# Analysis I: Solutions to PSET 4

## Problem 1

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $E \cup F$  is disconnected, i.e. there exist opens  $A, B \subset M$  such that  $A \cup B = E \cup F$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $(A \cap E)$  and  $(B \cap E)$  provide a separation for E. Since E is connected, we find, say, that  $A \cap E = E$  and  $B \cap E = \emptyset$ . Since B is nonempty,  $B \cap F \neq \emptyset$ , but since  $(A \cap F)$  and  $(B \cap F)$  provide a separation for F, we find that  $B \cap F = F$  and  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ . But note now that since  $E \cap F$  is nonempty, any element in  $E \cap F$  is neither in A nor in B and hence not in  $A \cup B$ . But this contradicts that  $A \cup B = E \cup F$ , whence such a separation cannot exist.

### **Rudin 2.16**

We show that  $E^c$  is open in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. that it can be written as the intersection of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and an open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{3}$  are irrational, we find that

$$E^c = \{ p \in \mathbb{Q} \mid p^2 < 2 \text{ or } p^2 > 3 \}.$$

But clearly

$$E^{c} = \mathbb{Q} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^{2} < 2 \text{ or } x^{2} > 3\}$$
$$= \mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, -\sqrt{3}) \cup (-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}) \cup (\sqrt{3}, \infty),$$

and hence  $E^c$  is open. This proves that E is closed in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Moreover, E is obviously bounded by, say -2 below and 2 above. However, E cannot be compact in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , as otherwise Rudin theorem 2.33 would imply that E is compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ , but E is clearly open in  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $E = \mathbb{Q} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 2 < x^2 < 3\}$  is an intersection of two opens. Finally, note that E is open in  $\mathbb{Q}$  as, again, it is the intersection of two opens.

### Problem 3

Since K is compact, it must be closed and bounded. Rudin's theorem 2.28 implies that  $\sup K \in K$ . The analogous argument holds for  $\inf K$ : let

 $y = \inf K$ . If  $y \notin K$  then for every h > 0 there exists a point  $x \in K$  such that y < x < y + h otherwise y + h would be a lower bound of K. This implies that y is a limit point of K. Since K is closed,  $y \in K$ .

#### Problem 4

We present two proofs. The first proof is as follows. Let  $\{(a_n, b_n)\} \subset A \times B$  be any infinite sequence. The sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset A$  is an infinite subsequence of A and hence by compactness of A we can find a convergent subsequence  $a_{n_k} \to a_0$ . Now consider the sequence  $\{b_{n_k}\} \subset B$ ; the compactness of B implies the existence of a convergent subsequence  $b_{n_{k_j}} \to b_0$ . Now it is straightforward to see that the subsequence  $\{(a_{n_{k_j}}, b_{n_{k_j}})\}$  of our original sequence must converge to  $(a_0, b_0)$ . Hence  $A \times B$  is compact in  $M \times N$ .

The second proof is as follows. Let  $\{U_a\}_{\alpha\in I}$  be any open cover of  $A\times B$ . Every point  $(a,b)\in A\times B$  is contained in some open  $U_\alpha$  and hence we can find a  $\delta(a,b)>0$  such that  $B_\delta(a)\times B_\delta(b)\subset U_\alpha$ . We will use the compactness of A and B to eliminate all but finitely many of these.

Fix  $b_0 \in B$  and consider the union  $\bigcup_{a \in A \times \{b_0\}} B_{\delta}(a)$  with  $\delta$  as above, which forms an open cover of A. Compactness yields a finite subcover  $\{B_{\delta_1}(a_1), \ldots, B_{\delta_k}(a_k)\}$  of  $A \times \{b_0\}$ ; denote this set by  $V_{b_0}$ . Repeating this process to obtain  $V_b$  for all  $b \in B$ , we obtain open covers of  $A \times \{b\}$ . For each of these open covers  $V_b$ , denote by  $\varepsilon_b = \min(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k)$ .

Now, the union  $\cup_{b\in B} B_{\varepsilon_b}(b)$  is an open cover of  $\{a\} \times B$  for each a, and compactness of B yields a finite subcover  $\{B_{\varepsilon_{b_0}}, \ldots, B_{\varepsilon_{b_n}}(b_n)\}$ . Then we find that  $\{B_{\varepsilon_i}(a_i) \times B_{\varepsilon_{b_j}}(b_j)\}_{i,j=1,\cdots,n}$  is a finite open cover of  $A \times B$ . By our choice of  $\varepsilon_i$  each of these opens is contained in an open  $U_{\alpha}$  of our given open cover. Hence we find a finite subcover of  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  by choosing only such  $U_{\alpha}$ .