



Software Life Cycle Models

Software Life Cycle Models


The goal of Software Engineering is to provide models and processes that lead to the production of well-documented maintainable software in a manner that is predictable.

Software Life Cycle Models

“The period of time that starts when a software product is conceived and ends when the product is no longer available for use. The software life cycle typically includes a requirement phase, design phase, implementation phase, test phase, installation and check out phase, operation and maintenance phase, and sometimes retirement phase”.

Build & Fix Model

- ❖ Product is constructed without specifications or any attempt at design
- ❖ Adhoc approach and not well defined
- ❖ Simple two phase model



Build & Fix Model

- ❖ Suitable for small programming exercises of 100 or 200 lines
- ❖ Unsatisfactory for software for any reasonable size
- ❖ Code soon becomes unfixable & unenhanceable
- ❖ No room for structured design
- ❖ Maintenance is practically not possible

Waterfall Model

This model is named “waterfall model” because its diagrammatic representation resembles a cascade of waterfalls.


Requirement
Analysis & Specification

Design

Implementation
and unit testing

Integration and
system testing

Operation and
maintenance



Waterfall Model

This model is easy to understand and reinforces the notion of “define before design” and “design before code”.

The model expects complete & accurate requirements early in the process, which is unrealistic

Waterfall Model

Problems of waterfall model

- i. It is difficult to define all requirements at the beginning of a project
- ii. This model is not suitable for accommodating any change
- iii. A working version of the system is not seen until late in the project's life
- iv. It does not scale up well to large projects.
- v. Real projects are rarely sequential.

Incremental Process Models

They are effective in the situations where requirements are defined precisely and there is no confusion about the functionality of the final product.

After every cycle a useable product is given to the customer.


Popular particularly when we have to quickly deliver a limited functionality system.

Iterative Enhancement Model

This model has the same phases as the waterfall model, but with fewer restrictions. Generally the phases occur in the same order as in the waterfall model, but they may be conducted in several cycles. Useable product is released at the end of the each cycle, with each release providing additional functionality.

- ✓ Customers and developers specify as many requirements as possible and prepare a SRS document.
- ✓ Developers and customers then prioritize these requirements
- ✓ Developers implement the specified requirements in one or more cycles of design, implementation and test based on the defined priorities.

Iterative Enhancement Model



The Rapid Application Development (RAD) Model


- o Developed by IBM in 1980
- o User participation is essential



The requirements specification was defined like this

The developers understood it in that way

This is how the problem was solved before.




This is how the problem is solved now



That is the program after debugging



This is how the program is described by marketing department




This, in fact, is what the customer wanted ...

The Rapid Application Development (RAD) Model

- o Build a rapid prototype
- o Give it to user for evaluation & obtain feedback
- o Prototype is refined

With active participation of users



The Rapid Application Development (RAD) Model

Not an appropriate model in the absence of user participation.

Reusable components are required to reduce development time.


Highly specialized & skilled developers are required and such developers are not easily available.

Evolutionary Process Models

Evolutionary process model resembles iterative enhancement model. The same phases as defined for the waterfall model occur here in a cyclical fashion. This model differs from iterative enhancement model in the sense that this does not require a useable product at the end of each cycle. In evolutionary development, requirements are implemented by category rather than by priority.

This model is useful for projects using new technology that is not well understood. This is also used for complex projects where all functionality must be delivered at one time, but the requirements are unstable or not well understood at the beginning.

Evolutionary Process Model




Prototyping Model

- The prototype may be a usable program but is not suitable as the final software product.
- The code for the prototype is thrown away. However experience gathered helps in developing the actual system.
- The development of a prototype might involve extra cost, but overall cost might turnout to be lower than that of an equivalent system developed using the waterfall model.

Prototyping Model

- Linear mode
- “Rapid”



Spiral Model


Models do not deal with uncertainty which is inherent to software projects.

Important software projects have failed because project risks were neglected & nobody was prepared when something unforeseen happened.

Barry Boehm recognized this and tried to incorporate the “project risk” factor into a life cycle model.

The result is the spiral model, which was presented in 1986.

Spiral Model



Spiral Model

The radial dimension of the model represents the cumulative costs. Each path around the spiral is indicative of increased costs. The angular dimension represents the progress made in completing each cycle. Each loop of the spiral from X-axis clockwise through 360° represents one phase. One phase is split roughly into four sectors of major activities.

- **Planning:** Determination of objectives, alternatives & constraints.
- **Risk Analysis:** Analyze alternatives and attempts to identify and resolve the risks involved.
- **Development:** Product development and testing product.
- **Assessment:** Customer evaluation

Spiral Model


- An important feature of the spiral model is that each phase is completed with a review by the people concerned with the project (designers and programmers)
- The advantage of this model is the wide range of options to accommodate the good features of other life cycle models.
- It becomes equivalent to another life cycle model in appropriate situations.

The spiral model has some difficulties that need to be resolved before it can be a universally applied life cycle model. These difficulties include lack of explicit process guidance in determining objectives, constraints, alternatives; relying on risk assessment expertise; and provides more flexibility than required for many applications.

The Unified Process

- Developed by I.Jacobson, G.Booch and J.Rumbaugh.
- Software engineering process with the goal of producing good quality maintainable software within specified time and budget.
- Developed through a series of fixed length mini projects called iterations.
- Maintained and enhanced by Rational Software Corporation and thus referred to as Rational Unified Process (RUP).


Phases of the Unified Process



Phases of the Unified Process


- Inception: defines scope of the project.
- Elaboration
 - How do we plan & design the project?
 - What resources are required?
 - What type of architecture may be suitable?
- Construction: the objectives are translated in design & architecture documents.
- Transition : involves many activities like delivering, training, supporting, and maintaining the product.

Initial development & Evolution Cycles



V1=version1, V2 =version2, V3=version3

Iterations & Workflow of Unified Process




Inception Phase

The inception phase has the following objectives:

- Gathering and analyzing the requirements.
- Planning and preparing a business case and evaluating alternatives for risk management, scheduling resources etc.
- Estimating the overall cost and schedule for the project.
- Studying the feasibility and calculating profitability of the project.

Outcomes of Inception Phase




Elaboration Phase

The elaboration phase has the following objectives:

- Establishing architectural foundations.
- Design of use case model.
- Elaborating the process, infrastructure & development environment.
- Selecting component.
- Demonstrating that architecture support the vision at reasonable cost & within specified time.

Outcomes of Elaboration Phase




Construction Phase

The construction phase has the following objectives:

- Implementing the project.
- Minimizing development cost.
- Management and optimizing resources.
- Testing the product
- Assessing the product releases against acceptance criteria

Outcomes of Construction Phase




Transition Phase

The transition phase has the following objectives:

- Starting of beta testing
- Analysis of user's views.
- Training of users.
- Tuning activities including bug fixing and enhancements for performance & usability
- Assessing the customer satisfaction.

Outcomes of Transition Phase



Selection of a Life Cycle Model

Selection of a model is based on:

- a) Requirements
- b) Development team
- c) Users
- d) Project type and associated risk

Based On Characteristics Of Requirements

Requirements	Waterfall	Prototype	Iterative enhancement	Evolutionary development	Spiral	RAD
Are requirements easily understandable and defined?	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Do we change requirements quite often?	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Can we define requirements early in the cycle?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Requirements are indicating a complex system to be built	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Based On Status Of Development Team

Development team	Waterfall	Prototype	Iterative enhancement	Evolutionary development	Spiral	RAD
Less experience on similar projects?	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Less domain knowledge (new to the technology)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Less experience on tools to be used	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No
Availability of training if required	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

Based On User's Participation

Involvement of Users	Waterfall	Prototype	Iterative enhancement	Evolutionary development	Spiral	RAD
User involvement in all phases	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Limited user participation	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
User have no previous experience of participation in similar projects	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Users are experts of problem domain	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

Based On Type Of Project With Associated Risk

Project type and risk	Waterfall	Prototype	Iterative enhancement	Evolutionary development	Spiral	RAD
Project is the enhancement of the existing system	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Funding is stable for the project	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
High reliability requirements	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Tight project schedule	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Use of reusable components	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Are resources (time, money, people etc.) scare?	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No