## Honors Complex Variables Lecture Notes

Nilay Kumar

# 1 Applications of Laurent series: classification of singularities

Suppose f has a (potential) isolated singularity at  $z_0$ . In other words, f is analytic in a deleted neighborhood of  $z_0$ ,  $D(Z_0; r)/\{z_0\}$ , for some r > 0. We can write a Laurent expansion in  $0 < |z - z_0| < r$ :

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$
  
with  $a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D(z_0 \cdot B)} \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^k} dz$ 

Let us see how we can use this to classify singularities. We have 3 cases:

- 1. No negative powers of  $(z-z_0)$  appear in the series expansion:  $a_k = 0 \ \forall k < 0$ . Then we call  $z_0$  a **removable singularity**.
- 2. There is an n > 0 such that  $a_{-n} \neq 0$  and  $a_k = 0 \ \forall k < -n$ . Then we call  $z_0$  a **pole** of f(z).
- 3. There are infinitely many negative powers of  $(z z_0)$  in the expansion. Then we call  $z_0$  an **essential singularity**.

#### 1.1 Removable singularities

Let us first study removable singularities:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$
 for  $0 < |z - z_0| < r$ 

Clearly f is also analytic at  $z_0$  if we simply define  $f(z_0) = a_0$ . In this case we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$
 for  $0 \le |z - z_0| < r$ .

Let us examine the example of  $f(z) = \frac{\sin z}{z}$  for  $0 < |z| < \infty$ 

$$\sin z = z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} - \dots$$
$$f(z) = 1 - \frac{z^2}{3!} + \frac{z^4}{5!} - \dots$$

Note that the series expansion for f(z) can be defined for all z and thus 0 is a removable singularity of f.

Remark. If f has a removable singularity at  $z_0$ , then f is bounded around  $z_0$ . In other words,  $\exists M > 0$  with  $|f(z)| < M \ \forall 0 < |z - z_0| < r$ . The converse is also true.

**Theorem 1** (Riemann's theorem of removable singularities). Let  $z_0$  be a potential isolated singularity of f. If

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z)(z - z_0) = 0$$

then f has a removable singularity at  $z_0$ .

Remark. Note also that if  $|f(z)| \leq 1/|z-z_0|^{1-\varepsilon}$  for some positive  $\varepsilon$ , then f has a removable singularity at  $z_0$ . Consequently, this is a much stronger bound than that provided by the previous remark.

*Proof.* We want to show that  $a_k = 0 \ \forall k < 0$  in the Laurent expansion. Take k < 0. Then,  $k+1 \leq 0$ . As  $\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z)(z-z_0) = 0$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(z)(z-z_0)| < \varepsilon \ \forall z \text{ in } 0 < |z-z_0| < \delta < r$ . From the formula for the coefficients and the M-L formula, we now have that

$$|a_k| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D(z_0; \delta)} \frac{f(z)(z - z_0)}{(z - z_0)^{k+2}} dz \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{k+2}} \cdot \operatorname{length} \partial D(z_0; \delta) = \varepsilon \delta^{-(k+1)}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \delta^0 = \varepsilon$$

Thus we have that  $|a_k| < \varepsilon$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , which yields that the coefficients must be identically zero for k < 0.

#### 1.2 Poles

Let us now examine poles. From the series expansion, there is an n > 0 such that  $a_{-n} \neq 0$  but  $a_k = 0$  for all k < -n. In this case,  $z_0$  is called a pole of order n of f.

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$
$$= \frac{a_{-n}}{(z - z_0)^n} + \frac{a_{-n+1}}{(z - z_0)^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{-1}}{z - z_0} + a_0 + a_1 (z - z_0) + \dots$$

**Definition 1.** The sum of negative powers

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^{-1} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$

is called the **principal part** of f at the pole  $z_0$ .

Remark. Note that for our series, if we take f(z) - P(z) we obtain the analytic function  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(z-z_0)^k$ . Incidentally, if n=1, we call the pole **simple** and if n=2, we call the pole **double**.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose f is analytic in a region  $\Omega$  and has a zero at a point  $z_0 \in \Omega$  with  $f \not\equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Then there exists in a neighborhood  $U \in \Omega$  of  $z_0$ , a non-vanishing analytic function g on U and a unique positive integer n such that  $f(z) = (z - z_0)^n g(z)$  for all  $z \in U$ . Note that the number n is called the **order of the zero**  $z_0$  of the function f (also called the multiplicty).

*Proof.* In a small neighborhood  $D(z_0; R)$  of  $z_0$ , f cannot be identically 0 by the uniqueness theorem. In this neighborhood let us expand:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$
$$f(z_0) = 0 \implies a_0 = 0$$

Therefore there must be an  $n \ge 1$  such that  $a_n \ne 0$ , otherwise f would vanish identically. Thus we write:

$$f(z) = a_n(z - z_0)^n + a_{n+1}(z - z_0)^{n+1} + \dots$$
  
=  $(z - z_0)^n [a_n + a_{n+1}(z - z_0) + \dots]$ 

Let  $g(z) = a_n + a_{n+1}(z - z_0) + \ldots$  Clearly it is analytic in D. Additionally, since  $\lim_{z \to z_0} g(z) = a_n \neq 0$ , we have  $|g(z)| \geq |a_n|/2$  whenever  $|z - z_0| < r$ . Thus let us take U = D.

Let us now show the uniqueness of n. Suppose  $\exists n < m$  such that  $f(z) = (z-z_0)^n g(z) = (z-z_0)^m h(z)$  where g and h are analytic in a neighborhood V of  $z_0$ , with  $g,h \neq 0$  in V. When  $z \neq z_0$  with  $z \in V$ ,  $g(z) = (z-z_0)^{m-n}h(z)$ . Thus,  $g(z_0) = \lim_{z \to z_0} g(z) = \lim_{z \to z_0} (z-z_0)^{m-n}h(z) \equiv 0$ . This is a contradiction, and thus n must be unique.

**Theorem 2** (Characterization of a pole). Let  $z_0$  be an isolated singularity of f. Then  $z_0$  is a pole of f(z) of order n:

- 1. iff  $f(z) = g(z)/(z-z_0)^n$  where g is analytic and non-zero at  $z_0$ .
- 2. iff

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} 1/f(z) & \text{if } z \neq z_0\\ 0 & \text{if } z = z_0 \end{cases}$$

is analytic at  $z_0$  and has a zero of order n at  $z_0$ .

3. iff 
$$|f(z)| \to \infty$$
 when  $z \to z_0$ 

*Proof.* Let us attack the first claim. Suppose f has a pole of order n at  $z_0$ . Then, using the expansion for poles above,

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-n} + a_{-n+1}(z - z_0) + \dots + a_{-1}(z - z_0)^{n-1} + a_0(z - z_0)^n + \dots}{(z - z_0)^n} \equiv \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^n}$$

It is clear that g is analytic at  $z_0$  and does not vanish, and so we reach the result. Let us now prove the converse. If g is analytic at  $z_0$ , and does not vanish, let us expand it into a power series about  $z_0$ :

$$g(z) = b_0 + b_1(z - z_0) + \dots$$
 with  $g(z_0) = b_0 \neq 0$ 

Hence,

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^n} = \frac{b_0 + b_1(z - z_0) + \dots}{(z - z_0)^n}$$
$$= \frac{b_0}{(z - z_0)^n} + \frac{b_1}{(z - z_0)^{n-1}} + \dots$$

Thus f has a pole of order n at  $z_0$ , and the first point is proved.

Let us now prove the second claim. Suppose f has a pole of order n at  $z_0$ . Then by the first point, we can represent

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^n}$$

where g is analytic and non-zero at  $z_0$ . Take the function M(z) = 1/g(z), which is also analytic at  $z_0$ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{f(z)} = \frac{(z - z_0)^n}{g(z)} = (z - z_0)M(z)$$

is analytic at  $z_0$  and has a zero of order n at  $z_0$ . Conversely, if 1/f(z) has a zero of order n at  $z_0$ , by the above theorem,

$$\frac{1}{f(z)} = (z - z_0)^n K(z)$$

for some K analytic at  $z_0$  and  $K(z_0) \neq 0$ . Hence,

$$f(z) = \frac{1/K(z)}{(z-z_0)^n} \equiv \frac{g(z)}{(z-z_0)^n}$$

Here g(z) = 1/K(z) is analytic at  $z_0$  and  $g(z_0) \neq 0$ . Then, by the first point f has a pole of order n at  $z_0$ .

Let us now prove the third point. If f has a pole of order n at  $z_0$  then by the first point,  $f(z) = g(z)/(z-z_0)^n$  for some g analytic and nonvanishing at  $z_0$ . Thus,

$$|f(z)| = \frac{|g(z)|}{|z - z_0|^n} \to \infty.$$

Conversely, suppose that  $f(z) \to \infty$  as  $z \to z_0$ . Obviously,  $f(z) \neq 0$  for z near  $z_0$ . Thus we can define h(z) = 1/f(z), which is analytic around  $z_0$  and  $h(z) \to 0$  as  $z \to z_0$ . Hence, by the Riemann's theorem proved above, h(z) must have a

removable singularity at  $z_0$  and  $h(z_0) = 0$ . Since  $h \neq 0$  in the neighborhood of  $z_0$ , by the above theorem on zeroes, we can write  $h(z) = (z - z_0)^n g(z)$  for some n > 0 and g analytic in a neighborhood V of  $z_0$  and  $g \neq 0$  in V. Now,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{h(z)} = \frac{1/g(z)}{(z - z_0)^n}$$

By the first point, f has a pole of order n at  $z_0$ , as 1/g(z) is analytic and non-zero in V.

We shall cover the topic of essential singularities next lecture.

#### 1.3 Essential singularities

Remark. Note that the function

$$f(z) = e^{1/z}$$

has an essential singularity at 0.

**Theorem 3** (Casorati-Weierstrass). If f is analytic in  $A = D(z_0; r) \setminus \{z_0\}$  and has an essential singularity at  $z_0$  then f(A) is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ . I.e. f(A) intersects every disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let us proceed by contradiction. Suppose that we can find a disc  $D(w; \delta)$  such that  $|f(z) - w| \ge \delta$  for all  $0 < |z - z_0| < r$ . Consider the function g(z) = 1/(f(z) - w). g is analytic in A and  $|g| \le 1/\delta$  in A. Hence, by Riemann's theorem,  $z_0$  is a removable singularity of g. If  $g(z_0) \ne 0$  then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{g(z)} + w$$

which implies that f is analytic at  $z_0$ , which is a contradiction. If  $g(z_0)$  is zero, things are a little more complicated. We can write

$$g(z) = (z - z_0)^n h(z)$$

where h is analytic and non-zero at  $z_0$ . Note, then

$$(z - z_0)^n h(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - w}$$
$$f(z) - w = \frac{1/h(z)}{(z - z_0)^n},$$

which is a pole. This is a contradiction, and we are done.

**Theorem 4** (Picard's big theorem). In any neighborhood of an essential singularity, the function takes all values in the complex plane infinitely often, with possibly one exception.

### 2 Meromorphic functions

**Definition 2.** We call the function f meromorhphic in a domain D if f is analytic in D except at isolated poles.

*Remark.* Quotients of meromorphhic functions are meromorphhic functions provided that the denominators are not identically zero.

Example.  $1/\sin z$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$  except at isolated poles  $z=k\pi$  with integral k. Consequently,  $1/\sin z$  is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Example. Let R(z) be a rational function

$$R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{a \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_j)^{m_j}}{b \prod_{k=1}^{p} (z - \omega_k)^{n_k}}$$

where P, Q are polynomials with no common zeros. R is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It has a pole order  $n_k$  at  $\omega_k$  and zeros of order  $m_i$  at  $z_i$ .

#### 2.1 Singularities at infinity

Let f be analytic in  $\{z:|z|>M\}$ . This is a little difficult to work with, so consider instead the function F(z)=f(1/z). This function is clearly analytic in the annulus  $A=\{z:0<|z|<1/M\}$ . If F has a removable singularity or a pole or an essential singularity at 0, then we say that f(z) has said **singularity at infinity**. Why have we done this? We see no singularities originally and are trying to make trouble for ourselves! Well, many functions in mathematics have a finite number of singularities, and it sometimes easier to concentrate on those, but nothing else, as those points can contain a lot of information about the function. Indeed, sometimes you can use singularities to solve problems that don't involve singularities. Here is an example:

**Theorem 5.** Suppose f is entire, and maps any unbounded sequence to an unbounded sequence. Then f is a polynomial.

*Proof.* There are three cases. The first case is that f has a removable singularity at infinity. If this is the case, then f is bounded at infinity. In other words, there exists a number K > 0 such that  $|f(z)| \leq K$  for all  $|z| \geq R$ . By the maximum principle,  $|f(z)| \leq K$  for all z. But if f is entire and bounded, it must be a constant. A constant function cannot map an unbounded sequence to and unbounded sequence, and thus f cannot have a removable singularity.

It could be that f has an essential singularity at infinity. Take  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ . By Carosati-Weierstrass, there is a sequence  $\{z_k\}$  with  $|z_k| \to \infty$  with  $f(z_k) \in D$  for all k. This is not possible because the hypothesis asserts that  $\{f(z_k)\}$  is unbounded.

The last case is that f has a pole at infinity. Then, F(z) = f(1/z) has a pole at 0. The Laurent expansion at 0 yields

$$F(z) = f(1/z) = \frac{a_{-n}}{z^n} + \frac{a_{-n+1}}{z^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{-1}}{z} + a_0 + a_1 z + \dots$$
$$f(z) = a_{-n} z^n + a_{-n+1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{-1} z + a_0 + \frac{a_1}{z} + \frac{a_2}{z^2} + \dots$$

Note however, that as f is entire, the terms with powers of  $z^{-1}$  must vanish, and we are left with f being a polynomial.

**Definition 3.** The extended complex plane is the union of the complex plane and the point  $\infty$ .

**Definition 4.** A function f is meromorphic on the extended complex plane if f is meromorphic and g has a removable singularity or a pole at  $\infty$ .

**Theorem 6.** If f is meromorphic in the extended complex plane, then f must be a rational function.

*Proof.* The proof is available in the book. However, the basic idea is just to kill off all of f's zeros and poles.

#### 3 Residues

**Definition 5.** When  $z_0$  is a pole of f and

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$

in  $A = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < r\}$ , the coefficient  $a_{-1}$  is called the **residue** of f at the pole  $z_0$ . Many notations are common, but we will denote residues by

$$a_{-1} = \operatorname{Res}_{z_0} f(z).$$

*Remark.* In the principal part of f at  $z_0$ ,  $P(z) = \sum_{-n}^{-1} a_k (z - z_0)^k$ , all the terms will have antiderivatives except for the  $a_{-1}$  term.

#### 3.1 Computation of residues

One method of computing a residue is straight from the Laurent expansion,

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f(z)dz}{(z-z_0)^{k+1}}$$

which yields

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} f(z) dz.$$

If however, we know that f(z) has a simple pole at  $z_0$  (i.e. a pole of order 1), we can write

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-1}}{z - z_0} + a_0 + a_1(z - z_0) + \dots$$

and thus the residue is found simply by computing the limit

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} f(z) = \lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0) f(z)$$

Functions with poles of higher order, n, are a little bit trickier,

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-n}}{(z - z_0)^n} + \dots + \frac{a_{-1}}{z - z_0} + a_0 + a_1(z - z_0) + \dots$$
$$(z - z_0)^n f(z) = a_{-n} + \dots + a_{-1}(z - z_0)(z - z_0)^{n-1} + a_0(z - z_0)^n + a_1(z - z_0)^{n+1} + \dots$$

To isolate  $a_{-1}$  we now must take n-1 derivatives to get rid of the negative n terms, and then take a limit to get rid of the positive n terms:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} f(z) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lim_{z \to z_0} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{n-1} ((z-z_0)^n f(z)).$$

Let us do a few examples before we state the main theorem concerning residues.

Example.

$$\operatorname{Res}_{-i} \frac{1}{1+z^2} = \lim_{z \to -i} (z+i) \frac{1}{(z+i)(z-i)} = -\frac{1}{2i}$$

as the singularity is obviously a pole or order 1 (upon factoring the denominator).

Example.

$$\operatorname{Res}_{-i} \frac{1}{(1+z^2)^2} = \frac{1}{(2-1)!} \lim_{z \to -i} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{(z+i)^2}{(1+z^2)^2} = \lim_{z \to -i} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{(z-i)^2}$$
$$= \frac{-2}{(-2i)^3} = -\frac{1}{4i}$$

**Theorem 7** (Residue theorem). Suppose f is analytic in an open set  $\Omega$  containing a piecewise smooth closed curve  $\gamma$  and its interior D except for the poles at  $z_1 \dots z_n$  in D. Then,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{N} \operatorname{Res}_{z_{k}} f(z)$$

*Proof.* The proof is quite simple; first we let  $D_{\varepsilon}$  be the domain D with the usual small keyhole shapes that connect the boundary of D to small discs,  $D_j$ , about the poles. We take the limit where the width of the keyholes goes to zero and we are left only left with circular paths about the poles in the negative sense, and the path D in the positive sense. By Cauchy's theorem, since the function is analytic when the poles are excluded,

$$0 = \int_{\gamma - \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \partial D_j} f(z) dz$$
$$= \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\partial D_j} f(z) dz.$$

But the second term can be expressed via the residue, as the other terms in the Laurent expansion of f vanish under integration, as remarked earlier, so

$$0 = \int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz - 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{N} \text{Res}_{z_{j}} f(z),$$

and we are done.