Lie Groups PSET 2

Nilay Kumar

Last updated: October 11, 2013

Proposition 1. Kirillov 2.15

Proof. Let us first show that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{H}}\mathbb{H}^n$ is naturally identified with the algebra of $n\times n$ quaternionic matrices. Let $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{H}^n over \mathbb{H} (the orthonormality condition will be useful later). Then we may write any $\vec{h} = \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}$, as we are considering \mathbb{H}^n as a right \mathbb{H} -module. Applying an endomorphism A, we find that $A(\vec{h}) = A(\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} A(e_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} A(e_{\alpha}) h_{\alpha}$. Denoting the β component of $A(e_{\alpha})$ by the quaternion $A_{\beta\alpha}$, we can rewrite

$$A(\vec{h}) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} e_{\beta} A_{\beta\alpha} h_{\alpha}.$$

From this, it is clear that the endomorphism is characterized precisely by this $n \times n$ matrix $A_{\beta\alpha}$ of quaternions.

Now let us define an \mathbb{H} -valued form on \mathbb{H}^n given by

$$(\vec{h}, \vec{h}') = \sum_{i} \bar{h}_i h_i'$$

and let $U(n, \mathbb{H})$ be the group of unitary quaternionic transformations:

$$U(n,\mathbb{H}) = \left\{ A \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{H}} \mathbb{H}^n | (A\vec{h}, A\vec{h}') = (\vec{h}, \vec{h}') \right\}.$$

It's clear that $U(n, \mathbb{H})$ is indeed a group - composing two transformations yields another such transformation, and the identity is contained in the group. The inverses are given by just the matrix inverses (that clearly exist because no $A \in U(n, \mathbb{H})$ has determinant 0):

$$(\vec{h}, \vec{h}') = (AA^{-1}\vec{h}, AA^{-1}\vec{h}') = (A^{-1}\vec{h}, A^{-1}\vec{h}').$$

Using the identification of endomorphisms with matrices that we established in the previous paragraph, let us find a matrix characterization for elements of $U(n, \mathbb{H})$:

$$(A\vec{h}, A\vec{h}') = \left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta} e_{\beta} A_{\beta\alpha} h_{\alpha}, \sum_{\gamma, \delta} e_{\gamma} A_{\gamma\delta} h'_{\delta}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\beta, \gamma} (e_{\beta}, e_{\gamma}) \left(\overline{\sum_{\alpha} A_{\beta\alpha} h_{\alpha}}\right) \left(\sum_{\delta} A_{\gamma\delta} h'_{\delta}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \delta} \overline{A_{\beta\alpha} h_{\alpha}} A_{\beta\delta} h'_{\delta} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \delta} \overline{h_{\alpha}} \cdot \overline{A_{\beta\alpha}} A_{\beta\delta} h'_{\delta}.$$

But this is precisely (\vec{h}, \vec{h}') if and only if the middle terms sum to the identity, i.e. $A^*A = 1$.

Define now a map $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{H}^n$ given by $(z_1, \ldots, z_{2n}) \mapsto (z_1 + jz_{n+1}, \ldots, z_n + jz_{2n})$. If we treat \mathbb{H}^n as a complex vector space via the scalar multiplication $z(h_1, \ldots, h_n) = (h_1z, \ldots, h_nz)$, ϕ is in fact an isomorphism of complex vector spaces. To see this, it suffices to show that ϕ is injective, but this is obvious because $z_i + jz_{n+1} = a_i + ib_i + ja_{n+i} - kb_{n+i} = 0$ implies that the $a_i = a_{n+i} = b_i = b_{n+i} = 0$, and hence that $z_i = z_{n+i} = 0$, i.e. $\ker \phi = \{\vec{0}\}$. Next let us show that this isomorphism identifies $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{H}} \mathbb{H}^n$ with $\{A \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{2n} | \bar{A} = J^{-1}AJ\}$ where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First note that the map $\vec{h} \mapsto \vec{h}j$ is identified with $\vec{z} \mapsto J\vec{z}$, because quaternionic multiplication by j is equivalent to multiplying $(z_i + jz_{n+i})j = z_ij + jz_{n+i}j = -\bar{z}_{n+i} + j\bar{z}_i$.

Under the identification $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{H}^n$ above, the quaternionic form simplifies as follows. Let $h_i = z_i + jz_{n+i}$ and $h'_i = z'_i + jz'_{n+1}$. Then, by definition,

$$\begin{split} (\vec{h}, \vec{h}') &= \sum_{l} (\bar{z}_{l} + \overline{j} z_{n+l}) (z'_{l} + j z'_{n+l}) \\ &= \sum_{l} \left(\bar{z}_{l} z'_{l} + \bar{z}_{n+l} z'_{n+l} - \bar{z}_{n+l} j z'_{l} + \bar{z}_{l} j z'_{n+l} \right). \end{split}$$

Anti-commuting the j and factoring it out of the last two terms, we see that the first term is the usual Hermitian inner product and the last terms are the standard bilinear skew-symmetric form in \mathbb{C}^{2n} (multiplied by j). For the whole form to be preserved, each form must be preserved, and hence we see that $Sp(n) = Sp(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap SU(2n)$.

Proposition 2. Kirillov 3.16

Proof. We wish to show that $\mathfrak{sp}(n)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$. Note that (from Kirillov) $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is the set of $2n \times 2n$ complex matrices x such that $x + J^{-1}x^tJ = 0$. As Sp(n) is the intersection of $Sp(n,\mathbb{C})$ and SU(2n), it's clear that $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ is the set of matrices that satisfy this condition in addition to the condition that $x^{\dagger} = -x$. If we now complexify and consider $\mathfrak{sp}(n)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus i\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, the first condition $x + J^{-1}x^tJ = 0$ still holds (by linearity) but the second no longer holds, as $(ix)^{\dagger} = -ix^{\dagger} = ix$ instead of -ix. This is precisely what we wanted to show.

Proposition 3. Kirillov 3.1

Proof. Let $X=\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Suppose $x\in\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\exp(x)=X$. It's clear that the eigenvalues of x must exponentiate to the eigenvalues of X, as eigenvalues of powers are simply powers of eigenvalues. Hence we see that if $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\mathbb{C}$ are the eigenvalues of x then $e^{\lambda_1}=e^{\lambda_2}=-1$. This yields

$$\lambda_1 = (2n+1)\pi i$$
$$\lambda_2 = (2m+1)\pi i$$

for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The condition that $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ requires that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$, i.e. that m = -(n+1). Hence the λ_1, λ_2 must be distinct, i.e. diagonalizable over the complex numbers. In other words, we have that $P^{-1}xP = \lambda$ where λ is diagonal with λ_1, λ_2 . Exponentiating, we find that

$$P^{-1}\exp(x)P = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moving the P's to the other side, we find that $\exp(x) = -I$, which is clearly not equal to X. Hence we obtain a contradiction - there can exist no such x.

Proposition 4. Kirillov 3.5

Proof. Consider \mathbb{R}^3 as a vector space with a Lie bracket given by the cross-product. It's clear that this is indeed a Lie bracket, because for $\vec{v}, \vec{w}, \vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the cross product is clearly bilinear, and satisfies $\vec{v} \times \vec{w} = -\vec{v} \times \vec{w}$. The Jacobi identity follows by first noting that for $\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the triple vector product rule gives us $\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = \vec{b}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - c(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})$ where the product is the usual inner product. Then, permuting the orders, we find that

$$\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) + \vec{b} \times (\vec{c} \times \vec{a}) + \vec{c} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) = 0$$

where the cancellations are acheieved by the commutativity of the inner product. Hence \mathbb{R}^3 with the cross product forms a Lie algebra.

Now consider the usual basis for $\mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{R})$ denoted by J_x,J_y,J_z and the basis for \mathbb{R}^3 denoted by x,y,z. Let ϕ be the vector isomorphism that takes J_x to x, J_y to y, and J_z to z. Moreover, ϕ is a Lie algebra homomorphism as one can check (it is straightforward but tedious), as the basis of $\mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{R})$ follows precisely the same commutation relations as does the basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

Consider the standard action of $\mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^3 given by matrix multiplication $a \cdot \vec{v} = \phi(a) \times \vec{v}$. We wish to show that this action coincides with the action of \mathbb{R}^3 on itself via the cross product: $a \cdot \vec{v} = \phi(a) \times \vec{v}$. To do this, first note that:

$$J_{x} \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

$$J_{x} \cdot y = z$$

$$J_{x} \cdot z = -y$$

$$J_{y} \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = -z$$

$$J_{y} \cdot y = \vec{0}$$

$$J_{y} \cdot y = \vec{0}$$

$$J_{y} \cdot z = x$$

$$J_{z} \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = y$$

$$J_{z} \cdot y = -x$$

$$J_{z} \cdot z = \vec{0}$$

which we can use to simplify

$$a \cdot v = (\alpha J_x + \beta J_y + \gamma J_z) \cdot (v_x x + v_y y + v_z z)$$

= $\alpha (v_y z - v_z y) + \beta (-v_x z + v_z x) + \gamma (v_x y - v_y x)$
= $(\beta v_z - \gamma v_y) x + (\gamma v_x - \alpha v_z) y + (\alpha v_y - \beta v_z) z$.

But this is precisely the formula for the cross product of the two vectors $\phi(a)$ and v, as desired. \square

Proposition 5. Kirillov 3.8

Proof. Consider the open cell $U = \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ with coordinates given by t = x/y. The action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on a point in U is given by

$$A(x:y) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (x:y) = (ax + by : cx + dy) = (at + b : ct + d)$$

which we will denote by $\left[\frac{at+b}{ct+d}\right]$. Denoting the representation as π , we can consider the usual action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on functions defined on U:

$$\pi(A)(f)([t]) = f(\pi(A^{-1})[t]).$$

The induced action of \mathfrak{g} by vector fields on functions defined on \mathbb{CP}^1 is then given:

$$\pi'(X)(f)([t]) = \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{s=0} f(\pi(e^{-sX})[t]) = \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{t=0} \left(\pi(e^{-sX})([t])\right) \frac{df}{dt}([t]),$$

which we can evaluate for the basis $\{H, X, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \ X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose exponentials yield:

$$\exp(-sH) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-s} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{s} \end{pmatrix}, \ \exp(-sX) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \exp(-sY) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -s & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us now compute the coefficient in front of df/dt in the expression for π' above for the various basis elements:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{t=0} \pi \begin{pmatrix} e^{-s} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{s} \end{pmatrix} ([t]) &= \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{t=0} \left[e^{-2s} t \right] = [-2t] \\ \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{t=0} \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} ([t]) &= \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{t=0} \left[t - s \right] = [-1] \\ \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{t=0} \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -s & 1 \end{pmatrix} ([t]) &= \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{t=0} \left[\frac{t}{-st+1} \right] = [t^{2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see, in local coordinates t for U, that the vector fields corresponding to H, X, Y are precisely

$$H \longleftrightarrow -2t \frac{d}{dt}$$
$$X \longleftrightarrow -\frac{d}{dt}$$
$$Y \longleftrightarrow t^2 \frac{d}{dt}.$$