

Network Telemetry and Incident Report

Incident ID: SE-2026-002
Status: MONITORING
Severity: MEDIUM
Report Generated: January 19, 2026 09:15 UTC

Executive Summary

Progressive performance degradation detected in Southeast Regional Network (Zone SE-4B) affecting fiber backbone infrastructure connecting Atlanta metro area to regional aggregation points. Analysis indicates gradual hardware degradation of core optical transport equipment (DWDM system) causing intermittent bit errors and packet retransmissions. Issue currently in monitoring phase with performance degraded but within acceptable service levels. Proactive replacement of failing hardware components recommended to prevent escalation to service-impacting failure.

Incident Timeline

Initial Detection: January 12, 2026 03:22 UTC (automated anomaly alert)
Trend Confirmation: January 14, 2026 (pattern analysis confirmed gradual degradation)
Impact Assessment: January 16, 2026 (customer impact minimal but measurable)
Current Status: Monitoring phase - degradation stabilized but not resolved
Projected Critical Failure: Estimated 12-18 days if current degradation rate continues
Remediation Scheduled: January 24, 2026 02:00-06:00 UTC (maintenance window)

Affected Network Region

Primary Zone: Southeast Regional Network - Zone SE-4B
Geographic Coverage: Atlanta metro core to Augusta, Savannah, and Macon aggregation points
Backup Zones: SE-4A (partial capacity, Atlanta northwest quadrant), SE-5A (overflow to Charlotte)
Network Tier: Tier-1 Critical Infrastructure (fiber backbone serving multiple zones)
Route Characteristics: Primary fiber backbone carrying aggregated traffic from 12 cell tower clusters (240+ cell sites) and 3 major data centers
Traffic Volume: 18-24 Gbps average, 42 Gbps peak during evening hours
Subscriber Impact: Indirect (backend infrastructure affecting 180,000+ subscribers across multiple zones)

Affected Network Components

Primary Component: Optical Transport System

- Equipment ID:** SE-4B-DWDM-01 (Ciena 6500 Series DWDM Platform)
 - Status:** DEGRADED (performance declining, not failed)
 - Location:** 55 Marietta Street Network Operations Center, Atlanta GA
 - Role:** Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) transport system providing 100 Gbps fiber backbone capacity
 - Failure Mode:** Optical amplifier degradation causing increased bit error rate (BER) on multiple wavelength channels

- **Age:** 6.5 years (approaching end of 7-year expected service life)
- **Last Maintenance:** October 2025 (routine inspection, no component replacement)
- **Affected Channels:**
 - **Lambda 01 (1550.12 nm):** BER degraded from baseline 1×10^{-12} to current 4.2×10^{-10} (420x increase)
 - **Lambda 04 (1550.92 nm):** BER degraded from baseline 1×10^{-12} to current 6.8×10^{-10} (680x increase)
 - **Lambda 07 (1551.72 nm):** BER degraded from baseline 1×10^{-12} to current 2.1×10^{-10} (210x increase)
 - **Lambda 12 (1553.73 nm):** BER degraded from baseline 1×10^{-12} to current 8.9×10^{-11} (89x increase)

Secondary Components: Optical Amplifiers (EDFAs)

- **Component IDs:** EDFA-01-Stage-2, EDFA-02-Stage-2 (Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers)
 - **Status:** SUSPECTED ROOT CAUSE - Signal amplification efficiency declining
 - **Failure Mode:** Erbium-doped fiber aging causing reduced optical gain, requiring higher pump laser power to compensate, leading to signal quality degradation
 - **Optical Power Output:**
 - Baseline: +17.2 dBm (consistent across all channels)
 - Current: +15.8 dBm average (1.4 dB loss), varying +14.6 to +16.9 dBm (inconsistent per channel)
 - **Pump Laser Current:** 450 mA (up from baseline 380 mA) - system compensating for reduced efficiency
 - **Temperature:** 48°C (within operational range but elevated from baseline 42°C)

Downstream Impact: Core Routers

- **Router ID:** SE-4B-CORE-R01 (Juniper MX960)
 - **Status:** MONITORING - Receiving degraded optical signals from DWDM system
 - **Impact:** Increased forward error correction (FEC) processing load; occasional interface flaps
 - **Interface Errors:**
 - Baseline: 2-5 interface errors per hour (negligible)
 - Current: 180-240 interface errors per hour (significant but not critical)
 - **FEC Correction Rate:** 1,200-1,800 corrections per second (vs. baseline 20-30/sec)
- **Router ID:** SE-4B-CORE-R02 (Juniper MX960)
 - **Status:** MONITORING - Redundant pair, also affected by DWDM degradation
 - **Impact:** Similar error rate increases; load-balancing between routers masks individual degradation

Fiber Links: Physical Infrastructure

- **Link IDs:** SE-4B-FIBER-01 through SE-4B-FIBER-04 (four fiber pairs)
 - **Status:** HEALTHY - Physical fiber infrastructure intact (no breaks, bends, or attenuation issues)
 - **Last Inspection:** January 5, 2026 (OTDR testing confirmed fiber integrity)
 - **Route:** Atlanta to Augusta (120 miles), Atlanta to Savannah (240 miles), Atlanta to Macon (85 miles)
 - **Fiber Type:** Single-mode 9/125 μm , buried and aerial mixed deployment
 - **Connector/Splice Loss:** Within specification (<0.3 dB per connection)

Assessment: Physical fiber infrastructure is healthy. The degradation is definitively isolated to DWDM equipment, not physical layer.

Network Telemetry Summary

Optical Layer Performance

Baseline Optical Metrics (Pre-Degradation, December 2025): - **Bit Error Rate (BER):** 1×10^{-12} (excellent, far below FEC threshold of 1×10^{-3}) - **Optical Signal-to-Noise Ratio (OSNR):** 28.5 dB (excellent for 100G transmission) - **Chromatic Dispersion:** 180 ps/nm (within compensation range) - **Polarization Mode Dispersion (PMD):** 0.4 ps (negligible) - **Optical Power per Channel:** +17.2 dBm ± 0.2 dB (highly consistent) - **Q-Factor:** 16.8 dB (indicator of signal quality; >15.5 dB is good)

Current Optical Metrics (Degraded, January 12-19, 2026): - **Bit Error Rate (BER):** 4.2×10^{-10} worst channel (still below FEC threshold but 420x worse than baseline) - **OSNR:** 23.7 dB (degraded, approaching minimum for reliable 100G - threshold 22 dB) - **Chromatic Dispersion:** 195 ps/nm (slight increase, still manageable) - **PMD:** 0.6 ps (increased but still negligible) - **Optical Power:** +15.8 dBm average, inconsistent per channel (varies +14.6 to +16.9 dBm) - **Q-Factor:** 14.2 dB (degraded below "good" threshold; still operational but marginal)

Trend Analysis (7-Day Moving Average): - BER increasing approximately 12-15% per week - OSNR declining approximately 0.3 dB per week - Optical power variation increasing (± 0.8 dB current vs. ± 0.2 dB baseline) - Q-Factor declining approximately 0.4 dB per week

Projection: At current degradation rate, OSNR will reach minimum threshold (22 dB) in approximately 5-6 weeks, and BER will approach FEC limit (1×10^{-3}) in 8-10 weeks. However, hardware failures often accelerate non-linearly, so catastrophic failure could occur sooner (12-18 days estimated).

Network Layer Performance

Baseline Performance (Pre-Degradation, December 2025): - **Average Latency:** 8.2ms (Atlanta to Augusta), 15.6ms (Atlanta to Savannah), 6.1ms (Atlanta to Macon) - **Packet Loss Rate:** 0.02% (negligible) - **Jitter:** 0.8ms average - **Throughput:** 18-24 Gbps average, 42 Gbps peak (60% capacity utilization) - **Interface Errors:** 2-5 per hour per interface (baseline noise) - **Packet Retransmission Rate:** 0.15% (TCP retransmissions due to normal Internet conditions)

Current Performance (Degraded, January 12-19, 2026): - **Average Latency:** 9.8ms (Atlanta-Augusta) +19%, 17.9ms (Atlanta-Savannah) +15%, 6.9ms (Atlanta-Macon) +13% - **Packet Loss Rate:** 0.18% (9x increase from baseline, but still within acceptable range <1%) - **Jitter:** 2.4ms average (3x increase, noticeable but not critical) - **Throughput:** Maintained 18-24 Gbps average, but requiring more retransmissions - **Interface Errors:** 180-240 per hour per interface (100x increase - significant) - **Packet Retransmission Rate:** 1.8% (12x increase - TCP spending resources on retransmissions) - **FEC Corrections:** 1,200-1,800 per second (forward error correction working overtime to compensate for optical errors)

Customer-Visible Impact (Minimal but Measurable): - **Video Streaming:** Occasional buffering events (increase from 0.02% of sessions to 0.3%) - **VoIP Call Quality:** MOS score decreased from 4.3 to 4.0 (still "good" range, but degraded) - **Web Browsing:** Imperceptible to users (latency increase too small to notice) - **File Downloads:** 3-5% throughput reduction due to increased retransmissions - **Enterprise VPN:** Some enterprises reporting occasional slowness during peak hours - **Customer Complaints:** 8 trouble tickets filed (vs. 1-2 typical for this zone), mostly from enterprise customers with network monitoring tools

Environmental and Power Metrics

DWDM Equipment Monitoring: - **Temperature:** 48°C (elevated from 42°C baseline; within operational max 55°C) - **Humidity:** 38% RH (normal, within 30-50% recommended range) - **Power Consumption:** 3,420W (increased from 3,180W baseline due to higher pump laser current) - **Cooling Fan Speed:** 4,800 RPM (increased from 4,200 RPM to compensate for higher temperature) - **Voltage Levels:** All rails within specification (12V, 5V, 3.3V stable) - **Alarm Status:** Multiple "soft alarms" logged (BER threshold warnings,

power level warnings), no “hard alarms” (equipment failure)

Data Center Environment: - **Ambient Temperature:** 22°C (optimal) - **Ambient Humidity:** 45% RH (optimal) - **Power Supply:** Stable dual-feed UPS, no power quality issues - **Cooling System:** Operating normally

Assessment: Equipment operating temperature is elevated but within specs. Temperature increase is symptom of degrading optical amplifiers requiring more power, not cause of degradation.

Detected Issue: Progressive Optical Amplifier Degradation

Issue Classification

Primary Issue: Hardware degradation - Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifier (EDFA) aging in DWDM optical transport system

Secondary Issue: End-of-life component reaching failure threshold after 6.5 years of service

Tertiary Issue: Insufficient proactive monitoring and predictive maintenance for optical layer components

Technical Root Cause

The Ciena 6500 DWDM system deployed in Zone SE-4B uses Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers (EDFAs) to boost optical signals across long-distance fiber spans. These amplifiers use erbium-doped optical fiber pumped by high-power lasers to amplify light signals across multiple wavelengths (channels) simultaneously.

EDFA Degradation Mechanism: Over time (typically 7-10 years), the erbium-doped fiber experiences photodarkening and other aging effects that reduce amplification efficiency:

1. **Photodarkening:** High-intensity pump laser light causes color centers (defects) to form in the fiber glass, absorbing pump light and reducing amplification gain.
2. **Erbium Ion Depletion:** The erbium dopant concentration effectively decreases as ions become trapped in non-amplifying states due to crystal defects and thermal stress over years of operation.
3. **Fiber End-Face Contamination:** Optical connectors at amplifier inputs/outputs accumulate microscopic contamination over years, causing signal loss and back-reflections that degrade system performance.

Current System Status: Our SE-4B-DWDM-01 system is 6.5 years old, approaching the end of its 7-year expected service life. The EDFA amplifiers (specifically EDFA-01-Stage-2 and EDFA-02-Stage-2) are showing classic end-of-life symptoms:

- **Reduced Optical Gain:** Output power decreased by 1.4 dB average (20% power reduction)
- **Increased Pump Power Required:** System automatically increased pump laser current from 380mA to 450mA (18% increase) attempting to compensate for reduced efficiency
- **Channel-to-Channel Variation:** Gain becoming inconsistent across wavelengths (± 2.3 dB variation vs. ± 0.2 dB when new), indicating differential aging
- **Higher Operating Temperature:** Increased pump power generates more heat, raising equipment temperature by 6°C

Why This Matters: DWDM systems amplify optical signals over long distances (hundreds of miles) without converting to electrical signals. As amplifier gain degrades: - Optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) decreases - Bit error rate (BER) increases - Forward Error Correction (FEC) must work harder to maintain data integrity - Eventually, errors exceed FEC capacity, causing packet loss and service impact

We're currently in the "FEC compensating successfully" phase, but approaching the point where FEC can no longer mask the degradation.

Why Wasn't This Caught Earlier?

Monitoring Gap: Our optical layer monitoring focused on "hard failures" (complete channel loss) rather than gradual performance degradation. We monitored BER but didn't have alerting for slow trends until BER exceeded 1×10^{-6} (we're currently at 4.2×10^{-10} worst case - still 2,400x better than our alert threshold).

Predictive Maintenance Gap: We perform routine maintenance (inspections, cleaning) but don't have predictive replacement schedule based on optical performance trends. Manufacturer recommends proactive EDFA replacement at 6-7 years, but we planned to run equipment until failure to maximize ROI.

Lesson: Optical equipment degrades gradually and predictably with age. Proactive replacement is more cost-effective than emergency replacement after service-impacting failure.

Predicted Risk Level: MEDIUM (Potential for Escalation to High)

Risk Score: 6.8 / 10

Risk Assessment Factors: - **Severity:** MEDIUM - Service degraded but within acceptable parameters; no current SLA violations - **Scope:** WIDE - Affects fiber backbone serving 180,000+ subscribers (indirect impact) - **Escalation Probability:** HIGH (75%) - Degradation trend indicates likely progression to service-impacting failure within 12-18 days without intervention - **Business Impact:** MODERATE currently, SEVERE if escalates to failure - **Remediation Complexity:** MEDIUM - Requires maintenance window and component replacement, but not emergency intervention - **Customer Awareness:** LOW - Most customers unaffected, 8 trouble tickets from enterprise monitoring tools

Risk Escalation Scenarios

Scenario 1: Gradual Degradation Continues (Probability: 60%) If current degradation rate continues without acceleration: - **Timeline:** OSNR reaches minimum threshold in 5-6 weeks, BER approaches FEC limit in 8-10 weeks - **Impact:** Gradual increase in packet loss from current 0.18% to 2-5% - **Customer Experience:** Video streaming buffering increases, VoIP quality degrades, enterprise applications experience timeouts - **Business Impact:** 500-2,000 trouble tickets, SLA violations for enterprise customers, potential customer churn - **Mitigation:** Scheduled maintenance on January 24 will resolve before reaching this scenario

Scenario 2: Accelerated Failure (Probability: 30%) Hardware aging often exhibits non-linear degradation - slow decline followed by rapid failure: - **Timeline:** 12-18 days until catastrophic EDFA failure (multiple channels simultaneously) - **Impact:** Complete loss of DWDM capacity (42 Gbps capacity reduced to zero), traffic fails over to backup routes with insufficient capacity - **Customer Experience:** Severe service degradation or complete outage for 60,000-100,000 subscribers until traffic rerouting completed - **Business Impact:** Major outage, significant SLA penalties, emergency hardware procurement at premium cost - **Mitigation:** Emergency maintenance required before scheduled January 24 window if degradation accelerates

Scenario 3: Catastrophic Pump Laser Failure (Probability: 10%) Pump lasers operating at increased current (450mA vs. 380mA nominal) are stressed and at higher failure risk: - **Timeline:** Unpredictable - could fail at any moment under stress - **Impact:** Immediate loss of all DWDM channels, complete backbone failure - **Customer Experience:** Complete service outage for all 180,000+ subscribers until traffic rerouted (estimated 15-30 minutes) or emergency pump laser replacement (4-6 hours) - **Business**

Impact: Major outage, extensive SLA penalties, severe reputation damage - **Mitigation:** Accelerate maintenance to THIS WEEK if further degradation observed

Current Monitoring and Alerting

Active Monitoring: - Automated optical performance monitoring every 5 minutes (BER, OSNR, optical power) - Trend analysis dashboard updated hourly - Alert threshold: BER > 1×10^{-6} (will trigger immediate escalation) - Daily engineering review of degradation trends - On-call engineer assigned for immediate response if conditions worsen

Escalation Triggers: - BER exceeds 1×10^{-6} on any channel → Immediate emergency response - OSNR drops below 22 dB → Accelerate maintenance window to within 48 hours - Packet loss exceeds 1% → Declare service-impacting incident - Additional temperature increase $>5^{\circ}\text{C}$ → Potential imminent failure - Multiple customer complaints (>50 trouble tickets/hour) → Emergency response

Root Cause Explanation

Primary Root Cause

End-of-life hardware degradation due to optical amplifier aging after 6.5 years of continuous operation.

This is not a configuration error, environmental issue, or external attack - it's simply hardware reaching the end of its service life. All equipment has a finite lifespan, and optical amplifiers in DWDM systems typically last 7-10 years before requiring replacement.

Why 6.5 Years Instead of 7-10 Years? Several factors may have accelerated aging in this specific system:

1. **High Utilization:** Zone SE-4B carries 60-70% average traffic load (healthy but not conservative). Higher utilization means amplifiers work harder, generating more heat and photodarkening effects.
2. **Thermal Cycling:** Atlanta region experiences significant seasonal temperature variation. While data center is climate-controlled, outdoor fiber routes experience temperature swings that transmit thermal stress to equipment.
3. **Manufacturing Variation:** Optical components have manufacturing tolerances. This unit may have had slightly lower erbium concentration or fiber quality, causing it to age faster than the fleet average.
4. **Optical Power History:** Early in deployment (2019-2020), this system may have been operated at higher optical power settings to compensate for initial fiber losses, accelerating photodarkening.

Contributing Factors

Maintenance Philosophy: We have operated DWDM equipment on a "run to failure" basis to maximize return on investment. Manufacturer recommends proactive EDFA replacement at 6-7 years, but we deferred to extend equipment life. This strategy is cost-effective when equipment degrades predictably, but creates risk when degradation accelerates.

Monitoring Gaps: Our optical layer monitoring focused on failure detection rather than performance trending. We didn't have alerting for gradual BER increases until they approached critical thresholds. Better trend analysis would have identified this issue 2-3 weeks earlier when degradation began.

Predictive Maintenance: We perform reactive maintenance (responding to failures) and preventive maintenance (scheduled inspections) but lack predictive maintenance (forecasting failures based on performance trends and proactively replacing components before failure).

Why This Is Actually Good News

While this incident represents a vulnerability, it's also validation that our systems are working correctly:

1. **Automated Detection:** Monitoring systems detected gradual degradation early, long before customer impact became severe.
2. **Graceful Degradation:** Equipment is degrading predictably and slowly, not failing catastrophically.
3. **FEC Working:** Forward Error Correction is successfully masking optical layer issues, maintaining service quality.
4. **Scheduled Remediation:** We have time to perform orderly maintenance during a scheduled window rather than emergency response.

This is the network equivalent of your car's "check engine" light coming on before the engine fails - early warning system working as designed.

Remediation Actions Taken and Planned

Immediate Actions Taken (January 12-19)

1. **Enhanced Monitoring (January 12)** - Increased optical telemetry collection frequency from 15 minutes to 5 minutes - Configured real-time trending dashboard for engineering team review - Implemented alerting for BER threshold (1×10^{-6}) and OSNR threshold (22 dB) - Assigned dedicated on-call engineer for optical layer monitoring
2. **Traffic Analysis and Capacity Validation (January 14)** - Analyzed traffic patterns to identify peak utilization periods - Validated backup route capacity (SE-4A and SE-5A zones) can absorb SE-4B traffic if emergency failover required - Confirmed redundant routers SE-4B-CORE-R01 and R02 both operational and ready for load redistribution - Tested BGP failover mechanisms (successful automated failover in 8-12 seconds)
3. **Component Sourcing (January 15)** - Contacted Ciena for replacement EDFA modules (EDFA-01-Stage-2, EDFA-02-Stage-2) - Confirmed spare parts availability (in stock at regional depot, 24-hour delivery) - Ordered replacement modules for January 24 maintenance window - Obtained expedited shipping option if emergency replacement needed before scheduled maintenance
4. **Maintenance Window Scheduling (January 16)** - Scheduled maintenance window: January 24, 2026, 02:00-06:00 UTC (4-hour window) - Selected low-traffic period (Wednesday early morning, historically 30% of peak load) - Notified affected enterprise customers (48-hour advance notice per SLA requirements) - Coordinated with Network Operations Center for traffic monitoring during maintenance
5. **Customer Impact Mitigation (January 17-19)** - Reviewed 8 enterprise trouble tickets, provided proactive updates on planned maintenance - Offered SLA credits where applicable (minimal - only 2 customers experienced measurable impact) - Prepared customer communication for maintenance window notification (sent January 19)
6. **Engineering Readiness (Ongoing)** - Field engineering team briefed on EDFA replacement procedure - Reviewed equipment manuals and replacement protocols - Prepared rollback plan if replacement introduces issues - Standby team ready for emergency intervention if degradation accelerates before January 24

Scheduled Maintenance Actions (January 24, 2026, 02:00-06:00 UTC)

Planned Activities:

Phase 1: Pre-Maintenance Validation (02:00-02:30 UTC) - Verify backup routes (SE-4A, SE-5A) ready to accept traffic - Confirm spare EDFA modules on-site and tested - Baseline all optical performance metrics for post-maintenance comparison - Final go/no-go decision with NOC management

Phase 2: Traffic Migration (02:30-03:00 UTC) - Gradually migrate traffic from SE-4B to backup routes using BGP manipulation - Monitor traffic distribution and performance during migration - Verify customer experience maintained during migration (latency, packet loss) - Confirm SE-4B-DWDM-01 no longer carrying production traffic

Phase 3: EDFA Replacement (03:00-05:00 UTC) - Power down DWDM system per manufacturer shutdown procedure - Remove and replace EDFA-01-Stage-2 and EDFA-02-Stage-2 modules - Clean all fiber connectors and inspect for contamination - Power up system and perform optical alignment - Verify all wavelength channels operational with baseline performance metrics - Run automated system diagnostics and test suite

Phase 4: Performance Validation (05:00-05:30 UTC) - Measure BER, OSNR, optical power, Q-factor on all channels - Verify performance meets or exceeds pre-degradation baselines - Test each wavelength individually under load - Confirm forward error correction returning to nominal rates

Phase 5: Traffic Return (05:30-06:00 UTC) - Gradually migrate traffic back to SE-4B from backup routes - Monitor traffic distribution and performance during migration - Verify customer experience maintained (no latency spikes or packet loss) - Confirm all telemetry returning to healthy baselines - Close maintenance window and resume normal operations

Expected Outcomes: - BER returns to baseline: 1×10^{-12} (420x improvement from current 4.2×10^{-10}) - OSNR returns to baseline: 28-29 dB (4-5 dB improvement from current 23.7 dB) - Optical power consistent: $+17 \text{ dBm} \pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$ across all channels - Q-Factor returns to baseline: 16-17 dB (excellent signal quality) - Packet loss returns to baseline: $<0.05\%$ - Latency returns to baseline: 8.2ms Atlanta-Augusta, 15.6ms Atlanta-Savannah - Interface errors return to baseline: <5 per hour

Risk Mitigation: - Backup routes active throughout maintenance (zero customer impact during EDFA replacement) - Rollback plan: If new EDFAs perform worse than degraded ones, reinstall old EDFAs and reschedule with different components - Extended window: 4 hours allocated, but replacement typically takes 90 minutes; buffer time for unexpected issues - On-call expertise: Senior optical engineers on-site and remote support from Ciena available

Recommended Optimization and Long-Term Actions

Short-Term Actions (1-4 Weeks)

1. Complete EDFA Replacement (January 24) - CRITICAL As detailed above. This resolves the immediate degradation issue.

2. Optical Layer Health Assessment - Fleet-Wide (Week of January 27) Priority: HIGH

Action: Audit all DWDM systems across network (28 systems total) for similar degradation patterns. Focus on systems >6 years old.

Expected Outcome: Identify 3-5 additional systems showing early degradation signs; schedule proactive maintenance before service impact.

Timeline: 1 week analysis, 4-8 weeks for any remediation identified.

3. Enhanced Optical Monitoring Deployment (Week of February 3) Priority: HIGH

Action: Deploy enhanced optical performance monitoring with trend analysis and predictive alerting. Implement alerts for BER trends (not just thresholds), OSNR degradation rates, and optical power variation.

Expected Outcome: Detect future degradation 4-6 weeks earlier than current monitoring; reduce risk of service-impacting failures.

Cost: \$45,000 (software licensing + configuration)

Medium-Term Actions (1-6 Months)

4. Predictive Maintenance Program for Optical Equipment (Q1 2026) Priority:

MEDIUM-HIGH

Timeline: 8-12 weeks (program design + implementation)

Action: Implement predictive maintenance program for all optical transport equipment. Develop EDFA replacement schedule based on age, performance trends, and manufacturer recommendations. Proactively replace EDFAs at 6.5-7 years rather than running to failure.

Expected Outcome: Reduce unplanned optical failures by 70-80%; eliminate gradual degradation incidents like this one; improve overall network reliability.

Cost: \$120,000/year (increased component replacement frequency), but saves \$400,000/year in avoided outage costs and emergency labor.

5. Optical Layer Automation and Orchestration (Q2 2026) Priority: MEDIUM

Timeline: 12-16 weeks

Action: Implement automated optical layer management (Ciena Blue Planet or similar platform) enabling automated performance optimization, traffic rerouting during degradation, and self-healing optical networks.

Expected Outcome: Reduce manual intervention during optical issues; faster mitigation; improved network resiliency.

Cost: \$280,000 (software platform + implementation)

6. Fiber Infrastructure Audit (Q1-Q2 2026) Priority: MEDIUM

Timeline: 12-16 weeks

Action: Comprehensive audit of fiber infrastructure (all routes, splices, connectors) to identify and remediate sources of optical loss or signal degradation. OTDR testing of all critical fiber routes.

Expected Outcome: Reduce optical loss by 0.5-1.0 dB average; improve OSNR; extend EDFA lifespan by reducing required gain.

Cost: \$85,000 (testing equipment rental + field engineering time)

Long-Term Strategic Actions (6-18 Months)

7. Network Modernization - Coherent Optics Migration (2026-2027) Priority: STRATEGIC

Timeline: 18-24 months (phased migration)

Action: Migrate from traditional DWDM to coherent optics technology (e.g., Ciena WaveLogic 5 Extreme, 400G/800G per wavelength). Coherent optics offer better performance, longer reach without regeneration, and more resilient to fiber impairments.

Expected Outcome: 4x capacity increase (400G vs. 100G per channel); reduced optical component count; better performance over aging fiber; future-proof for 10+ years.

Cost: \$3.2M for Zone SE-4B, \$28M network-wide (but offset by eliminating multiple legacy systems)

8. Diverse Route Implementation (2026-2027) Priority: STRATEGIC

Timeline: 12-18 months (fiber construction + equipment deployment)

Action: Implement geographically diverse fiber routes for Zone SE-4B backbone. Currently single fiber route Atlanta-to-regional-aggregation-points creates single point of failure. Add diverse paths via different rights-of-way.

Expected Outcome: Eliminate single points of failure; maintain service even during fiber cut or facility failure; support maintenance without customer impact.

Cost: \$1.8M (fiber construction + DWDM equipment for diverse route)

9. AI/ML-Based Optical Performance Prediction (2027) Priority: STRATEGIC

Timeline: 8-12 months (pilot + deployment)

Action: Implement AI/ML platform that learns optical performance baselines and predicts component failures 8-12 weeks in advance based on subtle performance trends invisible to threshold-based monitoring.

Expected Outcome: Predict 85-90% of optical failures before service impact; optimize maintenance scheduling; reduce truck rolls and emergency interventions.

Cost: \$180,000 (platform + training data + integration)

Expected Impact if Unresolved

Immediate Risk (If Maintenance Deferred - Next 12-18 Days)

Probability: HIGH (75% chance of service-impacting failure)

Impact: SEVERE

If we defer the January 24 maintenance and allow degradation to continue:

Most Likely Scenario - Gradual Escalation: - **Week 1-2:** Packet loss increases from 0.18% to 1-2%; customer complaints increase from 8 tickets to 100-200 tickets - **Week 2-3:** OSNR reaches minimum threshold (22 dB); BER approaches FEC limit; video streaming heavily impacted; enterprise customers escalate complaints - **Week 3-4:** FEC can no longer compensate for optical degradation; packet loss exceeds 5%; service becomes unusable for 50,000+ subscribers - **Business Impact:** 5,000-10,000 trouble tickets; major SLA violations; emergency maintenance required at higher cost; potential customer churn

Worst-Case Scenario - Catastrophic Failure: - **Timeline:** 12-18 days estimated before pump laser failure or complete EDFA collapse - **Impact:** Complete loss of DWDM system; 42 Gbps backbone capacity reduced to zero - **Customer Impact:** 100,000-180,000 subscribers experience complete service outage or severe degradation until traffic rerouted - **Outage Duration:** 15-30 minutes if automated failover works perfectly; 2-4 hours if manual intervention required; 12-24 hours if emergency EDFA procurement and installation required - **Business Impact:** \$400,000-\$800,000 in SLA credits, emergency procurement at 3x normal cost, severe reputation damage, regulatory reporting requirement

Mitigation Comparison: - **Scheduled Maintenance (January 24):** 4-hour maintenance window, zero customer impact (traffic on backup routes), \$25,000 cost (replacement parts + labor) - **Emergency Maintenance (Post-Failure):** 12-24 hour outage, 100,000+ subscribers impacted, \$180,000+ cost (expedited parts + premium labor + SLA credits) - **Cost-Benefit:** Proactive maintenance is 7x cheaper and avoids customer impact entirely

Recommendation: Proceed with scheduled January 24 maintenance as planned. Risk of deferral far outweighs cost of proactive intervention.

Medium-Term Risk (If Systemic Issues Unaddressed - Next 6-12 Months)

Probability: MEDIUM-HIGH (60-70%)

Impact: MULTIPLE INCIDENTS

This SE-4B degradation is likely not isolated. We have 28 DWDM systems network-wide, with 8 systems >6 years old. If we don't implement fleet-wide predictive maintenance:

- **Expected:** 2-4 additional similar degradation incidents over next 12 months
- **Impact:** Repeated customer experience issues; reputation as "unreliable network"
- **Cost:** \$800,000-\$1.6M in reactive maintenance, SLA credits, and customer churn
- **Mitigation:** Implement predictive maintenance program (cost \$120,000/year; saves \$400,000+/year)

Long-Term Risk (If Infrastructure Modernization Deferred - Next 2-5 Years)

Probability: HIGH (80%+)

Impact: COMPETITIVE DISADVANTAGE

Optical transport technology is evolving rapidly (100G → 400G → 800G coherent optics). If we continue operating aging 100G DWDM systems:

- **Capacity Constraints:** Traffic growing 25-35% annually; current 100G systems lack capacity for 2028-2029 demand
- **Cost Inefficiency:** Operating multiple legacy systems costs more than consolidated modern platform
- **Technology Gap:** Competitors with coherent optics offer better performance,

attracting our enterprise customers

- **Business Impact:** Inability to support 5G expansion, enterprise services growth, and emerging applications (AR/VR, autonomous vehicles, IoT)

Mitigation: Plan and budget for coherent optics migration over 2026-2027; modernize infrastructure proactively rather than reactively.

Lessons Learned and Best Practices

What Went Well

1. **Early Detection:** Automated monitoring detected gradual degradation early, providing weeks of lead time before service impact
2. **Technical Analysis:** Engineering team correctly diagnosed root cause (EDFA aging) and developed appropriate remediation plan
3. **Scheduled Response:** Sufficient time to plan orderly maintenance during scheduled window rather than emergency response
4. **Backup Routes:** Network design with backup routes (SE-4A, SE-5A) enables maintenance without customer impact

What Needs Improvement

1. **Predictive Maintenance:** Need proactive component replacement based on age and performance trends, not reactive “run to failure”
2. **Optical Monitoring:** Need trend analysis and predictive alerting for gradual degradation, not just threshold-based failure detection
3. **Fleet Management:** Need visibility into entire fleet of optical systems; identify aging components before they degrade
4. **Documentation:** Improve documentation of optical equipment maintenance history, performance baselines, and expected lifecycle

Best Practices to Adopt

1. **Predictive Maintenance Programs:** Proactively replace aging components based on manufacturer recommendations and performance trends
 2. **AI/ML Performance Monitoring:** Implement machine learning to detect subtle degradation patterns earlier than threshold-based monitoring
 3. **Fleet-Wide Health Dashboards:** Unified view of all optical systems with age, performance, and predicted failure timeline
 4. **Lifecycle Planning:** Budget for equipment refresh on 7-year cycle; don’t defer until failures force emergency spending
-

Technical Metadata

Report Classification: Internal Operations - Performance Monitoring

Data Sources: Optical performance monitoring (Ciena WaveServer), network telemetry (Juniper Junos Telemetry), router syslog, customer trouble tickets

Analysis Tools: Ciena OneControl (optical management), custom Python scripts for trend analysis, Grafana dashboards

Contributors: Optical Engineering team, Network Operations Center, Senior Network Engineers

Review Status: Reviewed by VP Network Engineering and Director of Network Operations

Distribution: NOC staff, Optical Engineering team, Field Engineering, Executive summary to CTO

Related Incidents: None recent (last optical degradation incident was 14 months ago in different zone)

Follow-Up Actions: 3 immediate actions (January 24 maintenance), 6 medium-term optimization projects, 3 strategic initiatives
Next Review Date: January 25, 2026 (post-maintenance verification report)

Appendix: Optical Transport Fundamentals

(Educational content for AI training and non-specialist readers)

What is DWDM?

Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) transmits multiple optical signals (wavelengths/channels) simultaneously over a single fiber, similar to how radio uses different frequencies. Our system transmits 80 channels simultaneously, each carrying 100 Gbps, for 8 Tbps total capacity on one fiber pair.

What is an EDFA?

Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifier (EDFA) boosts optical signals over long distances without converting to electrical signals. Light passes through special fiber doped with erbium ions, which are “pumped” by high-power lasers to amplify the signal.

Why Do EDFAs Fail?

Like all components, EDFAs age. The erbium-doped fiber experiences photodarkening (light-induced absorption defects), reducing amplification efficiency over 7-10 years. Pump lasers also degrade over time.

What is Bit Error Rate (BER)?

Percentage of bits received incorrectly. BER of 1×10^{-12} means 1 error per trillion bits (excellent). BER of 1×10^{-3} means 1 error per thousand bits (system failure). Forward Error Correction (FEC) can correct errors up to certain threshold.

What is OSNR?

Optical Signal-to-Noise Ratio measures signal quality. Higher is better. Below 22 dB, 100G systems struggle to maintain reliable transmission. Our system degraded from 28.5 dB (excellent) to 23.7 dB (marginal).

End of Report

For questions or additional analysis, contact:

Optical Engineering Team: optical-eng@pacificwireless.net
Network Operations Center: noc@pacificwireless.net
Incident Commander: sarah.johnson@pacificwireless.net