



PasswordStore Security Review

Prepared by: Vaibhav Sutar

Table of Contents

1. Disclaimer
2. Risk Classification
3. Protocol Summary
4. Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
5. Executive Summary
 - Issues Found
 - Tools Used
6. Findings
 - High Risk
 - H-1: Storing the Password On-Chain Makes it Visible to Anyone
 - H-2: PasswordStore::setPassword Lacks Access Controls, Allowing Non-Owners to Change the Password
 - Informational
 - I-1: The PasswordStore::getPassword NatSpec Indicates a Non-Existent Parameter, Causing Incorrect Documentation

Disclaimer

The Vaibhav Sutar audit team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed, and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

Severity	Description
High	Bugs leading to assets theft, fund access locking, or any other loss of funds to be transferred to any party.
Medium	Bugs that can break the intended contract logic or expose it to DoS attacks, but do not cause direct loss of funds.
Low	Low impact and low/medium likelihood events where assets are not at risk.

Severity	Description
Informational	Bugs that do not have a significant immediate impact and could be easily fixed.

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to the storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond to the following commit hash:

7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566

Scope

src/PasswordStore.sol

Roles

- **Owner:** The user who can set the password and read the password.
- **Outsiders:** No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

Issues Found

Severity	Findings
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Informational	1
Gas Optimization	0
Total	3

Tools Used

No tools were used. It was discovered through manual inspection of the contract.

Findings

High Risk

H-1: Storing the Password On-Chain Makes it Visible to Anyone

Description: All data stored on-chain in a smart contract is publicly visible and can be read directly from the blockchain. The `PasswordStore::s_password` variable is intended to be a private variable only accessible through the `PasswordStore::getPassword` function, which is intended to be called only by the contract owner. However, due to the nature of blockchain storage, this is not enforced.

Impact: Anyone can read the private password, severely compromising the functionality of the protocol. This undermines the intended security and privacy features.

Proof of Concept:

The following demonstrates how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain, bypassing the intended access controls. We use Foundry's `cast` tool to read directly from the contract's storage, without requiring ownership.

Steps:

1. Create a locally running chain:

```
make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain:

```
make deploy
```

3. Read the storage slot: Use `cast storage` to read the storage slot where the password is stored. We use `1` because that's the storage slot of `s_password` in the contract (Note: Storage slot can vary. Consult the compiler output to find the slot).

```
cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```



```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {  
  //@audit any user can set password  
  s_password = newPassword;  
  emit SetNetPassword();  
}
```

Impact: Any user can change the contract's password, severely compromising its intended functionality.

Proof of Concept:

Add the following test to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test file.

```
{  
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public{  
  vm.assume (randomAddress != owner);  
  vm.prank(randomAddress);  
  string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";  
  passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);  
  
  vm.prank(owner);  
  string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();  
  assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);  
}
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control check to the `setPassword` function.

```
{  
if (msg.sender != s_owner) {  
  revert PasswordStore_NotOwner();  
}
```

Informational

I-1: The PasswordStore::getPassword NatSpec Indicates a Non-Existent Parameter, Causing Incorrect Documentation

Description:

The NatSpec documentation for the `PasswordStore::getPassword` function includes a `@param newPassword` tag, indicating that the function accepts a parameter named `newPassword`. However, the function signature `getPassword() external view returns (string memory)` shows that it takes no parameters. This discrepancy causes the NatSpec to be incorrect and

misleading.

```
/*  
 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.  
 * @param newPassword The new password to set.  
 */  
function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The `PasswordStore::getPassWord` function signature is `getPassword()` which the natspec says it should be `getPassword(string)`.

Impact: The incorrect NatSpec can mislead developers using or interacting with the contract, leading to confusion about the function's intended use and potential errors.

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect `@param newPassword` line from the NatSpec documentation.

```
- * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

This will ensure that the NatSpec accurately reflects the function's actual signature.