Forwarded message
From: W. Eric Saraniecki egizidigizals
Date: Tue, Ot 8, 2024 of 12:44 PM
Subject: Re: CIP 0024 and CIP 0025
To: Clarisse Hagege «Subrisse@dfm.co"
C: Chris Zuelike <zguelnike@dmandelin
Bassini <hassan@nimacae.com". Aman m>, Neelakantan, Prakash «<u>Prakash Neelakantan@troadridga.co</u>m», Fernando Vazquez «<u>fernando vazquez@fisibidah.com</u>», liya Brovkin «<u>liya Brovkin@copee.co</u>», James Lang «<u>james@i</u> «<u>sman.gova@sbiseccol.com</u>», Joshua Frank «<u>frank@thetle.ic</u>», Justin Peterson «<u>Justin Peterson@tradeweb.com</u>», Veronica Augustson «<u>veronica@tridge.com</u>» Wonderful - these CIPs pass! I'll speak to the Tech & Ops committee about how to persist this vote in the GSF and ensure everyone is aware Thanks everyone for feedback and votes On Sun, Oct 6, 2024 at 12:54 PM Clarisse Hagege < clarisse@dfns.co > wrote:

| Dfns votes in favor of both CIP 0024 and CIP 0025. Clarisse Hagège
CEO & Cofounder
dha.co | Linkedin | Book a meeting On Sat, Oct 5, 2024 at 12:01 AM Chris Zuehlke <<u>czuehlke@drivwholdings.com</u>> wrote: DRW/Cumberland votes in favor of both CIP 0024 and CIP 0025. From: W. Eric Saraniecki 'eric@doitulisaset.com' Sent: Friday, October 4, 2024 2:09 PM To: Neelakantan, Prakash 'Prakash Neelakantan@br Ce: Fernando Vazquez 'elemando vazquez@bladiah o 'elessan@iniesec.com'; Arnan Goyal 'eleman goyali' Subject: [ext] Re: CIP 0024 and CIP 0025 itosaldolla.com? noom! 1yk Broken (<u>yn. Broken) Goossacco</u>? Clariss Happer <u>statisse@dms.co</u>? Chris Zuehle «zouehle@DRVH-bidring.com?, James Lang <u>stati</u> <u>distribuscal.com</u>?, Jeahua Frank statiss@dms.com. Justin Peterson <u>Statis Peterson@tradewsb.com</u>?, Weronca Augustisson <u>statissica@ifdes.com</u> Ok wonderful - that's 8 in favor - anyone up for being the deciding vote today? Broadridge votes in favor of CIP 0024 and CIP 0025 -Prakash From W. Err. Savarieoli specificialistated compDate: Tridge, Cotton et al., 2018 et d'écht.
Date: Tridge, Cotton et al., 2018 et al., 201 2 votes in favor, anyone else care to weigh in yay/nay ? On Oct 4, 2024, at 6:03 AM, Veronica Augustsson <<u>veronica@7ridge.com</u>> wrob Also voting in favour of CIP248 From: W. Eric Stannicol: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/j.j.com/10.1001/j.com/10.100 Thank you Veronica - still debating CIP 0024 ? DA also votes in favor of CIP 0025 On Oct 4, 2024, at 5:59 AM, Veronica Augustsson <veronica@7ridge.com> wrote: Thank you first 78/DGE value in favour of CIP25 Kind Regards Veronica Great - thank you - if you're in favor after sleeping on it, please feel free to cast the first vote and get the ball rolling On Thu, Oct 3, 2024 at 2:22 PM Veronica Augustsson weronica@7ridge.cs
Thank you Eric. This helps a lot. I prefer your updated CIP 25.
Ill revert by tomorrow if I have any additional suggestions or comments. Kind Regards, Veronica From: W. Eric Saraniecki sent: Thursday, 3 October 2024 18:34
To: Veronica Augustsson seconica@editalge.com
Co: Fernando Vazquez seconica@editalge.com
saraniecki.genich.com
<a href="m nezacza:

Rigibidish com: Nedakantan, Prakiat x3138 «<u>Prakiat Nedakantan@broadridga.com</u>»; liya Brovkin <u>«Iva.brovkin@cocper.co</u>»; Clarisse Hagege «<u>starisse@dfins.co</u>»; Chris Zuehlke «<u>czuehlke</u> Rigibidish com: Nedakantan, Prakiat x3138 «<u>Prakiat Nedakana@broadridga.com</u>»; liya Brovkin «<u>Iva.brovkin@cocper.co</u>»; Clarisse Hagege «<u>starisse@dfins.co</u>»; Chris Zuehlke «<u>czuehlke</u> Rigibidish com: Nedakantan, Prakiat x3138 «<u>Prakiat Nedakana@broadridga.com</u>»; liya Brovkin «<u>Prakiat Nedakantan</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan, Prakiat x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana@broadridga.com</u>»; liya Brovkin «<u>Prakiat Nedakantan</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan, Prakiat x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana@broadridga.com</u>»; liya Brovkin «<u>Prakiat Nedakantan</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan, Prakiat x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana@broadridga.com</u>»; liya Brovkin «<u>Prakiat Nedakantan</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan, Prakiat x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana@broadridga.com</u>»; liya Brovkin «<u>Prakiat Nedakantan</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan, Prakiat x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan, Prakiat x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan, Prakiat x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan, Prakiat x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana</u>"; Debe (

Rigibidish com); Nedakantan x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana</u>"; Nedakantan x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana"</u>; Nedakantan x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana"</u>; Nedakantan x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana"</u>; Nedakanan x328 «<u>Yrakiat Nedakana"</u>; Nedakantan x328 «<u>Yrakiat Neda</u> I'm assuming you're asking about the changes presented from CIP 0007 to CIP 0024? So these changes clarified to say:
* Once the app has completed its first, on MainNet, transaction with a customer
* This proposal shows a split between the introducing party and the app Further, this proposal extends the timeline 6 months for 2 primary reasons:

1. The original launch date moved back sufficiently to warrant at least a 3 month extension

2. The launch of the GSF Tokenomics Working Group took even longer still I've already received private feedback about the allocation of the referral reward. That person version of the CIP to account for this feedback. ward should not be split between the referring party and the app since the app will earn app rewards based on its activities. I do agree with this feedback and am attaching a revised Veronica - please let me know how I can better answer your question. On Wed, Oct 2, 2024 at 11:09 AM Veronica Augustsson «<u>veronica@7ridge.com</u>» wrote: I am not sure I ur rstand the consequences of the change. Could you please give a "practical example"? VERONICA AUGUST / PARTNER SSON From: W. Eric Sarministis regio displainant comp:
Sear Erically, 27 September 2024, 222.
Sear Er Forgot to attach CIP 0007 for reference On Fri, Sep 27, 2024 at 4:30 PM W. Eric Saraniecki seric@digitalasset.com> wrote: Please give a warm welcome to the GSF Super Validator rep, Fernando Vazquezi By my count, the addition of the GSF to this group now makes 13 voting SVs in the CIP process meaning we need to hit 9 votes for any CIP to pass. As some of you are aware, the SVs recently formed the FAVC (a committee for reviewing Featured App and Validator candidates) and we have had our first two meetings. In those meetings, we have voided to approve appe to the Featured last and also approved a number of Validators to join the Network. We have also since had our first GSF Tokenomics Working Group meeting. Along those lines, the FAV-C is recommending that it formship become a committee at the GSF as opposed to a pure SV-led committee. The FAV-C believes that everyone would benefit from having these deliberations within GSF and, in particular, to include the committee of the responsibilities of the FAV-C to the Working Group. Before the formation of the Working Group, the FAV-C was struggling with allotting the referral awards as described in CIP-0007, I've attached CIPs 0006 and 0007 to this email as reference Because the Network lounched later than anticipated at the time of CP-2007's approval, it's not really fair to the parties spinning up the apps and Validators to hold a stoct July 1 deadline for the referral bonuses. Many parties were hit by the code and topology freezes of MainNet Staging, Similarly, with the pace at which we have been able to get the Working Group up and on its feet, these incentives have not been well understood or shared across the participants. Rather than make a subjective decision as a FAV-C, we decided to raise a CIP to officially amend CIP-0007's dates and clarify what it means to be 'in the Network' by a certain date. Please see the attached CIP-0024 with the revisions. If CIP-0024 passes, it will supersede CIP-0007.

Thank you for the quick responses!