunate timing, but emergency response plan worked)

Lessons Learned: - Vendor service bulletins must be acted on promptly; “proactive replacement” recommendations should be prioritized - Waiting 2 months for planned replacement carries risk of failure during that window - Consider expediting replacements for highest-risk components (high-traffic routers, critical zones)

Predicted Risk Level: LOW (Post-Resolution, Line Card Replaced)

Risk Score: 2.8 / 10

Risk Assessment Factors: - **Severity:** HIGH during incident (degraded performance for 142,000 subscribers) - **Duration:** MODERATE (3.5 hours of service degradation) - **Customer Impact:** MODERATE (degraded service, not complete outage; noticeable but tolerable) - **Business Impact:** LOW (minor revenue impact, few customer complaints, no SLA violations for consumer services) - **Recurrence Risk:** LOW - Failed component replaced; remaining at-risk components to be replaced in February - **Resolution:** SUCCESSFUL - Rapid restoration (1h 50min from spare deployment to full recovery)

Future Risk Assessment

Risk of Similar Failure (Other Line Cards): MODERATE → LOW

Remaining At-Risk Components: - 17 additional MPC7E-MRATE line cards with same manufacturing defect across network - All 17 scheduled for proactive replacement in February 2026 - Until replacement complete, low-level risk of similar failure exists

Risk Mitigation in Progress: - Proactive replacement program approved and funded - Replacement line cards on order (delivery expected late January) - Installation scheduled for February maintenance windows (3-4 routers per week, all 17 completed by February 28)

Post-Replacement Risk: VERY LOW - All defective components removed from service

Business Impact Assessment

Customer Dissatisfaction: - 380 trouble tickets (moderate volume for 142,000 affected subscribers) - 1,200 calls to customer support (manageable) - Social media: Minimal complaints (degraded service less likely to trigger social media complaints than complete outage) - Customer perception: “Network was slow for a couple hours” (annoying but not severe)

Revenue Impact: - No SLA violations for consumer services (best-effort service, performance degradation within tolerance) - **Enterprise SLA Violations:** 12 enterprise customers experienced latency >50ms, triggering SLA credits - **SLA Credits:** \$42,000 (automatic credits to enterprise customers per contract terms) - No measurable consumer revenue loss (no refund requests, degradation too brief to trigger churn)

Operational Impact: - Emergency response cost: \$8,500 (on-site engineer callout, spare line card from inventory) - Replacement line cards (proactive program): \$540,000 (18 line cards × \$30K each) - Engineering time: 8 hours (incident response, troubleshooting, installation, validation)

Total Incident Cost: \$590,500 (mostly proactive replacement program, not incident itself)

Reputation Impact: - Minimal - incident duration and scope too small for media attention or lasting reputation damage - Internal perception: “Redundancy worked as designed” (automatic failover prevented outage)

Root Cause Explanation: Summary

Primary Root Cause:

Manufacturing defect in Juniper MPC7E-MRATE line card ASIC thermal interface caused inadequate heat dissipation, leading to thermal runaway and catastrophic ASIC failure under normal operating load.

Contributing Factors: 1. **Manufacturing Quality Issue:** Defective thermal interface material application (voids, poor contact) during line card manufacturing (2019-2021 timeframe) 2. **Delayed Proactive Replacement:** Juniper service bulletin (December 2025) identified risk; our replacement scheduled for February 2026; failure occurred before replacement completed 3. **Latent Defect:** Defect present for 5+ years before causing failure; normal operation did not expose defect until traffic load slightly elevated 4.

Thermal Management Design Limitation: ASIC thermal design had minimal margin; small thermal interface defect sufficient to cause failure under normal load

Vendor Issue: YES - Manufacturing defect in vendor-supplied component

Preventable: PARTIALLY - If proactive replacement completed in January (expedited after service bulletin), this specific failure would have been prevented; however, without service bulletin, defect was undetectable until failure

Hardware Failure: YES - Component failed due to inherent defect, not operational error or maintenance issue

Remediation Actions

Immediate Response (Completed - January 23)

1. Automatic Failover (14:42 UTC) - Routing protocols automatically detected line card failure - Traffic rerouted to redundant router (NE-2B-CORE-R04) within <1 second - No manual intervention required; redundancy architecture worked as designed

2. Incident Declaration and Diagnosis (14:48-15:05 UTC) - Network Operations Center declared incident - Engineers diagnosed line card failure (thermal runaway, ASIC failure) - Identified spare line card in local inventory (ready for replacement)

3. Emergency Line Card Replacement (15:30-17:15 UTC) - On-site engineer deployed to data center with spare line card - Failed line card removed, spare installed - Router rebooted, capacity restored - Total restoration time: 1 hour 45 minutes

4. Service Validation (17:15-20:00 UTC) - Monitored network performance for 3 hours post-restoration - Confirmed all metrics returned to baseline - Validated replacement line card operating normally (temperature, power, traffic handling)

Short-Term Actions (1-2 Weeks)

5. Failed Line Card Forensic Analysis (January 24-30) Priority: HIGH

Action: Send failed line card to Juniper Networks for forensic analysis: - Identify specific failure mechanism (confirm thermal runaway hypothesis) - Determine if failure matches known service bulletin issue pattern - Provide data for vendor reliability analysis

Timeline: Analysis results expected February 7

Cost: Covered under warranty/support contract

6. Spare Inventory Replenishment (January 25) Priority: HIGH

Action: Order replacement line card to replenish spare inventory: - Used spare inventory for emergency replacement; need to restore spare stock - Order 1x MPC7E-MRATE line card (improved manufacturing revision, not affected by defect)

Cost: \$30,000

Timeline: Delivery expected February 2

Medium-Term Actions (1-2 Months)

7. Proactive Line Card Replacement Program (February 2026) Priority: HIGH

Action: Complete proactive replacement of all 17 remaining at-risk MPC7E-MRATE line cards: - Replacement line cards already on order (delivery expected late January) - Scheduled maintenance windows: 3-4 routers per week throughout February - Replace all affected line cards before end of February

Timeline: Complete by February 28, 2026

Cost: \$510,000 (17 line cards × \$30K each - already budgeted)

8. Temperature Monitoring Enhancement (February 2026) Priority: MEDIUM

Action: Deploy enhanced ASIC temperature monitoring and alerting: - Current monitoring: Temperature checks every 5 minutes - Enhanced monitoring: Temperature checks every 30 seconds (10x frequency) - Predictive alerting: Alert on rapid temperature increase (>10°C rise in 60 seconds - indicates potential thermal runaway) - Early warning: Alert on sustained high temperature (>75°C for 5+ minutes - indicates potential thermal management issue)

Benefit: Earlier detection of thermal issues, potential for proactive intervention before failure

Cost: \$15,000 (monitoring system configuration, alert tuning)

Timeline: Deployed by February 15

Long-Term Actions (3-6 Months)

9. Line Card Lifecycle Management Program (Q1-Q2 2026) Priority: MEDIUM

Action: Implement comprehensive line card lifecycle tracking and proactive replacement: - Track all line card ages, serial numbers, firmware versions - Vendor service bulletin monitoring (automated alerts for new bulletins) - Proactive replacement plan based on age, known issues, criticality - Spare inventory optimization (ensure adequate spares for rapid restoration)

Timeline: Program design Q1 2026, implementation Q2 2026

Cost: \$80,000 (inventory management system enhancements, process development)

10. Vendor Quality Assurance Review (Q1 2026) Priority: MEDIUM

Action: Engage Juniper Networks for quality assurance review: - Review manufacturing quality controls for line card production - Request improved quality assurance for future orders - Discuss long-term reliability data and proactive replacement recommendations - Consider vendor relationship implications (hold vendor accountable for defect)

Timeline: Meeting scheduled February 15, 2026

Best Practices and Lessons Learned

What Worked Well

1. **Automatic Failover:** Redundant router architecture and routing protocols provided sub-second failover; no complete outage
2. **Spare Inventory:** Had spare line card in local inventory; enabled rapid restoration (1h 50min)
3. **Rapid Diagnosis:** Engineers quickly identified line card failure and mobilized replacement
4. **Service Bulletin Awareness:** Had already identified at-risk components and planned proactive replacement (unfortunately, failure occurred before replacement completed)

What Needs Improvement

1. **Proactive Replacement Timing:** Waiting 2 months (December service bulletin → February replacement) carries risk; should expedite high-risk component replacements
2. **Capacity Headroom:** While redundancy prevented outage, single-router overload caused degradation; need more capacity headroom for graceful degradation
3. **Temperature Monitoring:** 5-minute temperature polling interval too slow to detect rapid thermal runaway; need faster monitoring

Hardware Reliability Lessons

1. Manufacturing Defects Can Be Latent: Failed line card operated normally for 5+ years before failure. Latent defects may not be detectable during normal operation until specific conditions trigger failure.

Implication: Even “proven” components can fail unexpectedly; proactive replacement based on vendor guidance essential.

2. Vendor Service Bulletins Are Critical: Juniper service bulletin identified risk 6 weeks before failure occurred. Our planned replacement schedule would have prevented this failure if completed earlier.

Implication: Service bulletins recommending “proactive replacement” should be treated as high-priority; delay carries material failure risk.

3. Thermal Management Is Critical for High-Performance ASICs: Modern networking ASICs operate near thermal limits; small defects in thermal management can cause catastrophic failure.

Implication: Enhanced thermal monitoring and alerting can provide early warning of thermal issues before catastrophic failure.

4. Spare Inventory Enables Rapid Restoration: Having spare line card in local inventory enabled 1h 50min restoration. Without spare, restoration would require 24-48 hour emergency shipping (severe customer impact).

Implication: Adequate spare inventory for critical components is essential for rapid incident recovery.

Capacity Planning Lessons

1. Redundancy Prevents Outage But May Not Prevent Degradation: Automatic failover prevented complete service loss, but concentrated load on surviving router caused performance degradation.

Implication: Capacity planning must account for N-1 redundancy (assume one router fails; remaining routers must handle load without degradation).

2. Individual Router Overload Causes Zone-Wide Degradation: Single router operating at 80% capacity caused degradation for 142,000 subscribers (17% of zone).

Implication: Target utilization should be lower (60-70% max) to provide headroom for failure scenarios.

Current Capacity Planning: - Zone designed for N-1 redundancy (one router can fail without outage) - Target utilization: 70-75% under normal conditions - Post-failure utilization: 80-85% (causes degradation)

Improved Capacity Planning: - Target utilization: 60-65% under normal conditions - Post-failure utilization: 75-80% (acceptable performance) - Cost: ~15% additional capacity required

Technical Metadata

Report Classification: Internal Operations - Incident Review

Data Sources: Router telemetry, SNMP monitoring, BGP/ISIS routing protocol logs, line card diagnostic logs, temperature sensors, trouble tickets, Juniper service bulletins

Analysis Period: January 23, 2026, 14:00-20:00 UTC

Contributors: Network Engineering (core routing, hardware), Network Operations Center, Vendor Support (Juniper Networks)

Review Status: Reviewed by Senior Network Engineer, Director of Network Engineering

Distribution: Network Engineering teams, NOC staff, Capacity Planning team

Related Incidents: - Similar line card failure: Zone SE-4B (November 2025) - Same MPC7E-MRATE thermal issue, different router - Juniper Service Bulletin PSN-2025-12-042 (December 2025) - Identified this exact failure mode

Follow-Up Actions: 5 action items assigned (forensic analysis, proactive replacement program, monitoring enhancements, lifecycle management)

Next Review Date: February 28, 2026 (post-proactive replacement completion)

Vendor Communication: - Failed line card returned to Juniper for forensic analysis (RMA #2026-01-0842) - Forensic analysis report expected February 7, 2026 - Quality assurance meeting scheduled February 15, 2026

End of Report

For questions or additional analysis, contact:

Network Engineering: neteng@pacificwireless.net

Hardware Engineering: hardware-eng@pacificwireless.net

Report Author: sarah.kim@pacificwireless.net