Gradient Tracking based Decentralized Bayesian Learning: Scalability Enhancement and Data-Heterogeneity Awareness in Gradient Tracking based Decentralized Bayesian Learning (Appendix)

Proof of Theorem 1

Pre-multiplying (7) with $(M \otimes I_{d_w})$ where $M = I_n - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^{\top}$ the combined dynamics of $\Psi_k \triangleq [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k^{\top}, \alpha \mathbf{d}_k^{\top}]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2nd_w}$ from (7) and (8) can be written as

$$\Psi_{k+1} = \mathcal{W}\Psi_k + \mathbf{e}_k \tag{24}$$

where
$$\mathcal{W} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{W}_{\beta} \otimes I_{d_w}) & -n(M \otimes I_{d_w}) \\ \mathbf{0}_{nd_w} & (\mathcal{W}_{\gamma} \otimes I_{d_w}) \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\mathbf{e}_k \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2\alpha n}(M \otimes I_{d_w})\mathbf{v}_k \\ \alpha(\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k) \end{bmatrix}$. Taking the norm of (24) yields

$$\|\Psi_{k+1}\| \le (1 - \beta\lambda_2)\|\Psi_k\| + \|\mathbf{e}_k\|,\tag{25}$$

where we use the results $\|\mathcal{W}\| \leq 1 - \beta \lambda_2$. Also, we have

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\|^2 = 2\alpha n \|\mathbf{v}_k\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2$$
 (26)

where we used $||M \otimes I_{d_w}|| \le 1$. Squaring (25) and applying the identity $(x+y)^2 \le (\theta+1)x^2 + (\frac{x+1}{r})y^2$ for any $\theta > 0$ (with $\theta = (1-\beta\lambda_2)^{-1} - 1 > 0$) yields

$$\|\Psi_{k+1}\|^2 \le (1 - \beta\lambda_2)\|\Psi_k\|^2 + \frac{1}{\beta\lambda_2}\|\mathbf{e}_k\|^2,\tag{27}$$

$$\leq (1 - \beta \lambda_2) \|\Psi_k\|^2 + \frac{1}{\beta \lambda_2} \Big(2\alpha n \|\mathbf{v}_k\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2 \Big), \tag{28}$$

where we substituted (26) in (28). Next, we need to establish a bound for $\|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2$.

$$\|\mathbf{g}'_{k+1} - \mathbf{g}'_{k}\|^{2} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\left(\frac{M_{i}}{m_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} L_{i,j} + \frac{L'_{i}}{n} \right)^{2} \|\mathbf{w}_{i,k+1} - \mathbf{w}_{i,k}\|^{2} \right]$$
(29)

Let $\mathcal{B}_k \in \mathbf{B}$ be the randomness generated by the stochastic gradients and define $Y_i \triangleq \left(\frac{M_i}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} L_{ij} + \frac{L'_i}{n}\right)$. Then, taking the expectation of (29) w.r.t. \mathbf{B} gives

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \| \mathbf{g}'_{k+1} - \mathbf{g}'_{k} \|^{2} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} [Y_{i}^{2}] \times \| \mathbf{w}_{i,k+1} - \mathbf{w}_{i,k} \|^{2} \right], \tag{30}$$

Applying the result from (82) in Lemma 6 to (30) yields

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2 \le L_1^2 \|\mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}_k\|^2.$$
(31)

Again, from (7) we can write

$$\mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}_{k} = \beta(\mathcal{L} \otimes I_{d_{w}}) \mathbf{w}_{k} - \alpha n \mathbf{d}_{k} + \sqrt{2\alpha n} \mathbf{v}_{k},$$

$$= \beta(\mathcal{L} \otimes I_{d_{w}}) (\mathbf{w}_{k} - \mathbf{1}_{n} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{k}) - \alpha n \mathbf{d}_{k} + \sqrt{2\alpha n} \mathbf{v}_{k},$$

$$= \beta(\mathcal{L} \otimes I_{d_{w}}) \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{k} - \alpha n \mathbf{d}_{k} + \sqrt{2\alpha n} \mathbf{v}_{k}.$$
(32)

In (32) we use the fact that $(\mathcal{L} \otimes I_{d_w})(\mathbf{1}_n \otimes \bar{\mathbf{w}}_k) = \mathbf{0}_{nd_w}$. Then, taking the norm of (33) yields

$$\|\mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}_k\| \le \beta \lambda_n \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\| + n\|\alpha \mathbf{d}_k\| + \sqrt{2\alpha n}\|\mathbf{v}_k\| \le n\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\| + n\|\alpha \mathbf{d}_k\| + \sqrt{2\alpha n}\|\mathbf{v}_k\|, \quad (34)$$

where we use first inequality in Condition 1. Squaring (34) and noting that $\|\Psi_k\|^2 = \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\|^2 + \|\alpha \mathbf{d}_k\|^2$ gives

$$\|\mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}_k\|^2 \le 4n^2 \|\Psi_k\|^2 + 4\alpha n \|\mathbf{v}_k\|^2.$$
(35)

Thereby, substituting (33) in(31) results in

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2 \le 4n^2 L_1^2 \|\Psi_k\|^2 + 4\alpha n L_1^2 \|\mathbf{v}_k\|^2. \tag{36}$$

Taking $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[\cdot]$ of (28) and substituting (36) in it gives

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[\|\Psi_{k+1}\|^2] \le \left(1 - \beta\lambda_2 + \frac{4\alpha^2 n^2 L_1^2}{\beta\lambda_2}\right) \|\Psi_k\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha n(1 + 2\alpha^2 L_1^2)}{\beta\lambda_2} \|\mathbf{v}_k\|^2, \tag{37}$$

Finally, taking the total expectation of (37) yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_{k+1}\|^2] \le \left(1 - \beta\lambda_2 + \frac{4\alpha^2 n^2 L_1^2}{\beta\lambda_2}\right) \mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_k\|^2] + \frac{2\alpha n^2 d_w (1 + 2\alpha^2 L_1^2)}{\beta\lambda_2},\tag{38}$$

where $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{v}_k\|^2] \leq nd_w$. Note, that $\left(1 - \beta\lambda_2 + \frac{4\alpha^2n^2L_1^2}{\beta\lambda_2}\right) \in (0,1)$ from condition 1, which assures the convergence of $\mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_{k+1}\|^2]$. Further, iteratively using (38) we establish the rate of consensus for the proposed GT-DULA which is presented in Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2

We start off with the average dynamics generated by the GT-DULA. From (5) and noting that $d_{i,0} = \hat{g}_{i,0}$, it is trivial that $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} d_{i,k} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \hat{g}_{i,k}$. Thereafter, from (4), the following average dynamics can be established.

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k - \alpha \boldsymbol{G}_k + \sqrt{2\alpha} \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_k, \tag{39}$$

where $G_k \triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \hat{g}_{i,k}$ and $\bar{v} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{d_w}, I_{d_w})$. Next, we split the gradient term G_k as

$$G_k = \overline{\nabla E}_k + \xi_k + \zeta_k, \tag{40}$$

where
$$\overline{\nabla E}_k \triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \nabla E_i(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k), \xi_k \triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\widehat{\nabla E}_i(\boldsymbol{w}_{i,k}) - \widehat{\nabla E}_i(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k)\right)$$
 and $\zeta_k \triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\widehat{\nabla E}_i(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k) - \widehat{\nabla E}_i(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k)\right)$

 $\nabla E_i(\bar{w}_k)$). Hence, in essence, $\overline{\nabla}E_k$ represents the gradient computed at the average sample, ξ_k encompasses the deviation of due to local gradients and is a consequence of the distributed learning setup, and ζ_k is the error incurred by mini-batch gradients. Also, note that since the stochastic gradient $\widehat{\nabla}E_i(\cdot)$ is an unbiased estimator of the true gradient $\nabla E_i(\cdot)$, we have $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[\zeta_k] = \mathbf{0}_{d_w}$. From our succeeding analysis we can conclude that as long as the sources of additional deviation of the net gradient in (39) from $\overline{\nabla}E$ are bounded, the resulting algorithm asymptotically converges to some neighborhood of the target distribution.

With (40) in mind, (39) can be written as a stochastic differential equation in continuous-time as below.

$$d\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t) = -\boldsymbol{G}_k dt + \sqrt{2}d\boldsymbol{B}(t) = -\left(\overline{\nabla}E_k + \xi_k + \zeta_k\right)dt + \sqrt{2}d\boldsymbol{B}(t), \tag{41}$$

where $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$ such that continuous time $t_k = \alpha k$ corresponds to discrete-time instant k for any $k \geq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{B}(t)$ is a d_w -dimensional Brownian motion. Next, defining $\boldsymbol{y}_{1,k} \triangleq \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k, \, \boldsymbol{y}_{2,k} \triangleq \tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_k, \, \boldsymbol{y}_{3,k} \triangleq \mathcal{B}_k$ and $\boldsymbol{y}_k \triangleq [\boldsymbol{y}_{1,k}^\top, \boldsymbol{y}_{2,k}^\top, \boldsymbol{y}_{3,k}^\top]^\top$ and following a similar approach as in (33) of Bhar et al. (2022) we can write down the Fokker-Planck (FP) equation for (41) which gives the continuous-time evolution of the distribution of $\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t)$ as

$$\frac{\partial p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left[\int \sum_{\mathbf{B}} p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t)|\boldsymbol{y}_k) \left(-\overline{\nabla} E_k - \xi_k \right) p(\boldsymbol{y}_k) d\boldsymbol{y}_k \right] + \nabla^2 p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t)), \tag{42}$$

where we used the fact that $\sum_{\mathbb{B}} \zeta_k p(y_{k,3}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{B}}[\zeta_k] = \mathbf{0}_{d_w}$. Thereafter, proceeding with (42) in the same way as in (S101)-(S125) from Parayil et al. (2020) yields

$$\dot{F}\Big(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))\Big) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left\|\nabla\log\left(\frac{p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))}{p^*(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))}\right)\right\|^2 + \iint \left\|\overline{\nabla}E_t - \overline{\nabla}E_k\right\|^2 p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))d\boldsymbol{y}_k + \iint \|\xi_k\|^2 p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))d\boldsymbol{y}_k, \tag{43}$$

where $\overline{\nabla E}_t \triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \nabla E_i(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))$. Next, we derive the bounds for $\mathbb{E} \|\overline{\nabla E}_t - \overline{\nabla E}_k\|^2$, $\mathbb{E} \|\xi_k\|^2$ and $\mathbb{E} \|\zeta_k\|^2$ individually. First, from Assumption 1, we have

$$\|\xi_k\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\widehat{\nabla E}_i(\boldsymbol{w}_{i,k}) - \widehat{\nabla E}_i(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k) \right) \right\|^2 \le n \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(Y_i^2 \|\boldsymbol{w}_{i,k} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k\|^2 \right), \tag{44}$$

where Y_i is defined in Lemma 6. Taking the expectation of (44) w.r.t. **B** and thereby applying (82) from Lemma 6 we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[\|\xi_k\|^2] \le nL_1^2 \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\|^2,\tag{45}$$

which after marginalizing w.r.t. y_k yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\xi_k\|^2] \le nL_1^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\|^2],\tag{46}$$

Next, we analyze $\left\| \overline{\nabla E}_t - \overline{\nabla E}_k \right\|^2$.

$$\left\| \overline{\nabla E}_t - \overline{\nabla E}_k \right\|^2 \le n \overline{L}^2 \| \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t) - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t_k) \|^2, \tag{47}$$

where $\bar{L}^2 \triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M_i} L_{ij} + \frac{L_i'}{n} \right)^2$. Integrating (41) from t_k to $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$ gives

$$\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t) - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t_k)\|^2 \le \|-\boldsymbol{G}_k(t - t_k) + \sqrt{2} (\boldsymbol{B}(t) - \boldsymbol{B}(t_k))\|^2,$$

$$\le 2\|\boldsymbol{B}(t) - \boldsymbol{B}(t_k)\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{G}_k(t - t_k)\|^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\boldsymbol{S}_k,$$
(48)

$$\leq 2\|\boldsymbol{B}(t) - \boldsymbol{B}(t_k)\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\boldsymbol{G}_k\|^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\boldsymbol{S}_k, \tag{49}$$

where $S_k \triangleq \left(\boldsymbol{B}(t) - \boldsymbol{B}(t_k) \right)^{\top} \left(\boldsymbol{G}_k(t - t_k) \right)$. In (49) we use $t - t_k < t_{k+1} - t_k = \alpha$ for any $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$. Substituting (49) in (47) results in

$$\left\| \overline{\nabla E}_t - \overline{\nabla E}_k \right\|^2 \le n \overline{L}^2 \left[2 \| \boldsymbol{B}(t) - \boldsymbol{B}(t_k) \|^2 + \alpha^2 \| \boldsymbol{G}_k \|^2 - 2\sqrt{2} \boldsymbol{S}_k \right], \tag{50}$$

Now, the $\|\boldsymbol{G}_k\|^2$ can be bound as

$$\|\boldsymbol{G}_{k}\|^{2} = \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \widehat{\nabla E}_{i}(\boldsymbol{w}_{i,k}) \right\|^{2} = \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\widehat{\nabla E}_{i}(\boldsymbol{w}_{i,k}) - \widehat{\nabla E}_{i}(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}) + \widehat{\nabla E}_{i}(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}) - \widehat{\nabla E}_{i}(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}) \right) \right\|^{2},$$

$$\leq 2 \|\xi_{k}\|^{2} + 2 \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\widehat{\nabla E}_{i}(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}) - \widehat{\nabla E}_{i}(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}) \right) \right\|^{2} \leq 2 \|\xi_{k}\|^{2} + 2n \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} Y_{i}^{2} \right) \|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k} - \hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}\|^{2},$$

$$\leq 2n \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(Y_{i}^{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_{i,k} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2} \right) + 4n \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} Y_{i}^{2} \right) \left(\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2} + \|\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}\|^{2} \right), \tag{51}$$

where we used the bound from (44) and \hat{w}^* is some local extremum of $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \widehat{\nabla E}_i(\cdot)$, i.e., $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \widehat{\nabla E}_i(\hat{w}^*) = \mathbf{0}_{d_w}$. Thereafter, taking the expectation w.r.t. \mathbf{B} of (51) and using (82) and (83) in Lemma 6 yields

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[\|\boldsymbol{G}_{k}\|^{2}] \le 2nL_{1}^{2}\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{k}\|^{2} + 4nL_{2}^{2}(\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2} + \|\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}\|^{2}), \tag{52}$$

Next, taking $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[\cdot]$ of (50) and substituting (52) yields

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \left\| \overline{\nabla E}_{t} - \overline{\nabla E}_{k} \right\|^{2} \leq 2n\bar{L}^{2} \|\boldsymbol{B}(t) - \boldsymbol{B}(t_{k})\|^{2} + 2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} \|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2}$$
(53)

where $\boldsymbol{S}_k' \triangleq \Big(\boldsymbol{B}(t) - \boldsymbol{B}(t_k)\Big)^{\top} \Big(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[\boldsymbol{G}_k](t - t_k)\Big)$. Again, marginalizing (53) w.r.t. \boldsymbol{y}_k gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\overline{\nabla E}_{t} - \overline{\nabla E}_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq 2n\alpha \bar{L}^{2} d_{w} + 2n^{2} \alpha^{2} L_{1}^{2} \bar{L}^{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{k}\|^{2}] + 4n^{2} \alpha^{2} L_{2}^{2} \bar{L}^{2} C_{\bar{w}} + 4n^{2} \alpha^{2} L_{2}^{2} \bar{L}^{2} C_{\hat{w}^{*}}, \tag{54}$$

where $\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{w}^*\|^2] \leq C_{\hat{w}^*}$ for any choice of stochastic gradient. For details on the derivation of (54), refer to (S135)-(S141) in Parayil et al. (2020). Finally, incorporating (46) and (54) in (43) yields

$$\dot{F}\Big(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))\Big) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla \log \left(\frac{p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))}{p^*(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))} \right) \right\|^2 + 2n\alpha \bar{L}^2 d_w + (2n^2\alpha^2 L_1^2 \bar{L}^2 + nL_1^2)\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\|^2] \\
+ 4n^2\alpha^2 L_2^2 \bar{L}^2 C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}} + 4n^2\alpha^2 L_2^2 \bar{L}^2 C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^*}, \\
\leq -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla \log \left(\frac{p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))}{p^*(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))} \right) \right\|^2 + (2n^2\alpha^2 L_1^2 \bar{L}^2 + nL_1^2)\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\|^2] + f, \tag{55}$$

where $f \triangleq 2n\alpha \bar{L}^2 d_w + 4n^2\alpha^2 L_2^2 \bar{L}^2 C_{\bar{w}} + 4n^2\alpha^2 L_2^2 \bar{L}^2 C_{\hat{w}^*}$. Here we utilize the LSI assumption in Assumption 3 and putting $g(\bar{w}) = \frac{p(\bar{w}(t))}{p^*(\bar{w}(t))}$ results in the following results

$$F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))\right) \le \frac{1}{2\rho_U} \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla \log \left(\frac{p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))}{p^*(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))} \right) \right\|^2.$$
 (56)

Using (56) in (55) yields

$$\dot{F}\Big(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))\Big) \le -\rho_U F\Big(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t))\Big) + f + (2n^2\alpha^2 L_1^2 \bar{L}^2 + nL_1^2) \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_k\|^2]. \tag{57}$$

Next, integrating (57) w.r.t t within $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$ and utilizing $t_{k+1} - t_k < \alpha$ gives us the evolution of the KL divergence of the posterior generated by GT-DULA samples as follows.

$$F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1})\right) \leq \exp(-\alpha\rho_U)F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k)\right) + \frac{1 - \exp(-\alpha\rho_U)}{\rho_U} \left[f_k + (2n^2\alpha^2L_1^2\bar{L}^2 + nL_1^2)\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_k\|^2]\right]. \tag{58}$$

Using (58) iteratively yields

$$F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1})\right) \leq \exp(-\alpha\rho_{U}(k+1))F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{0})\right) + \frac{1 - \exp(-\alpha\rho_{U})}{\rho_{U}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \left[\exp(-\alpha\rho_{U}(k-\ell)) \times \left(f + (2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} + nL_{1}^{2})\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{\ell}\|^{2}]\right)\right],$$

$$\leq \exp(-\alpha\rho_{U}(k+1))F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{0})\right) + \frac{f}{\rho_{U}} + \frac{2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} + nL_{1}^{2}}{\rho_{U}}\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2}], \quad (59)$$

where we used $\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\ell}\|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{k}\|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_{k}\|^2]$ for any $\ell \in [0,k]$ and $\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \exp(-\alpha \rho_{U}(k-\ell)) \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\alpha \rho_{U}\ell) = \frac{1}{1-\exp(-\alpha \rho_{U})}$. Finally, substituting (13) in (59), we get the rate of convergence of the KL divergence of the generated posteriors which is presented in Theorem 2

Proof of Corollary 3

From (17), $F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1})\right) \leq \epsilon$ can be satisfied if (i) $\exp(-\alpha \rho_U(k+1))F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_0)\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{5}$, (ii) $C_d \sigma^k \leq \frac{\epsilon}{5}$ and (iii) $O_{GT} \leq \frac{3\epsilon}{5}$. (i) and (ii) respectively give the minimum k values in (21). Finally, (iii) can be satisfied if the we simultaneously satisfy the following conditions

$$\frac{8d_w L_1^4 \bar{L}^2 n^4 \alpha^5}{(1 - \sigma)\rho_U \beta \lambda_2} + \frac{4d_w L_1^2 \bar{L}^2 n^4 \alpha^4}{(1 - \sigma)\rho_U \beta \lambda_2} + \frac{4d_w L_1^4 n^3 \alpha^3}{(1 - \sigma)\rho_U \beta \lambda_2} \le \frac{\epsilon}{5},\tag{60}$$

$$\frac{4L_2^2 \bar{L}^2 (C_{\bar{w}} + C_{\hat{w}^*}) n^2 \alpha^2}{\rho_U} \le \frac{\epsilon}{5},\tag{61}$$

$$\frac{2d_w L_1^2 n^3 \alpha}{(1-\sigma)\rho_U \beta \lambda_2} + \frac{2d_w \bar{L}^2 n \alpha}{\rho_U} \le \frac{\epsilon}{5},\tag{62}$$

each of which result in bounds in (20) respectively.

Proof of Theorem 4

From (8) we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{k+1} = (\mathcal{W}_{\gamma} \otimes I_{d_{w}})\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{k} + (\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k}) - \mathbf{1}_{n} \otimes (\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k}). \tag{63}$$

Taking the square of the norm of (63) yields

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{k+1}\|^{2} \leq (1 - \gamma \lambda_{2}) \|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{k}\|^{2} + \frac{2}{\gamma \lambda_{2}} \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k}\|^{2} + \frac{2n}{\gamma \lambda_{2}} \|\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k}\|, \tag{64}$$

and thereafter taking the total expectation of (64) gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{k+1}\|^2] \le (1 - \gamma \lambda_2) \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_k\|^2] + \frac{2}{\gamma \lambda_2} \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2] + \frac{2n}{\gamma \lambda_2} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\|], \tag{65}$$

Next, we derive bounds for the individual terms on the right hand side of (65). First, from (36) we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k\|^2] \le 4n^2 L_1^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_k\|^2] + 4\alpha n^2 L_1^2 d_w.$$
(66)

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\|^2] = \frac{L_2^2}{n} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k\|^2], \tag{67}$$

and from (39) we can write

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k = -\alpha \boldsymbol{G}_k + \sqrt{2\alpha} \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_k. \tag{68}$$

Thus, from (68)

$$\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_k\|^2 \le 2\alpha^2 \|\boldsymbol{G}_k\|^2 + 4\alpha \|\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_k\|^2. \tag{69}$$

Taking the total expectation of (69) and substituting (52) yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2}] \le 4n\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2}] + 8n\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}(C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}} + C_{\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}}) + 4n\alpha d_{w}, \tag{70}$$

where we also use $\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{v}_k\|^2] \leq nd_w$. Substituting (70) in (67) gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\|^2] \le 4\alpha^2 L_1^2 L_2^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_k\|^2] + 8\alpha^2 L_2^4 (C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}} + C_{\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^*}) + 4\alpha d_w L_2^2, \tag{71}$$

Finally, substituting (66) and (71) in (65) leads to

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{k+1}\|^{2}] \leq (1 - \gamma \lambda_{2}) \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{k}\|^{2}] + \frac{8nL_{1}^{2}(n + \alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2})}{\gamma \lambda_{2}} \mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_{k}\|^{2}] + \frac{16n\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{4}(C_{\bar{w}} + C_{\hat{w}^{*}})}{\gamma \lambda_{2}} + \frac{8n\alpha d_{w}(nL_{1}^{2} + L_{2}^{2})}{\gamma \lambda_{2}}, \tag{72}$$

where we used $\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_k\|^2]$. Next, iteratively using (72) yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{k+1}\|^{2}] \leq (1 - \gamma \lambda_{2})^{k+1} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{0}\|^{2}] + \frac{8nL_{1}^{2}(n + \alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2})}{\gamma^{2}\lambda_{2}^{2}} \mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_{k}\|^{2}] + \frac{16n\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{4}(C_{\bar{w}} + C_{\hat{w}^{*}})}{\gamma^{2}\lambda_{2}^{2}} + \frac{8n\alpha d_{w}(nL_{1}^{2} + L_{2}^{2})}{\gamma^{2}\lambda_{2}^{2}},$$
(73)

where we use $\mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_\ell\|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_k\|^2]$ for all $\ell \in [0,k]$ and $\sum_{\ell=0}^k (1-\gamma\lambda_2)^\ell < \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (1-\gamma\lambda_2)^\ell = \frac{1}{\gamma\lambda_2}$ has been used. Next, substituting (13) in (73) yields our final result for the gradient error presented in Theorem 4 below.

Useful Lemmas

Lemma 5 For any $k \ge 0$ the following bound holds.

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}(t_k)\|^2] \le C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}},\tag{74}$$

where

$$C_{\bar{w}} = \max \left\{ \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{0}\|^{2}], \frac{1}{\rho_{U}^{2} - 16n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}} \left(2\rho_{U}^{2}c_{1} + 4\rho_{U}F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{0})\right) + 8\left(n\alpha\bar{L}^{2}d_{w}\right) + 2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}}\right) + 4\left(2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} + nL_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_{0}\|^{2}] + B_{GT}\right) \right\}.$$

$$(75)$$

Proof: We follow a similar approach as used in Lemma S6 of Parayil et al. (2020) which uses induction to derive this bound. Assuming that $\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{\ell}\|^2] \leq C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}}$ for all $\ell \leq k$, we need to prove $\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1}\|^2] \leq C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}}$. From (S252) in Parayil et al. (2020), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1}\|^2] \le 2c_1 + \frac{4}{\rho_U} F(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1})), \tag{76}$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{p^*}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}\|^2] \leq c_1$. From (59), we can write

$$F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1})\right) \leq F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{0})\right) + \frac{1}{\rho_{U}}\left(2n\alpha\bar{L}^{2}d_{w} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}} + (2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} + nL_{1}^{2})\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\|^{2}]\right). \tag{77}$$

Note, in the right hand side of (77), we have used the bound $C_{\bar{w}}$ since it is assumed to hold up to $\ell \leq k$. Next, from Theorem 1, we can write $\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_k\|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\Phi_0\|^2] + B_{GT}$ which when substituted in (77) yields

$$F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1})\right) \leq F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{0})\right) + \frac{1}{\rho_{U}} \left(2n\alpha\bar{L}^{2}d_{w} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}} + (2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} + nL_{1}^{2})\left(\mathbb{E}[\|\Phi_{0}\|^{2}] + B_{GT}\right)\right). \tag{78}$$

Next, substituting (78) in (76) results in

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k+1}\|^{2}] \leq 2c_{1} + \frac{4}{\rho_{U}}F(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{0})) + \frac{4}{\rho_{U}^{2}}\left(2n\alpha\bar{L}^{2}d_{w} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}} + (2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} + nL_{1}^{2})\left(\mathbb{E}[\|\Phi_{0}\|^{2}] + B_{GT}\right)\right).$$

$$(79)$$

For induction, we need to enforce $\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{w}_{k+1}\|^2] \leq C_{\bar{w}}$, thus, from (79) we have

$$2c_{1} + \frac{4}{\rho_{U}}F\left(p(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_{0})\right) + \frac{4}{\rho_{U}^{2}}\left(2n\alpha\bar{L}^{2}d_{w} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}} + 4n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{2}^{2}\bar{L}^{2}C_{\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^{*}} + (2n^{2}\alpha^{2}L_{1}^{2}\bar{L}^{2} + nL_{1}^{2})\left(\mathbb{E}[\|\Phi_{0}\|^{2}] + B_{GT}\right)\right) \leq C_{\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}}.$$

$$(80)$$

i.e.,

$$\left(1 - \frac{16n^2\alpha^2 L_2^2 \bar{L}^2}{\rho_U^2}\right) C_{\bar{w}} \ge 2c_1 + \frac{4}{\rho_U} F\left(p(\bar{w}_0)\right) + \frac{4}{\rho_U^2} \left(2n\alpha \bar{L}^2 d_w + 4n^2\alpha^2 L_2^2 \bar{L}^2 C_{\hat{w}^*} + (2n^2\alpha^2 L_1^2 \bar{L}^2 + nL_1^2) \left(\mathbb{E}[\|\Phi_0\|^2] + B_{GT}\right)\right).$$
(81)

For $C_{\bar{w}}$ to exits we need $\alpha < \frac{\rho_U}{4nL_2\bar{L}}$, and the value in (75) follows from (81).

Lemma 6 For any $i \in \mathcal{V}$, let $Y_i \triangleq \left(\frac{M_i}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} L_{ij} + \frac{L_i'}{n}\right)$, then we have the following bounds.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[Y_i^2] \le L_1^2, \qquad \forall \ i \in \mathcal{V}, \tag{82}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}\left[\sum_{i\in\mathcal{V}}Y_i^2\right] \le L_2^2,\tag{83}$$

where L_1^2 and L_2^2 are defined in (89) and (90) respectively.

Proof: We have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[Y_i] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \left[\frac{M_i}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j} \right] + \frac{L_i'}{n}, \tag{84}$$

Now, it is obvious that for full gradient $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{M_i}{m_i}\sum_{j=1}^{m_i}L_{i,j}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{M_i}L_{ij}$. In the case of stochastic gradient, let the set of data in the mini-batch of the i-th agent at k-th time step be represented by $\mathcal{B}_{i,k}\in\mathbf{B}_i\subset\mathbf{B}$ where \mathbf{B}_i is the set of all possible mini-batches for the i-th agent. Then the total number of mini-batches possible for any $i\in\mathcal{V}$ is $|\mathbf{B}_i|\triangleq b_i=\binom{M_i}{m_i}$. Now, the probability of choosing any one of these is equal, i.e., $p(\mathcal{B}_{i,k})=b_i^{-1}$. Next, the number of mini-batches that would contain $x_i^j\in X_i$ is $\binom{M_i-1}{m_i-1}$. Thus, the term $L_{i,j}$ for any $j\in\{1,2,\ldots,M_i\}$ will show up in $\binom{M_i-1}{m_i-1}$ of the mini-batches in \mathbf{B}_i . Noting that $\mathbf{B}=\bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{V}}\mathbf{B}_i$ and $\mathbf{B}_i\cap\mathbf{B}_i=\emptyset$ for $i\neq j$, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \left[\frac{M_i}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}_i} \left[\frac{M_i}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j} \right],$$

$$= \frac{M_i}{m_i} b_i^{-1} \binom{M_i - 1}{m_i - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} L_{i,j} = \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} L_{i,j}.$$
(85)

Thus, substituting (85) in (84) gives

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[Y_i] = L_i + \frac{L_i'}{n},\tag{86}$$

where $L_i \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} L_{i,j}$. Next, we have

$$Var(Y_i) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \left[\left(\frac{M_i}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j} + \frac{L'_i}{n} - \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} L_{i,j} - \frac{L'_i}{n} \right)^2 \right], \tag{87}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \left[\left(\frac{M_i}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j} - \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} L_{i,j} \right)^2 \right] = \sigma_{L,i}^2.$$
 (88)

Note $\sigma_{L,i}^2$ sure to exist for all $i \in \mathcal{V}$ since the number of data points are fixed and finite, hence, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}\left[\left(\frac{M_i}{m_i}\sum_{j=1}^{m_i}L_{i,j}-\sum_{j=1}^{M_i}L_{i,j}\right)^2\right] \text{ is finite. Thus, } \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[Y_i^2]=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}}[Y_i]^2+\mathrm{Var}[Y_i] \leq \left(L_i+\frac{L_i'}{n}\right)^2+\sigma_{L,i}^2. \text{ Defining}$

$$L_1^2 \triangleq L^2 + \sigma_L^2,\tag{89}$$

where $L \triangleq \max_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left\{ L_i + \frac{L_i'}{n} \right\}$ and $\sigma_L^2 \triangleq \max_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \{ \sigma_{L,i}^2 \}$, we derive (82). Finally, using $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \left[\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} Y_i^2 \right] = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{B}} [Y_i^2]$ and denoting

$$L_2^2 \triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left(L_i + \frac{L_i'}{n} \right)^2 + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sigma_{L,i}^2. \tag{90}$$

the result in (83) can be derived.