

AMS526: Numerical Analysis I

(Numerical Linear Algebra)

Lecture 12: QR Factorization; Gram-Schmidt Process

Xiangmin Jiao

Stony Brook University

Outline

1 QR Factorization

2 Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Motivation

Question: Given a linear system $Ax \approx b$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) has full rank, how to solve the linear system?

Motivation

Question: Given a linear system $Ax \approx b$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) has full rank, how to solve the linear system?

One approach is to solve normal equation $A^T Ax = A^T b$ directly using Cholesky factorization

- It is unstable, but is very efficient if $m \gg n$ ($mn^2 + \frac{1}{3}n^3$)

Motivation

Question: Given a linear system $Ax \approx b$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) has full rank, how to solve the linear system?

One approach is to solve normal equation $A^T Ax = A^T b$ directly using Cholesky factorization

- It is unstable, but is very efficient if $m \gg n$ ($mn^2 + \frac{1}{3}n^3$)

A more robust approach is to use QR factorization, which decomposes A into product of two simple matrices Q and R , where columns of Q are orthonormal and R is upper triangular.

Two Different Versions of QR

There are two versions of QR

- Full QR factorization: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$)

$$A = QR$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is orthogonal and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is upper triangular

- Reduced QR factorization: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$)

$$A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ contains orthonormal vectors and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is upper triangular

- What space do $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j\}$, $j \leq n$ span?

Two Different Versions of QR

There are two versions of QR

- Full QR factorization: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$)

$$A = QR$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is orthogonal and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is upper triangular

- Reduced QR factorization: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$)

$$A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ contains orthonormal vectors and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is upper triangular

- What space do $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j\}$, $j \leq n$ span?
 - ▶ Answer: For full rank A , first j column vectors of A , i.e., $\langle q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j \rangle$.

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

- A method to construct QR factorization is to orthogonalize the column vectors of A :
- Basic idea:
 - ▶ Take first column a_1 and normalize it to obtain vector q_1 ;
 - ▶ Take second column a_2 , subtract its orthogonal projection to q_1 , and normalize to obtain q_2 ;
 - ▶ ...
 - ▶ Take j th column of a_j , subtract its orthogonal projection to q_1, \dots, q_{j-1} , and normalize to obtain q_j ;

$$v_j = a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q_i^T a_j q_i, \quad q_j = v_j / \|v_j\|.$$

- This idea is called *Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization*.

Gram-Schmidt Projections

- Orthogonal vectors produced by Gram-Schmidt can be written in terms of projectors

$$q_j = \frac{P_j a_j}{\|P_j a_j\|}$$

where

$$P_j = I - \hat{Q}_{j-1} \hat{Q}_{j-1}^T \text{ with } \hat{Q}_{j-1} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & q_{j-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- P_j projects orthogonally onto space orthogonal to $\langle q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{j-1} \rangle$ and rank of P_j is $m - (j - 1)$

Algorithm of Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Classical Gram-Schmidt method

for $j = 1$ **to** n

$$v_j = a_j$$

for $i = 1$ **to** $j - 1$

$$r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$$

$$v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i$$

$$r_{jj} = \|v_j\|_2$$

$$q_j = v_j / r_{jj}$$

Algorithm of Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Classical Gram-Schmidt method

for $j = 1$ **to** n

$$v_j = a_j$$

for $i = 1$ **to** $j - 1$

$$r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$$

$$v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i$$

$$r_{jj} = \|v_j\|_2$$

$$q_j = v_j / r_{jj}$$

- Classical Gram-Schmidt (CGS) is **unstable**, which means that its solution is sensitive to perturbation

Existence of QR

Theorem

Every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) has full QR factorization, hence also a reduced QR factorization.

Existence of QR

Theorem

Every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) has full QR factorization, hence also a reduced QR factorization.

Key idea of proof: If A has full rank, Gram-Schmidt algorithm provides a proof itself for having reduced QR.

If A does not have full rank, at some step $v_j = 0$. We can set q_j to be a vector orthogonal to q_i , $i < j$.

To construct full QR from reduced QR, just continue Gram-Schmidt an additional $m - n$ steps.

Uniqueness of QR

Theorem

Every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) of full rank has a unique reduced QR factorization $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$ with $r_{jj} > 0$.

Key idea of proof: Proof is provided by Gram-Schmidt iteration itself. If the signs of r_{jj} are determined, then r_{ij} and q_j are determined.

Uniqueness of QR

Theorem

Every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) of full rank has a unique reduced QR factorization $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$ with $r_{jj} > 0$.

Key idea of proof: Proof is provided by Gram-Schmidt iteration itself. If the signs of r_{jj} are determined, then r_{ij} and q_j are determined.

Question: Why do we require $r_{jj} > 0$?

Uniqueness of QR

Theorem

Every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) of full rank has a unique reduced QR factorization $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$ with $r_{jj} > 0$.

Key idea of proof: Proof is provided by Gram-Schmidt iteration itself. If the signs of r_{jj} are determined, then r_{ij} and q_j are determined.

Question: Why do we require $r_{jj} > 0$?

Question: Is full QR factorization unique?

Uniqueness of QR

Theorem

Every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) of full rank has a unique reduced QR factorization $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$ with $r_{jj} > 0$.

Key idea of proof: Proof is provided by Gram-Schmidt iteration itself. If the signs of r_{jj} are determined, then r_{ij} and q_j are determined.

Question: Why do we require $r_{jj} > 0$?

Question: Is full QR factorization unique?

Question: What if A does not have full rank?

Outline

1 QR Factorization

2 Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

- A method to construct QR factorization is to orthogonalize the column vectors of A :
- Basic idea: *Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization*.
 - ▶ Take j th column of a_j , subtract its orthogonal projection to q_1, \dots, q_{j-1} , and normalize to obtain q_j ;

$$v_j = a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q_i^T a_j q_i, \quad q_j = v_j / \|v_j\|.$$

- Orthogonal vectors produced by Gram-Schmidt can be written in terms of projectors

$$q_j = \frac{P_j a_j}{\|P_j a_j\|}$$

where

$$P_j = I - \hat{Q}_{j-1} \hat{Q}_{j-1}^T \text{ with } \hat{Q}_{j-1} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & q_{j-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- P_j projects orthogonally onto space orthogonal to $\langle q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{j-1} \rangle$ and rank of P_j is $m - (j - 1)$

Algorithm of Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Classical Gram-Schmidt method

for $j = 1$ **to** n

$$v_j = a_j$$

for $i = 1$ **to** $j - 1$

$$r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$$

$$v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i$$

$$r_{jj} = \|v_j\|_2$$

$$q_j = v_j / r_{jj}$$

- Classical Gram-Schmidt (CGS) is **unstable**, which means that its solution is sensitive to perturbation

Alternative view to Gram-Schmidt Projection

- Orthogonal vectors produced by Gram-Schmidt can be written in terms of projectors

$$q_j = \frac{P_j a_j}{\|P_j a_j\|}, \text{ where } P_j = I - \hat{Q}_{j-1} \hat{Q}_{j-1}^T, \hat{Q}_{j-1} = [q_1 | q_2 | \cdots | q_{j-1}]$$

- We may view P_j as product of a sequence of projections

$$P_j = P_{\perp q_{j-1}} P_{\perp q_{j-2}} \cdots P_{\perp q_1}$$

where $P_{\perp q} = I - qq^T$

- Instead of computing $v_j = P_j a_i$, one could compute $v_j = P_{\perp q_{j-1}} P_{\perp q_{j-2}} \cdots P_{\perp q_1} a_j$ instead, resulting in modified Gram-Schmidt algorithm

Modified Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

Classical Gram-Schmidt method

for $j = 1$ **to** n

$$v_j = a_j$$

for $i = 1$ **to** $j - 1$

$$r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$$

$$v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i$$

$$r_{jj} = \|v_j\|_2$$

$$q_j = v_j / r_{jj}$$

Modified Gram-Schmidt method

for $j = 1$ **to** n

$$v_j = a_j$$

for $i = 1$ **to** n

$$r_{ii} = \|v_i\|_2$$

$$q_i = v_i / r_{ii}$$

for $j = i + 1$ **to** n

$$r_{ij} = q_i^T v_j$$

$$v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i$$

Modified Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

Classical Gram-Schmidt method

```
for  $j = 1$  to  $n$   
     $v_j = a_j$   
    for  $i = 1$  to  $j - 1$   
         $r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$   
         $v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i$   
     $r_{jj} = \|v_j\|_2$   
     $q_j = v_j / r_{jj}$ 
```

Modified Gram-Schmidt method

```
for  $j = 1$  to  $n$   
     $v_j = a_j$   
    for  $i = 1$  to  $n$   
         $r_{ii} = \|v_i\|_2$   
         $q_i = v_i / r_{ii}$   
        for  $j = i + 1$  to  $n$   
             $r_{ij} = q_i^T v_j$   
             $v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i$ 
```

- Key difference between CGS and MGS is how r_{ij} is computed
- CGS above is column-oriented (in the sense that R is computed column by column) and MGS above is row-oriented, but this is NOT the main difference between CGS and MGS. There are also column-oriented MGS and row-oriented CGS.
- MGS is numerically more stable than CGS (less sensitive to round-off errors)

Example: CGS vs. MGS

- Consider matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

where ε is small such that $1 + \varepsilon^2 = 1$ with round-off error

- For both CGS and MGS

$$v_1 \leftarrow (1, \varepsilon, 0, 0)^T, \quad r_{11} = \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon^2} \approx 1, \quad q_1 = v_1 / r_{11} = (1, \varepsilon, 0, 0)^T,$$

$$v_2 \leftarrow (1, 0, \varepsilon, 0)^T, \quad r_{12} = q_1^T a_2 (\text{or } = q_1^T v_2) = 1$$

$$v_2 \leftarrow v_2 - r_{12} q_1 = (0, -\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0)^T,$$

$$r_{22} = \sqrt{2}\varepsilon, \quad q_2 = (0, -1, 1, 0) / \sqrt{2},$$

$$v_3 \leftarrow (1, 0, 0, \varepsilon)^T, \quad r_{13} = q_1^T a_3 (\text{or } = q_1^T v_3) = 1$$

$$v_3 \leftarrow v_3 - r_{13} q_1 = (0, -\varepsilon, 0, \varepsilon)^T$$

Example: CGS vs. MGS Cont'd

- For CGS:

$$r_{23} = q_2^T a_3 = 0, \quad v_3 \leftarrow v_3 - r_{23}q_2 = (0, -\varepsilon, 0, \varepsilon)^T$$

$$r_{33} = \sqrt{2}\varepsilon, \quad q_3 = v_3/r_{33} = (0, -1, 0, 1)^T/\sqrt{2}$$

- ▶ Note that $q_2^T q_3 = (0, -1, 1, 0)(0, -1, 0, 1)^T/2 = 1/2$

- For MGS:

$$r_{23} = q_2^T v_3 = \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}, \quad v_3 \leftarrow v_3 - r_{23}q_2 = (0, -\varepsilon/2, -\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon)^T$$

$$r_{33} = \sqrt{6}\varepsilon/2, \quad q_3 = v_3/r_{33} = (0, -1, -1, 2)^T/\sqrt{6}$$

- ▶ Note that $q_2^T q_3 = (0, -1, 1, 0)(0, -1, -1, 2)^T/\sqrt{12} = 0$

Operation Count

- It is important to assess the *efficiency* of algorithms. But how?
 - ▶ We could implement different algorithms and do head-to-head comparison, but implementation details might affect true performance
 - ▶ We could estimate cost of all operations, but it is very tedious
 - ▶ Relatively simple and effective approach is to estimate amount of floating-point operations, or “flops”, and focus on asymptotic analysis as sizes of matrices approach infinity
- Count each operation $+$, $-$, $*$, $/$, and $\sqrt{}$ as one flop, and make no distinction of real and complex numbers

Theorem

CGS and MGS require $\sim 2mn^2$ flops to compute a QR factorization of an $m \times n$ matrix.