

## \* ) MP neuron notebook .

1. loading dataset from sklearn . → import sklearn . datasets .

↓  
breast - cancer = sklearn . datasets .  
load\_breast - cancer ( ) .

↖ feature vector  
data labels . ← utility object

↓  
x = breast - cancer . data  
y = breast - cancer . target

↖ numpy array

↖ can be converted  
to dataframe  
for better analysis

↓  
breast - cancer . feature\_names  
↓  
breast - cancer . target\_names .

↓  
pd . DataFrame ( x , columns = breast - cancer . feature\_names )

↓  
df . describe ( ) → gives basic statistical  
information regarding the  
dataset . per feature

↓  
df [ 'class' ] . value - counts ( )

↓  
freq  
gives a ~~count~~ of the  
different values in the  
column of the dataframe .

df . groupby ( 'class' ) . mean ( )

2 . Splitting data using train test split from sklearn

↓  
from sklearn . model - selection import  
train - test - split

↓  
x\_train , x\_test , y\_train , y\_test = train - test - split ( x , y )

test - size

↖ can be passed as  
argument

default

basic setting

test size → 0.2

ing, then



2. spawn

3. evaluate  $\hat{A}^{\pi}(s, a)$   
↳ policy gradient

single sample  
 $\hat{A}^{\pi}(s, a)$

\* maintaining class ratios as in the main dataset to the ones in train & test set for proper representation of data.

↓  
(stratify = y) → as argument to the train-test-split function

\* to maintain the same partition over the dataset, random state can be used.

↓  
x\_train, x\_test, y\_train, y\_test = train\_test\_split(x, y,  
test\_size = 0.1, stratify = y, random\_state = 1)

3. Binarisation of i/p. (i/p to MP neuron are binary, but feature values for breast-cancer are real)

↓  
i) plotting the dataset to better analyse.

↓  
import matplotlib and seaborn.

↓  
plt.plot(x\_train, 'x')  
~~plt~~ plt.show()

← feature represented by a diff color, with samples existing over the x-axis

↓ transpose plot

plt.plot(x\_train, 'x')

← transpose

plt.show()

plt.xticks(rotation = 'vertical')

← gives the spread of the data.

feature on x axis and data point on y axis

↓  
better visualisation of the labels on x-axis

ii) to binarise: threshold value, above which 1, below which 0. The value can be  $\mu$ , or median.

and we use ... parameters ...

\*) binarise a column using map function over the column

col = x\_train['mean-area'].map(lambda x : 0 if x < 1000 else 1)

\*) This can be done using the cut fn. in pandas module.   
 → splits value in certain no. of bins

x\_btrain = x\_train.apply(pd.cut, bins=2, labels=[0,1])

with given labels

remain as dataframe

convert to np. → df.values

4. MLP neuron → only 1 parameter b.

sample inference for some value of b

the o/p of the model

picking random index to work / check on the dataset,

(range as input) ← randint from random

i = randint(0, x\_btrain.shape[0])

aggregation = np.sum(x\_btrain[i, :])

row column

comparing with b, and accordingly o/p.



only then  
thing.  
orders



2. spawn
3. evaluate  $\hat{A}^{\pi}(s, a)$
4. evaluate policy gradient for single sample  $\hat{A}^{\pi}(s, a)$

•) running over the training set

↓  
iterate over the training set  
↓  
store predictions as well  
↓  
calculate accuracy

↓  
baseline here could be that  
of selecting one class &  
predicting that continuously,  
i.e. 62% for malignant.

↓  
searching for  $b$  (optimising loss) can be done  
over all values of  $b$  (by applying a basic  
search)

↓  
The max accuracy is of around 37ish  
(if  $b \neq 0$ ).

↓  
this is because the binarisation of data is  
not done properly. The feature values of  
malignant (label=0) are more than that of  
benign (label=1), whereas the binarisation  
puts 1 for values more than mean and 0 for  
less than mean, as 1 is ~~also~~ a contributor to  
benign,  $\therefore$  it should represent lesser value  
than mean.

rightway ←  
of binarisation  
plays an imp.  
role in  
accurate inference

↓  
on rerun, accuracy hits 82% ish

•) accuracy calculation using sklearn metrics.

↓  
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy\_score  
accuracy = accuracy\_score(y\_pred, labels)

to iterate over 2 diff.  
vectors at the same time,  
we use the zip function  
↓  
for x, y in zip(xb\_train,  
y\_train):

5) template MCP model as class

→ way to proceed  
ahead for all  
concepts moving  
forward

```
class MPNeuron:
```

```
    def __init__(self):  
        self.b = None
```

```
    def model(self, x):  
        return sum(x) >> self.b.
```

```
    def predict(self, X):  
        Y = []  
        for x in X:  
            result = self.model(x)  
            Y.append(result)  
        return np.array(Y)
```

```
    def predict fit(self, X, Y):  
        accuracy = 0  
        for b in range(X.shape[1] + 1):  
            self.b = b  
            Y_pred = self.predict(X)  
            accuracy[b] = accuracy_score(Y_pred, Y)  
            best_b = max(accuracy, key =  
                        accuracy.get)  
        self.b = best_b  
        print('optimal b')  
        print('max accuracy')
```

- ) make an instance of the class
- ) run on binarised data.

\*) Perceptron class

↓  
Inbox  
 $y = 1, \{f\} \text{ if } \sum_i w_i x_i \geq b$  → latex representation of the model for perceptron.  
 $y = 0, \text{ otherwise}$   
↓

class Perceptron:

def \_\_init\_\_(self):

self.w = None

self.b = None

→ array  
→ scalar

n+1 parameters  
↓  
real valued i/p's

def model(self, x):

return ~~np.sum~~ np.dot(self.w, x) >= self.b

def predict(self, x):

y\_pred = []

for x in x:

result = self.model(x)

y\_pred.append(result)

return np.array(y\_pred)

→ create a plot for accuracies as well, along with weights if needed.

def fit(self, x, y):  
epochs = 20 → default value.

self.b = 0

self.w = np.zeros(x.shape[1])

loop for epochs.  
0

for x, y in zip(x, y):

result = self.model(x)

if result == 0 and y == 1:

self.w = self.w + x

self.b = self.b + 1

elif result == 1 and y == 0:

self.w = self.w - x

self.b = self.b - 1



•) Try doing a plot learned weights

•) hyperparameter  $\rightarrow$  a parameter on which the performance depends indirectly (like epochs, learning rate, ~~also~~ momentum, etc.). Tuning them is important to extract best performance.  $\rightarrow$  grid search

•) checkpointing  $\rightarrow$  store the weights & bias corresponding to the increase in accuracy. So that

sudden change in accuracy due to some change in weights is not lost.

$\downarrow$

and then put those checkpoint values to the weights and bias of the model.

another hyperparameter

$\uparrow$

(•) learning rate:  $\rightarrow$  slowing down the changes in the parameters of the model to reduce  $\odot$  instability of the training phase.

(•) `plt.ylim([0, 1])`  $\rightarrow$  specifying ranges for axis

---

(•) animation of the weight plot

$\downarrow$

embedding matplotlib animations in Jupyter notebooks.

$\downarrow$

refer article.

2. space
3. evaluate  $\hat{A}^{\pi}(s, a)$
4. evaluate policy gradient  $\nabla_{\theta} \hat{A}^{\pi}(s, a)$

\* one-hot encoding : done for categorical data, for example sim type can be of 5 different types, assigning them numerical magnitude might introduce magnitude based bias, which is actually not present in the nature of the feature. Therefore the feature representation is converted to a set of vector representation where each possible category gets its own vector and whenever the value 1 persists, there the sample has value corresponding to that value representation.



for example sim type which can take 5 different values or categories gets converted to 5 vectors, one for each category and 0 or 1 indicating if that value / category is true for the sample.



more on lines with an indicator function.