

Introduction to Algebraic Topology PSET 7

Nilay Kumar

Last updated: March 24, 2014

Proposition 1. *Hatcher exercise 2.1.1*

Proof. The Δ -complex obtained from the 2-simplex $[v_0, v_1, v_2]$ with edges $[v_0, v_1]$ and $[v_1, v_2]$ identified with order preserved is just a cone $C(S^1)$. \square

Proposition 2. *Hatcher exercise 2.1.5*

Proof. Let us compute the simplicial homology groups of the Klein bottle using the Δ -complex structure K described on page 102 of Hatcher. It's clear that there is one 0-simplex (v), three 1-simplices (a, b, c), and two 2-simplices (U, L). We obtain the chain complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{Z}^3 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0$$

with $\partial_0 v = 0$, $\partial_1 a = \partial_1 b = \partial_1 c = 0$, and $\partial_2 U = a + b - c$, $\partial_2 L = a - b + c$. The Klein bottle is path-connected and hence $H_0^\Delta(K) = \mathbb{Z}$. Next note that $\ker \partial_2 = 0$ as no linear combination of U and L will map to 0 under ∂_2 , and thus $H_2^\Delta(K) = 0$. Computing $H_1^\Delta(K)$ is a bit more tedious: $H_1^\Delta(K) = \ker \partial_1 / \text{im } \partial_2 = \langle a, b, c \rangle / \langle a + b - c, a - b + c \rangle$. We can simplify this as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle a, b, c \rangle}{\langle a + b - c, a - b + c \rangle} &= \frac{\langle a - b + c, b, c \rangle}{\langle a + b - c, a - b + c \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle b, c \rangle}{\langle 2b - 2c \rangle} = \frac{\langle b - c, c \rangle}{\langle 2(b - c) \rangle} = \frac{\langle d, c \rangle}{\langle 2d \rangle} \\ &= \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the non-trivial simplicial homology groups are $H_0^\Delta(K) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_1^\Delta(K) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. \square

Proposition 3. *Find a Δ -complex structure for the orientable surface of genus two and compute the simplicial homology groups of this Δ -complex.*

Proof. Consider the Δ -complex Σ_2 obtained by taking an octagon (with sides identified appropriately) and drawing segments from a fixed vertex to the other vertices (see figure). This yields one 0-simplex (v), nine 1-simplices (a, \dots, i), and six 2-simplices (α, \dots, ζ) and the chain complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \mathbb{Z}^6 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{Z}^9 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0.$$

Clearly $H_0^\Delta(\Sigma_2) = \mathbb{Z}$. More tedious is $H_1^\Delta(\Sigma_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1^\Delta(\Sigma_2) &= \frac{\ker \partial_1}{\text{im } \partial_2} \\
&= \frac{\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i \rangle}{\langle d + c - e, f + d - e, g + c - f, g + b - h, h + a - i, a + b - i \rangle} \\
&= \frac{\langle b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i \rangle}{\langle c + d - e, d - e + f, c - f + g, b + g - h, h - b \rangle} \\
&= \frac{\langle b, c, d, e, f, g, i \rangle}{\langle c + d - e, d - e + f, c - f + g, g \rangle} = \frac{\langle b, c, d, e, f, i \rangle}{\langle c + d - e, d - e + f, c - f \rangle} \\
&= \frac{\langle b, c, d, e, i \rangle}{\langle c + d - e, c + d - e \rangle} = \frac{\langle b, c, d, e, i \rangle}{\langle c + d - e \rangle} \\
&= \mathbb{Z}^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, $H_2^\Delta(\Sigma_2) = \ker \partial_2 / \text{im } \partial_3 = \ker \partial_2$. It is straightforward to check that $\ker \partial_2 = \langle \alpha - \beta - \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon - \zeta \rangle$ (one can read this off of the figure via the orientations of the 2-simplices). Hence the non-trivial simplicial homology groups are $H_0^\Delta(\Sigma_2) = \mathbb{Z}, H_1^\Delta(\Sigma_2) = \mathbb{Z}^4, H_2^\Delta(\Sigma_2) = \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Proposition 4. *Find a Δ -complex structure for S^3 and compute the simplicial homology groups of this Δ -complex.*

Proof. Consider the Δ -complex of S^3 given by identifying (in the obvious way) all the faces of two 3-simplices T_1 and T_2 . We obtain the chain complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial_4} \mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \mathbb{Z}^4 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{Z}^6 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{Z}^4 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0.$$

Clearly $H_0^\Delta(S^3) = H_1^\Delta(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}$. Next, note that

$$\partial_1 a = y - x, \partial_1 b = z - y, \partial_1 c = z - x, \partial_1 d = w - x, \partial_1 e = w - y, \partial_1 f = w - z,$$

and

$$\partial_2 \alpha = a - d + e, \partial_2 \beta = b - e + f, \partial_2 \gamma = c - d + f, \partial_2 \delta = a + b - c,$$

and

$$\partial_3 T_1 = \partial_3 T_2 = \alpha + \beta - \gamma - \delta.$$

We can check that $\ker \partial_1$ is generated by $-c + d - f, -b + e - f, -a + d - e, a + b - c$, and that these are precisely generate $\text{im } \partial_2$. Hence $H_1^\Delta(S^3) = 0$. Next, note that $\ker \partial_2$ is generated by $\alpha + \beta - \gamma - \delta$, which is precisely $\text{im } \partial_3$, and thus $H_2^\Delta(S^3) = 0$ as well. \square

Proposition 5. *Hatcher exercise 2.1.8*

Proof. Denote by L the given Δ -complex. Clearly $H_0^\Delta(L) = \mathbb{Z}$. To find the first homology group, note that there are two 1-simplices in L that are always present, independent of n , call them b and c . There are n other 1-simplices, denote them by a_1, \dots, a_n . It is easy to see that since there are only two unique vertices, we must have

$$\ker \partial_1 = \langle a_i - a_{i+1}, b, c \rangle$$

for all i except for n (as otherwise we would obtain a linear dependence). Then, applying ∂_2 to the 2-simplices we obtain

$$\text{im } \partial_2 = \langle a_i - a_{i+1} + b, a_{i+1} - a_i + c, nb \rangle = \langle a_i - a_{i+1} + b, b + c, nb \rangle$$

where again i excludes n due to the dependence (which we have explicitly written here as $nb = 0$). If we now change basis of our free \mathbb{Z} -module $\ker \partial_1$ appropriately, we find that

$$H_1^\Delta(L) = \frac{\langle b, c \rangle}{\langle b + c, nb \rangle} = \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Now, $H_2^\Delta = \ker \partial_2 / \text{im } \partial_3$, and it is easy to see that both numerator and denominator are precisely $V_{i+1} - V_i + H_i - H_{i+1}$ where the V 's are the vertical 2-simplices, and the H 's are the slanted 2-simplices. Hence $H_2^\Delta = 0$. Finally, note that $H_3^\Delta(L) = \ker \partial_3$, which is of course just the appropriate alternating sum of the 3-simplices, and hence $H_3^\Delta(L) = \mathbb{Z}$. \square