

# Riemann Surfaces: Lecture Notes

Nilay Kumar

Last updated: October 8, 2013

## Class 10

Recall that we are studying function theory on the torus  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda = \{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2; m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We had produced a candidate

$$\sigma(z) = z \prod_{\omega \in \Lambda^\times} \left(1 + \frac{z}{\omega}\right) e^{-\frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^2}{\omega^2}}.$$

Let us check that this product converges by examining its logarithm:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \{\cdots\} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{z}{\omega}\right) - \frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{z^2}{2\omega^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{z}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^2}{\omega^2} + \cdots\right) - \frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^2}{\omega^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which clearly converges. Hence  $\sigma(z)$  is holomorphic for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Recall that  $\sigma'(z)/\sigma(z) = \zeta(z)$  and so  $\partial_z \log \sigma(z + \omega_a) = \zeta(z + \omega_a)$ . Thus we have (from before) that

$$\eta_a = \zeta(z + \omega_a) - \zeta(z) = \partial_z \log \sigma(z + \omega_a) - \partial_z \log \sigma(z),$$

which gives us periodicity information. Integrating and exponentiating, we see that

$$\sigma(z + \omega_a) = \sigma(z) e^{\eta_a z + c_a}$$

where  $c_a$  is the constant of integration, and taking  $z = -\omega_a/2$ , we find that

$$\sigma(\omega_a/2) = \sigma(-\omega_a/2) e^{-\eta_a \frac{\omega_a}{2} + c_a}.$$

It is easy to check, however, that  $\sigma$  is odd, and hence we find that

$$\sigma(z + \omega_a) = -\sigma(z) e^{\eta_a(z + \frac{\omega_a}{2})}.$$

So we have found that  $\sigma(z)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$  and that  $\sigma(z) = 0$  if and only if  $z = 0 \pmod{\Lambda}$ . Now that we have constructed such a  $\sigma$ , let us give another proof of Abel's theorem. First recall our previous statement of Abel's theorem.

**Theorem 1** (Abel's theorem). *Let  $P_1, \dots, P_M, Q_1, \dots, Q_N$  be points in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there exists a meromorphic  $f$  with zeroes at  $P_i$  and poles at  $Q_i$  if and only if  $M = N$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^M A(P_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N A(Q_i)$ .*

Recall that the Abel map takes  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \ni p \mapsto A(p) = \int_{p_0}^p \omega$  where the value of the integral is taken modulo the lattice generated by  $\oint_A \omega, \oint_B \omega$ . Take  $p_0 = 0$  and  $\omega = dz$ , which is a well-defined form, and if we take  $A$  to align with  $\omega_2$  and  $B$  to align with  $\omega_1$ , we see that  $\oint_A \omega = \oint_A dz = \omega_1$  and similarly  $\oint_B \omega = \omega_2$ . Hence the map simply takes  $p$  to  $\int_0^p dz \bmod \Lambda = p$  where  $p$  is viewed as a complex number.

Let us now restate Abel's theorem.

**Theorem 2** (Abel's theorem, v.2). *Let  $P_1, \dots, P_M, Q_1, \dots, Q_N$  be points in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there exists a meromorphic  $f$  with zeroes at  $P_i$  and poles at  $Q_i$  if and only if  $M = N$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^M P_i = \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \bmod \Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^M \sigma(z - P_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^N \sigma(z - Q_i)}.$$

We should be a little careful to note that  $\sigma$  is a function not on the torus  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , but a function on  $\mathbb{C}$  (it transforms under a lattice translation!). Hence we must be cognizant of the fact that  $P_i, Q_i$  here are some chosen representatives in  $\mathbb{C}$  of the equivalence classes of the points  $P_i, Q_i$ . It should be clear that  $f(z)$  is meromorphic with zeroes at every representative of each  $P_i$ s and poles at every representative of each  $Q_i$ . The natural question, now, is whether this function extends to a function on the torus. To check this, let us see whether it is doubly periodic using what we know about  $\sigma$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(z + \omega_a) &= f(z) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^M e^{\eta_a(z - P_i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^N e^{\eta_a(z - Q_i)}} \\ &= f(z) e^{-\eta_a(\sum_{i=1}^M P_i - \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we wish to choose  $P_i, Q_i$  representatives such that the exponential becomes unity. By hypothesis, this can be done (by shifting one, if necessary).  $\square$

Let us now return to Weierstrass theory. Given  $\omega = dz$ , we defined  $\omega_0 = \mathcal{P}(z)dz$  which has a double pole at 0 and  $\partial_z \log \sigma(z) = \zeta(z)$  and  $\zeta'(z) = -\mathcal{P}(z)$ . Now we can construct a form  $\omega_{PQ}$  with residues 1,  $-1$  at  $P, Q$  respectively, by assigning  $\omega_{PQ}(z) = (\zeta(z - P) - \zeta(z - Q))\omega = \partial_z \log \frac{\sigma(z - P)}{\sigma(z - Q)} dz$ . What Weierstrass theory tells us that we can write everything in terms of  $\sigma$ , our analog of  $z$ .

## Jacobi theory: $\theta$ -functions

Consider again the torus  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , where we now normalize the lattice as  $\Lambda = \{m + n\tau; m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$  (by linear independence, it cannot be real). This simply corresponds to picking  $\omega_1 = 1, \omega_2/\omega_1 = \tau$ . Next define the **theta-function**

$$\theta(z|\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z},$$

in which the structure of the lattice is explicitly clear (unlike in the Weierstrass theory). Let us examine its main properties.

First, note that  $\theta(z|\tau)$  is holomorphic in  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  because the series converges for all  $z$ ; this is due to the term

$$|e^{\pi i n^2 (\tau_1 + i\tau_2)}| = |e^{\pi i n^2 \tau_1} e^{-\pi n^2 \tau_2}| = e^{-\pi n^2 \tau_2}$$

for  $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$ , whose decay dominates due to the  $n^2$ . Next, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(z+1|\tau) &= \theta(z|\tau) \\ \theta(z+\tau|\tau) &= e^{-\pi i\tau-2\pi iz}\theta(z|\tau),\end{aligned}$$

where the second is obtained by completing the square. Though  $\theta$  is not invariant, its zeroes are.

Furthermore, we claim that  $\theta(z|\tau)$  vanishes at exactly one point modulo lattice translates. It suffices to compute the integral  $\oint_C \frac{\theta'(z|\tau)}{\theta(z|\tau)} dz$ , as it yields  $2\pi i$  times the difference in the number of zeroes and poles in a given region. We shall integrate over the curve  $C$  where  $C$  traverses the circumference of one lattice segment (i.e. the whole torus):

$$\oint_C \frac{\theta'(z|\tau)}{\theta(z|\tau)} dz = \oint_B \left( -\frac{\theta'(z|\tau)}{\theta(z|\tau)} + \frac{\theta'(z+1|\tau)}{\theta(z+1|\tau)} \right) + \oint_A \left( \frac{\theta'(z|\tau)}{\theta(z|\tau)} - \frac{\theta'(z+\tau|\tau)}{\theta(z+\tau|\tau)} \right).$$

But these are just the shifts in the logarithmic derivative, and since  $\partial_z \log \theta(z+\tau|\tau) = -2\pi i + \partial_z \log \theta(z|\tau)$  using the transformation rules above, we see that our integral simplifies to

$$\oint_C \frac{\theta'(z|\tau)}{\theta(z|\tau)} dz = 2\pi i \oint_A dz = 2\pi i.$$

Of course, since  $\theta$  is holomorphic, it has no poles, and hence we see that we have one zero. The zero, in fact, occurs in the center:  $\theta((1+\tau)/2|\tau) = 0$ . To see this, consider the following function:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta\left(z + \frac{1+\tau}{2}|\tau\right) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n \left(z + \frac{1+\tau}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= i \exp\left(-\pi i \frac{\tau}{4} - \pi i z\right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(\pi i \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \tau + 2\pi i \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= i \exp\left(-\pi i \frac{\tau}{4} - \pi i z\right) \theta_1(z|\tau)\end{aligned}$$

where we have completed the square and defined the function  $\theta_1$ . We claim that  $\theta_1$  is an odd function, which would imply that  $\theta_1$  vanishes at zero, which would prove the claim about the location of the zero. Hence let us verify that  $\theta_1$  is odd; switching  $z \mapsto -z$  yields in the exponent

$$\log \theta_1(z|\tau) = \pi i \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \tau + 2\pi i \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(-z + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

If we switch the indices  $n \mapsto m$  such that  $n + \frac{1}{2} = -(m + \frac{1}{2})$ , we find that the exponent is now

$$\log \theta_1(z|\tau) = \pi i \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \tau + 2\pi i \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) - 2\pi i \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right),$$

and hence  $\theta_1$  is odd. Now we see that the function we want is in fact  $\theta_1(z|\tau)$  as it is odd, holomorphic, and has one zero.

We leave it as an exercise to show that

$$\sigma(z) = \omega_1 \exp\left(\eta_1 \frac{z^2}{\omega_1}\right) \frac{\theta_1\left(\frac{z}{\omega_1}|\tau\right)}{\theta_1'(0|\tau)}$$

## Class 11

We claim that the theta-function theory is more powerful than what we have been using so far - to see this, let us prove Abel's theorem. Recall that the theorem states that there exists a meromorphic  $f$  with zeroes at  $P_i$  and poles at  $Q_j$  if and only if  $N = M$  and  $\sum_i A(P_i) = \sum_j A(Q_j)$ . The idea is to express

$$f(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N \theta_1(z - P_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^N \theta_1(z - Q_i)}$$

and check double-periodicity. It is an exercise to check that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1(z + 1|\tau) &= -\theta_1(z|\tau) \\ \theta_1(z + \tau|\tau) &= \exp(-\pi i\tau - 2\pi i(z + 1/2)) \theta_1(z|\tau),\end{aligned}$$

from which periodicity follows easily. Of course, we must be careful to note that the  $P_i, Q_i$  used here are in fact chosen representatives.

Next let us define a meromorphic form

$$\omega_{PQ} = \partial_z \log \frac{\theta_1(z - P)}{\theta_1(z - Q)} dz,$$

which, it is easy to check, has poles at  $P, Q$  with opposite residues. Additionally, one can check that this expression is well-defined on the lattice, i.e. invariant under a shift. We leave it as a simple exercise to show that

$$\omega_P(z) = \partial_z^2 \log \frac{\theta_1(z - P|\tau)}{\theta_1'(0|\tau)} dz$$

is a meromorphic form with a double pole at  $P$  and is well-defined on the lattice.

But in fact, we can go even farther with this theta-function. Indeed, one attractive feature is that there exists a product expansion for  $\theta(z|\tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *We can expand*

$$\theta(z|\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 + q^{2n-1}e^{2\pi iz})(1 + q^{2n-1}e^{-2\pi iz})$$

where  $q \equiv e^{\pi i\tau}$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$T(z|\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 + q^{2n-1}e^{2\pi iz})(1 + q^{2n-1}e^{-2\pi iz}).$$

We claim that  $T(z|\tau)$  is equal to zero exactly when  $z$  is  $(1 + \tau)/2 \pmod{\Lambda}$  and that the zeros are simple. This can be checked by some simple algebra. It's also easy to show that  $T(z|\tau)$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  and that  $\tau(z + 1|\tau) = T(z|\tau)$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}T(z + \tau|\tau) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2n+1}e^{2\pi iz}) (1 + q^{2n-3}e^{-2\pi iz}q^{-2}) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n}) \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2n-1}e^{2\pi iz})}{1 + qe^{2\pi iz}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2n-1}e^{-2\pi iz}) = \frac{1 - q^{-1}e^{-2\pi iz}}{1 - qe^{2\pi iz}} T(z|\tau) = q^{-1}e^{-2\pi iz}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\theta$  followed a similar condition. This shows that  $\theta(z|\tau)/T(z|\tau) = c$ , where  $c$  is a constant independent of  $z$  that can depend on  $\tau$ . Next we claim that  $c(\tau) = 1$ . For this we show that there exists a  $c$  such that  $c(\tau) = c(4\tau) = c(4^k\tau)$  and  $c(\tau) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c(4^k\tau) = 1$ , which shows the proof. Hence let us prove that  $c(\tau) = c(4\tau)$  using  $\theta(z|\tau) = C(\tau)T(z|\tau)$ .

Take  $z = 1/2$ . Then  $e^{2\pi iz = e^{\pi i}} \geq 1 -$  and  $\theta(1/2|\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau} (-1)^n$  but  $T(1/2|\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{2n-1})$ . and hence  $c(\tau) = e^{\pi i n \tau} (-1)^n / \prod (1 - q^n)(1 - q^{2n-1})$ . Next take  $z = 1/4$   $\square$