

Now, let $(w_x, w_y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \tilde{e}_j \right)$ be a solution

(2)(c) The first row reads

$$A \cdot B \alpha_y = \rho A^2 \alpha_x$$

$$\Rightarrow X^T X Y^T Y \alpha_y = \rho X^T X X^T X \alpha_x$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_x^T X^T \frac{1}{N} X Y^T Y \alpha_y = \rho \alpha_x^T X^T X X^T X \alpha_x$$

$$(c) w_x^T C_{xy} w_y = \rho w_x^T C_{xx} w_x = \rho$$

Hence, $w_x^T C_{xy} w_y$ will be maximal for the greatest value of ρ .

For $d_2 = 1$ the scalar $w_y \in \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}$ is already determined by the second constraint since reformulating the problem

scalar, it will simply determine $w_x^T C_{xy} w_y$ to be non-negative.

3) For $d_2=1$, ~~we can simply~~ the scalar $w_y \in \mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{R}$ is already determined up to sign by the second constraint:

$$1 = w_y \cdot \frac{1}{N} Y^T Y w_y = w_y^2 \cdot \frac{\|Y\|_F^2}{N} \Rightarrow w_y = \pm \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\|Y\|_F}$$

where we reformulated the constraint for $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ instead of $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$.

sg min $v^T X X^T v - 2 v^T X y$.

VERD

conf.

② (d) Simply calculate $w_x = X \alpha_x$, $w_y = Y \alpha_y$?

The term we want to optimize can now be written as, ~~the~~ scaling factor:

$$w^T C_{xy} w = \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\|y\|_2}}_{> 0, \text{ const.}} \cdot (\pm w)^T C_{xy}$$

Since the constraint $w^T C_{xx} w = 1$ is invariant to changing the sign of w , the problem boils down to the simpler version:

$$\arg \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^D} w^T C_{xy} \quad \text{subject to} \quad w^T C_{xx} w = 1 \quad \text{or}$$

$$\arg \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^D} w^T X^T y \quad \text{subject to} \quad w^T X X^T w = 1.$$

The LSR problem ~~on the other hand~~ can be rewritten as

$$\|X^T v - y\|^2 = v^T X X^T v - 2 v^T X y + \underbrace{\|y\|_2^2}_{\text{const.}}, \quad \text{hence}$$

$$\arg \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}^D} v^T X X^T v - 2 v^T X y.$$

- Notation: $E[X]$

Bern: $E[X]$

$E[X]$

(Data Bern)

$P[\max_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$

$(X_{k,t})$

(1) The ~~first~~ ^{first} row of the generalized eigenvalue problem reads:

~~$$C_{xy} w_y = \alpha C_{xx} w_x$$~~

$$C_{xy} w_y = \alpha C_{xx} w_x$$

Multiplication by w_x^T yields that the term we want to maximize can be written as

$$w_x^T C_{xy} w_y = \alpha \underbrace{w_x^T C_{xx} w_x}_{=1} = \alpha,$$

showing the claim.

(1b) $(-w_x)^T C_{xy} (-w_y) = w_x^T C_{xy} w_y$

$(-w_x)^T C_{xx} (-w_x) = w_x^T C_{xx} w_x = 1$ and by the same argumentation the second restriction is fulfilled.

(2) (b) primal: $\frac{1}{N} X Y^T w_y = \lambda \frac{1}{N} X X^T w_x$

(2)(b) primal: $\frac{1}{N} XY^T w_y = \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{N} XX^T w_x$,
 $\frac{1}{N} YX^T w_x = \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{N} YY^T w_y$

Multiplication by ~~NX^T~~ respectively ~~NY^T~~ yields

$$X^T X Y^T w_y = \lambda X^T X X^T X w_x$$

$$Y^T Y X^T X w_x = \lambda Y^T Y Y^T Y w_y$$

This can be rewritten as

$$A \cdot B w_y = \lambda A \cdot A w_x$$

$$B \cdot A w_x = \lambda B \cdot B w_y$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & AB \\ BA & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_x \\ w_y \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & 0 \\ 0 & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_x \\ w_y \end{bmatrix}$$