

# Lie Groups PSET 3

Nilay Kumar

Last updated: October 23, 2013

## Problem 1

Let  $V, W$  be irreducible representations of a Lie group  $G$ . Note that  $V \otimes W^*$  is simply  $\text{Hom}(W; V)$  and  $V \otimes V^*$  is simply  $\text{Hom}(V; V)$ . With the restriction of  $G$ -equivariance, then, we see that the statement reduces to Schur's lemma. In other words, since both  $\ker \phi$  and  $\text{im } \phi$  are invariant under the action of  $G$ , for some  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $\phi$ , and  $V, W$  are irreducible, they must be either 0 or the whole space. Moreover, if  $V = W$ ,  $\phi$  must have an eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  as we are working over  $\mathbb{C}$  and hence  $\phi - \lambda I$  has a non-trivial kernel, which implies that it must be zero, i.e.  $\phi = \lambda I$ . Of course, this is simply isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We are given that  $V$  is an irreducible representation of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and we wish to show that  $V^*$ , the dual representation, is irreducible as well. Denote by  $(\rho, V)$  the original representation. Suppose the contrary: if  $V^*$  is reducible, there must exist a subspace  $W^* \subset V^*$  invariant under the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Now take the space  $W = \{v \in V \mid w(v) = 1 \text{ for some } w \in W^*\}$ . We obtain a contradiction if  $W$  is invariant under  $\mathfrak{g}$ . To show this, we must find a  $w^* \in W^*$  such that  $w^*(\rho(g)) = 1$ . But we may simply choose the dual of  $v$ :

$$(\pi(g)v^*)(\rho(g)v) = v^*(v) = 1.$$

Hence  $V^*$  must be irreducible.

We can view the space of bilinear forms on  $V$  as  $V^* \otimes V^* = \text{Hom}(V; V^*)$ . Then, by the above, we see that since both  $V, V^*$  are irreducible representations, either the maps (and hence the forms) must be zero, or they must be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e. one-dimensional. Note that the above statements held for group actions, but it is quite clear that nowhere did we use ideas specific to groups - Schur's lemma holds just as well for Lie algebra actions.

## Problem 2

Consider the map  $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow GL_2\mathbb{C}$  given by

$$t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\pi$  is in fact a representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , as it is a group homomorphism:

$$\pi(a+b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a+b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \pi(a)\pi(b).$$

Furthermore, all proper non-trivial subrepresentations are clearly one-dimensional, and hence can be found by computing the eigenvectors of the above matrix. The eigenvalues are clearly 1 and 1, and thus we solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

to find that the only subrepresentation is the one-dimensional space spanned by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Note, however, that the orthogonal complement of this subspace - the space spanned by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  - is not a subrepresentation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence, while  $\pi$  is a reducible representation, it is not completely reducible as it cannot be written as a direct sum of irreducibles.

Furthermore, this representation is not unitary with respect to the standard Hermitian inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  defined by  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = \sum_i v_i \bar{w}_i$ . To see this, let  $e_1, e_2$  be the two basis vectors (as decomposed above) and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle \pi(a)e_1, \pi(a)e_2 \rangle &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = a, \end{aligned}$$

which are not equal in general.

### Problem 3

Take  $\omega \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes 3}$  given by

$$\omega(x, y, z) = ([x, y], z),$$

with the form symmetric and ad-invariant. We wish to show that  $\omega$  is skew-symmetric and ad-invariant. To show skew-symmetry, we must show:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x, y, z) &= -\omega(y, x, z) \\ \omega(x, y, z) &= -\omega(z, y, x) \\ \omega(x, y, z) &= -\omega(x, z, y). \end{aligned}$$

The first identity follows trivially from the skew-symmetry of the Lie bracket. For the next identity we use ad-invariance of the bracket  $([a, b], c) + (b, [a, c]) = 0$  to obtain

$$\omega(x, y, z) = ([x, y], z) = (z, [x, y]) = -(z, [y, x]) = -\omega(z, y, x).$$

The third identity is derived similarly:

$$\omega(x, y, z) = ([x, y], z) = -([y, x], z) = (x, [y, z]) = -(x, [z, y]) = -\omega(x, z, y).$$

Next we wish to show ad-invariance of  $\omega$ :

$$\omega([w, x], y, z) + \omega(x, [w, y], z) + \omega(x, y, [w, z]) = 0.$$

We simply apply the symmetry and ad-invariance of the form as well as the Jacobi identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega([w, x], y, z) + \omega(x, [w, y], z) + \omega(x, y, [w, z]) &= ([w, x], [y, z]) + ([x, [w, y]], z) + ([x, y], [w, z]) \\ &= ([w, x], [y, z]) + ([y, w], [x, z]) + ([x, y], [w, z]) \\ &= -([x, y], [w, z]) + ([x, y], [w, z]) \\ &= ([w, [x, y]], z) + ([x, y], [w, z]) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

#### Problem 4

Let  $G = SU(2)$ . We can consider  $G$  as the group of unit quaternions sitting inside  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . From this point of view we can treat elements of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  as quaternions and extend the action of  $G$  on itself (left-quaternionic-multiplication) to an action on all of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  given by quaternionic left-multiplication. These yield orthogonal transformations, as multiplication by a unit quaternionic preserves the inner product  $\text{Re } \bar{q}_1 q_2$  (since the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  is precisely that defined on quaternions).

Next, let  $\omega \in \Omega^3(G)$  be a left-invariant 3-form whose value at  $1 \in G$  is defined by

$$\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \text{tr}([x_1, x_2]x_3)$$

where  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Note carefully that the correspondence between  $\mathfrak{su}_2$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  is given by

$$w_0 + w_1 i + w_2 j + w_3 k \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -iw_3 & -w_2 - iw_1 \\ w_2 - iw_1 & iw_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that we can construct an orthonormal basis for  $\mathfrak{su}_2$  in the context of quaternions to be  $\{i, j, k\}$  (one can check this by computing against the inner product  $\text{tr}(a\bar{b})/2$ ). Let us now compute  $\omega$  at the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(i, j, k) &= \text{tr}([i, j]k) = \text{tr}(ijk - jik) = 2 \text{tr}(k^2) \\ &= 2 \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} -i & \\ & i \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -4. \end{aligned}$$

But this is precisely -4 times the value of the volume form (by definition) at the identity. By left-invariance, we see that this equality extends to the whole sphere (the volume form is left-invariant because pulling back by a diffeomorphism is by definition evaluation at pushforwards of vectors - but the pushforward is an isomorphism and takes basis to basis, and hence the volume form still evaluates to 1).

Finally, let us show that  $\omega/8\pi^2$  is a bi-invariant form on  $G$  such that  $\int_G \omega/8\pi^2 = 1$ . Bi-invariance is obvious, as  $\omega$  is proportional to the volume form on  $G$ , which is bi-invariant by its construction (the same argument holds as for left-invariance). By above, we have

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_G \omega = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_G dV$$

and thus it suffices to show that  $\int_{S^3} dV = 2\pi^2$  (the sign is a matter of orientation). But this is a simple calculation in analogy to computing the surface area of  $S^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^3} dV &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} 4\pi r^2 d\theta = 4\pi \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^2 \theta d\theta \\ &= 4\pi \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{1 - \cos 2\theta}{2} d\theta = 2\pi^2, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

#### Problem 5

Consider the Frobenius-Schur indicator,

$$I(\chi_V) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g^2).$$

We will use the following theorem to show that  $I(\chi_V)$  takes values only in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  for  $V$  irreducible.

**Theorem.** *An irreducible representation  $V$  is one and only one of the following:*

- (i) *Complex:*  $\chi_V$  is not real-valued;  $V$  does not have a  $G$ -invariant nondegenerate bilinear form;
- (ii) *Real:*  $V = V_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , a real representation;  $V$  has a  $G$ -invariant symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form;
- (iii) *Quaternionic:*  $\chi_V$  is real, but  $V$  is not real;  $V$  has a  $G$ -invariant skew-symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form.

*Proof.* Omitted. See [FH91], Theorem 3.37. □

To relate  $I(\chi_V)$  to the spaces of bilinear forms on  $V$  we note first that  $\chi_{V^*} = \bar{\chi}_V$  and hence by character theory (see [FH91], §2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\Lambda^2 V^*}(g) &= \frac{\chi_{V^*}(g)^2 - \chi_{V^*}(g^2)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \overline{\chi_V(g)^2 - \chi_V(g^2)} \right) \\ \chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V^*}(g) &= \frac{\chi_{V^*}(g)^2 + \chi_{V^*}(g^2)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \overline{\chi_V(g)^2 + \chi_V(g^2)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

But now note that

$$\chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V^*}(g) - \chi_{\Lambda^2 V^*}(g) = \overline{\chi_V(g^2)},$$

which we can average over  $G$  to obtain

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V^*}(g) - \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\Lambda^2 V^*}(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_V(g^2)}.$$

Conjugating, we find that

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g^2) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V^*}(g)} - \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{\Lambda^2 V^*}(g)}.$$

But note that the terms on the right are simply projecting onto the spaces of  $G$ -invariant symmetric and alternating bilinear forms, respectively (see [FH91], §2.4). Hence we obtain

$$I(\chi_V) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g^2) = \dim (\text{Sym}^2 V^*)^G - \dim (\Lambda^2 V^*)^G.$$

Using the theorem above, we now see that if  $V$  is complex then  $I(\chi_V) = 0$ , but if  $V$  is real then  $I(\chi_V) = 1$ , and if  $V$  is quaternionic then  $I(\chi_V) = -1$ . Now let us consider the  $G$ -equivariant endomorphisms of  $V$ ,  $\text{Hom}_G(V; V)$ , for each case above. By Schur's lemma, they must be invertible, which implies that we must have one of the three division algebras  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ .

## References

- [FH91] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation Theory: A First Course*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1991.