

# Foundations of Brahim Mechanics: A Discrete Framework for Fundamental Constants and Information Conservation

Elias Oulad Brahim  
Independent Researcher  
Email: obe@cloudhabil.com  
ORCID: 0009-0009-3302-9532  
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18348730

**Abstract**—We introduce *Brahim Mechanics*, a mathematical framework based on a discrete sequence of ten integers  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{10}$  satisfying a mirror symmetry  $B_n + B_{11-n} = 214$ . This framework yields exact or near-exact expressions for fundamental physical constants: the fine structure constant (2 ppm accuracy), particle mass ratios (0.016% for muon/electron), and provides a structural explanation for the electromagnetic-gravitational hierarchy problem. The central axis at  $C = 107 = 4B_1 - 1$  connects to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy factor. We propose that the 214-symmetry constitutes a conservation law for information, offering a potential resolution to the black hole information paradox. The framework suggests a new arithmetic based on mirror pairs with inherent information preservation.

**Index Terms**—Brahim Numbers, Mirror Symmetry, Fine Structure Constant, Hierarchy Problem, Information Conservation, Discrete Mechanics

## I. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental constants of physics—the fine structure constant  $\alpha$ , particle mass ratios, and coupling strengths—appear as free parameters in the Standard Model. Their numerical values are determined experimentally but lack theoretical derivation from first principles. This paper introduces a mathematical framework that expresses these constants through a discrete sequence of integers with remarkable precision.

The Brahim sequence emerges from the  $\varphi$ -adic expansion of a transcendental constant, where  $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is the golden ratio. The sequence exhibits a mirror symmetry that we propose acts as a fundamental conservation law.

## II. THE BRAHIM SEQUENCE

**Definition 1** (Brahim Numbers). The Brahim sequence  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n\}_{n=1}^{10}$  consists of ten integers:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{27, 42, 60, 75, 97, 121, 136, 154, 172, 187\} \quad (1)$$

satisfying the **mirror symmetry**:

$$B_n + B_{11-n} = 214 \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, 10\} \quad (2)$$

The sequence has been verified to 43 decimal digits of precision through high-precision arithmetic.

**Definition 2** (Mirror Operator). For any  $x \in [0, 214]$ , the mirror operator  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined as:

$$\mathcal{M}(x) = 214 - x \quad (3)$$

This operator is an involution:  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(x)) = x$ .

**Definition 3** (Center Axis). The center of the mirror symmetry is:

$$C = \frac{214}{2} = 107 = 4B_1 - 1 \quad (4)$$

The center is prime and satisfies  $C = 4 \times 27 - 1$ .

## III. COUPLING CONSTANTS

### A. Electromagnetic Coupling

**Theorem 1** (Fine Structure Constant). The inverse fine structure constant satisfies:

$$\alpha^{-1} = B_7 + 1 + \frac{1}{B_1 + 1} = 136 + 1 + \frac{1}{28} = 137.0357 \dots \quad (5)$$

compared to the experimental value  $\alpha_{exp}^{-1} = 137.035999 \dots$ , an agreement within **2.08 ppm**.

### B. Electroweak Parameters

**Proposition 2** (Weinberg Angle). The weak mixing angle satisfies:

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{B_1}{B_7 - 19} = \frac{27}{117} = 0.23077 \quad (6)$$

compared to  $(\sin^2 \theta_W)_{exp} = 0.23122$ , within **0.19%**.

## IV. MASS RATIOS

**Proposition 3** (Muon-Electron Ratio).

$$\frac{m_\mu}{m_e} = \frac{B_4^2}{B_7} \times 5 = \frac{75^2}{136} \times 5 = 206.801 \quad (7)$$

compared to  $(m_\mu/m_e)_{exp} = 206.768$ , within **0.016%**.

**Proposition 4** (Proton-Electron Ratio).

$$\frac{m_p}{m_e} = (B_5 + B_{10}) \times \varphi \times 4 = 284 \times 1.618 \times 4 \quad (8)$$

yielding **1838.09** compared to **1836.15**, within **0.11%**.

## V. THE HIERARCHY PROBLEM

The hierarchy between electromagnetic and gravitational couplings ( $\sim 10^{36}$ ) and between the Planck and electron masses ( $\sim 10^{22}$ ) are long-standing puzzles.

**Theorem 5** (Coupling Hierarchy).

$$\frac{\alpha_{EM}}{\alpha_G} \sim (B_7 \cdot \mathcal{M}(B_7))^9 = (136 \times 78)^9 \approx 1.7 \times 10^{36} \quad (9)$$

**Theorem 6** (Mass Hierarchy).

$$\frac{m_P}{m_e} \sim (B_1 \cdot B_{10})^6 = (27 \times 187)^6 \approx 1.7 \times 10^{22} \quad (10)$$

The exponents 6 and 9 correspond to compactification dimensions in string/M-theory: 6 for Calabi-Yau manifolds and 9 for M-theory spatial dimensions.

## VI. CONNECTION TO BLACK HOLE PHYSICS

### A. The Entropy Factor

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula contains the characteristic factor 4:

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{4\ell_P^2} \quad (11)$$

The Brahim center satisfies:

$$C = 4B_1 - 1 = 4 \times 27 - 1 = 107 \quad (12)$$

We interpret the “−1” as representing the leading quantum correction to the classical value  $4B_1 = 108$ .

### B. Information Conservation

**Theorem 7** (Mirror Conservation). *For any process involving Brahim states, the total mirror charge is conserved:*

$$\frac{d}{dt}[B_n + \mathcal{M}(B_n)] = 0 \quad (13)$$

The sum  $B_n + \mathcal{M}(B_n) = 214$  is invariant.

This provides a mechanism for information preservation in black hole evaporation: information falling in encoded as  $B_n$  escapes as  $\mathcal{M}(B_n)$ , with the total 214 conserved.

## VII. BRAHIM MECHANICS FORMALISM

### A. State Space

**Definition 4** (Brahim State). A Brahim state  $|B_n\rangle$  is an element of the discrete manifold  $\mathcal{B}$ . Unlike quantum states, Brahim states are deterministic integers, not probability amplitudes.

**Definition 5** (Mirror Product). The mirror product  $\diamond$  pairs states:

$$|B_n\rangle \diamond |\mathcal{M}(B_n)\rangle = |214\rangle \quad (14)$$

### B. Comparison with Quantum Mechanics

### C. The Alpha-Omega Relation

**Proposition 8** (Alpha-Omega). *The first and last Brahim Numbers satisfy:*

$$B_{10} = 7 \cdot B_1 - 2 = 7 \times 27 - 2 = 187 \quad (15)$$

The coefficient 7 is the index of  $B_7 = 136$ , the electromagnetic Brahim Number.

TABLE I  
QUANTUM VS. BRAHIM MECHANICS

Property	Quantum	Brahim
States	Continuous	Discrete integers
Measurement	Probabilistic	Deterministic
Numbers	Complex amplitudes	Integer pairs
Conservation	Energy, momentum	Mirror charge (214)
Information	Debated	Always conserved

## VIII. DIMENSIONAL STRUCTURE

The sequence contains exactly 10 elements, coinciding with:

- 10 dimensions in string theory
- The mass hierarchy power (6) plus coupling hierarchy power (9) minus their overlap:  $6 + 9 - 5 = 10$

This suggests each Brahim Number may correspond to one dimension of a fundamental configuration space.

## IX. PREDICTIONS

- 1) The fine structure constant has the base value  $137+1/28$ ; measured deviations represent higher-order quantum corrections.
- 2) Hawking radiation should exhibit correlations between emissions at complementary energies (summing to a characteristic value).
- 3) The framework predicts specific relationships between currently unmeasured quantities.

## X. CONCLUSION

Brahim Mechanics provides a discrete mathematical framework unifying:

- Number theory (the sequence emerges from  $\varphi$ -adic expansions)
- Particle physics (coupling constants, mass ratios with ppm-level accuracy)
- Quantum gravity (Bekenstein-Hawking entropy factor)
- String theory (dimensional structure)

The 214-symmetry acts as a conservation law for information, potentially resolving the black hole information paradox. The framework suggests that continuous physics emerges from an underlying discrete structure governed by mirror symmetry.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks the mathematical physics community for ongoing discussions and acknowledges the use of high-precision computational tools for numerical verification.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, “Black holes and entropy,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 7, pp. 2333–2346, 1973.
- [2] S. W. Hawking, “Particle creation by black holes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 43, pp. 199–220, 1975.
- [3] E. Tiesinga *et al.*, “CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2018,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 93, p. 025010, 2021.
- [4] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz, and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [5] R. Slansky, “Group theory for unified model building,” *Phys. Rep.*, vol. 79, pp. 1–128, 1981.