3) since the points are uniformly distributed, a consider a pandom veriable o, such that $P(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \forall 0 \in (0, 2\pi)$ (& represents angle on the wille) Now we have a 2-dimentional data sample X, such that $X = \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n\cos\theta \\ n\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}$ (DI, y) is a

Point on
The coucle. To fit a gaussian in it we assume it
as a bivariate Granssian with $\mu = [\mu x]$ and rovouriance matrix [ab]. b = C = Cov(x, y) = E[bc - E(ov))(y - E(y))E[x] = E[pross] = RE[cos 0] = O (since o is coso takes both Similarly E[y] = 0 Equal negatives positive values) $= h^2 E[\cos \theta \sin \theta] = \mu^2 E[\sin 2\theta] = 0$ ALSO N is largel (same heas on as above) Thus b= CNO Now a = Cov (21,92) = 52 d = (or (y, y) = 0 y2 Now for any value of xin (0,1), the probability of sin o and cost taking that value is same when o is uniformly distributed in (0,271).

Scanned by CamScanner

Since n ivery large, the assumption of Thus covariance matrix = $\begin{bmatrix} a & o \\ o & a \end{bmatrix} = C$ $(a = \sigma_{x}^{2} = \sigma_{y}^{2})$ $P(x_{1},x_{2}...x_{m}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-0.5\left(\left[\frac{n_{i}}{y_{i}}\right] - \mu\right)C^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{n_{i}}{y_{i}}\right] - \mu\right)}$ = TT 1 exp[-1/(xi-ux)+/ye-uy3)} = 1 (2 11 a2) /2 exp [-1 2 ((21 - 40)2 + (y; -4y)2)) Now to mascimile likelihood, we try to mascimile exp = 1 = [1xi- ma) + (yi- my) For this we minimize & (ni- per) and Elgi-per). We know these are minimised at sample mean, Hence Ma = Exi , My = Eyi = Legin Since X, y are uniformly distributed, we will save (-d, -y) for every Since N is large, the assumption of uniformity almost holds,
=> \(\mathcal{Z} \gamma = \text{D} \) M= 0

$$\Rightarrow P(+_{11}, +_{2}, -_{2n}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha^{2})^{n}/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\alpha} \le \left(n^{2} + y^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha^{2})^{n}/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\alpha} \times \frac{2}{2\alpha}\right\} \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{2\alpha^{2}} + y^{2} + y^{2} + y^{2}\right)$$

$$P = \frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha^{2})^{n}/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{n^{2}}{2\alpha}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha^{2})^{n}/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{n^{2}}{2\alpha}\right\}$$

To musumeste P, we maximise log P white. Variance a. $log P = -\frac{mh^2}{2a} - \frac{m}{2} log(2\pi a^2)$

d log $P = \frac{2}{2a^2} = 0$

 $\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{91^2}{2}$

Double differentiating

 $\frac{d^2 \log P}{da^2} = \frac{n}{a^2} \left(1 - \frac{n^2}{a}\right) < 90 \text{ at } a = \frac{9n^2}{2}$

Hence it is a mascima

$$= C = \begin{bmatrix} 9\frac{2}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 9\frac{2}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Yet Granssian fits the data well. It is a good model because we see that the calculated values (in MATLAB) are very close to the theoretical values.

(e)
$$N = 10^6$$
 $\mu = 10$

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0108 \\ -0.0060 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Cov = C = \begin{bmatrix} 50.0423 & -0.0467 \\ -0.0467 & 49.9576 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since N'is very large, our calculated values are very close to theoretical values. So own model fits well.