

Chapter 2 Problems

Problem 1

- a) F
- b) T
- c) F
- d) F
- e) F

Problem 2

Access control commands:

USER, PASS, ACT, CWD, CDUP, SMNT, REIN, QUIT.

Transfer parameter commands:

PORT, PASV, TYPE STRU, MODE.

Service commands:

RETR, STOR, STOU, APPE, ALLO, REST, RNFR, RNT0, ABOR, DELE, RMD, MRD, PWD, LIST, NLST, SITE, SYST, STAT, HELP, NOOP.

Problem 3

Application layer protocols: DNS and HTTP

Transport layer protocols: UDP for DNS; TCP for HTTP

Problem 4

- a) The document request was `http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/cs453/index.html`. The Host : field indicates the server's name and `/cs453/index.html` indicates the file name.
- b) The browser is running HTTP version 1.1, as indicated just before the first `<cr><lf>` pair.
- c) The browser is requesting a persistent connection, as indicated by the Connection: keep-alive.
- d) This is a trick question. This information is not contained in an HTTP message anywhere. So there is no way to tell this from looking at the exchange of HTTP messages alone. One would need information from the IP datagrams (that carried the TCP segment that carried the HTTP GET request) to answer this question.
- e) Mozilla/5.0. The browser type information is needed by the server to send different versions of the same object to different types of browsers.

Problem 5

- a) The status code of 200 and the phrase OK indicate that the server was able to locate the document successfully. The reply was provided on Tuesday, 07 Mar 2008 12:39:45 Greenwich Mean Time.
- b) The document index.html was last modified on Saturday 10 Dec 2005 18:27:46 GMT.
- c) There are 3874 bytes in the document being returned.
- d) The first five bytes of the returned document are : <!doc. The server agreed to a persistent connection, as indicated by the Connection: Keep-Alive field

Problem 6

- a) Persistent connections are discussed in section 8 of RFC 2616 (the real goal of this question was to get you to retrieve and read an RFC). Sections 8.1.2 and 8.1.2.1 of the RFC indicate that either the client or the server can indicate to the other that it is going to close the persistent connection. It does so by including the connection-token "close" in the Connection-header field of the http request/reply.
- b) HTTP does not provide any encryption services.
- c) (From RFC 2616) "Clients that use persistent connections should limit the number of simultaneous connections that they maintain to a given server. A single-user client SHOULD NOT maintain more than 2 connections with any server or proxy."
- d) Yes. (From RFC 2616) "A client might have started to send a new request at the same time that the server has decided to close the "idle" connection. From the server's point of view, the connection is being closed while it was idle, but from the client's point of view, a request is in progress."

Problem 7

The total amount of time to get the IP address is

$$RTT_1 + RTT_2 + \dots + RTT_n.$$

Once the IP address is known, RTT_o elapses to set up the TCP connection and another RTT_o elapses to request and receive the small object. The total response time is

$$2RTT_o + RTT_1 + RTT_2 + \dots + RTT_n$$

Problem 8

- a)
$$RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n + 2RTT_o + 8 \cdot 2RTT_o$$

$$= 18RTT_o + RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n.$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned} & RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n + 2RTT_o + 2 \cdot 2RTT_o \\ &= 6RTT_o + RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n \end{aligned}$$

c)

$$\begin{aligned} & RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n + 2RTT_o + RTT_o \\ &= 3RTT_o + RTT_1 + \dots + RTT_n. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 9

- a) The time to transmit an object of size L over a link of rate R is L/R . The average time is the average size of the object divided by R :

$$\Delta = (850,000 \text{ bits}) / (15,000,000 \text{ bits/sec}) = .0567 \text{ sec}$$

The traffic intensity on the link is given by $\beta\Delta = (16 \text{ requests/sec})(.0567 \text{ sec/request}) = 0.907$. Thus, the average access delay is $(.0567 \text{ sec}) / (1 - .907) \approx .6 \text{ seconds}$. The total average response time is therefore $.6 \text{ sec} + 3 \text{ sec} = 3.6 \text{ sec}$.

- b) The traffic intensity on the access link is reduced by 60% since the 60% of the requests are satisfied within the institutional network. Thus the average access delay is $(.0567 \text{ sec}) / [1 - (.4)(.907)] = .089 \text{ seconds}$. The response time is approximately zero if the request is satisfied by the cache (which happens with probability .6); the average response time is $.089 \text{ sec} + 3 \text{ sec} = 3.089 \text{ sec}$ for cache misses (which happens 40% of the time). So the average response time is $(.6)(0 \text{ sec}) + (.4)(3.089 \text{ sec}) = 1.24 \text{ seconds}$. Thus the average response time is reduced from 3.6 sec to 1.24 sec.

Problem 10

Note that each downloaded object can be completely put into one data packet. Let T_p denote the one-way propagation delay between the client and the server.

First consider parallel downloads using non-persistent connections. Parallel downloads would allow 10 connections to share the 150 bits/sec bandwidth, giving each just 15 bits/sec. Thus, the total time needed to receive all objects is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} & (200/150 + T_p + 200/150 + T_p + 200/150 + T_p + 100,000/150 + T_p) \\ &+ (200/(150/10) + T_p + 200/(150/10) + T_p + 200/(150/10) + T_p + 100,000/(150/10) + T_p) \\ &= 7377 + 8 \cdot T_p \text{ (seconds)} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider a persistent HTTP connection. The total time needed is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (200/150 + T_p + 200/150 + T_p + 200/150 + T_p + 100,000/150 + T_p) \\
 & + 10 \cdot (200/150 + T_p + 100,000/150 + T_p) \\
 & = 7351 + 24 \cdot T_p \text{ (seconds)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Assuming the speed of light is $300 \cdot 10^6$ m/sec, then $T_p = 10 / (300 \cdot 10^6) = 0.03$ microsec. T_p is therefore negligible compared with transmission delay.

Thus, we see that persistent HTTP is not significantly faster (less than 1 percent) than the non-persistent case with parallel download.

Problem 11

- Yes, because Bob has more connections, he can get a larger share of the link bandwidth.
- Yes, Bob still needs to perform parallel downloads; otherwise he will get less bandwidth than the other four users.

Problem 12

Server.py

```

from socket import *
serverPort=12000
serverSocket=socket(AF_INET,SOCK_STREAM)
serverSocket.bind(('',serverPort))
serverSocket.listen(1)
connectionSocket, addr = serverSocket.accept()
while 1:
    sentence = connectionSocket.recv(1024)
    print 'From Server:', sentence, '\n'
    serverSocket.close()

```

Problem 13

The MAIL FROM: in SMTP is a message from the SMTP client that identifies the sender of the mail message to the SMTP server. The From: on the mail message itself is NOT an SMTP message, but rather is just a line in the body of the mail message.

Problem 14

SMTP uses a line containing only a period to mark the end of a message body.
 HTTP uses “Content-Length header field” to indicate the length of a message body.

No, HTTP cannot use the method used by SMTP, because HTTP message could be binary data, whereas in SMTP, the message body must be in 7-bit ASCII format.

Problem 15

MTA stands for Mail Transfer Agent. A host sends the message to an MTA. The message then follows a sequence of MTAs to reach the receiver's mail reader. We see that this spam message follows a chain of MTAs. An honest MTA should report where it receives the message. Notice that in this message, "asusus-4b96 ([58.88.21.177])" does not report from where it received the email. Since we assume only the originator is dishonest, so "asusus-4b96 ([58.88.21.177])" must be the originator.

Problem 16

UIDL abbreviates "unique-ID listing". When a POP3 client issues the UIDL command, the server responds with the unique message ID for all of the messages present in the user's mailbox. This command is useful for "download and keep". By maintaining a file that lists the messages retrieved during earlier sessions, the client can use the UIDL command to determine which messages on the server have already been seen.

Problem 17

- a) C: dele 1
C: retr 2
S: (blah blah ...
S:blah)
S: .
C: dele 2
C: quit
S: +OK POP3 server signing off
- b) C: retr 2
S: blah blah ...
S:blah
S: .
C: quit
S: +OK POP3 server signing off
- c) C: list
S: 1 498
S: 2 912
S: .
C: retr 1

S: blah
 S:blah
 S: .
 C: retr 2
 S: blah blah ...
 S:blah
 S: .
 C: quit
 S: +OK POP3 server signing off

Problem 18

a) For a given input of domain name (such as ccn.com), IP address or network administrator name, the *whois* database can be used to locate the corresponding registrar, whois server, DNS server, and so on.

b) NS4.YAHOO.COM from www.register.com; NS1.MSFT.NET from ww.register.com

c) *Local Domain: www.mindspring.com*

Web servers : www.mindspring.com

207.69.189.21, 207.69.189.22,
 207.69.189.23, 207.69.189.24,
 207.69.189.25, 207.69.189.26, 207.69.189.27,
 207.69.189.28

Mail Servers : mx1.mindspring.com (207.69.189.217)

mx2.mindspring.com (207.69.189.218)

mx3.mindspring.com (207.69.189.219)

mx4.mindspring.com (207.69.189.220)

Name Servers: itchy.earthlink.net (207.69.188.196)

scratchy.earthlink.net (207.69.188.197)

www.yahoo.com

Web Servers: www.yahoo.com (216.109.112.135, 66.94.234.13)

Mail Servers: a.mx.mail.yahoo.com (209.191.118.103)

b.mx.mail.yahoo.com (66.196.97.250)

c.mx.mail.yahoo.com (68.142.237.182, 216.39.53.3)

d.mx.mail.yahoo.com (216.39.53.2)

e.mx.mail.yahoo.com (216.39.53.1)

f.mx.mail.yahoo.com (209.191.88.247, 68.142.202.247)

g.mx.mail.yahoo.com (209.191.88.239, 206.190.53.191)

Name Servers: ns1.yahoo.com (66.218.71.63)

ns2.yahoo.com (68.142.255.16)

ns3.yahoo.com (217.12.4.104)

ns4.yahoo.com (68.142.196.63)

ns5.yahoo.com (216.109.116.17)

ns8.yahoo.com (202.165.104.22)
ns9.yahoo.com (202.160.176.146)

www.hotmail.com

Web Servers: www.hotmail.com (64.4.33.7, 64.4.32.7)

Mail Servers: mx1.hotmail.com (65.54.245.8, 65.54.244.8, 65.54.244.136)
mx2.hotmail.com (65.54.244.40, 65.54.244.168, 65.54.245.40)
mx3.hotmail.com (65.54.244.72, 65.54.244.200, 65.54.245.72)
mx4.hotmail.com (65.54.244.232, 65.54.245.104, 65.54.244.104)

Name Servers: ns1.msft.net (207.68.160.190)
ns2.msft.net (65.54.240.126)
ns3.msft.net (213.199.161.77)
ns4.msft.net (207.46.66.126)
ns5.msft.net (65.55.238.126)

- d) The yahoo web server has multiple IP addresses
www.yahoo.com (216.109.112.135, 66.94.234.13)
- e) The address range for Polytechnic University: 128.238.0.0 – 128.238.255.255
- f) An attacker can use the *whois* database and nslookup tool to determine the IP address ranges, DNS server addresses, etc., for the target institution.
- g) By analyzing the source address of attack packets, the victim can use whois to obtain information about domain from which the attack is coming and possibly inform the administrators of the origin domain.

Problem 19

- a) The following delegation chain is used for gaia.cs.umass.edu
a.root-servers.net
E.GTLD-SERVERS.NET
ns1.umass.edu(authoritative)

First command:

dig +norecurse @a.root-servers.net any gaia.cs.umass.edu

;; AUTHORITY SECTION:

edu.	172800	IN	NS	E.GTLD-SERVERS.NET.
edu.	172800	IN	NS	A.GTLD-SERVERS.NET.
edu.	172800	IN	NS	G3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.	172800	IN	NS	D.GTLD-SERVERS.NET.
edu.	172800	IN	NS	H3.NSTLD.COM.

```
edu.          172800 IN    NS    L3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.          172800 IN    NS    M3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.          172800 IN    NS    C.GTLD-SERVERS.NET.
```

Among all returned edu DNS servers, we send a query to the first one.
dig +norecurse @E.GTLD-SERVERS.NET any gaia.cs.umass.edu

```
umass.edu.    172800 IN    NS    ns1.umass.edu.
umass.edu.    172800 IN    NS    ns2.umass.edu.
umass.edu.    172800 IN    NS    ns3.umass.edu.
```

Among all three returned authoritative DNS servers, we send a query to the first one.
dig +norecurse @ns1.umass.edu any gaia.cs.umass.edu

```
gaia.cs.umass.edu. 21600 IN    A     128.119.245.12
```

- b) The answer for google.com could be:
a.root-servers.net
E.GTLD-SERVERS.NET
ns1.google.com(authoritative)

Problem 20

We can periodically take a snapshot of the DNS caches in the local DNS servers. The Web server that appears most frequently in the DNS caches is the most popular server. This is because if more users are interested in a Web server, then DNS requests for that server are more frequently sent by users. Thus, that Web server will appear in the DNS caches more frequently.

For a complete measurement study, see:

Craig E. Wills, Mikhail Mikhailov, Hao Shang

“Inferring Relative Popularity of Internet Applications by Actively Querying DNS Caches”, in IMC’03, October 27-29, 2003, Miami Beach, Florida, USA

Problem 21

Yes, we can use dig to query that Web site in the local DNS server.

For example, “dig cnn.com” will return the query time for finding cnn.com. If cnn.com was just accessed a couple of seconds ago, an entry for cnn.com is cached in the local DNS cache, so the query time is 0 msec. Otherwise, the query time is large.

Problem 22

For calculating the minimum distribution time for client-server distribution, we use the following formula:

$$D_{cs} = \max \{NF/u_s, F/d_{\min}\}$$

Similarly, for calculating the minimum distribution time for P2P distribution, we use the following formula:

$$D_{P2P} = \max \{F/u_s, F/d_{\min}, NF/(u_s + \sum_{i=1}^N u_i)\}$$

Where, $F = 15 \text{ Gbits} = 15 * 1024 \text{ Mbits}$

$$u_s = 30 \text{ Mbps}$$

$$d_{\min} = d_i = 2 \text{ Mbps}$$

Note, 300Kbps = 300/1024 Mbps.

Client Server

		N		
		10	100	1000
u	300 Kbps	7680	51200	512000
	700 Kbps	7680	51200	512000
	2 Mbps	7680	51200	512000

Peer to Peer

		N		
		10	100	1000
u	300 Kbps	7680	25904	47559
	700 Kbps	7680	15616	21525
	2 Mbps	7680	7680	7680

Problem 23

- Consider a distribution scheme in which the server sends the file to each client, in parallel, at a rate of a rate of u_s/N . Note that this rate is less than each of the client's download rate, since by assumption $u_s/N \leq d_{\min}$. Thus each client can also receive at rate u_s/N . Since each client receives at rate u_s/N , the time for each client to receive the entire file is $F/(u_s/N) = NF/u_s$. Since all the clients receive the file in NF/u_s , the overall distribution time is also NF/u_s .
- Consider a distribution scheme in which the server sends the file to each client, in parallel, at a rate of d_{\min} . Note that the aggregate rate, $N d_{\min}$, is less than the server's link rate u_s , since by assumption $u_s/N \geq d_{\min}$. Since each client receives at rate d_{\min} , the time for each client to receive the entire file is F/d_{\min} . Since all the clients receive the file in this time, the overall distribution time is also F/d_{\min} .
- From Section 2.6 we know that

$$D_{CS} \geq \max \{NF/u_s, F/d_{\min}\} \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

Suppose that $u_s/N \leq d_{\min}$. Then from Equation 1 we have $D_{CS} \geq NF/u_s$. But from (a) we have $D_{CS} \leq NF/u_s$. Combining these two gives:

$$D_{CS} = NF/u_s \text{ when } u_s/N \leq d_{\min}. \text{ (Equation 2)}$$

We can similarly show that:

$$D_{CS} = F/d_{\min} \text{ when } u_s/N \geq d_{\min} \text{ (Equation 3).}$$

Combining Equation 2 and Equation 3 gives the desired result.

Problem 24

a) Define $u = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_N$. By assumption

$$u_s \leq (u_s + u)/N \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

Divide the file into N parts, with the i^{th} part having size $(u_i/u)F$. The server transmits the i^{th} part to peer i at rate $r_i = (u_i/u)u_s$. Note that $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_N = u_s$, so that the aggregate server rate does not exceed the link rate of the server. Also have each peer i forward the bits it receives to each of the $N-1$ peers at rate r_i . The aggregate forwarding rate by peer i is $(N-1)r_i$. We have

$$(N-1)r_i = (N-1)(u_s u_i)/u \leq u_i,$$

where the last inequality follows from Equation 1. Thus the aggregate forwarding rate of peer i is less than its link rate u_i .

In this distribution scheme, peer i receives bits at an aggregate rate of

$$r_i + \sum_{j \neq i} r_j = u_s$$

Thus each peer receives the file in F/u_s .

b) Again define $u = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_N$. By assumption

$$u_s \geq (u_s + u)/N \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

$$\text{Let } r_i = u_i/(N-1) \text{ and } r_{N+1} = (u_s - u/(N-1))/N$$

In this distribution scheme, the file is broken into $N+1$ parts. The server sends bits from the i^{th} part to the i^{th} peer ($i = 1, \dots, N$) at rate r_i . Each peer i forwards the bits arriving at rate r_i to each of the other $N-1$ peers. Additionally, the server sends bits from the $(N+1)^{\text{st}}$ part at rate r_{N+1} to each of the N peers. The peers do not forward the bits from the $(N+1)^{\text{st}}$ part.

The aggregate send rate of the server is

$$r_1 + \dots + r_N + N r_{N+1} = u/(N-1) + u_s - u/(N-1) = u_s$$

Thus, the server's send rate does not exceed its link rate. The aggregate send rate of peer i is

$$(N-1)r_i = u_i$$

Thus, each peer's send rate does not exceed its link rate.

In this distribution scheme, peer i receives bits at an aggregate rate of

$$r_i + r_{N+1} + \sum_{j > i} r_j = u/(N-1) + (u_s - u/(N-1))/N = (u_s + u)/N$$

Thus each peer receives the file in $NF/(u_s+u)$.

(For simplicity, we neglected to specify the size of the file part for $i = 1, \dots, N+1$. We now provide that here. Let $\Delta = (u_s+u)/N$ be the distribution time. For $i = 1, \dots, N$, the i^{th} file part is $F_i = r_i \Delta$ bits. The $(N+1)^{\text{st}}$ file part is $F_{N+1} = r_{N+1} \Delta$ bits. It is straightforward to show that $F_1 + \dots + F_{N+1} = F$.)

c) The solution to this part is similar to that of 17 (c). We know from section 2.6 that

$$D_{P2P} \geq \max\{F/u_s, NF/(u_s + u)\}$$

Combining this with a) and b) gives the desired result.

Problem 25

There are N nodes in the overlay network. There are $N(N-1)/2$ edges.

Problem 26

Yes. His first claim is possible, as long as there are enough peers staying in the swarm for a long enough time. Bob can always receive data through optimistic unchoking by other peers.

His second claim is also true. He can run a client on each host, let each client "free-ride," and combine the collected chunks from the different hosts into a single file. He can even write a small scheduling program to make the different hosts ask for different chunks of the file. This is actually a kind of Sybil attack in P2P networks.

Problem 27

Peer 3 learns that peer 5 has just left the system, so Peer 3 asks its first successor (Peer 4) for the identifier of its immediate successor (peer 8). Peer 3 will then make peer 8 its second successor.

Problem 28

Peer 6 would first send peer 15 a message, saying “what will be peer 6’s predecessor and successor?” This message gets forwarded through the DHT until it reaches peer 5, who realizes that it will be 6’s predecessor and that its current successor, peer 8, will become 6’s successor. Next, peer 5 sends this predecessor and successor information back to 6. Peer 6 can now join the DHT by making peer 8 its successor and by notifying peer 5 that it should change its immediate successor to 6.

Problem 29

For each key, we first calculate the distances (using $d(k,p)$) between itself and all peers, and then store the key in the peer that is closest to the key (that is, with smallest distance value).

Problem 30

Yes, randomly assigning keys to peers does not consider the underlying network at all, so it very likely causes mismatches.

Such mismatches may degrade the search performance. For example, consider a logical path p_1 (consisting of only two logical links): $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$, where A and B are neighboring peers, and B and C are neighboring peers. Suppose that there is another logical path p_2 from A to C (consisting of 3 logical links): $A \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \rightarrow C$.

It might be the case that A and B are very far away physically (and separated by many routers), and B and C are very far away physically (and separated by many routers). But it may be the case that A, D, E, and C are all very close physically (and all separated by few routers). In other words, a shorter logical path may correspond to a much longer physical path.

Problem 31

- a) If you run TCPClient first, then the client will attempt to make a TCP connection with a non-existent server process. A TCP connection will not be made.
- b) UDPClient doesn't establish a TCP connection with the server. Thus, everything should work fine if you first run UDPClient, then run UDPServer, and then type some input into the keyboard.

- c) If you use different port numbers, then the client will attempt to establish a TCP connection with the wrong process or a non-existent process. Errors will occur.

Problem 32

In the original program, UDPClient does not specify a port number when it creates the socket. In this case, the code lets the underlying operating system choose a port number. With the additional line, when UDPClient is executed, a UDP socket is created with port number 5432 .

UDPServer needs to know the client port number so that it can send packets back to the correct client socket. Glancing at UDPServer, we see that the client port number is not “hard-wired” into the server code; instead, UDPServer determines the client port number by unraveling the datagram it receives from the client. Thus UDP server will work with any client port number, including 5432. UDPServer therefore does not need to be modified.

Before:

Client socket = x (chosen by OS)
Server socket = 9876

After:

Client socket = 5432

Problem 33

Yes, you can configure many browsers to open multiple simultaneous connections to a Web site. The advantage is that you will potentially download the file faster. The disadvantage is that you may be hogging the bandwidth, thereby significantly slowing down the downloads of other users who are sharing the same physical links.

Problem 34

For an application such as remote login (telnet and ssh), a byte-stream oriented protocol is very natural since there is no notion of message boundaries in the application. When a user types a character, we simply drop the character into the TCP connection.

In other applications, we may be sending a series of messages that have inherent boundaries between them. For example, when one SMTP mail server sends another SMTP mail server several email messages back to back. Since TCP does not have a mechanism to indicate the boundaries, the application must add the indications itself, so that receiving side of the application can distinguish one message from the next. If each message were instead put into a distinct UDP

segment, the receiving end would be able to distinguish the various messages without any indications added by the sending side of the application.

Problem 35

To create a web server, we need to run web server software on a host. Many vendors sell web server software. However, the most popular web server software today is Apache, which is open source and free. Over the years it has been highly optimized by the open-source community.

Problem 36

The key is the infohash, the value is an IP address that currently has the file designated by the infohash.