Group Rewriting

Ammar Husain

February 16, 2018

1 Clifford Group

1.1 Pauli Group

1.1 Definition (P_n) The Pauli Group on n qubits is the group generated by σ_x σ_y σ_z and i operating on each of n qubits. As a group it is isomorphic to $(D_4 \rtimes C_2)^n$

1.2 Clifford Group

- **1.2 Definition** (C_n) The normalizer of P_n in $U(2^n)$.
- **1.3 Lemma** It is generated by the generators of P_n along with the following?.
- 1.3 Cliff+T
- 1.4 Theorem (Universality)
- 1.5 Theorem (Solovay-Kitaev)

As a corolary of universality we know that we can take an arbitrary rotation of one qubit SU(2) to an approximation using only Cliff+T gates. A desired error bound must be given. An algorithm for this is given by

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1403.2975.pdf

but it is faster than Solovay-Kitaev which is more general.

2 Coxeter Groups

2,3 qubit relations with weyl exceptional other fun groups https://hal.inria.fr/file/index/docid/420456/filename/E8Weyl.pdf

https://arxiv.org/pdf/0807.3650.pdf

Rewriting system for coxeter group

Words with intervening property for a quick check of reducedness. Outputs a witness of nonreducedness. But no witness found does not imply reduced.

Given an expression and a coxeter graph, algorithm to find a segment of the word to show it is not reduced/null if this is inconclusive

Then feed that into the reducing algorithm. Repeat until intervening property says the expression might be reduced.

http://emis.ams.org/journals/EJC/Volume_17/PDF/v17i1n9.pdf

Algorithm for reducing words in Coxeter group

https://mathoverflow.net/questions/109071/algorithm-for-reducing-words-in-a-coxeter-group

2.1 Definition (Automatic Group) A group G with generators A is a set of finite state automata. There is a word acceptor which inputs a group element and a word and outputs accept or not. It accepts at least one word for every g. There are also |A|+1 multipliers which input a pair w_1, w_2 of words that are each accepted and outputs accept or not based on whether $w_1a = w_2$ where $a \in A \cup 1$ is which multiplier we are using.

The word acceptor tells you whether the word is reduced and the multiplier can now check equality on these reduced form with less difficulty.

- **2.2 Example** Finite groups, Euclidean groups, finitely generated Coxeter groups are all automatic.
- **2.3 Theorem** Automatic groups have the property that any given word can be put into canonical form in quadratic time. Putting any two words into canonical form and then checking equality solves the word problem in quadratic time.

2.1 X,Y,Z,H and Swaps

2.4 Lemma The group generated by these gates has the following relations.

```
(X_{i}Y_{i})^{4} = 1
(X_{i}Z_{i})^{4} = 1
(Y_{i}Z_{i})^{4} = 1
(H_{i}X_{i})^{8} = 1
(H_{i}Y_{i})^{4} = 1
(H_{i}Z_{i})^{8} = 1
(H_{i}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(X_{i}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(Y_{i}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(Z_{i}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(X_{i}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(X_{i+1}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(X_{i+1}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(X_{i+1}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(Z_{i+1}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(Z_{i+1}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(Z_{i+1}S_{i,i+1})^{4} = 1
(S_{i,i+1}S_{i+1,i+2})^{3} = 1
```

There may be additional relations, but that would just be more quotienting, so reducing a word using only these relations would still be valid.

Proof See the Mathematica notebook

Make a picture of the Coxeter graph with i = 1, 2, 3 for 3 qubits. 3 colors of edges for order 3, 4 and 8. As usual no edge for order 2. For more qubits just extend this graph by adding more basic units of the same structure.

3 Finite Complete Rewriting Systems

Surface groups but more importantly for here theorem about short exact sequences https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/9611205.pdf

Rewriting system for coxeter group

https://ac.els-cdn.com/0022404994900191/1-s2.0-0022404994900191-main.pdf?_tid=1f07609c-04b2-11acdnat=1517202550_f41a15336d6c1eb1d880008e0214ec41

Gap for all finitely presented groups

http://doc.sagemath.org/html/en/reference/groups/sage/groups/finitely_presented.html

4 Unsorted

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1509.02004.pdf https://arxiv.org/pdf/1701.05200.pdf