

CMPS 396W - Homework 1

Joseph Khoury — 201821111

February 27, 2018

1 Problem 1

In this problem we need to fix mutex to be live using Eshmun

We have two processes; each process can have 3 different states

Ni: Neutral state where process i does not require critical section

Ti: Trying state where process i request entry to the critical section

Ci: Process i is in the critical section

We added F that relate to fairness.

Using Eshmun tool we need to solve this problem by making sure that our solution satisfies the liveness property and mutual exclusion.

Liveness : This means that for all paths we have, if process 1 is trying $T1$ eventually process 1 will enter the critical section $C1$. This case also applies to process 2.

Mutual Exclusion : To satisfy this rule we need to avoid having a state where both process 1 and 2 are at the same time in the critical section.

In my solution I managed to satisfy the above two rules; Liveness and Mutual Exclusion. And the model was correct.

Example of a possible scenario:

- S0 : both process 1 and 2 are in the neutral state.
- S2 : process 1 is neutral process 2 trying.
- S4.1 : both processes are trying with fairness to process 1 after process 2 leaves critical section.
- S8 : process 1 trying process 2 in the critical section and fairness for process 1.
- S7 : process 1 trying and process 2 in critical section.
- S1 : process 1 trying and process 2 neutral.
- S4.2 : both processes are trying with fairness to process 2 after process 1 leaves critical section.
- S9 : process 1 in critical section process 2 trying fairness for process 2.
- S6 : process 1 in critical section process 2 trying.

- S2 : process 1 neutral process 2 trying.

Please find as attachment in the zip file a picture for Eshmun.

2 Problem 2

First possible case:

i- $f = \text{FFFFF} \dots \text{FF}$ p if number of F's = N then the formula means eventually $F \dots Fp$ with number of F's = N-1 will hold. By recursing on the F's we will get at last that p will eventually holds. so $\text{FFF} \dots \text{FF}p = Fp$.

ii- $f = \text{GGG} \dots \text{GG}p = \text{globally } G \dots Gp$ will globally be true, if we follow the same concept as $\text{FF} \dots Fp$ we can say that globally p is true. so $\text{GGG} \dots \text{GG}p = Gp$

iii- $f = FGp = \text{eventually we will reach a state where after it p will always hold } Gp$.

iv- $f = GFp = \text{Globally } Fp \text{ is true , each } Fp \text{ means that eventually p will always be true.}$

v- $f = FGFP$ this means that eventually GFp will holds and Fp globally holds and p eventually holds due to the same meaning $FGFP$ can be reduced to GFp

vi- $f = GFGp$ so FGp globally holds and Gp eventually holds where p will globally holds. so as 'v' due to the meaning $GFGp$ can be reduced to FGp

Now any formula can be reduced to one of the previous reductions.

Example :

$\text{FGFGFGFG} \dots \text{FGFP}$ can be reduced to GFp by 'v'

$\text{GFGFG} \dots \text{GFGp}$ can be reduced to FGp by 'vi'