

# Hartshorne Solutions

Nilay Kumar

Last updated: February 14, 2014

## Problem 3.1

- (a) By the results of problem 1.1, we know that any conic in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  can be written as either a variety  $Y$  defined by  $y - x^2 = 0$  or a variety  $Z$  defined by  $xy - 1 = 0$ . We know that  $A(Y) = k[x]$  and  $A(Z) = k[x, x^{-1}]$ . Note that  $A(Y) \cong A(\mathbb{A}^1)$ , and hence by Corollary 3.7,  $Y \cong \mathbb{A}^1$  as affine varieties. It remains to show that  $Z$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ .
- (b) Let  $B$  be a proper open subset of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . By definition of the Zariski topology, we can write  $B = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  where  $p_i$  are a finite set of points in  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . The ring of regular functions of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^1) = k[x]$ . In  $B$ , however, polynomials that vanish only at any of the  $p_i$  are globally invertible, and hence  $\mathcal{O}(B) = k[x, (x - p_1)^{-1}, \dots, (x - p_n)^{-1}]$ . These two rings are not isomorphic.
- (c) In the projective plane, we can write a conic as  $F(x, y, z) = ax^2 + 2bxy + 2cxz + dy^2 + 2eyz + fz^2$ , which can be rewritten under an appropriate change of variables as  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ . Hence every conic in the projective plane is isomorphic, and it will suffice to show that there exists a conic that is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . This is done by noting that the 2-uple of  $\rho_2 : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is an isomorphism onto its image (c.f. problem 3.4), and that

$$\rho_2(a_0, a_1) = (a_0^2, a_0a_1, a_1^2),$$

which clearly traces out a conic  $xz = y^2$ .

- (d) This is obvious from the cell decomposition  $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{A}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{A}^1 \sqcup \mathbb{A}^0$  – one cannot construct a bijection between  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .
- (e) If an affine variety  $X$  is isomorphic to a projective variety  $Y$ , then we must have that  $\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}(Y) = k$ . But for  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(X) = k$ ,  $I(X)$  must be maximal. Hence  $I(X) = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ , i.e.  $X$  is just a point.

## Problem 3.14

- (a) Note first that  $\phi$  is continuous, as the preimage of any closed subset  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is the projective cone  $\overline{C(V)}$ , which is closed in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ . Furthermore, the point at which the line connecting any  $Q$  and  $P$  to the hypersurface (choose  $x_0 = 0$  without loss of generality) is given by

$$\phi(Q) = [Q_1 - \frac{Q_0 P_1}{P_0} : \dots : Q_{n+1} - \frac{Q_0 P_{n+1}}{P_0}],$$

where  $P_i$  and  $Q_i$ , are the  $i$ th components of  $P$  and  $Q$ , respectively (the coordinates are written as for a point in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ). It is easy to see that  $\phi$  pulls back regular functions to regular functions: given  $g/h : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow k$ ,  $g(\phi(Q))/h(\phi(Q))$  is regular as well, since inserting  $\phi(Q)$  (as above) will retain homogeneity as well as keep the denominator non-zero (as  $h$  has no zeroes).

why

- (b) The twisted cubic is given parametrically by  $[x : y : z : w] = [t^3 : t^2u : tu^2 : u^3]$ . We wish to project from  $P = [0 : 0 : 1 : 0]$  onto the hyperplane  $z = 0$ . This yields the points  $[t^3 : t^2u : u^3] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ . Note that these points satisfy the equation  $x_0^2x_2 - x_1^3 = 0$ . But this is precisely the projective closure of the cuspidal cubic  $y^3 = x^2$ .

### Problem 3.15

- (a) Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^m$  be affine varieties. Consider the product  $X \times Y \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+m}$  with the induced Zariski topology. Suppose that  $X \times Y$  is a union of two closed subsets  $Z_1 \cup Z_2$ . Let  $X_i = \{x \in X \mid x \times Y \subset Z_i\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . The irreducibility of  $Y$  guarantees that  $X_1 \cup X_2 = X$ : if there were an  $x$  for which  $x \times Y$  were not contained in a  $Z_i$ , this would yield a covering of  $Y$  by closed sets  $Z_1 \cap Y, Z_2 \cap Y$ . Furthermore, the  $X_i$  must be closed. Hence either  $X_1 = X$  or  $X_2 = X$  and thus  $Z_1 = X \times Y$  or  $Z_2 = X \times Y$ , i.e.  $X \times Y$  is irreducible.
- (b) Consider the map  $A(X) \otimes_k A(Y) \rightarrow A(X \times Y)$  given by taking  $(f \otimes g)(x, y)$  to  $f(x)g(y)$ . This map is clearly onto, as it produces the coordinate functions  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ .

why