

# Lie Groups PSET 6

Nilay Kumar

Last updated: December 12, 2013

## Problem 1

Let  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_4\mathbb{C}$  be the subalgebra consisting of matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} aJ & \\ & bJ \end{pmatrix}$  for  $J = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . We wish to show that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We first show that the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Recall first that  $\mathfrak{so}_4\mathbb{C}$  consists of antisymmetric matrices. The centralizer of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the maximal subalgebra  $C(\mathfrak{h})$  that commutes with  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let us solve for the centralizer. Let  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  be an element of  $C(\mathfrak{h})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \begin{pmatrix} aJ & \\ & bJ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} aJ & \\ & bJ \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a[J, A] & aJB - bBJ \\ bJC - aCJ & b[J, D] \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

But  $A$  and  $D$  must be multiples of  $J$  as the whole matrix must be in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and hence the diagonal vanishes. By antisymmetry, it now suffices to solve  $aJB - bBJ = 0$  for  $B$ . This requires that

$$\begin{pmatrix} ab_3 + bb_2 & ab_4 - bb_1 \\ -ab_1 + bb_4 & -ab_2 - bb_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

which forces  $B = 0$  if this holds for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence we see that  $C(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$ . It now suffices to show that every element of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is semisimple, i.e. that we can obtain a root space decomposition.

Let  $H_j = \begin{pmatrix} & -h_j \\ h_j & \end{pmatrix}$  and  $H_{jk} = \begin{pmatrix} H_j & \\ & H_k \end{pmatrix}$ . Define  $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Finally, take  $X = \begin{pmatrix} & M \\ -M^\top & \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} & N \\ -N^\top & \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} & P \\ -P^\top & \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $W = \begin{pmatrix} & Q \\ -Q^\top & \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [H_{jk}, X] &= i(h_j - h_k)X \\ [H_{jk}, Y] &= i(h_j + h_k)Y \\ [H_{jk}, Z] &= i(h_k - h_j)X \\ [H_{jk}, W] &= -i(h_j + h_k)Y, \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain a root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_X \oplus \mathfrak{g}_Y \oplus \mathfrak{g}_Z \oplus \mathfrak{g}_W.$$

## Problem 2

(a) Recall that for  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  we took

$$(\beta, \alpha) = \langle \beta, H_\alpha \rangle = (H_\beta, H_\alpha).$$

We define the coroot  $\alpha^\vee$  such that

$$\alpha^\vee = \frac{2H_\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$$

and hence we find that

$$(\alpha^\vee, \beta^\vee) = \frac{4}{(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta)}(\alpha, \beta)$$

and that

$$\langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle = \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}.$$

Note that the inner product induced on the coroots is simply a positive multiple of the original inner product and hence it's clear that the coroots span  $E^*$ . Furthermore,  $n_{\alpha^\vee \beta^\vee}$  is integral:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\alpha^\vee \beta^\vee} &= \frac{2(\alpha^\vee, \beta^\vee)}{(\beta^\vee, \beta^\vee)} \\ &= \frac{2}{(\beta, \beta)} \frac{4(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{4} \\ &= \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is integral as  $\alpha, \beta$  are roots. Next we check that the coroots are closed under reflection. We define the reflection to act as

$$s_{\alpha^\vee}(\beta^\vee) = \beta^\vee - \frac{2(\alpha^\vee, \beta^\vee)}{(\alpha^\vee, \alpha^\vee)}\alpha^\vee,$$

and wish to show that  $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\beta^\vee) \in R^\vee$ . To do this, we use the definition of the coroot, i.e. we check for arbitrary  $\gamma \in R$  (skipping a few steps):

$$\langle \gamma, s_{\alpha^\vee}(\beta^\vee) \rangle = \frac{2(\gamma, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)} - \frac{4(\alpha, \beta)(\alpha, \gamma)}{(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta)}.$$

We claim that this is simply  $\langle \gamma, (s_\alpha(\beta))^\vee \rangle$ , which will show that the coroots are indeed closed under reflection:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma, (s_\alpha(\beta))^\vee \rangle &= \frac{2(\gamma, s_\alpha(\beta))}{(s_\alpha(\beta), s_\alpha(\beta))} \\ &= \frac{2(\beta, \gamma)(\alpha, \alpha) - 4(\alpha, \beta)(\alpha, \gamma)}{(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta)} \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely what we had above. Hence we see that the coroots in fact form a root system.

(b) Now let  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subset R$  be the set of simple roots. We wish to show that the set  $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee\} \subset R^\vee$  is the set of simple roots of  $R^\vee$ . First note that given a  $t \in E$  with  $(t, \alpha) \neq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in R$  we obtain the set of positive roots  $\lambda: (\lambda, t) > 0$ . We claim that  $t^\vee$

yields a choice of positive coroots. This is simply because  $(t^\vee, \alpha^\vee) > 0$  if  $(t, \alpha)$  is (by the inner product defined above). Now note that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_+ &= \{ \lambda^\vee \in E^* \mid (\lambda^\vee, \alpha^\vee) > 0 \ \forall \alpha^\vee \in R_+^\vee \} \\
&= \left\{ \lambda^\vee \in E^* \mid \frac{4}{(\alpha, \alpha)(\lambda, \lambda)} (\alpha, \lambda) > 0 \right\} \\
&= \{ \lambda^\vee \in E^* \mid (\lambda, \alpha) > 0 \ \forall \alpha \in R_+ \} \\
&= \{ \lambda^\vee \in E^* \mid (\lambda, \alpha) > 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Pi \} \\
&= \{ \lambda^\vee \in E^* \mid (\lambda^\vee, \alpha^\vee) > 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Pi \}
\end{aligned}$$

and since there are  $r$  such coroots (by dimensionality) we obtain a set of simple coroots  $\Pi^\vee$ .

### Problem 3

- (a) Let  $R$  be a reduced root system of rank 2, with simple roots  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ . By Kirillov Lemma 7.39, we know that the longest element of the Weyl group is the  $w_0 \in W$  such that  $w_0(C_+) = -C_+$ . This is also geometrically rather obvious for the rank 2 case. Of course, since we know that reflections via simple roots moves a Weyl chamber to one adjacent to it, it will take precisely  $m$  reflections to move  $C_+$  to  $-C_+$ , where each angle is  $\pi/m$ . And of course, the reflection must be a product of  $s_1 s_2 s_1 \dots$  as  $s_1^2 = s_2^2 = 1$ . This proves the result for the rank 2 systems.
- (b) The first Coxeter relation  $s_i^2 = 1$  is obvious simply by the properties of transpositions. We now wish to show that  $(s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$  where  $m_{ij}$  is determined by the angle between  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$  in the same way as the previous part.

### Problem 4

- (a) Consider a complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a root space decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$  where  $\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . It is obvious that  $\mathfrak{n}_\pm$  are nilpotent, as the commutator  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$  and hence, in the  $\mathfrak{n}_+$  case, successive commutators will keep increasing the root, but since the root system is finite, there is an upper bound, and hence we must obtain zero. The same argument holds for  $\mathfrak{n}_-$  but with a lower bound.
- (b) If we instead consider  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ , we find that  $\mathfrak{b}$  is in fact solvable. To see this, note that any two elements of  $\mathfrak{b}$  can be written  $b_1 = e_1 + h_1, b_2 = e_2 + h_2$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
[b_1, b_2] &= [e_1, e_1] + [h_1, e_2] + [e_1, h_2] \\
&= [e_1, e_2] + a_{12}e_2 - a_{21}e_1,
\end{aligned}$$

but now further applications of commutators with elements of  $\mathfrak{b}$  will simply yield commutators of  $e_i$  with  $e_j$  and hence by part (a) above, we are done.

### Problem 5

Let  $G$  be a connected complex Lie group such that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple. Fix a root decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

- (a) Choose  $\alpha \in R$  and let  $i_\alpha : \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be the embedding constructed in Kirillov Lemma 6.42. By Kirillov Theorem 3.41, this embedding can be lifted to a morphism  $i_\alpha : SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G$ . Let

$$S_\alpha = i_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \exp \left( \frac{\pi}{2} (f_\alpha - e_\alpha) \right) \in G.$$

Then  $\text{Ad } S_\alpha(h_\alpha) = -h_\alpha$  because

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ad } S_\alpha(h_\alpha) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \left( i_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} e^{ti_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} i_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \left( i_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} i_\alpha \left( e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) i_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} i_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t} & \\ & e^t \end{pmatrix} \\
&= i_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} = h_\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

Note also that if  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  with  $\langle h, \alpha \rangle = 0$ , then, by the Serre relations, since

$$[h_i, e_j] = a_{ij}e_j \text{ and } [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij}f_j$$

where  $a_{ij} = n_{\alpha_j, \alpha_i} = \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle$ , which is zero in our case, and hence  $h$  commutes with  $S_\alpha = \exp(\pi/2(f_\alpha - e_\alpha))$  and thus we find that the adjoint action simply yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ad } S_\alpha(h) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \left( S_\alpha e^{th} S_\alpha^{-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} e^{th} = h,
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. This naturally induces the action on the dual, and hence we see that the action of  $S_\alpha$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  preserves  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  and that the restriction of  $\text{Ad } S_\alpha$  to  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  coincides with the reflection  $s_\alpha$ .

- (b) An element of the Weyl group can, in general, be written as a product of  $s_{\alpha_i}$  where the  $\alpha_i$  are simple roots. By part (a) above we know that the action of  $\text{Ad } S_\alpha$  (when restricted to  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ ) coincides with the reflection  $s_\alpha$ , and hence if  $w$  is written as a product of simple reflections, we may simply compose multiple adjoint actions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ad } S_\alpha \circ \text{Ad } S_\beta &= s_\alpha \circ s_\beta \\
\text{Ad } (S_\alpha S_\beta) &= s_\alpha \circ s_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the homomorphism property of the Adjoint representation. Hence, for cases higher than 2, i.e. when  $w$  is written as a product of  $n$  simple reflections, we can simply use the homomorphism property to find the element in  $G$  that acts as  $w$ .