

# STAT 151A Additional Problems: Solutions

Billy Fang

These are rough sketches for the solutions. Some computational steps are omitted for brevity.

## 1

Intercept  $p$ -value:  $2 * \text{pt}(-2.127, 99)$  yields 0.0359. This  $t$ -table would tell you the  $p$ -value is between 0.02 and 0.05.

Education estimate:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \implies \hat{\beta}_j = t \cdot \text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_j) = 11.858 \cdot 0.3489120 \approx 4.1374.$$

Residual standard error degrees of freedom is 99 (same as second degree of freedom in F-statistic)

## 2

$\text{qt}(0.975, 99)$  (or this  $t$ -table) shows that  $\approx 1.984$  is the 97.5% quantile of the  $t$ -distribution with 99 degrees of freedom. For each  $j$ , the confidence interval is

$$\hat{\beta}_j \pm 1.984 \cdot \text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_j),$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_j$  and  $\text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_j)$  are the entries in the first two columns of the table.

## 3

(a) True.

We state an auxiliary result that will simplify our work. Recall the definition of estimability:  $\Lambda^\top \beta$  (for some matrix  $\Lambda$ ) is called estimable if  $\Lambda^\top \beta = P^\top X \beta$  for some matrix  $P$ . After some reformulation, we can write this as

$\Lambda^\top \beta$  is estimable if and only if every column of  $\Lambda$  lies in the column space of  $X^\top$

[Check that this is true.]

In simple regression, we have

$$X^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that by assumption  $n > p = 1$ . There are two cases for  $C(X^\top)$ .

1. If  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n$ , then  $C(X^\top) = \text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$  is a one-dimensional subspace.
2. Otherwise,  $C(X^\top) = \mathbb{R}^2$  (i.e. the column space contains all two-dimensional vectors).

Note

$$\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \beta.$$

If  $\begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$  is not estimable, then at least one of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  does not lie in  $C(X^\top)$ . This implies Case 2 above cannot happen (otherwise  $C(X^\top)$  would contain any two-dimensional vector, which would contradict the previous sentence). Therefore we must have  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$  and  $C(X^\top) = \text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$ . But then  $C(X^\top)$  cannot contain  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , which implies  $\beta_1$  is not estimable.

[Note: in the second lab section, I proved the contrapositive of the statement instead. Above, I proved the statement directly, so the argument is “backward” in some sense.]

(b) False. Note

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{\beta} = \mathbb{E}[(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\beta + \epsilon)] = \beta + (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E}[\epsilon].$$

Thus  $\hat{\beta}$  is unbiased as long as  $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = 0$ , even if the components of  $\epsilon$  are correlated.

## 4

- Approach 1: see lecture notes on one-way ANOVA
- Approach 2: the function we want to minimize is

$$S(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J) = \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i \in \text{group } j} (y_i - \mu_j)^2.$$

Setting the partial derivatives to zero yields

$$\sum_{i \in \text{group } j} y_i = n_j \hat{\mu}_j.$$

- Approach 3: If  $X$  is the  $n \times J$  design matrix, where each row is the indicator vector for each observation's group, then

$$X^\top X = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & n_J \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover,

$$X^\top y = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in \text{group } 1} y_i \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i \in \text{group } J} y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the normal equation

$$X^\top X \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mu}_J \end{bmatrix} = X^\top y$$

yields the answer.

## 5 Textbook problems

### 5.3

Taking the derivative of  $S$  with respect to  $A'$  and setting it equal to zero yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = nA'.$$

## 5.4

Recall that the least squares coefficients in simple regression are

$$B = \frac{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$A = \bar{Y} - B\bar{X}$$

$$S_E = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{n - 2}}$$

$$r = \frac{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sum_i (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}}$$

- (a) (i) If  $X' = X - 10$ , then  $X'_i = X_i - 10$  and  $\bar{X}' = \bar{X} - 10$ . Thus  $B' = B$  and  $A' = A + 10B$  and  $r' = r$ . Then  $\hat{Y}'_i = \hat{Y}_i$ , so  $S'_E = S_E$ .
- (ii) If  $X' = 10X$ , then  $X'_i = 10X_i$  and  $\bar{X}' = 10\bar{X}$ . Thus  $B' = B/10$  and  $A' = A$  and  $r' = r$ . Then  $\hat{Y}'_i = \hat{Y}_i$ , so  $S'_E = S_E$ .
- (iii) If  $X' = 10X - 10$ , then applying (ii) then (i) yields  $B' = B/10$  and  $A' = A + B$  and  $r' = r$ . Again,  $\hat{Y}'_i = \hat{Y}_i$ , so  $S'_E = S_E$ .
- (b) (i) If  $Y'' = Y + 10$ , then  $Y''_i = Y_i + 10$  and  $\bar{Y}'' = \bar{Y} + 10$ . Thus  $B'' = B$  and  $A'' = A + 10$  and  $r' = r$ . Then  $\hat{Y}''_i = \hat{Y}_i + 10$  so  $S''_E = S_E$ .
- (ii) If  $Y'' = 5Y$ , then  $Y''_i = 5Y_i$  and  $\bar{Y}'' = 5\bar{Y}$ . Thus  $B'' = 5B$  and  $A'' = 5A$  and  $r' = r$ . Then  $\hat{Y}''_i = 5\hat{Y}_i$  so  $S''_E = 5S_E$ .
- (iii) If  $Y'' = 5Y + 10$ , then applying (ii) and then (i) yields  $B'' = 5B$  and  $A'' = 5A + 10$  and  $r' = r$ . Then  $\hat{Y}''_i = 5\hat{Y}_i + 10$  so  $S''_E = 5S_E$ .
- (c) If  $X' = c_1X + c_2$  and  $Y' = c_3Y + c_4$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ , then  $B' = \frac{c_3}{c_1}B$  and  $A' = c_3(A - \frac{c_2}{c_1}B) + c_4$  and  $r' = \text{sign}(c_1) \text{sign}(c_3) \cdot r$ . Then  $\hat{Y}'_i = c_3\hat{Y}_i + c_4$  so  $S'_E = |c_3|S_E$ .

## 6.6

Recall that in simple regression, the standard error of the slope coefficient is

$$\text{SE}(B) = \sqrt{\frac{S_E^2}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}.$$

- (a) Since  $B' = B/10$  and  $S'_E = S_E$ , we have  $\text{SE}(B') = \text{SE}(B)/10$  and  $t'_0 = t_0$ .
- (b) Since  $B'' = 5B$  and  $S''_E = 5S_E$ , we have  $\text{SE}(B'') = 5\text{SE}(B)$  and  $t''_0 = t_0$ .
- (c) Hypothesis tests for the slope do not change because the  $t$  statistic stays the same. If  $X' = c_1X + c_2$  and  $Y' = c_3Y + c_4$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ , then the new confidence interval is of the form  $\frac{c_3}{c_1}B \pm q|\frac{c_3}{c_1}|S_E$  where  $q$  is the appropriate quantile of the  $t$ -distribution. So the center of the interval changes according to  $B' = \frac{c_3}{c_1}B$ , and the width of the interval scales by  $|c_3/c_1|$ .

## 9.8

[This is also proved in the lecture notes on normal regression theory.]

Our goal is to show  $\text{Cov}(e, b) = 0$ . [Then, since  $(e, b)$  is jointly Gaussian, this implies  $e$  and  $b$  are independent, and consequently  $B_j$  and  $S_E$  are independent.]

As noted in the hint,

$$b - \beta = (X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top(X\beta + \epsilon) - \beta = (X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top \epsilon,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(e, b) &= \mathbb{E}[e(b - \beta)^\top] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e\epsilon^\top X(X^\top X)^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e\epsilon^\top]X(X^\top X)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall  $e = (I - H)\epsilon$  (e.g., see Lab 3 notes), where  $H = X(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(e, b) &= \mathbb{E}[(I - H)\epsilon\epsilon^\top]X(X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= (I - H)(\sigma^2 I)X(X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2[I - X(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top]X(X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$