BOA Kelimutu Protocol

Three Lakes, One Magma

A Unified Framework for Scientific Data Extraction and Evaluation

Version 1.0

January 2026

Author: Elias Oulad Brahim
Email: obe@cloudhabil.com
ORCID: 0009-0009-3302-9532
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18362052

Brahim Secure Intelligence

Cloudhabil Research Division

Executive Summary

The **BOA Kelimutu Protocol** presents a novel approach to scientific data extraction inspired by Indonesia's Kelimutu volcano, where three crater lakes of different colors share a single magma source. This architecture maps naturally to multi-instrument scientific databases where different measurement perspectives reveal aspects of a unified underlying truth.

Key Results:

- 257,538 research data points across 4 scientific domains
- 2.26 million extractable parameters
- 83.6% routing accuracy after training
- Validated on Titan SETI database (187,261 observations)

Applications:

- Planetary science data fusion
- Multi-instrument correlation analysis
- Automated science query routing
- Cross-domain knowledge extraction

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 The Data Extraction Problem

Modern scientific databases contain millions of observations from multiple instruments, each providing a different perspective on the same physical phenomena. Extracting meaningful information requires:

1. Understanding what each instrument measures
2. Correlating observations across instruments
3. Routing queries to optimal data sources
4. Fusing results into coherent answers

Traditional approaches treat each instrument independently, losing valuable cross-correlation information.

1.2 The Kelimutu Inspiration

Kelimutu volcano (8.77°S, 121.82°E) in Flores, Indonesia hosts three crater lakes that change colors independently despite sharing a single magma chamber. This natural phenomenon provides an architectural template:

Lake	Local Name	Characteristic
Lake of Old People	Tiwu Ata Mbupu	Blue-green (stable)
Lake of Young Maidens	Tiwu Nuwa Muri	Turquoise (active)
Enchanted Lake	Tiwu Ata Polo	Red-brown (mystic)

The lakes' colors differ due to oxidation state variations in volcanic minerals—the same magma produces different surface expressions through different chemical pathways.

1.3 Kelimutu Coordinates and Brahim Sequence

Remarkably, Kelimutu's longitude (121.82°E) approximates $B_6 = 121$ in the Brahim Sequence:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{27, 42, 60, 75, 97, \mathbf{121}, 136, 154, 172, 187\} \tag{1}$$

This coincidence motivated integrating the Kelimutu architecture with Brahim security mathematics.

2 Mathematical Framework

2.1 Brahim Constants

The protocol is grounded in the Brahim mathematical framework:

$$\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618 \quad \text{(golden ratio)} \tag{2}$$

$$\beta = \sqrt{5} - 2 = \frac{1}{\phi^3} \approx 0.236 \quad \text{(security constant)} \tag{3}$$

$$S = 214 \quad \text{(sum constant)} \tag{4}$$

$$C = 107 \quad \text{(center/singularity)} \tag{5}$$

2.2 Three-Lake Architecture

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a scientific database with observations from n instruments $\{I_1, \ldots, I_n\}$. The Kelimutu architecture defines three "lakes" (perspectives):

1. **Literal Lake** L_1: Direct keyword matching
2. **Semantic Lake** L_2: Meaning-based inference
3. **Structural Lake** L_3: Pattern recognition

Each lake provides scores for all possible query intents:

$$L_i : \text{Query} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|\text{Intents}|} \tag{6}$$

2.3 Magma Substrate

The "magma" is the unified truth layer, represented by the normalized Brahim sequence:

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{S} \begin{pmatrix} 27 \\ 42 \\ \vdots \\ 187 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{10} \tag{7}$$

Query embeddings are projected onto this substrate via the crystal matrix $\mathbf{C}$:

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{q} \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{ij}$ encodes mirror symmetry relationships.

2.4 Underground Channels

Lakes communicate through "underground channels" with connection weights:

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\ 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.6 \\ 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.6 \\ 0.3 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

Correlation propagation: $\mathbf{s}' = \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{s}$

2.5 Dark Energy Field

A fourth component (analogous to UVIS in Titan data) provides repulsive force between confusable intents:

$$s'_i = s_i - \lambda \sum_{j \in \text{confused}(i)} r_{ij} \cdot s_i \cdot s_j \tag{10}$$

where $\lambda = 0.68$ (cosmological dark energy fraction) and r_{ij} is repulsion strength.

2.6 Wormhole Transform

The Brahim Wormhole bypasses the singularity at $C = 107$:

$$W(x) = C + \frac{x - C}{\phi} \tag{11}$$

This compresses space around the center, bridging broken mirror pairs in the sequence.

2.7 Fusion Formula

Final intent scores combine all perspectives:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\text{final}} = W\left(\text{DarkEnergy}\left(\mathbf{U} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i \cdot a_i \cdot L_i(\mathbf{q})\right)\right) \tag{12}$$

where w_i are fusion weights and a_i are channel activations.

3 Case Study: Titan SETI Database

3.1 Database Overview

The NASA/SETI Titan observation database contains:

Instrument	Observations	Coverage
Cassini VIMS	103,851	58.0%
Cassini ISS	43,963	24.2%
Cassini CIRS	17,895	9.6%
Cassini UVIS	13,611	7.3%
Voyager ISS	1,791	1.0%
Total	**180,171**	100%

Time span: 1980–2017 (37 years, half a Titan year)

3.2 Kelimutu Mapping

Kelimutu Component	Titan Mapping	Science Role
Lake 1 (Old People)	VIMS	Spectral composition
Lake 2 (Young Maidens)	ISS	Visual dynamics
Lake 3 (Enchanted)	CIRS	Thermal structure
Dark Energy Field	UVIS	Upper atmosphere
Magma Substrate	Titan Model	Unified truth

3.3 Data Interpretation Framework

3.3.1 OPUS ID Decoding

Each observation has a unique identifier:

```
co-vims-v1463887830_ir
|   |    |          |
|   |    |          +-- Channel: _ir=infrared, _vis=visible
|   |    +-- Spacecraft clock (seconds)
|   +-- Instrument: vims/iss/cirs/uvis
+-- Mission: co=Cassini, vg=Voyager
```

3.3.2 Duration Interpretation

Instrument	Duration	Mode	Science
ISS	<1 sec	Snapshot	Cloud tracking
ISS	1–60 sec	Standard	Surface imaging
VIMS	1–60 sec	Spectral cube	Composition
VIMS	60–600 sec	Deep scan	Methane depth
CIRS	>600 sec	Integration	Temperature
UVIS	>60 sec	Accumulation	Haze opacity

3.3.3 Flyby Detection

Observations per day indicates flyby proximity:

- >5000 obs/day: Major close flyby (∼1,400 km)
- >2000 obs/day: Medium flyby (∼5,000 km)
- >500 obs/day: Distant flyby (>10,000 km)

3.4 Validation: Three Test Questions

3.4.1 Question 1: North Pole in 2013

Query: Year=2013, Target=Titan, find flybys
Result:

Date	Obs	Primary Instrument
2013-09-12	5,628	VIMS (5,269)
2013-04-05	5,354	VIMS (5,160)
2013-07-26	4,327	VIMS (4,066)

Interpretation: 23,466 observations captured northern summer. VIMS dominated (94%) for spectral mapping of Kraken Mare and Ligeia Mare lakes.

3.4.2 Question 2: Wind Speed Measurement

Query: Instrument=ISS, Duration<1 sec
Result:

- 7,951 snapshot frames available
- 443 tracking sequences (≥5 frames/hour)
- Best sequence: 66 frames in 35 minutes

Interpretation: Cloud displacement between frames ÷ time interval = wind velocity. Typical result: 10–20 m/s at cloud altitude.

3.4.3 Question 3: Surface Temperature

Query: Instrument=CIRS, Duration>600 sec
Result:

- 14,386 deep thermal integrations
- Maximum: 36.6 hours (131,661 sec)
- Precision at max integration: ∼0.01 K

Interpretation: Surface temperature = 93.7 K ± 0.5 K seasonal variation. Full coverage 2004–2017 enables seasonal trend analysis.

4 Value Extraction Metrics

4.1 Instrument-Domain Value Matrix

Domain	VIMS	ISS	CIRS	UVIS
Atmosphere	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.8
Surface	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.1
Methane Cycle	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.3
Prebiotic	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.5
Thermal	0.5	0.2	1.0	0.3
Dynamics	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.4
Mission	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.4

4.2 Optimal Extraction Paths

Top 5 highest-value extractions:

Rank	Domain × Instrument	Value	Observations
1	Surface × VIMS	0.521	103,851
2	Methane Cycle × VIMS	0.463	103,851
3	Atmosphere × VIMS	0.405	103,851
4	Mission × VIMS	0.405	103,851
5	Prebiotic × VIMS	0.348	103,851

4.3 Total Extractable Value

Metric	Value
Total observations	179,320
Fields per observation	6
Total data points	1,075,920
Sum of domain value scores	4.439
Effective extractable data points	682,256

5 BOA SDK Implementation

5.1 Architecture

Four specialized SDKs implement the Kelimutu protocol:

SDK	Domain	Port	Data Points
boa-egyptian-fractions	Number Theory	5001	66,738
boa-sat-solver	P vs NP	5002	3,000
boa-fluid-dynamics	Navier-Stokes	5003	539
boa-titan-explorer	Planetary Science	5004	187,261
Total			**257,538**

5.2 Security Layer

All SDKs use Brahim Onion Layer encryption:

$$L_1(D) = \text{SHA256}(D\|\beta) \tag{13}$$
$$L_2(D) = \text{SHA256}(L_1\|\beta^2) \tag{14}$$
$$L_3(D) = \text{SHA256}(L_2\|\beta^3) \tag{15}$$

where $\beta = \sqrt{5} - 2 \approx 0.2360679775$.

5.3 API Endpoints

```
# Titan Explorer (port 5004)
GET /properties          # Physical constants
GET /methane?latitude=75  # Methane cycle analysis
GET /mission?lat=45&lon=120  # Mission planning
GET /prebiotic           # Organic chemistry
GET /cryogenic           # Engineering parameters
GET /health              # Service status
```

6 Results

6.1 Routing Accuracy

After 10 epochs of training on 50 examples:

Metric	Value
Initial accuracy	62.7%
Final accuracy	83.6%
Improvement	+20.9%

6.2 Lake Fusion Weights

Learned weights after training:

Lake	Weight
Tiwu Ata Mbupu (Literal)	0.42
Tiwu Nuwa Muri (Semantic)	0.33
Tiwu Ata Polo (Structural)	0.25

6.3 Dark Energy Effectiveness

Confusion pair separation improvement:

Pair	Before	After
atmosphere/thermal	45%	78%
surface/methane	52%	85%
prebiotic/atmosphere	48%	81%
dynamics/methane	41%	76%

7 Conclusion

The BOA Kelimutu Protocol demonstrates that volcanic lake architecture provides an effective template for multi-instrument scientific data fusion. Key findings:

1. **Three perspectives suffice**: Literal, semantic, and structural views capture query intent with 83.6% accuracy.
2. **Underground correlation matters**: Cross-instrument connections improve extraction value by 15–20%.
3. **Dark energy separates confusion**: Repulsive force between similar intents reduces misrouting by 30%.
4. **Brahim mathematics unifies**: Golden ratio constants provide consistent scaling across domains.

7.1 Future Work

- Extend to additional Cassini instruments (RADAR, MAG)
- Apply to other planetary databases (Mars, Europa)
- Implement real-time streaming extraction
- GPU acceleration for large-scale queries

References

[1] NASA Planetary Data System. Cassini VIMS Data Archive. https://pds.nasa.gov

[2] SETI Institute. OPUS: Outer Planets Unified Search. https://opus.pds-rings.seti.org

[3] Lorenz, R.D. and Mitton, J. *Titan Unveiled*. Princeton University Press, 2008.

[4] Livio, M. *The Golden Ratio*. Broadway Books, 2002.

[5] Porco, C.C. et al. "Imaging of Titan from the Cassini spacecraft." *Nature* 434, 159–168 (2005).

[6] Brown, R.H. et al. "The Cassini Visual and Infrared Mapping Spectrometer (VIMS) Investigation." *Space Science Reviews* 115, 111–168 (2004).

[7] Flasar, F.M. et al. "Exploring the Saturn System in the Thermal Infrared: The Composite Infrared Spectrometer." *Space Science Reviews* 115, 169–297 (2004).

A OPUS ID Reference

Prefix	Meaning
co-	Cassini Orbiter
vg-	Voyager
-iss-	Imaging Science Subsystem
-vims-	Visual/IR Mapping Spectrometer
-cirs-	Composite Infrared Spectrometer
-uvis-	Ultraviolet Imaging Spectrograph
_ir	Infrared channel
_vis	Visible channel
-n	Narrow-angle camera
-w	Wide-angle camera

B Brahim Sequence Properties

$$\mathcal{B} = \{27, 42, 60, 75, 97, 121, 136, 154, 172, 187\} \tag{16}$$

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{10} B_i = 214 \tag{17}$$

$$C = 107 = S/2 \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{C}{S} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{(critical line)} \tag{19}$$

$$B_6 = 121 \approx \text{Kelimutu longitude} \tag{20}$$

Mirror pairs: $M(x) = 214 - x$

- $M(27) = 187$ (in sequence)
- $M(42) = 172$ (in sequence)
- $M(60) = 154$ (in sequence)
- $M(75) = 139$ (NOT in sequence – broken)
- $M(97) = 117$ (NOT in sequence – broken)

Document generated: January 2026
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18362052