Homework 5

Stat 151A, Fall 2017

Due: November 28 at 12:30 pm

- 1. Download the two datasets train.csv and test.csv from https://www.kaggle.com/c/titanic/data (this is the competition *Titanic: Machine Learning from Disaster* from Kaggle). Based on the training data, build a reasonable model based on logistic regression for the survival status based on the explanatory variables (you can start with a basic model and subsequently either expand it using interactions etc. and/or perform model selection to remove some variables). Describe your model. Use your model to predict the survival status for the subjects present in the test dataset. Report your prediction accuracy score. (1.75 points).
- 2. In the logistic regression model, let \hat{p} denote the vector of fitted probabilities. Show that $Y \hat{p}$ is orthogonal to the columns of the X matrix. (0.25 points).
- 3. (Do not use R for this problem) Consider the frogs dataset which, briefly, consists of 212 sites of the Snowy Mountain area of New South Wales, Australia were surveyed for the species of the Southern Corroboree frog. The response variable, named pres.abs, takes the value 1 if frogs of this species were found at the site and 0 otherwise. The explanatory variables include altitude, distance, NoOfPools, NoOfSites, avrain, meanmin and meanmax. The dataset contains 212 observations and the response variable equals one for 79 observations and equals 0 for the rest. I fit a logistic regression model to the data via

```
frogs.glm <- glm(formula = pres.abs ~ log(distance) +
log(NoOfPools) + meanmin,
family = binomial, data = frogs)
summary(frogs.glm)

This gave me the following output:

Call:
glm(formula = pres.abs ~ log(distance) + log(NoOfPools) + meanmin,
family = binomial, data = frogs)

Deviance Residuals:
Min  1Q Median  3Q Max</pre>
```

```
-1.9642 -0.7657 -0.4619 0.8728 2.3219
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std.Erro	r z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	0.6864	XXXXX	0.313	0.754146	
log(distance)	-0.9050	XXXXX	-4.349	1.37e-05	***
log(NoOfPools)	0.5027	0.2004	2.509	0.012102	*
meanmin	1.1153	0.3131	3.562	0.000369	***

Signif. codes: 0 âĂŸ***âĂŹ 0.001 âĂŸ**âĂŹ 0.01 âĂŸ*âĂŹ 0.05 âĂŸ.âĂŹ 0.1 âĂŸ âĂŹ

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: XXXXX on XXX degrees of freedom Residual deviance: XXXXX on XXX degrees of freedom

AIC: 222.18

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

Also consider the following R code:

```
X = model.matrix(frogs.glm)
W = diag(frogs.glm$fitted.values*(1 - frogs.glm$fitted.values))
solve(t(X) %*% W %*% X)
```

which gave me the output

	(Intercept)	log(distance)	log(NoOfPools)	meanmin
(Intercept)	4.8038479	-0.363947754	-0.255928180	-0.49698440
log(distance)	-0.3639478	0.043313307	0.008053415	0.01562971
log(NoOfPools)	XXXXXXXXX	0.008053415	0.040141698	0.02678507
meanmin	-0.4969844	0.015629708	0.026785069	XXXXXXXX

- (a) Fill the eight missing values in the above output giving appropriate reasons. (2 points)
- (b) Suppose a new site is found where the values of the explanatory variables are

distance = 265 NoOfPools = 26 meanmin = 3.5

According to the logistic regression model, what is the predicted probability that Southern Corroboree frogs will be found at this site? (0.5 points).



(c) Suppose I add the variable *altitude* to the model. Would the residual deviance increase or decrease? Explain with reason. Would the null deviance increase or decrease? Explain with reason. (0.5 points).

4. Consider the usual regression data with binary response values y_1, \ldots, y_n and explanatory variable values $x_{ij}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $j = 1, \ldots, p$. The response vector is Y and the matrix of explanatory variables is X. I wish to fit the logistic regression model to the data:

$$\log \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

where y_1, \ldots, y_n are independent random variables having the Bernoulli distribution with means p_1, \ldots, p_n .

- (a) Argue that the maximum likelihood estimates of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ depend on Y only through the vector X^TY . (0.5 points).
- (b) Let \hat{p} denote the vector of fitted probabilities with components $\hat{p}_1, \ldots, \hat{p}_n$. Express \hat{p}_i in terms of the MLE $\hat{\beta}_0, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_p$ and the explanatory variable values. (0.5 points).
- (c) Argue that the sum of the components of \hat{p} equals the number of response values y_1, \ldots, y_n that are equal to one. (0.5 point).
 - (d) Express the residual deviance in terms of y_1, \ldots, y_n and $\hat{p}_1, \ldots, \hat{p}_n$. (0.5 points).
- 5. (**Do not use R for this problem**) Consider the email spam data. This data consists of 4601 emails of which 1813 emails were identified as spam. The response variable, named yesno, takes the value y if the email is spam and n otherwise. The explanatory variables include crl.tot (total length of words in capitals), dollar (number of occurences of the \$ symbol), bang (number of occurences of the symbol), money (number of occurences of the word money), n000 (number of occurences of the string 000) and make (number of occurences of the word make). I fitted a logistic regression model to the data via

```
s = 0.001
M1 <- glm(yesno~ log(crl.tot) + log(dollar+s) + log(bang+s)
+log(money+s) + log(n000+s) + log(make+s),
family=binomial, data=spam)
summary(M1)</pre>
```

This gave me the following output:

```
Call:
```

```
glm(formula = yesno ~ log(crl.tot) + log(dollar + s) + log(bang +
s) + log(money + s) + log(n000 + s) + log(make + s), family = binomial,
data = spam)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max

-3.1657 -0.4367 -0.2863 0.3609 2.7152

Coefficients:

```
Std. Error z value
                  Estimate
                             0.36342
(Intercept)
                  4.11947
                                        XXXXXX
log(crl.tot)
                  0.30228
                             0.03693
                                         8.185
log(dollar + s)
                 0.32586
                             0.02365
                                        13.777
log(bang + s)
                  0.40984
                             0.01597
                                        25.661
log(money + s)
                 XXXXXXX
                             0.02800
                                        12.345
log(n000 + s)
                  0.18947
                             0.02931
                                         6.463
log(make + s)
                 -0.11418
                             0.02206
                                        -5.177
```

Signif. codes: 0 âĂŸ***âĂŹ 0.001 âĂŸ**âĂŹ 0.01 âĂŸ*âĂŹ 0.05 âĂŸ.âĂŹ 0.1 âĂŸ âĂŹ

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: XXXXXX on XXXX degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 3245.1 on XXXX degrees of freedom

AIC: XXXXXX

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6

- (a) Fill the six missing values in the above output giving appropriate reasons. (2 points)
- (b) Suppose a new email comes in for which

According to the above logistic regression model, what is the predicted probability that this email is spam? (0.5 points).

(c) It may be noted that in the model M1, I took logarithms of the explanatory variables. I decided to fit another logistic regression model without taking logarithms of the explanatory variables:

M2 = glm(yesno~ crl.tot + dollar+ bang +money+n000 +make,
family=binomial, data=spam)

The residual deviance for this model turned out to be 4058.8. On the basis of this, which of the two models M1 and M2 would you use and why? (0.5 points).