

# Extension Fields

Throughout these notes, the letters  $F$ ,  $E$ ,  $K$  denote fields.

## 1 Introduction to extension fields

Let  $F$ ,  $E$  be fields and suppose that  $F \leq E$ , i.e. that  $F$  is a subfield of  $E$ . We will often view  $F$  as the primary object of interest, and in this case refer to  $E$  as an *extension field* or simply *extension* of  $F$ . For example,  $\mathbb{R}$  is an extension field of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  is an extension field of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now suppose that  $E$  is an extension field of  $F$  and that  $\alpha \in E$ . We have the evaluation homomorphism  $\text{ev}_\alpha: F[x] \rightarrow E$ , whose value on a polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is  $f(\alpha)$ . By definition, the image  $\text{Im ev}_\alpha = F[\alpha]$  is a subring of  $E$ . It is the smallest subring of  $E$  containing both  $F$  and  $\alpha$ , and it is an integral domain as it is a subring of a field. Note that, by definition,

$$F[\alpha] = \text{Im ev}_\alpha = \{f(\alpha) : f(x) \in F[x]\}.$$

There are now two cases:

**Case I:**  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha = \{0\}$ . In other words, if  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is a nonzero polynomial, then  $f(\alpha) \neq 0$ , i.e.  $\alpha$  is not the root of any nonzero polynomial in  $f(x)$ . In this case, we say that  $\alpha$  is *transcendental* over  $F$ . If  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $F$ , then  $\text{ev}_\alpha: F[x] \rightarrow E$  is injective, and hence  $\text{ev}_\alpha$  is an isomorphism from  $F[x]$  to  $F[\alpha] \subseteq E$ . In particular,  $F[\alpha]$  is not a field, since  $F[x]$  is not a field. By results on the field of quotients of an integral domain,  $\text{ev}_\alpha$  extends to an injective homomorphism  $\widehat{\text{ev}}_\alpha: F(x) \rightarrow E$ . Clearly, the image of  $\widehat{\text{ev}}_\alpha$  is the set of all quotients in  $E$  of the form  $f(\alpha)/g(\alpha)$ , where  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$  and  $g(x) \neq 0$ . By general properties of fields of quotients,  $f_1(\alpha)/g_1(\alpha) = f_2(\alpha)/g_2(\alpha) \iff f_1(\alpha)g_2(\alpha) = f_2(\alpha)g_1(\alpha) \iff f_1(x)g_2(x) = f_2(x)g_1(x)$ . Defining

$$F(\alpha) = \text{Im } \widehat{\text{ev}}_\alpha = \{f(\alpha)/g(\alpha) : f(x), g(x) \in F[x], g(x) \neq 0\},$$

we see that  $F(\alpha)$  is a field and it is the smallest subfield of  $E$  containing  $F$  and  $\alpha$ .

For example, if  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $E = \mathbb{R}$ , “most” elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  are transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In fact, it is not hard to show that the set of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  which are not transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, and since  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable there are an uncountable number of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  which are transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It is much harder to show that a given element of  $\mathbb{R}$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For example  $e$  and  $\pi$  are both transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (The transcendence of  $\pi$  shows that it is impossible to “square the circle,” in other words to construct a square with straightedge and compass whose area is  $\pi$ .) Hence, the subring  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi]$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ : every element of  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi]$  can be uniquely written as a polynomial  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \pi^i$  in  $\pi$ , where the  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . The field  $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$  is then the set of all quotients,  $f(\pi)/g(\pi)$ , where  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  and  $g(x) \neq 0$ . Finally, note that the property of transcendence is very much a relative property. Thus,  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but  $\pi$  is **not** transcendental over  $\mathbb{R}$ ; in fact,  $\pi$  is a root of the nonzero polynomial  $x - \pi \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

For another example, let  $F$  be an arbitrary field and consider  $F(x)$ , the field of rational functions with coefficients in  $F$ . Thus  $F(x)$  is the field of quotients of the polynomial ring  $F[x]$ , and the elements of  $F(x)$  are quotients  $f(x)/g(x)$ , where  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$  and  $g(x) \neq 0$ . However, when we think of  $F(x)$  as a field in its own right, it is traditional to rename the variable  $x$  by some other letter such as  $t$ , which we still refer too as an “indeterminate,” to avoid confusion with  $x$  which we reserve for the “variable” of a polynomial. With this convention, the field  $F(t)$  (with  $t$  an indeterminate) is an extension field of  $F$ . Moreover,  $t \in F(t)$  is transcendental over  $F$ , since, if  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is a nonzero polynomial, then  $\text{ev}_t f(x) = f(t)$ , which is a nonzero element of  $F[t]$  and hence of  $F(t)$ .

**Case II:**  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ . In other words, there exists a nonzero polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$   $f(\alpha) = 0$ . In this case, we say that  $\alpha$  is *algebraic* over  $F$ . This will be the important case for us, so we state the main result as a proposition:

**Proposition 1.1.** *Suppose that  $E$  is an extension field of  $F$  and that  $\alpha \in E$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Then  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha = (p(x))$ , where  $p(x) \in F[x]$  is an irreducible polynomial. Moreover, if  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is any polynomial such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $p(x) \mid f(x)$ . The homomorphism  $\text{ev}_\alpha$  induces an isomorphism, denoted  $\tilde{\text{ev}}_\alpha$ , from  $F[x]/(p(x))$  to  $F[\alpha]$ . Finally,  $F[\alpha] = \text{Im ev}_\alpha$  is a field.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha$  is a nonzero ideal in  $F[x]$ . Moreover, the homomorphism  $\text{ev}_\alpha$  induces an isomorphism, denoted  $\tilde{\text{ev}}_\alpha$ , from  $F[x]/\text{Ker ev}_\alpha$

to  $F[\alpha]$ , and in particular  $F[\alpha] \cong F[x]/\text{Ker ev}_\alpha$ . Since  $F[\alpha]$  is a subring of a field, it is an integral domain. Thus  $F[x]/\text{Ker ev}_\alpha$  is also an integral domain, and hence  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha$  is a prime ideal. But we have seen that every nonzero prime ideal is maximal, hence  $F[\alpha]$  is a subfield of  $E$ , and that the nonzero prime ideals are exactly those of the form  $(p(x))$ , where  $p(x) \in F[x]$  is an irreducible polynomial. Thus  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha = (p(x))$  for some irreducible polynomial  $p(x) \in F[x]$ . By definition,  $f(\alpha) = 0 \iff f(x) \in \text{Ker ev}_\alpha \iff p(x) \mid f(x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$  and suppose that  $\alpha \in E$  is algebraic over  $F$ . We set  $F(\alpha) = F[\alpha]$ . As in Case I,  $F(\alpha)$  is a subfield of  $E$  and is the smallest subfield of  $E$  containing both  $F$  and  $\alpha$ .

With  $E$  and  $\alpha$  as above, suppose that  $p_1(x), p_2(x) \in F[x]$  are two polynomials such that  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha = (p_1(x)) = (p_2(x))$ . Then  $p_1(x) \mid p_2(x)$  and  $p_2(x) \mid p_1(x)$ . It is then easy to see that there exists a  $c \in F^*$  such that  $p_2(x) = cp_1(x)$ . In particular, there is a unique monic polynomial  $p(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha = (p(x))$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$  and suppose that  $\alpha \in E$  is algebraic over  $F$ . The unique monic irreducible polynomial which is a generator of  $\text{Ker ev}_\alpha$  will be denoted  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$ .

Thus, if  $E$  is an extension field of  $F$  and  $\alpha \in E$  is algebraic over  $F$ , then  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$  is the unique monic irreducible polynomial in  $F[x]$  for which  $\alpha$  is a root. One way to find  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$  is as follows: suppose that  $p(x) \in F[x]$  is an irreducible monic polynomial such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ . Then  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$  divides  $p(x)$ , but since  $p(x)$  is irreducible, there exists a  $c \in F^*$  such that  $p(x) = c \text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$ . Finally, since both  $p(x)$  and  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$  are monic,  $c = 1$ , i.e.  $p(x) = \text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$ .

For example,  $x^2 - 2 = \text{irr}(\sqrt{2}, \mathbb{Q}, x)$ , since  $p(x) = x^2 - 2$  is monic and (as we have seen) irreducible and  $p(\sqrt{2}) = 0$ . As in Case I, the definition of  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$  is relative to the field  $F$ . For example,  $\text{irr}(\sqrt{2}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), x) = x - \sqrt{2}$ . Note that  $x - \sqrt{2}$  is a factor of  $x^2 - 2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]$ , but that  $x - \sqrt{2}$  is not an element of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

One problem with finding  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$  is that we don't have many ways of showing that a polynomial is irreducible. So far, we just know that a polynomial of degree 2 or 3 is irreducible  $\iff$  it does not have a root. Here are a few more examples that we can handle by this method:

**Example 1.4.** (1)  $\text{irr}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \mathbb{Q}, x) = x^3 - 2$  since  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is irrational.

- (2) There is no element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  such that  $\alpha^2 = 3$  (by a homework problem). In other words,  $\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Thus  $\text{irr}(\sqrt{3}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), x) = x^2 - 3$ .
- (3) If  $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ , then it is easy to check (homework) that  $\alpha$  is a root of the polynomial  $x^4 - 10x^2 + 1$ . Thus  $\text{irr}(\alpha, \mathbb{Q}, x)$  divides  $x^4 - 10x^2 + 1$ , but we cannot conclude that they are equal unless we can show that  $x^4 - 10x^2 + 1$  is irreducible, or by some other method. We will describe one such method in the next section.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$ . Then we say that  $E$  is a *simple extension* of  $F$  if there exists an  $\alpha \in E$  such that  $E = F(\alpha)$ . Note that this definition makes sense both in case  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$  and in case it is transcendental over  $F$ . However, we shall mainly be interested in the case where  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ .

In many cases, we want to consider extension fields which are not necessarily simple extensions.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$  and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in E$ . We define  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  to be the smallest subfield of  $E$  containing  $F$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . If  $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , we say that  $E$  is *generated over  $F$  by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$* . It is easy to see that  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})(\alpha_n)$ . In fact, by definition, both sides of this equality are the smallest subfield of  $E$  containing  $F$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ , and  $\alpha_n$ . More generally, for every  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)(\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

**Example 1.7.** Consider the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ . Then  $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ , and hence  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \leq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ . However, it is another homework problem to show that  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and that  $\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \leq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and hence  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . In conclusion, a field such as  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$  which is not obviously a simple extension may turn out to be a simple extension. We shall analyze this in much greater detail later.

## 2 Finite and algebraic extensions

Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$ . Then  $E$  is an  $F$ -vector space.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$ . Then  $E$  is a *finite extension* of  $F$  if  $E$  is a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space. If  $E$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , then the positive integer  $\dim_F E$  is called the *degree of  $E$  over  $F$* , and is denoted  $[E : F]$ . Note that  $[E : F] = 1 \iff E = F$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose that  $E = F(\alpha)$  is a simple extension of  $F$ . Then  $E$  is a finite extension of  $F \iff \alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ . In this case*

$$[E : F] = \deg_F \alpha,$$

*where by definition  $\deg_F \alpha$  is the degree of  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$ . Finally, if  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$  and  $\deg_F \alpha = \text{irr}(\alpha, F, x) = d$ , then  $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$  is a basis for  $F(\alpha)$  as an  $F$ -vector space.*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $F$ . Then we have seen that  $F \leq F[\alpha] \leq F(\alpha)$ , and that  $\text{ev}_\alpha: F[x] \rightarrow F[\alpha]$  is an isomorphism, which is clearly  $F$ -linear. Since  $F[x]$  is not a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space,  $F[\alpha]$  is also not a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space. But then  $F(\alpha)$  is also not a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space, since every vector subspace of a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space is also finite dimensional. Hence  $F(\alpha)$  is not a finite extension of  $F$ .

Now suppose that  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Then  $\text{ev}_\alpha$  induces an isomorphism  $\tilde{\text{ev}}_\alpha: F[x]/(\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)) \rightarrow F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$ . Concretely, given  $g(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $\tilde{\text{ev}}_\alpha(g(x) + (\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x))) = g(\alpha)$ . Moreover, every coset in the quotient ring  $F[x]/(\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x))$  can be uniquely written as  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i x^i + (\text{irr}(\alpha, F, x))$ , where  $d = \deg \text{irr}(\alpha, F, x)$ . It follows that every element of  $F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$  can be uniquely written as  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i \alpha^i$ . Thus,  $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$  is a basis for  $F(\alpha)$  as an  $F$ -vector space. It then follows that  $\dim_F F(\alpha) = d$ .  $\square$

To be able to calculate the degree  $[E : F]$  and use it to extract more information about field extensions, we shall need to consider a sequence of extension fields:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose that  $F$ ,  $E$ , and  $K$  are fields such that  $F \leq E \leq K$ , i.e. that  $E$  is an extension field of  $F$  and that  $K$  is an extension field of  $E$ . Then  $K$  is a finite extension field of  $F \iff K$  is a finite extension field of  $E$  and  $E$  is a finite extension field of  $F$ . Moreover, in this case*

$$[K : F] = [K : E][E : F].$$

*Proof.* First suppose that  $K$  is a finite extension field of  $F$ . Then  $E$  is an  $F$ -vector subspace of the finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space  $K$ , hence  $E$  is finite dimensional and thus is a finite extension of  $F$ . Also, there exists an  $F$ -basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of  $K$ . Thus every element of  $K$  is a linear combination of the  $\alpha_i$  with coefficients in  $F$  and hence with coefficients in  $E$ . Thus  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  span  $K$  as an  $E$ -vector space, so that  $K$  is a finite dimensional  $E$ -vector space. Thus  $K$  is a finite extension field of  $E$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $K$  is a finite extension field of  $E$  and  $E$  is a finite extension field of  $F$ . The proof then follows from the following more general lemma (taking  $V = K$ ):  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $E$  be a finite extension field of  $F$  and let  $V$  be an  $E$ -vector space. Then, viewing  $V$  as an  $F$ -vector space,  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $F$ -vector space  $\iff V$  is a finite-dimensional  $E$ -vector space, and in this case*

$$\dim_F V = [E : F] \dim_E V.$$

*Proof.*  $\implies$  : As in the proof above, an  $F$ -basis of  $V$  clearly spans  $V$  over  $E$ , hence if  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $F$ -vector space, then it is a finite-dimensional  $E$ -vector space.

$\impliedby$  : Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be an  $E$ -basis for  $V$  and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be an  $F$ -basis for  $E$ . We claim that  $\alpha_i v_j$  is an  $F$ -basis for  $V$ . First, the  $\alpha_i v_j$  span  $V$ : if  $v \in V$ , since the  $v_j$  are an  $E$ -basis for  $V$ , there exist  $a_j \in E$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j v_j = v$ . Since the  $\alpha_i$  are an  $F$ -basis of  $E$ , there exist  $b_{ij} \in F$  such that  $a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ij} \alpha_i$ . Hence

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j v_j = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} \alpha_i v_j.$$

Thus the  $\alpha_i v_j$  span  $V$ .

Finally, to see that the  $\alpha_i v_j$  are linearly independent, suppose that there exist  $b_{ij} \in F$  such that  $\sum_{i,j} b_{ij} \alpha_i v_j = 0$ . We must show that all of the  $b_{ij}$  are 0. Regrouping this sum as

$$0 = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} \alpha_i v_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ij} \alpha_i \right) v_j,$$

and using the fact that the  $v_j$  are linearly independent over  $E$ , it follows that, for every  $j$ , the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^m b_{ij} \alpha_i$  is 0. But since the  $\alpha_i$  are linearly independent over  $F$ , we must have  $b_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Hence the  $\alpha_i v_j$  are linearly independent, and therefore a basis.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $F \leq E \leq K$  and  $K$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , then  $[K : E]$  and  $[E : F]$  both divide  $[K : F]$ .*

*Proof.* This is immediate from the formula above.  $\square$

The proof also shows the following:

**Corollary 2.6.** *If  $K$  is a finite extension field of  $E$  with basis  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  and  $E$  is a finite extension field of  $F$  with basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ , then  $\alpha_i \beta_j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , is an  $F$ -basis of  $K$ .  $\square$*

**Example 2.7.** By a homework problem,  $\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Thus

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 2 = 4.$$

A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$  is  $1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6}$ . Furthermore, with  $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ . Hence  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$  and so  $\deg_{\mathbb{Q}} \alpha = 4$ .

**Example 2.8.** The real number  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ , because if it were, then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  would be a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ , hence  $2 = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}]$  would divide  $3 = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**Example 2.9.** The above corollary is the main point in showing that various geometric constructions with straightedge and compass such as trisecting every angle or doubling the cube are impossible.

Returning to a general extension of fields, we have the following basic definition:

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$ . Then  $E$  is an *algebraic extension* of  $F$  if, for every  $\alpha \in E$ ,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ .

The following two lemmas are then easy corollaries of Proposition 2.3:

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $E$  be a finite extension of  $F$ . Then  $E$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in E$ , then we have a sequence of extensions

$$F \leq F(\alpha) \leq E.$$

Since  $E$  is a finite extension of  $F$ ,  $F(\alpha)$  is a finite extension of  $F$  as well, by Proposition 2.3. Thus  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$  and let  $\alpha, \beta \in E$  be algebraic over  $F$ . Then  $\alpha \pm \beta$ ,  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ , and (if  $\beta \neq 0$ )  $\alpha/\beta$  are all algebraic over  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the sequence of extensions

$$F \leq F(\alpha) \leq F(\alpha)(\beta) = F(\alpha, \beta).$$

Then  $F(\alpha)$  is a finite extension of  $F$  since  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Moreover,  $\beta$  is the root of a nonzero polynomial with coefficients in  $F$ , and hence

with coefficients in  $F(\alpha)$ . Thus  $\beta$  is algebraic over  $F(\alpha)$ , so that  $F(\alpha)(\beta)$  is a finite extension of  $F(\alpha)$ . By Proposition 2.3,  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  is then a finite extension of  $F$ , and by the previous lemma it is an algebraic extension of  $F$ . Thus every element of  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  is algebraic over  $F$ , in particular  $\alpha \pm \beta$ ,  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ , and  $\alpha/\beta$  if  $\beta \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$ . We define the *algebraic closure of  $F$  in  $E$*  to be

$$\{\alpha \in E : \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } F\}.$$

Thus the algebraic closure of  $F$  in  $E$  is the set of all elements of  $E$  which are algebraic over  $F$ .

**Corollary 2.14.** *The algebraic closure of  $F$  in  $E$  is a subfield of  $E$  containing  $F$ . Moreover, it is an algebraic extension of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* It clearly contains  $F$ , since every  $a \in F$  is algebraic over  $F$ , and it is a subfield of  $E$  by Lemma 2.12. By definition, the algebraic closure of  $F$  in  $E$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.15.** There are many fields which are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  but not finite over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For example, it is not hard to see that the smallest subfield  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \dots)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  which contains the square roots of all of the prime numbers, and hence of every positive integer, is not a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

For another important example, let  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ , the *field of algebraic numbers*, be the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and by definition it is the largest subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  which is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The extension field  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$  is not a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , since for example it contains  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \dots)$ .

Finally, let  $F$  be an arbitrary field and consider the extension  $F(t)$  of  $F$ , where  $t$  is an indeterminate. As we have seen  $F(t)$  is not an algebraic extension of  $F$ . In fact, one can show that the algebraic closure of  $F$  in  $F(t)$  is  $F$ , in other words that if a rational function  $f(t)/g(t)$  is the root of a nonzero polynomial with coefficients in  $F$ , then  $f(t)/g(t)$  is constant, i.e. lies in the subfield  $F$  of  $F(t)$ .

We now give another characterization of finite extensions:



**Lemma 2.16.** *Let  $E$  be an extension of  $F$ . Then  $E$  is a finite extension of  $F$   $\iff$  there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in E$ , all algebraic over  $F$ , such that  $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$  : By induction on  $n$ . In case  $n = 1$ , this is just the statement that, if  $\alpha_1$  is algebraic over  $F$ , then the simple extension  $F(\alpha_1)$  is a finite extension of  $F$ . For the inductive step, suppose that we have showed that  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  is a finite extension of  $F$ . Then  $\alpha_{i+1}$  is algebraic over  $F$ , hence over  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  as in the proof of Lemma 2.12. Thus  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1}) = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)(\alpha_{i+1})$  is a finite extension of  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . Now consider the sequence of extensions

$$F \leq F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \leq F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1}).$$

Since  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1})$  is a finite extension of  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  and  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , it follows from Proposition 2.3 that  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1})$  is a finite extension of  $F$ . This completes the inductive step, and hence the proof that  $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a finite extension of  $F$ .

$\Rightarrow$  : Let  $N = [E : F]$ . The proof is by complete induction on  $N$ , and the case  $N = 1$  is clear since then  $E = F$  and we can just take  $\alpha_1 = 1$ . Now suppose that we have showed that, for every finite extension  $F_1 \leq E_1$  with degree  $[E_1 : F_1] < N$ , there exist  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in E_1$  such that  $E_1 = F_1(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ . Let  $E$  be a finite extension of  $F$  with  $[E : F] = N > 1$ . Since  $E \neq F$ , there exists an  $\alpha_1 \in E$  with  $\alpha_1 \notin F$ . Hence  $[F(\alpha_1) : F] > 1$ . Since  $N = [E : F] = [E : F(\alpha_1)][F(\alpha_1) : F]$ , it follows that  $[E : F(\alpha_1)] < N$ . By the inductive hypothesis, there exist  $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in E$  such that  $E = F(\alpha_1)(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Finally, the  $\alpha_i$  are automatically algebraic over  $F$  since  $E$  is a finite extension of  $F$ . This completes the proof of the inductive step and hence of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.17.** *Let  $F \leq E \leq K$  be a sequence of field extensions, with  $E$  an algebraic extension of  $F$ , and let  $\alpha \in K$ . Then  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$   $\iff$   $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $E$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  : This is clear since, if  $\alpha$  is a root of a nonzero polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$ , then since  $F[x] \subseteq E[x]$ ,  $\alpha$  is also a root of the nonzero polynomial  $f(x)$  viewed as an element of  $E[x]$ .

$\Leftarrow$  : Write  $\text{irr}(\alpha, E, x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ , where the  $a_i \in E$  and hence the  $a_i$  are algebraic over  $F$ . By the previous lemma,  $F(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , and clearly  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$  since

it is the root of a nonzero polynomial with coefficients in  $F(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . Thus

$$F(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})(\alpha) = F(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, \alpha)$$

is a finite extension of  $F(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . It follows from Proposition 2.3 that  $F(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, \alpha)$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , hence an algebraic extension of  $F$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.18.** *Let  $F \leq E \leq K$  be a sequence of field extensions. Then  $K$  is an algebraic extension of  $F \iff K$  is an algebraic extension of  $E$  and  $E$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ .*

*Proof.*  $\implies$  : If  $K$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ , then clearly  $E$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ . Moreover, every element  $\alpha$  of  $K$  is the root of a nonzero polynomial with coefficients in  $F$  and hence in  $E$ , hence  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $E$ . Thus  $K$  is an algebraic extension of  $E$ .

$\impliedby$  : Follows immediately from the preceding lemma.  $\square$

**Definition 2.19.** A field  $K$  is *algebraically closed* if every nonconstant polynomial  $f(x) \in K[x]$  has a root in  $K$ .

**Lemma 2.20.** *Let  $K$  be a field. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $K$  is algebraically closed.
- (ii) If  $f(x) \in K[x]$  is a nonconstant polynomial, then  $f(x)$  is a product of linear factors. In other words, the irreducible polynomials in  $K[x]$  are linear.
- (iii) The only algebraic extension of  $K$  is  $K$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii): Let  $f(x) \in K[x]$  be a nonconstant polynomial. Then  $f(x)$  factors into a product of irreducible polynomials, so it suffices to show that every irreducible polynomial is linear. Let  $p(x)$  be irreducible. Then, since  $K$  is algebraically closed, there exists a root  $\alpha$  of  $p(x)$  in  $K$ , and hence a linear factor  $x - \alpha \in K[x]$  of  $p(x)$ . Since  $p(x)$  is irreducible,  $p(x) = c(x - \alpha)$  for some  $c \in K^*$ , and hence  $p(x)$  is linear.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): Let  $E$  be an algebraic extension of  $K$  and let  $\alpha \in E$ . Then  $p(x) = \text{irr}(\alpha, K, x)$  is a monic irreducible polynomial, hence necessarily of the form  $x - \alpha$ . Since  $p(x) \in K[x]$ , it follows that  $\alpha \in K$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (i): If  $f(x) \in K[x]$  is a nonconstant polynomial, then there exists an extension field  $E$  of  $K$  and an  $\alpha \in E$  which is a root of  $f(x)$ . Clearly,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $K$  and hence the extension field  $F(\alpha)$  is an

algebraic extension of  $K$ . By assumption,  $K(\alpha) = K$ , i.e.  $\alpha \in K$ . Hence there exists a root of  $f(x)$  in  $K$ .  $\square$

The most important example of an algebraically closed field comes from the following theorem, essentially due to Gauss (1799):

**Theorem 2.21** (The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra). *The field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers is algebraically closed.*  $\square$

Despite its name, the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra cannot be a theorem strictly about algebra, since the real numbers and hence the complex numbers are not defined algebraically. There are many proofs of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. A number of proofs use some basic complex analysis, or some topological properties of the plane. We will give a (mostly) algebraic proof at the end of the course.

**Definition 2.22.** Let  $F$  be a field. Then an extension field  $K$  of  $F$  is an *algebraic closure* of  $F$  if the following hold:

1.  $K$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ , and
2.  $K$  is algebraically closed.

With this definition,  $\mathbb{C}$  is **not** an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , because  $\mathbb{C}$  is not an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

So far, we have defined three confusingly similar sounding concepts: **the** algebraic closure of the field  $F$  in an extension field  $E$ , when a field  $K$  **is** algebraically closed (with no reference to any subfield), and when an extension field  $K$  is **an** algebraic closure of the field  $F$ . One way these concepts are related is as follows:

**Proposition 2.23.** *Let  $F$  be a field, let  $K$  be an extension field of  $F$ , and suppose that  $K$  is algebraically closed. Then the algebraic closure of  $F$  in  $K$  is an algebraic closure of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the algebraic closure of  $F$  in  $K$ . Then  $E$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ , and we must prove that  $E$  is algebraically closed. Let  $f(x) \in E[x]$  be a nonconstant polynomial. Then, since  $E[x] \subseteq K[x]$ , there exists a root  $\alpha \in K$  of  $f(x)$ . Clearly  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $E$ . By Lemma 2.17,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ , hence  $\alpha \in E$ . Thus  $E$  is algebraically closed.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.24.** *The field  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$  of algebraic numbers is an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*  $\square$

The following theorem, which we shall not prove, guarantees the existence of an algebraic closure for every field:

**Theorem 2.25.** *Let  $F$  be a field. Then there exists an algebraic closure of  $F$ . Moreover, every two algebraic closures of  $F$  are isomorphic. More precisely, if  $F \leq K_1$  and  $F \leq K_2$ , then there exists an isomorphism  $\rho: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  such that  $\rho(a) = a$  for all  $a \in F$ , viewing  $F$  as a subfield both of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ .  $\square$*

The isomorphism  $\rho$  in the previous theorem is far from unique. In fact, understanding the possible isomorphisms is, in a very vague sense, the central problem in Galois theory.

### 3 Derivatives and multiple roots

We begin by recalling the definition of a repeated root.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $\alpha \in F$ . Then there is a unique integer  $m \geq 0$  such that  $(x - \alpha)^m$  divides  $f(x)$  but  $(x - \alpha)^{m+1}$  does not divide  $f(x)$ . We define this integer  $m$  to be the *multiplicity* of the root  $\alpha$  in  $f(x)$ . Note that, by the correspondence between roots of a polynomial and its linear factors,  $\alpha$  has multiplicity 0 in  $f(x)$ , i.e.  $m = 0$  above,  $\iff f(\alpha) \neq 0$ . More generally, if  $\alpha$  has multiplicity  $m$  in  $f(x)$ , then  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^m g(x)$  with  $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ , and conversely.

If  $\alpha$  has multiplicity 1 in  $f(x)$ , we call  $\alpha$  a *simple root* of  $f(x)$ . If  $\alpha$  has multiplicity  $m \geq 2$  in  $f(x)$ , then we call  $\alpha$  a *multiple root* or *repeated root* of  $f(x)$ .

We would like to find conditions when a nonconstant polynomial does, or does not have a multiple root in  $F$  or in some extension field  $E$  of  $F$ . To do so, we introduce the *formal derivative*:

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $F$  be a field. Define the function  $D: F[x] \rightarrow F[x]$  by the formula

$$D\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n i a_i x^{i-1}.$$

Here the notation  $i a_i$  means the ring element  $i \cdot a_i = \underbrace{a_i + \cdots + a_i}_{i \text{ times}}$ . We usually write  $D(f(x))$  as  $Df(x)$ . Note that either  $Df(x) = 0$  or  $\deg Df(x) \leq \deg f(x) - 1$ .

Clearly, the function  $D$  is compatible with field extension, in the sense that, if  $F \leq E$ , then we have  $D: F[x] \rightarrow F[x]$  and  $D: E[x] \rightarrow E[x]$ , then, given  $f(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $Df(x)$  is the same whether we view  $f(x)$  as an element of  $F[x]$  or of  $E[x]$ . Also, an easy calculation shows that:

**Proposition 3.3.**  $D: F[x] \rightarrow F[x]$  is  $F$ -linear.  $\square$

This result is equivalent to the *sum rule*: for all  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $D(f + g) = Df + Dg$  as well as the *constant multiple rule*: for all  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $c \in F$ ,  $D(cf) = cDf$ . Once we know that  $D$  is  $F$ -linear, it is specified by the fact  $D(1) = 0$  and, that, for all  $i > 0$ ,  $Dx^i = ix^{i-1}$ . Also, viewing  $D$  as a homomorphism of abelian groups, we can try to compute

$$\text{Ker } D = \{f(x) \in F[x] : Df(x) = 0\}.$$

Our expectation from calculus is that a function whose derivative is 0 is a constant. But if  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ , something strange happens:

**Proposition 3.4.** If  $\text{Ker } D = \{f(x) \in F[x] : Df(x) = 0\}$ , then

$$\text{Ker } D = \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } \text{char } F = 0; \\ F[x^p], & \text{if } \text{char } F = p > 0. \end{cases}$$

Here  $F[x^p] = \{\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^{ip} : a_i \in F\}$  is the subring of all polynomials in  $x^p$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  is in  $\text{Ker } D \iff$  for every  $i$  such that the coefficient  $a_i$  is nonzero, the monomial  $ix^{i-1} = 0$ . In case  $\text{char } F = 0$ , this is only possible if  $i = 0$ , in other words  $f(x) \in F$  is a constant polynomial. In case  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ , this happens exactly when  $p|i$  for every  $i$  such that  $a_i \neq 0$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $f(x)$  is a polynomial in  $x^p$ .  $\square$

As is well-known in calculus,  $D$  is **not** a ring homomorphism. In other words, the derivative of a product of two polynomials is **not** in general the product of the derivatives. Instead we have:

**Proposition 3.5** (The product rule). For all  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ ,

$$D(f \cdot g)(x) = Df(x) \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot Dg(x).$$

*Proof.* If  $f(x) = x^a$  and  $g(x) = x^b$ , then we can verify this directly:

$$\begin{aligned} D(x^a x^b) &= D(x^{a+b}) = (a+b)x^{a+b-1}; \\ (Dx^a)x^b + x^a(Dx^b) &= ax^{a-1}x^b + bx^a x^{b-1} = (a+b)x^{a+b-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The general case follows from this by writing  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  as sums of monomials and expanding (but is a little messy to write down). Another approach using formal difference quotients is in the HW.  $\square$

If  $R$  is a ring, a function  $d: R \rightarrow R$  which is an additive homomorphism (i.e.  $d(r+s) = d(r) + d(s)$  for all  $r, s \in R$ ) satisfying  $d(rs) = d(r)s + rd(s)$  for all  $r, s \in R$  is called a *derivation* of  $R$ . Thus,  $D$  is a derivation of  $F[x]$ .

As a corollary of the product rule, we obtain:

**Corollary 3.6** (The power rule). *For all  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$D(f(x))^n = n(f(x))^{n-1}Df(x).$$

*Proof.* This is an easy induction using the product rule and starting with the case  $n = 1$ .  $\square$

The connection between derivatives and multiple roots is as follows:

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be a nonconstant polynomial. Then  $\alpha \in F$  is a multiple root of  $f(x) \iff f(\alpha) = Df(\alpha) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^m g(x)$  with  $m$  equal to the multiplicity of  $\alpha$  in  $f(x)$  and  $g(x) \in F[x]$  a polynomial such that  $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ . If  $m = 0$ , then  $f(\alpha) = g(\alpha) \neq 0$ . Otherwise,

$$Df(x) = m(x - \alpha)^{m-1}g(x) + (x - \alpha)^m Dg(x).$$

If  $m = 1$ , then  $Df(\alpha) = g(\alpha) \neq 0$ . If  $m \geq 2$ , then  $f(\alpha) = Df(\alpha) = 0$ . Thus we see that  $\alpha \in F$  is a multiple root of  $f(x) \iff m \geq 2 \iff f(\alpha) = Df(\alpha) = 0$ .  $\square$

In practice, an (unknown) root of  $f(x)$  will only exist in some (unknown) extension field  $E$  of  $F$ . We would like to have a criterion for when a polynomial  $f(x)$  has **some** multiple root  $\alpha$  in **some** extension field  $E$  of  $F$ , without having to know what  $E$  and  $\alpha$  are explicitly. In order to find such a criterion, we begin with the following lemma, which says essentially that divisibility, greatest common divisors, and relative primality are unchanged after passing to extension fields.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $E$  be an extension field of a field  $F$ , and let  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ , not both 0.*

- (i)  $f(x) \mid g(x)$  in  $F[x] \iff f(x) \mid g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ .
- (ii) The polynomial  $d(x) \in F[x]$  is a gcd of  $f(x), g(x)$  in  $F[x] \iff d(x)$  is a gcd of  $f(x), g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ .
- (iii) The polynomials  $f(x), g(x)$  are relatively prime in  $F[x] \iff f(x), g(x)$  are relatively prime in  $E[x]$ .

*Proof.* (i):  $\implies$  : obvious.  $\impliedby$  : We can assume that  $f(x) \neq 0$ , since otherwise  $f(x)|g(x)$  (in either  $F[x]$  or  $E[x]$ )  $\iff g(x) = 0$ . Suppose that  $f(x)|g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ , i.e. that  $g(x) = f(x)h(x)$  for some  $h(x) \in E[x]$ . We must show that  $h(x) \in F[x]$ . By long division with remainder in  $F[x]$ , there exist  $q(x), r(x) \in F[x]$  with either  $r(x) = 0$  or  $\deg r(x) < \deg f(x)$ , such that  $g(x) = f(x)q(x) + r(x)$ . Now, in  $E[x]$ , we have both  $g(x) = f(x)h(x)$  and  $g(x) = f(x)q(x) + r(x)$ . By uniqueness of long division with remainder in  $E[x]$ , we must have  $h(x) = q(x)$  (and  $r(x) = 0$ ). In particular,  $h(x) = q(x) \in F[x]$ , as claimed.

(ii):  $\implies$  : Let  $d(x) \in F[x]$  be a gcd of  $f(x), g(x)$  in  $F[x]$ . Then, by (i), since  $d(x)|f(x)$ ,  $d(x)|g(x)$  in  $F[x]$ ,  $d(x)|f(x)$ ,  $d(x)|g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ . Moreover, there exist  $a(x), b(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $d(x) = a(x)f(x) + b(x)g(x)$ . Now suppose that  $e(x) \in E[x]$  and that  $e(x)|f(x)$ ,  $e(x)|g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ . Then  $e(x)|a(x)f(x) + b(x)g(x) = d(x)$ . It follows that  $d(x)$  satisfies the properties of being a gcd in  $E[x]$ .  $\impliedby$  : Let  $d(x) \in F[x]$  be a gcd of  $f(x), g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ . Then  $d(x)|f(x)$ ,  $d(x)|g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ , hence by (i)  $d(x)|f(x)$ ,  $d(x)|g(x)$  in  $F[x]$ . Suppose that  $e(x) \in F[x]$  and that  $e(x)|f(x)$ ,  $e(x)|g(x)$  in  $F[x]$ . Then  $e(x)|f(x)$ ,  $e(x)|g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ . Hence  $e(x)|d(x)$  in  $E[x]$ . Since both  $e(x), d(x) \in F[x]$ , it again follows by (i) that  $e(x)|d(x)$  in  $F[x]$ . Thus  $d(x)$  is a gcd of  $f(x), g(x)$  in  $F[x]$ .

(iii): The polynomials  $f(x), g(x)$  are relatively prime in  $F[x]$   $\iff 1 \in F[x]$  is a gcd of  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  in  $F[x]$   $\iff 1 \in F[x]$  is a gcd of  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  in  $E[x]$ , by (ii),  $\iff f(x), g(x)$  are relatively prime in  $E[x]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be a nonconstant polynomial. Then there exists an extension field  $E$  of  $F$  and a multiple root of  $f(x)$  in  $E$   $\iff f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$  are not relatively prime in  $F[x]$ .*

*Proof.*  $\implies$  : If  $E$  and  $\alpha$  exist, then, by Lemma 3.7,  $f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$  have a common factor  $x - \alpha$  in  $E[x]$  and hence are not relatively prime. Thus by Lemma 3.8  $f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$  are not relatively prime in  $F[x]$ .

$\impliedby$  : Suppose that  $f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$  are not relatively prime in  $F[x]$ , and let  $g(x)$  be a common nonconstant factor of  $f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$ . There exists an extension field  $E$  of  $F$  and an  $\alpha \in E$  which is a root of  $g(x)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a common root of  $f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$ , and hence a multiple root of  $f(x)$ .  $\square$

We now apply the above to an **irreducible** polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$ .

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial. Then there exists an extension field  $E$  of  $F$  and a multiple root of  $f(x)$  in  $E$   $\iff Df(x) = 0$ .*

*Proof.*  $\implies$  : By the previous corollary, if there exists an extension field  $E$  of  $F$  and a multiple root of  $f(x)$  in  $E$ , then  $f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$  are not relatively prime in  $F[x]$ . In this case, since  $f(x)$  is irreducible, it must be that  $f(x)$  divides  $Df(x)$ . Hence, if  $Df(x) \neq 0$ , then  $\deg Df(x) \geq \deg f(x)$ . But we have seen that either  $\deg Df(x) < \deg f(x)$  or  $Df(x) = 0$ . Thus, we must have  $Df(x) = 0$ .

$\impliedby$  : Clearly, if  $Df(x) = 0$ , then  $f(x)$  is a gcd of  $f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$ , hence  $f(x)$  and  $Df(x)$  are not relatively prime in  $F[x]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 0 and let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial. Then there does not exist an extension field  $E$  of  $F$  and a multiple root of  $f(x)$  in  $E$ . In particular, if  $E$  is an extension field of  $F$  such that  $f(x)$  factors into linear factors in  $E$ , say*

$$f(x) = c(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_n),$$

*then the  $\alpha_i$  are distinct, i.e. for  $i \neq j$ ,  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ .*  $\square$

If  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ , then it is possible for an irreducible polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  to have a multiple root in some extension field, but it takes a little effort to produce such examples. The basic example arises as follows: consider the field  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ , where  $t$  is an indeterminate (here we could replace  $\mathbb{F}_p$  by any field of characteristic  $p$ ). Then  $t$  is not a  $p^{\text{th}}$  power in  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ , and in fact one can show that the polynomial  $x^p - t$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)[x]$ . Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$  which contains a root  $\alpha$  of  $x^p - t$ , so that by definition  $\alpha^p = t$ . Then

$$x^p - t = x^p - \alpha^p = (x - \alpha)^p,$$

because we are in characteristic  $p$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is a multiple root of  $x^p - t$ , of multiplicity  $p$ .

The key property of the field  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$  which made the above example work was that  $t$  was not a  $p^{\text{th}}$  power in  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ . More generally, define a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$  to be *perfect* if every element of  $F$  is a  $p^{\text{th}}$  power, or equivalently if the Frobenius homomorphism  $F \rightarrow F$  is surjective. For example, we shall show below that a finite field is perfect. An algebraically closed field is also perfect. We also declare every field of characteristic zero to be perfect. By a problem on HW, if  $F$  is a perfect field and  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is an irreducible polynomial, then there does not exist an extension field  $E$  of  $F$  and a multiple root of  $f(x)$  in  $E$ .



## 4 Finite fields

Our goal in this section is to classify finite fields up to isomorphism and, given two finite fields, to describe when one of them is isomorphic to a subfield of the other. We begin with some general remarks about finite fields.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field. As the additive group  $(\mathbb{F}, +)$  is finite,  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0$  for some prime  $p$ . Thus  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a subfield isomorphic to the prime field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , which we will identify with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is finite, it is clearly a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $\#(\mathbb{F}) = p^n$ . It is traditional to use the letter  $q$  to denote a prime power  $p^n$  in this context.

We note that the multiplicative group  $(\mathbb{F}^*, \cdot)$  is cyclic. If  $\gamma$  is a generator, then every nonzero element of  $\mathbb{F}$  is a power of  $\gamma$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p(\gamma)$  is a simple extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

With  $\#(\mathbb{F}) = p^n = q$  as above, by Lagrange's theorem, since  $\mathbb{F}^*$  is a finite group of order  $q - 1$ , for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$ ,  $\alpha^{q-1} = 1$ . Hence  $\alpha^q = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , since clearly  $0^q = 0$ . Thus every element of  $\mathbb{F}$  is a root of the polynomial  $x^q - x$ .

Since  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p$ , the function  $\sigma_p: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is a homomorphism, the *Frobenius homomorphism*. Clearly  $\text{Ker } \sigma_p = \{0\}$  since  $\alpha^p = 0 \iff \alpha = 0$ , and hence  $\sigma_p$  is injective. (In fact, this is always true for homomorphisms from a field to a nonzero ring.) As  $\mathbb{F}$  is **finite**, since  $\sigma_p$  is injective, it is also surjective and hence an isomorphism (by the pigeonhole principle). Thus, every element of  $\mathbb{F}$  is a  $p^{\text{th}}$  power, so that  $\mathbb{F}$  is perfect as defined above. Note that every power  $\sigma_p^k$  is also an isomorphism. We have

$$\sigma_p^2(\alpha) = \sigma_p(\sigma_p(\alpha)) = \sigma_p(\alpha^p) = (\alpha^p)^p = \alpha^{p^2},$$

and so  $\sigma_p^2 = \sigma_{p^2}$ , where by definition  $\sigma_{p^2}(\alpha) = \alpha^{p^2}$ . More generally, an easy induction shows that  $\sigma_p^k = \sigma_{p^k}$ , where by definition  $\sigma_{p^k}(\alpha) = \alpha^{p^k}$ . In particular, taking  $k = n$ , where  $\#(\mathbb{F}) = q = p^n$ , we see that  $\sigma_q(\alpha) = \alpha^q = \alpha$ . Thus  $\sigma_q = \text{Id}$ . (**Warning:** although  $\alpha^q = \alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , it is **not** true that  $x^q - x \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  is the zero polynomial.)

With this said, we can now state the classification theorem for finite fields:

**Theorem 4.1** (Classification of finite fields). *Let  $p$  be a prime number.*

- (i) *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $q = p^n$  elements.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathbb{F}_1$  and  $\mathbb{F}_2$  are two finite fields with  $\#(\mathbb{F}_1) = \#(\mathbb{F}_2)$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_1$  and  $\mathbb{F}_2$  are isomorphic.*

- (iii) Let  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{F}'$  be two finite fields, with  $\#(\mathbb{F}) = q = p^n$  and  $\#(\mathbb{F}') = q' = p^m$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}'$  is isomorphic to a subfield of  $\mathbb{F} \iff m$  divides  $n \iff q = (q')^d$  for some positive integer  $d$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove (i). Viewing the polynomial  $x^q - x$  as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , we know that there exists an extension field  $E$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $x^q - x$  is a product of linear factors in  $E[x]$ , say

$$x^q - x = (x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_q)$$

where the  $\alpha_i \in E$ . We claim that the  $\alpha_i$  are all distinct:  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  for some  $i \neq j \iff x^q - x$  has a multiple root in  $E \iff x^q - x$  and  $D(x^q - x)$  are not relatively prime in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . But  $D(x^q - x) = qx^{q-1} - 1 = -1$ , since  $q$  is a power of  $p$  and hence divisible by  $p$ . Thus the gcd of  $x^q - x$  and  $D(x^q - x)$  divides  $-1$  and hence is a unit, so that  $x^q - x$  and  $D(x^q - x)$  are relatively prime. It follows that  $x^q - x$  does not have any multiple roots in  $E$ .

Now define the subset  $\mathbb{F}$  of  $E$  by

$$\mathbb{F} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\} = \{\alpha \in E : \alpha^q - \alpha = 0\} = \{\alpha \in E : \alpha^q = \alpha\}.$$

By what we have seen above,  $\#(\mathbb{F}) = q$ . Moreover, we claim that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a subfield of  $E$ , and hence is a field with  $q$  elements. It suffices to show that  $\mathbb{F}$  is closed under addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. This follows since  $\sigma_q$  is a homomorphism. Hence, if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ , i.e. if  $\alpha^q = \alpha$  and  $\beta^q = \beta$ , then  $(\alpha \pm \beta)^q = \alpha^q \pm \beta^q = \alpha \pm \beta$ ,  $(\alpha\beta)^q = \alpha^q\beta^q = \alpha\beta$ , and, if  $\beta \neq 0$ , then  $(\alpha/\beta)^q = \alpha^q/\beta^q = \alpha/\beta$ . In other words, then  $\alpha \pm \beta$ ,  $\alpha\beta$ , and (for  $\beta \neq 0$ )  $\alpha/\beta$  are all in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{F}$  is a subfield of  $E$ , and in particular it is a field with  $q$  elements. (Remark:  $\mathbb{F}$  is the *fixed field* of  $\sigma_q$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{F} = \{\alpha \in E : \sigma_q(\alpha) = \alpha\}$ .)

Next we prove (iii). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{F}'$  be two finite fields with  $\#(\mathbb{F}) = q = p^n$  and  $\#(\mathbb{F}') = q' = p^m$ . Clearly, if  $\mathbb{F}'$  is isomorphic to a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ , which we can identify with  $\mathbb{F}'$ , then  $\mathbb{F}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}'$ -vector space. Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is finite, it is finite-dimensional as an  $\mathbb{F}'$ -vector space. Let  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{F}'} \mathbb{F} = [\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{F}']$ . Then  $p^n = q = \#(\mathbb{F}) = (q')^d = p^{md}$ , proving that  $m$  divides  $n$  and that  $q$  is a power of  $q'$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  is the finite field with  $q = p^n$  elements constructed in the proof of (i), so that  $x^q - x$  factors into linear factors in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}'$  be a finite field with  $\#(\mathbb{F}') = q' = p^m$  and suppose that  $q = p^n = (q')^d$ , or equivalently  $n = md$ . We shall show first that  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a subfield isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}'$  and then that every field with  $q$  elements is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}$ , proving the converse part of (iii) as well as (ii).

As we saw in the remarks before the statement of Theorem 4.1, there exists a  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}'$  such that  $\mathbb{F}' = \mathbb{F}_p(\beta)$ . Since  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}'$ ,  $\sigma_{q'}(\beta) = (\beta)^{q'} = \beta$ , and

hence  $\sigma_q(\beta) = \sigma_q^d(\beta) = \beta$ . Thus  $\beta$  is a root of  $x^q - x$ . Hence  $\text{irr}(\beta, \mathbb{F}_p, x)$  divides  $x^q - x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . On the other hand,  $x^q - x$  factors into linear factors in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ , so that one of these linear factors must divide  $\text{irr}(\beta, \mathbb{F}_p, x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ . It follows that there exists a root  $\gamma$  of  $\text{irr}(\beta, \mathbb{F}_p, x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Since  $\text{irr}(\beta, \mathbb{F}_p, x)$  is a monic irreducible polynomial and  $\gamma$  is a root of  $\text{irr}(\beta, \mathbb{F}_p, x)$ , we must have  $\text{irr}(\gamma, \mathbb{F}_p, x) = \text{irr}(\beta, \mathbb{F}_p, x)$ . Let  $p(x) = \text{irr}(\gamma, \mathbb{F}_p, x) = \text{irr}(\beta, \mathbb{F}_p, x)$ . Then since  $\mathbb{F}' = \mathbb{F}_p(\beta)$ ,  $\text{ev}_\beta$  induces an isomorphism  $\tilde{\text{ev}}_\beta: \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(p(x)) \cong \mathbb{F}'$ . On the other hand, we have  $\text{ev}_\gamma: \mathbb{F}_p[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , with  $\text{Ker ev}_\gamma = (p(x))$  as well, so there is an induced injective homomorphism  $\tilde{\text{ev}}_\gamma: \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(p(x)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ . The situation is summarized in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(p(x)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{ev}}_\gamma} & \mathbb{F} \\ \tilde{\text{ev}}_\beta \downarrow \cong & & \\ \mathbb{F}' & & \end{array}$$

The homomorphism  $\tilde{\text{ev}}_\gamma \circ (\tilde{\text{ev}}_\beta)^{-1}$  is then an injective homomorphism from  $\mathbb{F}'$  to  $\mathbb{F}$  and thus identifies  $\mathbb{F}'$  with a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ . This proves the converse direction of (iii), for the specific field  $\mathbb{F}$  constructed in (i), and hence for any field which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  is the specific field with  $q$  elements constructed in the proof of (i) and that  $\mathbb{F}_1$  is another finite field with  $q$  elements. By what we have proved so far above,  $\mathbb{F}_1$  is isomorphic to a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ , i.e. there is an injective homomorphism  $\rho: \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ . But since  $\mathbb{F}_1$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  have the same number of elements,  $\rho$  is necessarily an isomorphism, i.e.  $\mathbb{F}_1 \cong \mathbb{F}$ . Hence, if  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is yet another field with  $q$  elements, then also  $\mathbb{F}_2 \cong \mathbb{F}$  and hence  $\mathbb{F}_1 \cong \mathbb{F}_2$ , proving (ii). Finally, the converse direction of (iii) now holds for every field with  $q$  elements, since every such field is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}$ .  $\square$

If  $q = p^n$ , we often write  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to denote any field with  $q$  elements. Since any two such fields are isomorphic, we often speak of **the** field with  $q$  elements.

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $q = p^n$ . The polynomial  $x^q - x$  is reducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . For example, for every  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $x - a$  is a factor of  $x^q - x$ . Using Theorem 4.1, one can show that the irreducible monic factors of  $x^q - x$  are exactly the irreducible monic polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  of degree  $m$ , where  $m$  divides  $n$ . From this, one can show the following beautiful formula: let  $N_p(m)$  be the number of irreducible monic polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  of degree  $m$ . Then

$$\sum_{d|n} dN_p(d) = p^n.$$