

**Problem 1**

(22 marks)

For  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define the set:

$$S_{x,y} = \{mx + ny : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

(a) Give five elements of  $S_{4,-6}$ . (5 marks)

(b) Give five elements of  $S_{12,18}$ . (5 marks)

For the following questions, let  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $z$  be the smallest positive number in  $S_{x,y}$ , or 0 if there are no positive numbers in  $S_{x,y}$ .

(c) (i) Show that  $S_{x,y} \subseteq \{n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } d|n\}$ . (4 marks)

(ii) Show that  $d \leq z$ . (2 marks)

(d) (i) Show that  $z|x$  and  $z|y$  (Hint: consider  $(x \% z)$  and  $(y \% z)$ ). (4 marks)

(ii) Show that  $z \leq d$ . (2 marks)

**Remark**

The result that there exists  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $mx + ny = \gcd(x, y)$  is known as Bézout's Identity.

**Solution**

(a) We have:

$$\begin{array}{lll} -2 & = (1)4 + (1)(-6) & 6 & = (0)4 + (-1)(-6) & 0 & = (0)4 + (0)(-6) \\ 2 & = (-1)4 + (-1)(-6) & 4 & = (1)4 + (0)(-6) & & \dots \end{array}$$

so

$$S_{4,-6} = \{\dots, -2, 0, 2, 4, 6, \dots\} = 2\mathbb{Z}$$

(b) We have:

$$\begin{array}{lll} -6 & = (1)12 + (-1)18 & 0 & = (0)12 + (0)18 & 6 & = (-1)12 + (1)18 \\ 12 & = (-2)12 + (2)18 & 18 & = (0)12 + (1)18 & & \dots \end{array}$$

so

$$S_{12,18} = \{\dots, -6, 0, 6, 12, 18, \dots\} = 6\mathbb{Z}$$

(c) (i)  $d|x$  and  $d|y$ , so  $d|(mx + ny)$  for any integers  $m, n$ . Therefore, if  $w \in S_{x,y}$ ,  $d|w$ . So  $S_{x,y} \subseteq \{n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } d|n\}$ .

(ii)  $z \in S_{x,y}$  so  $d|z$ , that is  $z = kd$  for some integer  $k$ . If  $z = 0$  then, as  $\pm x, \pm y \in S_{x,y}$  it follows that  $x = y = 0$  and hence  $d = 0$ . Otherwise  $z > 0$ , and as  $d$  is a non-negative integer, we have that  $k \geq 0$ . In both cases,  $d \leq z$ .

(d) (i) Let  $r = (x \% z)$  and  $q = (x \text{ div } z)$ . From the definition of these operations, we have  $x = qz + r$ , or  $r = x - qz$ . Since  $z \in S_{x,y}$ ,  $z = mx + ny$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $r = (1 - m)x - ny$ , so  $r \in S_{x,y}$ . From Q1(b), we have that  $0 \leq r < z$ . From the minimality of  $z$ , it follows that  $r = 0$  and hence  $z|x$ . Similarly  $z|y$ .

(ii) The previous question shows that  $z$  is a common divisor of  $x$  and  $y$ . Therefore, by the definition of  $\gcd$ ,  $z \leq d$ .

### Discussion

- For (a) and (b): 1 mark for each element correctly identified (justification not needed).
- Full marks for clear and correct proofs.
- Minor errors include missing logical steps in arguments
- Major errors include two+ minor errors; right proof “idea” but not clearly explained; or missing an inclusion when showing set equality.
- Good progress includes some logical argument

### Problem 2

(12 marks)

For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $y > 1$ :

- (a) Prove that if  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  then there is at least one  $w \in [0, y) \cap \mathbb{N}$  such that  $wx \equiv_{(y)} 1$ .  
(Hint: Use Bézout’s identity) (4 marks)
- (b) Prove that if  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $y \mid kx$  then  $y \mid k$ . (4 marks)
- (c) Prove that if  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  then there is at most one  $w \in [0, y) \cap \mathbb{N}$  such that  $wx \equiv_{(y)} 1$ . (4 marks)

### Solution

(a) Since  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , from Bézout’s identity (or Q1), we have that there exists  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $mx + ny = 1$ . Let  $w = m \% y$ .

- From the lectures we have that  $w \in [0, y)$ .
- Also from the lectures we have that  $m \equiv_{(y)} w$ , so:

$$\begin{aligned} wx &\equiv_{(y)} mx \\ &= mx + n \cdot 0 \\ &\equiv_{(y)} mx + ny \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Since  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , from (a) there exists  $w$  such that  $wx \equiv_{(y)} 1$ . Since  $y \mid kx$  we have  $kx \equiv_{(y)} 0$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 0 \cdot w \\ &\equiv_{(y)} (kx)w \\ &= k(wx) \\ &\equiv_{(y)} k \cdot 1 \\ &= k \end{aligned}$$

So  $y \mid k$  as required.

(c) Suppose  $w, w' \in [0, y)$  are such that  $wx \equiv_{(y)} 1$  and  $w'x \equiv_{(y)} 1$ . We will show that it must be the case that  $w = w'$ . Since  $wx \equiv_{(y)} w'x$ , we have:

$$0 \equiv_{(y)} wx - w'x = (w - w')x,$$

and therefore  $y \mid (w - w')x$ .

Since  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , from (b) we have that  $y \mid (w - w')$ , so  $w - w' = ky$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

As  $w, w' \in [0, y)$  we have that:

- $w \geq 0$  and  $w' < y$ , so  $w - w' > -y$ , and therefore  $k > -1$ ; and
- $w < y$  and  $w' \geq 0$ , so  $w - w' < y$ , and therefore  $k < 1$ .

So  $k = 0$  and therefore  $w = w'$ .

### Problem 3\*

(4 marks)

Prove that for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  with  $n \leq m$ :

$$\frac{3}{2}(n + (m \% n)) < m + n.$$

#### Solution

Suppose  $x \geq \lfloor x \rfloor + 1$ . Then  $\lfloor x \rfloor + 1$  is an integer, smaller than  $x$ , but greater than  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  – contradicting the definition of  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ . Therefore  $x < \lfloor x \rfloor + 1$ .

Because  $n \leq m$ , we have  $1 \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor$ , and from above we have  $\frac{m}{n} < 1 + \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor$ . Therefore,

$$m + n = n\left(\frac{m}{n} + 1\right) < n\left(\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor + 2\right) \leq 3n\left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor.$$

Therefore,

$$3(m \% n) + 3n = 3m - 3n\left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor + 3n = 2m + 2n + (m + n - 3n\left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor) < 2m + 2n.$$

Therefore  $\frac{3}{2}((m \% n) + n) < m + n$ .

#### Discussion

- Minor errors include small logical errors or omissions
- Major errors include justifications based on non-standard definitions (e.g. using the “fractional” part) without references
- Shows progress includes working with a correct definition

### Problem 4

(16 marks)

Use the laws of set operations (and any results proven in lectures) to prove the following identities:

(a) (Annihilation):  $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$

(4 marks)

(b)  $(A \setminus C^c) \cup (B \cap C) = C \cap (B \cup A)$  (4 marks)

(c)  $A^c \oplus \mathcal{U} = A$  (4 marks)

(d) (De Morgan's law):  $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$  (4 marks)

### Proof assistant

[https://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~cs9020/cgi-bin/logic/21T3/set\\_theory/assignment](https://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~cs9020/cgi-bin/logic/21T3/set_theory/assignment)

### Solution

Here are some sample proofs (others exist):

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap \emptyset &= A \cap (A \cap A^c) && \text{(Complement with } \cap) \\ &= (A \cap A) \cap A^c && \text{(Associativity of } \cap) \\ &= A \cap A^c && \text{(Idempotence of } \cap) \\ &= \emptyset && \text{(Complement with } \cap) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} (A \setminus C^c) \cup (B \cap C) &= (A \cap C^{cc}) \cup (B \cap C) && \text{(Definition of } \setminus) \\ &= (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C) && \text{(Double complement)} \\ &= (C \cap A) \cup (B \cap C) && \text{(Commutativity of } \cap) \\ &= (C \cap A) \cup (C \cap B) && \text{(Commutativity of } \cap) \\ &= (C \cap B) \cup (C \cap A) && \text{(Commutativity of } \cup) \\ &= C \cap (B \cup A) && \text{(Distributivity of } \cap \text{ over } \cup) \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} A^c \oplus \mathcal{U} &= (A^c \cap \mathcal{U}^c) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Definition of } \oplus) \\ &= (A^c \cap (\mathcal{U}^c \cap \mathcal{U})) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Identity of } \cap) \\ &= (A^c \cap (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}^c)) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Commutativity of } \cap) \\ &= (A^c \cap \emptyset) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Complement with } \cap) \\ &= (A^c \cap (A^c \cap A^{cc})) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Complement with } \cap) \\ &= ((A^c \cap A^c) \cap A^{cc}) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Associativity of } \cap) \\ &= (A^c \cap A^{cc}) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Idempotence of } \cap) \\ &= \emptyset \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Complement with } \cap) \\ &= (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) \cup \emptyset && \text{(Commutativity of } \cup) \\ &= A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U} && \text{(Identity of } \cup) \\ &= A^{cc} && \text{(Identity of } \cap) \\ &= A && \text{(Double complement)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A^c \oplus \mathcal{U} &= (A^c \cap \mathcal{U}^c) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Definition of } \oplus \text{)} \\
&= (A^c \cap (\mathcal{U}^c \cap \mathcal{U})) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Identity of } \cap \text{)} \\
&= (A^c \cap (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}^c)) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Commutativity of } \cap \text{)} \\
&= (A^c \cap \emptyset) \cup (A^{cc} \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Complement with } \cap \text{)} \\
&= (A^c \cap \emptyset) \cup (A \cap \mathcal{U}) && \text{(Double complement)} \\
&= (A^c \cap \emptyset) \cup A && \text{(Identity of } \cap \text{)} \\
&= A \cup (A^c \cap \emptyset) && \text{(Commutativity of } \cup \text{)} \\
&= (A \cup A^c) \cap (A \cup \emptyset) && \text{(Distributivity of } \cup \text{ over } \cap \text{)} \\
&= \mathcal{U} \cap (A \cup \emptyset) && \text{(Complement with } \cup \text{)} \\
&= (A \cup \emptyset) \cap \mathcal{U} && \text{(Commutativity of } \cap \text{)} \\
&= A \cup \emptyset && \text{(Identity of } \cap \text{)} \\
&= A && \text{(Identity of } \cup \text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

(d) First, consider  $(A \cap B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(A \cap B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c) &= ((A \cap B) \cap A^c) \cup ((A \cap B) \cap B^c) && \text{(Distributivity)} \\
&= (A \cap (B \cap A^c)) \cup (A \cap (B \cap B^c)) && \text{(Associativity)} \\
&= (A \cap (A^c \cap B)) \cup (A \cap (B \cap B^c)) && \text{(Commutativity)} \\
&= ((A \cap A^c) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (B \cap B^c)) && \text{(Associativity)} \\
&= (\emptyset \cap B) \cup (A \cap \emptyset) && \text{(Complement)} \\
&= (B \cap \emptyset) \cup (A \cap \emptyset) && \text{(Commutativity)} \\
&= \emptyset \cup \emptyset && \text{(Annihilation: (a))} \\
&= \emptyset && \text{(Identity).}
\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that  $(A^c \cap B^c) \cap ((A^c)^c \cup (B^c)^c) = \emptyset$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
\emptyset &= (A^c \cap B^c) \cap ((A^c)^c \cup (B^c)^c) \\
&= (A^c \cap B^c) \cap (A \cup B) && \text{(Double complement)} \\
&= (A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cap B^c) && \text{(Commutativity).}
\end{aligned}$$

By the Principle of Duality, we therefore have:

$$(A \cap B) \cup (A^c \cup B^c) = \mathcal{U}.$$

By the uniqueness of complement it therefore follows that:

$$(A^c \cup B^c) = (A \cap B)^c$$

as required.

### Discussion

- For top marks each rule should be on its own line, but multiple applications of the same rule on one line are ok.
- Minor errors include: one or two incorrect rule names (not counting multiple occurrences) ignoring small typos; one or two rule omissions (name or logical step – i.e. two rules on the same line). Double complementation is a commonly omitted rule.
- Major errors include: Two+ minor errors; omitting all rule names; unfinished proofs (e.g. finishing (c) at  $(A * A^c) * (A * A^c)$ )
- Good progress includes: One or two correct logical steps.

### Problem 5

(12 marks)

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . For each of the following, prove that the result holds for all sets  $X, Y, Z \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , or provide a counterexample to disprove:

- (a)  $(X \cap Y)^* = X^* \cap Y^*$  (4 marks)
- (b)  $(XY)^* = (YX)^*$  (4 marks)
- (c)  $X(Y \cap Z) = (XY) \cap (XZ)$  (4 marks)

### Solution

(a) This is false. Consider  $X = \{00\}$  and  $Y = \{000\}$ . Then

$$000000 \in X^* \text{ and } 000000 \in Y^* \text{ but } X \cap Y = \emptyset \text{ so } 000000 \notin (X \cap Y)^*.$$

(b) This is false. Consider  $X = \{0\}$  and  $Y = \{1\}$ . Then  $01 \in (XY)^*$  and  $01 \notin (YX)^*$ .

(c) This is false. Consider  $X = \{0, 00\}$ ,  $Y = \{0\}$ , and  $Z = \{00\}$ . Then  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$  so  $X(Y \cap Z) = \emptyset$ ; but  $000 \in XY$  and  $000 \in XZ$ , so  $000 \in (XY \cap XZ)$

### Discussion

- Concrete examples for all answers are required for full marks.
- Minor errors for small logical omissions (e.g. not showing that the counterexamples work)
- Major errors include not giving a concrete counterexample for false answers
- Shows progress includes identifying if the statement is true/false without justification.

### Problem 6

(12 marks)

- (a) List all possible functions  $f : \{a, b, c\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , that is, all elements of  $\{0, 1\}^{\{a, b, c\}}$ . (4 marks)
- (b) Describe a connection between your answer for (a) and  $\text{Pow}(\{a, b, c\})$ . (4 marks)

- (c) Describe a connection between your answer for (a) and  $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* : \text{length}(w) = 3\}$ . (4 marks)

#### Solution

- (a) There are eight functions from  $\{a,b,c\}$  to  $\{0,1\}$ :
- $f_0: a \mapsto 0, b \mapsto 0, c \mapsto 0$
  - $f_1: a \mapsto 0, b \mapsto 0, c \mapsto 1$
  - $f_2: a \mapsto 0, b \mapsto 1, c \mapsto 0$
  - $f_3: a \mapsto 0, b \mapsto 1, c \mapsto 1$
  - $f_4: a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 0, c \mapsto 0$
  - $f_5: a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 0, c \mapsto 1$
  - $f_6: a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 1, c \mapsto 0$
  - $f_7: a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 1, c \mapsto 1$
- (b) We observe that the cardinality of  $\text{Pow}(\{a,b,c\})$  is equal to the number of functions from  $\{a,b,c\}$  to  $\{0,1\}$ . Indeed, for each function  $f : \{a,b,c\} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  we can associate a unique element of  $\text{Pow}(\{a,b,c\})$  given by  $f^{\leftarrow}(1)$ . For example,  $f_0$  corresponds to  $\emptyset$ ;  $f_5$  corresponds to  $\{a,c\}$ .
- (c) We again observe that the cardinality of  $\Sigma^3$  (where  $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$ ) is equal to the number of functions from  $\{a,b,c\}$  to  $\{0,1\}$ . Indeed, for each function  $f : \{a,b,c\} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  we can associate a unique element of  $\Sigma^3$  given by  $f(a)f(b)f(c)$ . For example  $f_0$  corresponds to 000;  $f_5$  corresponds to 101.

#### Discussion

- For full marks, functions should be clearly defined; the full connection between the sets should be identified; each numeric answer should have a small justification
- Minor errors include small typos that do induce an incorrect answer (e.g. doubling up on a function)
- Major errors include unclear function definitions; only matching cardinalities; numeric answers without justification; incorrect numeric answers with small justification
- Shows promise includes: one or more functions defined; well-founded incorrect numeric answers (e.g.  $m^2$ ) without justification.

#### Problem 7\*

(6 marks)

Show that for any sets  $A, B, C$  there is a bijection between  $A^{(B \times C)}$  and  $(A^B)^C$ .

#### Solution

$A^{(B \times C)}$  is the set of functions from  $B \times C$  to  $A$ ; and  $(A^B)^C$  is the set of functions from  $C$  to  $X$  where  $X$  is the set of functions from  $B$  to  $A$ . For each  $f \in A^{(B \times C)}$ , and  $c \in C$  let  $g_{f,c} \in X$  denote the function from  $B$  to  $A$  defined as  $g_{f,c}(b) = f(b,c)$ . For each  $f \in A^{(B \times C)}$ , let  $h_f \in X^C$  denote the

function from  $C$  to  $X$  defined as  $h_f(c) = g_{f,c}$ . We claim that the map that takes  $f$  to  $h_f$  is a bijection.

**Injection.** First we show that the map is an injection. Take  $f, f' \in A^{(B \times C)}$  with  $f \neq f'$ . Since  $f \neq f'$  there exists  $b \in B, c \in C$  such that  $f(b, c) \neq f'(b, c)$ . Therefore  $g_{f,c}(b) \neq g_{f',c}(b)$  so  $g_{f,c} \neq g_{f',c}$ . But then  $h_f(c) \neq h_{f'}(c)$  so  $h_f \neq h_{f'}$ . Therefore the map is injective.

**Surjection.** Consider any  $h : C \rightarrow X$ . Define  $f_h : B \times C \rightarrow A$  by setting  $f_h(b, c) = [h(c)](b)$ . For any  $c' \in C$  we have  $g_{f_h, c'} : B \rightarrow A$  is the function that maps  $b$  to  $f_h(b, c) = [h(c)](b)$ . That is,  $g_{f_h, c} = h(c)$ . But then  $h_{f_h}$  is the function that maps  $c$  to  $g_{f_h, c} = h(c)$ . That is  $h_{f_h} = h$ . Therefore the map is surjective.

#### Discussion

- For full marks, the argument should apply to any sets  $A, B, C$ , not just finite sets (i.e. cardinality arguments will generally not be sufficient).
- Minor errors include well-argued (i.e. defining a bijection) proof for finite sets
- Major errors include a cardinality-based argument that uses exponentiation properties
- Shows promise includes identifying the sets  $A^{(B \times C)}$  and  $(A^B)^C$ .

#### Problem 8

(16 marks)

Recall the relation composition operator ; defined as:

$$R_1; R_2 = \{(a, c) : \text{there is a } b \text{ with } (a, b) \in R_1 \text{ and } (b, c) \in R_2\}$$

Let  $S$  be an arbitrary set. For each of the following, prove it holds for any binary relations  $R_1, R_2, R_3 \subseteq S \times S$ , or give a counterexample to disprove:

- (a)  $(R_1; R_2); R_3 = R_1; (R_2; R_3)$  (4 marks)
- (b)  $I; R_1 = R_1; I = R_1$  where  $I = \{(x, x) : x \in S\}$  (4 marks)
- (c)  $(R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 = (R_1; R_3) \cup (R_2; R_3)$  (4 marks)
- (d)  $R_1; (R_2 \cap R_3) = (R_1; R_2) \cap (R_1; R_3)$  (4 marks)

#### Solution

(a) This is true. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (a, d) \in (R_1; R_2); R_3 & \text{ iff } \text{there exists } c \in S \text{ such that } (a, c) \in R_1; R_2 \text{ and } (c, d) \in R_3 \\ & \text{ iff } \text{there exists } b, c \in S \text{ such that } (a, b) \in R_1 \text{ and } (b, c) \in R_2 \text{ and } (c, d) \in R_3 \\ & \text{ iff } \text{there exists } b \in S \text{ such that } (a, b) \in R_1 \text{ and } (b, d) \in R_2; R_3 \\ & \text{ iff } (a, d) \in R_1; (R_2; R_3) \end{aligned}$$

(b) This is true. Suppose  $(a, b) \in R$ . Then, because  $(a, a) \in I$  we have  $(a, b) \in I; R$ . Also, because  $(b, b) \in I$  we have  $(a, b) \in R; I$ .



Now suppose  $(a, b) \in I; R$ . Then there exists  $c \in S$  such that  $(a, c) \in I$  and  $(c, b) \in R$ . But from the definition of  $I$ , the only such  $c$  is  $c = a$ , so  $(a, b) \in R$ .

Finally suppose  $(a, b) \in R; I$ . Then there exists  $c \in S$  such that  $(a, c) \in R$  and  $(c, b) \in I$ . Again, from the definition of  $I$ , the only such  $c$  is  $c = b$ , so  $(a, b) \in R$ .

(c) This is true.

(d) This is false. Consider  $R_1 = \{(1, 2), (1, 3)\}$ ,  $R_2 = \{(2, 4)\}$  and  $R_3 = \{3, 4\}$ . Then we have  $R_2 \cap R_3 = \emptyset$ , so  $R_1; (R_2 \cap R_3) = \emptyset$ . On the other hand,  $(1, 4) \in R_1 : R_2$  and  $(1, 4) \in R_1; R_3$ , so  $(R_1; R_2) \cap (R_1; R_3)$  is non-empty.

### Discussion

For each question:

- Minor errors for small logical omissions (e.g. not showing that the counterexamples work)
- Major errors include only showing one “direction” of the equality (but correctly stating whether the statement is true/false); not giving a concrete counterexample (i.e. justification for false has ambiguity)
- Shows progress includes identifying if the statement is true/false without justification.