

Lindblad

Ammar Husain

December 3, 2017

1 Lie Geometry of Density Matrix

Rather than parameterize ρ through matrix entries, parameterize it via an exponential family. Use the known importance of generalized Gibbs ensembles. Also reveals the Poisson geometry underlying Fisher metric.

2 Lindblad

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H, \rho(t)] + \sum_{k=1}^M L_k \rho(t) L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L_k^\dagger L_k, \rho(t)\}$$

The action of H on $V \otimes V^\dagger$ is given by coproduct and a sign flip for the V^\dagger (More generally the antipode, but in this case just -1).

$$\Delta H = H \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes H$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\rho} &\in V \otimes V^\dagger \\ \dot{\rho} &= (-i\Delta H + \mathcal{G})\rho \\ \mathcal{G} &= \sum_{m=0}^M \bar{L}_m \otimes L_m - \frac{1}{2} Id \otimes L_m^\dagger L_m - \frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_m^\dagger \bar{L}_m \otimes Id\end{aligned}$$

3 Effective Hamiltonian

3.1 Definition (H_{eff})

$$H_{eff} = H - i \sum_k \frac{\gamma_k}{2} L_k^\dagger L_k$$

The antiHermitian part is negative for $\gamma_k \geq 0$ in the sense of $L_k^\dagger L_k$ being a positive operator. In Lie algebraic terms $iH_{eff} \in \mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)_{\geq 0}^$*

3.2 Definition (Iwasawa Poisson-Lie Group) *The one coming from KAN decomposition. So for $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ regarded as a real Lie group, we get Hermitian and antiHermitian split. TODO: Write down this parameterization.*

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i(H_{eff}\rho - \rho H_{eff}^\dagger) + \sum_k \gamma_k L_k \rho L_k^\dagger$$

4 Lie Parameterization

Let $\rho =$

5 Examples

5.1 Example

$$\begin{aligned} H &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} &= -i[0, \rho] + \frac{\gamma}{2}(\sigma_z \rho \sigma_z - \frac{1}{2}\{\sigma_z^\dagger \sigma_z, \rho\}) \end{aligned}$$

5.2 Example

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \hbar\omega a^\dagger a \\ L_1 &= a \\ \gamma_1 &= \frac{\gamma}{2}(\bar{n} + 1) \\ L_2 &= a^\dagger \\ \gamma_2 &= \frac{\gamma}{2}(\bar{n}) \\ H_{eff} &= \hbar\omega a^\dagger a - i\frac{\gamma}{4}((\bar{n} + 1)a^\dagger a + (\bar{n})aa^\dagger) \\ &= \hbar\omega a^\dagger a - i\frac{\gamma}{4}((2\bar{n} + 1)a^\dagger a + \bar{n}) \end{aligned}$$

6 Hochschild Homology

https://books.google.com/books?id=_9UBCAAAQBAJ&pg=PA88&lpg=PA88&dq=lindblad+hochschild&source=bl&ots=HI7IaXfgEe&sig=k0u64rDabBZnV024_gnlgv0pc14&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwictbSF7MTXAhUKjv=onepage&q=lindblad%20hochschild&f=false

7 Quantum Chemistry

<https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/handle/1/4646014/0807.0929v2.pdf?sequence=1>

7.1 Unitary Evolution

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/0905.0887.pdf>

7.2 Open

For a particular small open system with known jump operators, can we come up with an auxiliary system to approximate the infinite dimensional bath Hilbert space in such a way that following the gates of “Towards quantum chemistry” on this auxiliary closed system problem implements the Lindblad evolution for the qubits $H_S = (\mathbb{C}^2)^d$?

Start with well studied H_2 molecule example. Decide what environment we should put it in...