Eigenvalue Decomposition

Predictive Modeling & Statistical Learning

Gaston Sanchez

CC BY-SA 4.0

Introduction

Two Special Decompositions

Last time we talked about the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD).

In these slides, we'll talk about a closely related decomposition of SVD: the so-called Eigenvalue Decomposition (EVD) or Spectral Decomposition

Recap

Matrix decompositions, also known as matrix factorizations

$$M = AB$$
 or $M = ABC$

are a means of expressing a matrix as a product of usually two or three simpler matrices.

Types of matrices

Two types of matrices

We said that in data analysis we typically concentrate on two types of matrices:

- general rectangular matrices used to represent data tables.
- positive semi-definite matrices used to represent covariance matrices, correlation matrices, and any matrix that results from a crossproduct.

EVD

Eigenvalue Decomposition

- ► In contrast with SVD, the eigenvalue decomposition does NOT apply to any matrix.
- EVD applies to square matrices in general.
- A special type of square matrices are symmetric matrices.
- ▶ In data analysis methods, these matrices usually appear in the form of cross-product association matrices $\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}$

EVD

Eigenvalue Decomposition

This decomposition applies to symmetric matrices such as the cross-product association matrices $\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}$

Symmetric Matrices

The attractive thing about EVD is that when applied to symmetric matrices the results have a "simple" nice structure.

Eigen-Value Decomposition

EVD

An $n \times n$ symmetric matrix M can be decomposed as:

$$M = ABA^T$$

where

- $ightharpoonup {f A}$ is a n imes p column **orthonormal** matrix containing the eigen-vectors of ${f M}$
- ightharpoonup B is a $p \times p$ diagonal matrix containing the eigen-values of ${f M}$

Eigen-Value Decomposition

EVD

A more common notation for EVD is:

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^\mathsf{T}$$

where

- $ightharpoonup \mathbf{U}$ is a $n \times p$ column **orthonormal** matrix containing the eigen-vectors of \mathbf{M}
- Λ is a $p \times p$ diagonal matrix containing the eigen-values of ${\bf M}$

EVD

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^\mathsf{T}$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1p} \\ u_{21} & \cdots & u_{2p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{n1} & \cdots & u_{np} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{n1} \\ u_{12} & \cdots & u_{n2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{1p} & \cdots & u_{np} \end{bmatrix}$$

Vectors, which under a given transformation \mathbf{M} map into themselves or multiples of themselves, are called invariant vectors under that transformation. It follows that such vectors satisfy the relation:

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$$

where λ is a scalar.

The matrix equation:

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$$

can be rearranged as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} - \lambda\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

Given

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} - \lambda\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

We can factor out x

$$(\mathbf{M} - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

Obtaining the eigenstructure of a (square) matrix involves solving the **characteristic equation**

$$det(\mathbf{M} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) = 0$$

If M is of order $n \times n$, then we can obtain n roots of the equation. These roots are called the **eigenvalues**.

EVD in R

eigen() in R

eigen() function

R provides the function eigen() to perform an eigenvalue decomposition of a square matrix.

eigen() output

A list with the following components

- values a vector containing the eigenvalues
- vectors a matrix whose columns contain the eigenvectors

EVD example in R

```
# X'X matrix
set.seed(22)
X <- as.matrix(USArrests)</p>
XtX <- t(X) %*% X
# eigenvalue decomposition
EVD = eigen(XtX)
# elements returned by eigen()
names (EVD)
## [1] "values" "vectors"
# vector of eigenvalues
(lambdas = EVD$values)
## [1] 2013735.2431 37957.1103 2084.9578
                                                  326.5089
```

EVD example in R (con't)

```
# matrix of eigenvectors
(V <- EVD$vectors)

## [1,] [,2] [,3] [,4]

## [1,] -0.04239181  0.01616262  0.06588426  0.99679535

## [2,] -0.94395706  0.32068580 -0.06655170 -0.04094568

## [3,] -0.30842767 -0.93845891 -0.15496743  0.01234261

## [4,] -0.10963744 -0.12725666  0.98347101 -0.06760284
```

Relation between EVD and SVD

The EVD of the cross-product matrix of columns (or minor product moment) X^TX can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^\mathsf{T}$$

in terms of the SVD factorization of X:

$$\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}^2\mathbf{V}^\mathsf{T}$$

Relation between EVD and SVD

The EVD of the cross-product matrix of rows (or major product moment) $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}$ can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^\mathsf{T}$$

in terms of the SVD factorization of X:

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}^2\mathbf{U}^\mathsf{T}$$

Power Method

About the Power Method

One of the basic procedures following a successive approximation approach is precisely the Power Method.

In its simplest form, the Power Method (PM) allows us to find **the largest** eigenvector and its corresponding eigenvalue.

About the Power Method

Choose an arbitrary vector $\mathbf{w_0}$ to which we will apply the symmetric matrix \mathbf{S} repeatedly to form the following sequence:

$$\begin{split} w_1 &= Sw_0 \\ w_2 &= Sw_1 = S^2w_0 \\ w_3 &= Sw_2 = S^3w_0 \\ &\vdots \\ w_k &= Sw_{k-1} = S^kw_0 \end{split}$$


Power Method: Example

Consider a matrix S

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$


and an initial vector \mathbf{w}_0

$$\mathbf{w_0} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4\\1.3 \end{bmatrix}$$



About the Power Method

- ▶ In practice, we must rescale the obtained vector $\mathbf{w_k}$ at each step.
- ► The rescaling will allows us to judge whether the sequence is converging.
- \blacktriangleright After some iterations, the vector w_{k-1} and w_k will be very similar
- ▶ Assuming a reasonable scaling strategy, the sequence will usually converge to the dominant eigenvector of S.



Dominant Eigenvalue

The obtained vector is the dominant eigenvector. To get the corresponding eigenvalue we calculate the so-called **Rayleigh quotient** given by:

$$\lambda = \frac{\mathbf{w}_k^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{w}_k}{\mathbf{w}_k^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{w}_k}$$

Remarks

Conditions for the power method to be succesfully used:

- ▶ The matrix must have a *dominant* eigenvalue.
- ▶ The starting vector $\mathbf{w_0}$ must be nonzero.
- We need to scale each of the vectors w_k otherwise the algorithm will "explode"

PM Pseudocode

Let's consider a more detailed version of the PM algorithm:

- 1. Start with an arbitraty initial vector w
- 2. Obtain product $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{w}$
- 3. Normalize $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$

e.g.
$$\mathbf{w} = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{w}}}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\|_{p=2}}$$

- 4. Compare w with its previous version
- 5. Repeat steps 2 till 4 until convergence

Assume that the matrix \mathbf{S} has p eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$, and that they are ordered in decreasing way $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \ge \dots \ge |\lambda_p|$.

Note that the first eigenvalue is strictly greater than the second one. This is a very important assumption.

In the same way, we'll assume that the matrix S has p linearly independent vectors u_1, \ldots, u_p ordered in such a way that u_j corresponds to λ_j .

The initial vector $\mathbf{w_0}$ may be expressed as a linear combination of $\mathbf{u_1}, \dots, \mathbf{u_p}$

$$\mathbf{w_0} = a_1 \mathbf{u_1} + \dots + a_p \mathbf{u_p}$$

At every step of the iterative process the vector $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by:

$$\mathbf{w_k} = a_1 \lambda_1^k \mathbf{u_1} + \dots + a_p \lambda_p^k \mathbf{u_p}$$

Since λ_1 is the dominant eigenvalue, the component in the direction of \mathbf{u}_1 becomes relatively greater than the other components as k increases. If we knew λ_1 in advance, we could rescale at each step by dividing by it to get:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^k}\right)\mathbf{w_k} = a_1\mathbf{u_1} + \dots + a_p\left(\frac{\lambda_p^k}{\lambda_1^k}\right)\mathbf{u_p}$$

which converges to the eigenvector $a_1\mathbf{u_1}$, provided that a_1 is nonzero.

Of course, in real life this scaling strategy is not possible—we don't know λ_1 . Consequently, the eigenvector is determined only up to a constant multiple, which is not a concern since the really important thing is the *direction* not the length of the vector.

The speed of the convergence depends on how bigger λ_1 is respect with to λ_2 , and on the choice of the initial vector $\mathbf{w_0}$. If λ_1 is not much larger than λ_2 , then the convergence will be slow.

More Remarks

- ▶ The power method is a sequential method.
- \blacktriangleright We can obtain w_1, w_2 , and so on, step by step.
- ▶ If we only need the first *k* vectors, we can stop the procedure at the desired stage.

Obtaining more eigenvectors?

Once we've obtained the first eigenvector $\mathbf{w_1}$ and eigenvalue λ_1 , we can compute the second eigenvector by reducing the matrix \mathbf{S} by the amount explained by the first eigenvector.

This operation of reduction is called **deflation** and the residual matrix is obtained as:

$$\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{w}_1^\mathsf{T}$$

To get the second eigenvalue and its corresponding eigenvector, we operate on S_1 in the same way as the operations on S.