TIP 0004: Drop U32 Table

TIP	0004
authors:	Alan Szepieniec and Ferdinand Sauer
title:	Drop U32 Table
status:	superseded
created:	2022-09-24
issue tracker:	https://github.com/TritonVM/triton-vm/issues/8
pdf:	tip-0004.pdf

Abstract. For the purpose of U32 operations, this note proposes an alternative to relying on a separate table with corresponding table relations. It describes how the split instruction is powerful enough to simulate all other U32 operations, thus giving rise to a simpler design.

Introduction

The current design achieves U32 operations by first delegating it to the u32 coprocessor and then proving that the evolution that occurs (non-deterministically) in the Processor Table also occurs (but deterministically) in the U32 Table. While this design enables proving the correct computation of U32 operations without blowing up the cycle count, the additional Table and Table Relations constitute a formidable engineering task with equally formidable design complexity.

This note proposes an alternative way to prove the correct computation of U32 operations. It relies on the split instruction as the only operation not native to finite fields. To verify the bounded size of the resulting integers, their bit representations are nondeterministically guessed. All U32 operations can be simulated as intrinsics or pseudoinstruction whose expansion makes use of split and divining bits.

Split

The split instruction sends the opstack _ a to _ lo hi where lo and hi are both 32-bit integers and a == (hi << 32) + lo. This relation is enforced with the aid of two primitive arguments.

- 1. If hi is all ones, then lo must be zero. This constraint arises from the field whose "largest" element is 0xfffffffff00000000, and is enforced by the transition constraints $2^{32} \cdot st_0^* + st_1^* st_0$ and $((st_0^* 0xffffffff) \cdot hv_0 1) \cdot st_1^*$. The helper variable hv_0 is the inverse-or-zero of $st_0^* 0xffffffff$.
- 2. Both hi and lo must be 32-bit integers. This fact is enforced by a table-lookup argument. Looking these values up in the U32 Op Table suffices. However, this note tries to eliminate the U32 Op Table and so must provide an alternative way to establish the values' bounded size.

Bounded Size

The following program verifies that the argument \mathtt{a} consists of at most \mathtt{t} bits. The arguments are \mathtt{a} and \mathtt{t} , both supplied on the stack. Furthermore, it consumes the argument.

instruction	stack	comment
assert_bounded_size:		_ a t -> _ if a consists of no more than t bits
dup0	_ a t	
push 0	_ a t t	
eq	_ a t t 0	
skiz	_ a t t==0	
<pre>call remove_divined_bit</pre>	_ a t	jumps to (2)
pop	_ a t	(1)
push 0	_ a	
eq	_ a 0	
assert	_ a==0	crashes if a != 0
return	_	graceful return
remove_divined_bit:		(2)
push -1	_ a t	
add	_ a t -1	
swap1	_ a t-1	
divine	_ t-1 a	divine least significant bit of a
dup0	_ t-1 a b	
dup0	_ t-1 a b b	
dup0	_ t-1 a b b b	
mul	_ t-1 a b b b b	
eq	_ t-1 a b b b*b	
assert	_ t-1 a b b==b*b	crashes if b is not a bit
push -1	_ t-1 a b	
mul	_ t-1 a b -1	
add	_ t-1 a -b	
push 2	_ t-1 a-b	
inv	_ t-1 a-b 2	
mul	_ t-1 a-b 2^-1	
swap1	_ t-1 a>>1	
dup0	_ a>>1 t-1	
push 0	_ a>>1 t-1 t-1	
eq	_ a>>1 t-1 t-1 0	
skiz	_ a>>1 t-1 t-1==0	
return	_ a>>1 t-1	jumps to (1)
recurse	_ a>>1 t-1	jumps to (2)

Using this program as a subprocedure, it is possible to assert the bounded size of the two products of split. Specifically, the following pseudoinstruction is

functionally equivalent to ${\tt split}$ but additionally asserts that the two returned integers are no more than 32 bits in size.

instruction	stack		
split			
dup1	_ hi lo		
push 32	_ hi lo hi		
<pre>call assert_bounded_size</pre>	_ hi lo hi 32		
dup 0	_ hi lo		
push 32	_ hi lo lo		
<pre>call assert_bounded_size</pre>	_ hi lo lo 32		

U32 Operations

The following pseudo-instructions simulate a selection of standard u32 operations using split as a building block. It is assumed that the produced integers' bounds are asserted.

lte

instruction	stack
push -1 mul add split push 0 eq swap1	_ b a _ b a -1 _ b -a _ (b-a) _ lo hi _ lo hi ==0
pop	_ hi==0 lo _ hi==0

Where hi * (1 << 32) + lo == BFieldElement(b-a).

lt

instruction	stack
push 1	_ b a
add	_ b a 1
lte	_ b a+1
-	_ a+1<=b

. ,	
instruction	stack
divine	_ d n
dup2	_ d n q
dup1	_ d n q d
mul	$_{\mathtt{d}}$ d n q d q
dup2	_ d n q d*q
swap1	$_{\tt d}$ n q d*q n
push -1	$_{-}$ d n q n d*q
mul	_ d n q n d*q -1
add	_ d n q n -d*q
dup3	$_{\mathtt{d}}$ d n q r
dup1	$_{ extsf{d}}$ d n q r d
lt	_ d n q r d r
assert	_ d n q r r <d< td=""></d<>
swap2	$_{\mathtt{d}}$ d n q r
pop	$_{\mathtt{d}}$ drqn
swap2	_ d r q
pop	_ q r d
-	_ q r

Where n = q*d + r and $0 \le r \le d$.

Conclusion

It is possible to simulate U32 operations without relying on a U32 Table, but only at the expense of a longer execution trace in the Processor Table. The split operation has a relatively straightforward arithmetization (as was already known) but the need to assert that the resulting integers have a bounded size generates a significant overhead – some 1200 cycles.

Given access to a black box split operation that also asserts bounds on the produced integers, standard u32 operations like comparison or division are relatively straightforward.

While the simpler design resulting from dropping one table and its table relation arguments is a worthwhile goal, this note raises the question what cost a simpler design is allowed to generate. Simultaneously, it suggests that the current instruction set might not be conducive to dropping the U32 Table and, accordingly, that a modification to it might be more appropriate.