

A

- AbsInt, 300
- absolute time, limiting dependence on, 190-192
- abstract interpretation, 299
- access control lists (ACLs)
 - advanced authorization controls, 79
 - data isolation and, 168
 - graceful degradation and, 223
 - key isolation and, 166
 - safe proxies and, 38
- access controls
 - designing for recovery, 211
 - Google's corporate network and, 212
 - understandability and, 105
- access denials, 73
- accidental errors, recovery from, 185
- accountability, risk taking and, 479
- ACLs (see access control lists)
- active entities, defined, 100
- activists, as attackers, 21
- AddressSanitizer (ASan), 278
- administrative APIs, 66, 70
- advanced authorization controls, 79, 81-86
 - business justifications, 84
 - multi-party authorization, 81
 - three-factor authorization (3FA), 82-84
- advanced mitigation strategies, 314-327, 330
 - binary provenance, 314-317
 - code signing, 316
 - deployment choke points, 325
 - post-deployment verification, 327
 - provenance-based deployment policies, 317-319
 - verifiable builds, 319-324
- adversarial testing, 8
- adversaries, understanding, 15-34
 - attacker methods, 30-32
 - attacker motivations, 16
 - attacker profiles, 18
 - hobbyists, 18
 - risk assessment considerations, 32-33
 - vulnerability researchers, 18
- AFL (American Fuzzy Lop), 284
- Agile development, 57
- alternative components
 - pitfalls, 173
 - types, 169-172
- ALTS (Application Layer Transport Security), 104
- American Fuzzy Lop (AFL), 284
- amplification attacks, 219
- Android, 263
- Android Keystore, 118
- Android security team, 464
- anonymization, 353
- Anonymous (hacktivist group), 22
- antivirus software, 354
- anycast, 222
- APIs (application programming interfaces)
 - defined, 65
 - least-privilege-based design, 65-67
 - secure cryptographic APIs and Tink crypto framework, 117-119
 - third-party insider threats, 27
 - usability and understandability, 116-119
- App Engine (see Google App Engine)
- App Security Improvement (ASI), 300
- application frameworks

- defined, 112
- for service-wide requirements, 112-113
- Application Layer Transport Security (ALTS), 104
- application logs, 355
- application-level data recovery, 432
- artifact, defined, 304
- artificial intelligence
 - cyber attacks and, 24
 - protecting systems from automated attacks, 24
- ASan (AddressSanitizer), 278
- ASI (App Security Improvement), 300
- AST pattern matching, 292-295
- ATT&CK framework, 32
- attack surface
 - binary provenance and, 316
 - redundancy and, 5
- attacker methods, 30-32
 - categorizing of tactics, techniques, and procedures, 32
 - Cyber Kill Chain framework for studying, 31
 - DoS attacks, 218
 - threat intelligence framework for studying, 30
- attacker profiles, 18
 - activists/hacktivists, 21
 - criminal actors, 22-24
 - governments, 19-21
 - hobbyists, 18
 - insiders, 24-30
 - vulnerability researchers, 18
- attackers (see adversaries, understanding)
- auditing
 - automated systems, 377
 - choosing an auditor, 69
 - collecting good audit logs, 68
 - least privilege and, 68-70
- authentication
 - credential/secret rotation, 433-435
 - least-privilege policy framework for, 78-81
 - second-factor authentication using FIDO security keys, 133-135
 - understandability and, 104
- authentication protocol, defined, 100
- authorization
 - advanced controls, 79
 - auditing to detect incorrect usage, 68-70

- avoiding potential pitfalls, 80
- business justifications, 84
- investing in a widely used authorization framework, 80
- least-privilege policy framework for, 78-81
- multi-party, 81
- temporary access, 85
- three-factor authorization (3FA), 82-84
- authorized_keys file, 202
- automated attacks, 24
- automated code inspection tools, 291-296
- automated response mechanisms, 157-158
 - failing safe versus failing secure, 158
 - human involvement in, 159
- automated testing, 240
- automation
 - code deployment, 308
 - cyber attacks and, 24
 - response mechanism deployment, 157
- awareness campaigns, 477
- awareness, culture of, 476-479
- AWS Key Management Service, 118

B

- batteries-included frameworks, 112
- BeyondCorp architecture, 430
 - Device Inventory Service tools, 356
 - location-based trust and, 165
 - zero trust networking model, 63
- Bigtable, 345
- binary provenance, 314-317, 432
- BIOS, 207
- blameless postmortems, 472, 480
- blast radius, controlling, 159-166
 - failure domains, 167-172
 - location separation, 162-166
 - role separation, 162
 - time separation, 166
- Blue Teams, 465-468, 465
- breakglass mechanism
 - code deployment and, 329
 - graceful failure and, 74
 - least-privilege-based design, 67, 74
 - as safety net, 486
- budget, for logging, 357
- bug bounties (Vulnerability Reward Programs), 18, 446, 468-470
- bugs, compromises versus, 390
- builds

defined, 304
verifiable (see verifiable builds)

C

C++, 282

for publicly trusted CA, 237
sanitizing code, 267

CA/Browser Forum Baseline Requirements, 233

California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, 403, 481

canaries, 484

Cantrill, Bryan, 337

CAPTCHA (Completely Automated Public Turing test) systems, 23, 224

CAs (see certificate authorities)

CASBs (cloud access security brokers), 356

casting, implicit, 266

Cellcom, 23

certificate authorities (CAs)

background on publicly trusted CAs, 233

build or buy decision, 235

complexity versus understandability, 238

data validation, 241

design, implementation, maintenance considerations, 236-241

at Google, 233-241

Google's business need for, 234

hardening with fixits, 240

programming language choice, 237

resiliency for CA key material, 240

securing third-party/open source components, 238

testing, 239

certificate revocation (see explicit revocation mechanism)

Certificate Signing Requests (CSRs), 237

certification (security specialists), 459

certification validity database, 201

CFG (control-flow graph), 300

champions, IR team, 368

change

building a case for, 491

picking your battles, 493

reducing fear with risk-reduction mechanisms, 484-486

resistance to, 483

slowing down a change, 139

change budget, 159

change, designing for, 121-141

architecture decisions to make changes easier, 123-127

best practices for designing your change, 122

complications: when plans change, 138

containers, 124

factors influencing speed of change, 127-138

Heartbleed security bug example, 140

keeping dependencies up to date, 123

long-term change: external demand, 136-138

medium-term change: improvement to security posture, 132-135

microservices, 125-127

rebuilding, 124

releasing frequently using automated testing, 124

second-factor authentication using FIDO security keys, 133-135

short-term change: zero-day vulnerability, 129-132

types of security changes, 122

chaos engineering, 281

charter, IR team, 369

checksums, 338

China, 23

choke points, 325

Chrome security team, 445-453, 464

background, 445

designing for defense in depth, 451

helping users safely navigate the web, 450

security as team responsibility, 448

speed of detecting and fixing security flaws, 450

stages of evolution, 446-448

transparency and community engagement, 452

CI/CD (see continuous integration/continuous deployment)

CIA (confidentiality, integrity, availability) triad, 6

Cisco, 33

CL (communications lead), 410, 413

Clang-Tidy, 292-294

CLI (command-line interface), 40-42

client software, 228

cloud access security brokers (CASBs), 356

cloud assets

- compromised cloud instances, 438
- identifying/inventorying, 356
- Cloud Key Management Service (KMS), 118
- ClusterFuzz, 289
- code
 - deploying (see deploying code)
 - testing (see testing (code))
 - writing (see writing code)
- code inspection tools, automated, 291-296
- code reviews, 307
- code signing, 316
- Code Spaces, 11
- Codenomicon, 140
- collaborative debugging, 348
- Colombia, 23
- Columbia Disaster Investigation Board, 481
- command-line interface (CLI), 40-42
- common object model, 99
- communication
 - crisis management and, 406-410
 - emergency access and, 212
 - foundation for trust, 7
 - hedging, 407
 - hypothetical crisis management example, 411
 - keeping the right people informed with the right levels of detail, 409
 - meetings in crisis management situations, 408
 - misunderstandings, 407
 - overcommunication and transparency when advocating for change, 488
 - preparing, 413
 - when email or instant messaging system is compromised, 372
 - when taking a break from debugging, 346
- communications lead (CL), 410, 413
- community engagement, 452
- compartmentalization, 160-162
 - (see also blast radius, controlling)
 - location separation, 162-166
 - role separation, 162
- complexity
 - breaking down, 95
 - evolution and, 9
 - in evolving systems, 9
 - least privilege and, 87
 - managing (see understandability)
 - understandability versus, 94
- concolic testing, 299
- confidentiality
 - isolation of, 165
 - reliability/security intersection, 6
- configuration distribution
 - custom HTTP receiver (in-process), 77
 - custom HTTP receiver (sidecar), 77
 - custom OpenSSH ForceCommand, 76
 - in least-privilege environment, 74-78
 - POSIX API via OpenSSH, 75
 - software update API, 76
 - tradeoffs, 77
- configuration-as-code, 310
- conformance checks, 54
- containers, 124
- continuous integration/continuous deployment (CI/CD), 58
 - implementing verifiable builds, 322-324
 - provenance-based deployment policies, 317
 - unit tests, 272
- continuous validation
 - designing for recovery, 209
 - exercising emergency components as part of normal workflows, 176
 - Google's CA, 241
 - injecting anticipated changes in behavior, 176
 - key rotation cycle measurement, 178
 - oversubscribing but preventing complacency, 178
 - resilient design and, 174-179
 - scenarios for, 176-179
 - splitting when you cannot mirror traffic, 177
 - validation focus areas, 175
- control plane, 127
- control-flow graph (CFG), 300
- coordinated vulnerability disclosure (CVD), 391
- costs
 - adding reliability/security to existing systems, 49
 - computing resources consumed by failure, 153
 - differentiating costs of failures, 152-154
 - logging, 357
 - recovery speed's effect on, 154
 - reliability/security failures, 8
 - resilience solutions, 179

- third-party service providers, 51
 - credentials
 - defined, 100
 - revocation system, 200-204
 - rotation of, 433-435
 - criminal actors
 - as attackers, 22-24
 - protecting your systems from, 23
 - crises, incidents versus, 388-391
 - crisis management, 387-415
 - (see also disaster planning)
 - avoiding panic, 392
 - beginning of response, 392
 - closure, 414
 - communications, 406-410, 411, 413
 - compromises versus bugs, 390
 - coordinated vulnerability disclosure, 391
 - crises versus incidents, 388-391
 - email attack example, 399
 - establishing your incident team, 393
 - handovers, 402-405, 412, 413
 - hypothetical example, 410-414
 - intersection of security and reliability, 11
 - investigative process, 398-401
 - keeping control of the incident, 401-406
 - keeping the right people informed with the
 - right levels of detail, 409
 - operational security, 394-397, 411
 - parallelizing the incident, 401
 - preparing communications and remediation, 413
 - reliability/security tradeoffs, 5
 - taking command of your incident, 391-401
 - trading good OpSec for the greater good, 397
 - triage, 388-390
 - when tools try to be helpful, 396
 - cross-site scripting (XSS), 254
 - cryptographic code, 117-119
 - cryptographic keys, 434
 - cryptography, 458
 - CSRs (Certificate Signing Requests), 237
 - culture, xxvii, 471-495
 - aligning goals and participant incentives, 484
 - balancing accountability and risk taking, 479
 - building a case for change, 491
 - building a culture of security and reliability, 471-495
 - building empathy, 489
 - changing through good practice, 483-490
 - culture of awareness, 476-479
 - culture of inevitability, 480
 - culture of review, 474-475
 - culture of sustainability, 481-483
 - culture of yes, 479
 - defining a healthy security/reliability culture, 473-483
 - escalations and problem resolution, 494
 - increasing productivity and usability, 486-488
 - leadership buy-in for security/reliability changes, 490-494
 - least privilege's impact on, 86
 - overcommunication and transparency, 488
 - picking your battles, 493
 - reducing fear with risk-reduction mechanisms, 484-486
 - safety nets as norm, 486
 - security and reliability as default condition, 473
 - culture of no, 479
 - culture of yes, 479
 - CVD (coordinated vulnerability disclosure), 391
 - Cyber Grand Challenge, 24
 - Cyber Kill Chain, 31
 - cyber warfare, 19
- ## D
- Dapper, 345
 - DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency), 24
 - data corruption, 338
 - data integrity, 6
 - data isolation, 167
 - data plane, 127
 - data sanitization, 431
 - data summarization, 358
 - DDoS attacks (see distributed denial-of-service attacks)
 - debugging, 336-344
 - cleaning up code, 346
 - collaborative debugging, 348
 - correlation-versus-causation problem, 342
 - data corruption and checksums, 338

- deleting legacy systems, 347
- distinguishing common from uncommon bugs, 337
- filtering out normal events from bugs, 340
- hypothesis testing with actual data, 343
- importance of regular practice, 344
- improving access/authorization controls, 348
- improving observability, 345
- isolating the problem, 342
- recording observations, 339
- reliability of logging, 359
- reproducing the bug, 341
- rereading documentation, 344
- robust, secure access to systems, 359
- security investigations versus, 350
- security issues, 359
- setting aside time for debugging and investigations, 339
- stopping when things start to go wrong, 347
- taking a break, 346
- techniques, 336-344
- what to do when you're stuck, 344-348
- declaring an incident, 393, 411
- decompilers, 298
- defacing of websites, 21
- Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), 24
- defense in depth, 145-150
 - Chrome security team (case study), 451
 - controlling blast radius, 159-166
 - Google App Engine analysis, 147-150
 - resilience and, 10
 - Trojan Horse attack, 145-147
- degradation
 - controlling, 150-159
 - differentiating costs of failures, 152-154
 - DoS attacks and, 223
 - failing safe versus failing secure, 158
 - logs and, 358
 - resilience and, 150-159
 - response mechanism automation, 157-158
- Delta Airlines, 8
- denial-of-service (DoS) attacks, 217-229
 - amplification attacks, 219
 - attacker's strategy, 218
 - CAPTCHA implementation, 224
 - client retry behavior in self-inflicted attacks, 228
 - DDoS attacks versus, 220
 - defendable architecture, 220-222
 - defendable services, 222
 - defender's strategy, 219
 - designing for defense against, 220-222
 - graceful degradation, 223
 - mitigating, 223-226
 - mitigation system, 224
 - monitoring/alerting, 223
 - problems with failing open, 201
 - reliability/security intersection, 6
 - self-inflicted attacks, 226-228
 - strategic response, 225
 - user behavior in self-inflicted attacks, 226
- deny lists, 194
- dependencies, keeping up to date, 123
- deploying code, 303-331
 - actionable error messages, 328
 - advanced mitigation strategies, 314-327, 330
 - automation for, 308
 - best practices, 307-311
 - binary provenance, 314-317
 - breakglass with, 329
 - code reviews, 307
 - code signing, 316
 - concepts and terminology, 304-305
 - creating unambiguous policies, 329
 - deployment choke points, 325
 - ensuring unambiguous provenance, 328
 - errors manifested during deployment, 343
 - maintaining confidentiality of secrets, 311
 - post-deployment verification, 327
 - practical advice, 328-330
 - provenance-based deployment policies, 317-319
 - securing against threat model, 311-314
 - supply chain issues, 328
 - threat model, 306
 - treating configuration as code, 310
 - trusting third-party code, 313
 - verifiable builds, 319-324
 - verifying artifacts, 309
- deployment (generally)
 - definition, 305
 - response mechanism, 154-159
 - system, 10
 - Trojan Horse attack, 146
- DER (Distinguished Encoding Rules), 237
- design document template (Google), 47

- design tradeoffs, 43-59
 - balancing requirements, 49-53
 - cost of adding reliability and security to existing systems, 49
 - feature requirements, 44
 - features versus emergent properties, 45-47
 - Google design document template, 47
 - initial versus sustained velocity, 56-59
 - managing tensions/aligning goals, 54-56
 - microservices and Google web application framework, 54
 - nonfunctional requirements, 45
 - objectives/requirements, 44-48
 - payment processing example, 50-53
- developers, least privilege and, 87
- Device Inventory Service tools, 356
- DevOps, 498
- DevSecOps, xix, 498
- dictionaries, fuzz engines and, 281
- digital forensics, 398-401
- disassemblers, 298
- disaster planning, 363-385
 - configuring systems, 374
 - defining "disaster", 364
 - dynamic response strategies, 364
 - prestaging systems and people before an incident, 373-376
 - processes and procedures, 376
 - real-world examples from Google, 383-385
 - risk analysis, 366
 - setting up an incident response team, 367-373
 - testing systems and response plans, 376-382
 - training, 375
- Disaster Recovery Testing (DiRT) program, 12, 384
- disaster risk analysis, 366, 499
- distinct failure domains, 167-172
 - alternate component pitfalls, 173
 - alternate component types, 169-172
 - benefits of splitting system into, 168
 - data isolation, 167
 - functional isolation, 167
 - high-availability components, 170
 - high-capacity components, 170
 - low-dependency components, 170-172
 - resilience and, 10
- distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attacks, 218
 - (see also denial-of-service (DoS) attacks)
 - Anonymous's attack on Israeli websites, 22
 - DoS attacks versus, 220
 - reliability/security intersection, 6
- DNS (Domain Name System) queries, 357
- documentation
 - culture of awareness and, 477
 - maintaining access to, 373
 - rereading, 344
- dogfooding, 485
- DoS extortion, 217
- dumb fuzzing, 281
- dynamic program analysis, 277-280
- dynamic type checking, 264

E

- elections, hacking of, 23
- Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC), 151
- email
 - communicating when system is compromised, 372
 - crisis management email attack example, 399
- embargoed vulnerabilities, 128
- emergency access
 - access controls, 211
 - communication channels, 212
 - designing for recovery, 210-214
 - responder habits, 213
- emergent properties
 - aligning security/reliability goals, 55
 - feature requirements versus, 45-47
 - reliability and security as, 46
- empathy, 489
- encryption
 - defense in depth and, 10
 - log data, 353
- encryption keys (see key rotation)
- Envoy HTTP proxy, 380
- epoch, 191
- error messages, 328
- Error Prone, 259, 292, 296
- errors, threat modeling and, 29
- escalations, problem resolution and, 494
- espionage, 19
- EternalBlue, 147
- evolution of systems, 9
- exception handling, 328
- explicit revocation mechanism, 200-204

- avoiding risky exceptions, 203
- centralized service to revoke certificates, 201
- failing open, 201
- handling emergencies directly, 202
- removing dependency on accurate notions of time, 202
- revoking credentials at scale, 203
- exponential backoff, 228, 249
- external researchers, 468-470

F

- Facetime privacy bug, 397
- failing closed (secure)
 - failing safe versus, 158
 - security/reliability tradeoffs, 158
- failing open (safe)
 - failing secure versus, 158
 - revocation system, 201
 - security/reliability tradeoffs, 158
- failing static, 225
- failover strategies, 172, 381
- failure domains (see distinct failure domains)
- failures, cost of
 - computing resources consumed by, 153
 - differentiating costs of, 152-154
 - effect on user experience, 153
 - speed of mitigation, 154
- failures, system-wide, 381
- false positives/negatives, 291
- fault injection, 379
- fear
 - reducing with risk-reduction mechanisms, 484-486
 - resistance to change and, 483
- feature requirements, 44
- FIDO security keys, 133-135
- firmware
 - capturing state for updates, 206
 - rollbacks, 199
- first-party insiders, 25
- fixits, 240
- ForceCommand, 76
- forensic timeline, 399
- forensics, digital, 398-401
- forward-only MASVN, 199
- Fourth Industrial Revolution, 497
- Frama-C, 300
- frameworks, software development, 55
 - (see also application frameworks)

- access control policies, 105
- benefits of, 245
- lessons for evaluation/construction, 256-259
- reliability/security benefits of, 55
- reliability/security enforcement, 244-249
- rollout strategy, 258
- RPC backends, 247-249
- simple, safe, reliable libraries for common tasks, 257
- understandability and, 112-113
- full-stack frameworks, 112
- functional isolation, 167
- functional requirements, 44
- fuzz engines, 281-285
- fuzz testing (fuzzing), 271, 280-290
 - Chrome security team and, 449
 - ClusterFuzz, 289
 - continuous fuzzing, 289
 - example fuzzer, 286-289
 - fixits and, 240
 - how fuzz engines work, 281-285
 - "known safe" functions, 283
 - OSS-Fuzz, 290
 - security/reliability benefits, 280
 - writing effective fuzz drivers, 285

G

- games, for developing culture of awareness, 476
- General Electric (GE), 25
- GFE (Google Front End), 126
- global network failure, 171
- Gmail, 94
- Go, 237
- Go Race Detector, 268
- goals, aligning, 54-56
 - emergent-property requirements, 55
 - microservices and Google web application framework, 54
 - participant incentives and, 484
- Google
 - DiRT exercise testing emergency access, 384
 - disaster planning at, 383-385
 - earthquake response test, 383
 - embedding security at, 463-465
 - industry-wide vulnerabilities in Linux kernel, 384
 - password manager incident, 3
 - reliability- and security-related sections of design doc template, 47

- safe proxies case study, 37-42
- security/reliability education, 476
- smart system for intake, 464
- sustainable reliability and security culture at, 482
- Tool Proxy, 40-42
- Google App Engine
 - culture of yes and, 479
 - defense in depth and, 147-150
 - runtime layers, 149
 - threat modeling, 148
- Google design document template, 47
- Google Front End (GFE), 126
- Google Sanitizers, 268, 278
- Google Search, 29
- governments
 - as attackers, 19-21
 - cyber attacks as domestic activity monitoring, 20
 - intelligence gathering, 19
 - military purposes of attacks, 19
 - protecting systems from nation-state actors, 20
- graceful degradation
 - DoS attacks and, 223
 - logs and, 358
 - resilience and, 150-159
- graceful failure, 74
- Gregg, Brendan, 343

H

- Hacker Camp, 490
- hacking (origin of term), 18
- hacktivists
 - as attackers, 21
 - protecting systems from, 22
- handovers, 402-405, 412, 413
- hardware security module (HSM), 239
- health, of team members, 482
- Heartbleed security bug, 140, 283
- hedging, 407
- hermetic builds, 321
- hero mode, 403
- HIDS (host intrusion detection system), 354
- high-availability components, 170
- high-capacity service, 170
- hobbyists, as attackers, 18
- Honggfuzz, 285
- host intrusion detection system (HIDS), 354

- host management, 204-206
- HSM (hardware security module), 239
- HTTPS, 57, 136-138
- human resource testing, 380

I

- IC (incident commander), 367, 393
- idempotent operations, 99
- identifiers, 101
- identities
 - Google production system model, 102
 - understandable, 100-104
- IMAG (see Incident Management at Google)
- imminent risk, 397
- immutability, logging design for, 352
- implicit casting, 266
- implicit type conversions, 266
- in-memory state, 205
- incentives, aligning goals with, 484
- Incident Command System, 12, 393
- incident commander (IC), 367, 393
- incident management (see crisis management; disaster planning)
- Incident Management at Google (IMAG)
 - crisis management, 387, 392
 - crisis response, 12
 - IR team training, 375
- incident response (IR) team, 367-373
 - avoiding single points of failure, 368
 - communicating when email or instant messaging system is compromised, 372
 - communications, 406-410
 - creating/staffing, 367-373
 - developing response plans, 371-373
 - establishing team charter, 369
 - handovers, 402-405, 412
 - identifying team members and roles, 367-369
 - keeping control of the incident, 401-406
 - maintaining access to documentation and update information, 373
 - morale issues, 369, 405
 - operating parameters, 370
 - playbooks for, 373
 - processes and procedures, 376
 - severity/priority models, 369
 - training, 375
- incident, crisis versus, 388-391
- incremental development and design, 261

- indicators of compromise (IOCs), 30
- inevitability, culture of, 480
- Infer, 300
- information warfare, 19
- initial velocity, sustained velocity versus, 56-59
- injection sinks, 115
- insider risk
 - defined, 24
 - designing for, 29
 - MPA protection against, 83
 - resilience and, 10
 - threat modeling, 28
- insider threat, 24
- insiders
 - attacks by, 24-30
 - designing for insider risk, 29
 - determining intent of, 27
 - first-party, 25
 - related, 27
 - third-party, 26
 - threat modeling insider risk, 28
- instant messaging, communicating when system is compromised, 372
- intake, 464
- integration testing, 276
- intelligence gathering, 19
- interactive sessions, 67
- interactive talks, 476
- interfaces
 - common object model and, 99
 - idempotent operations and, 99
 - narrow, 98
 - understandable, 98-100
- Internet Relay Chat (IRC), 213
- intersection of security and reliability, 3-13
 - assessment, 8
 - budgeting for long-term log storage, 358
 - commonalities between reliability and security, 7-13
 - communicating when email or instant messaging system is compromised, 372
 - confidentiality, integrity, availability, 5-7
 - crisis response, 11
 - deployment of design, 10
 - design considerations, 4
 - effects of insiders, 25
 - emergency access, 210
 - evolution, 9
 - Google password manager incident, 3
 - invisibility, 7
 - logging, 11
 - permissions, 64
 - recognizing a compromise, 350
 - recovery, 12
 - relationship between security and reliability, 4
 - resilience, 9
 - simplicity, 8
- intrusion prevention systems (IPs), 356
- invariants, 91
 - (see also system invariants)
 - common security invariants, 244
 - defined, 90
 - system invariants, 91-93
- investigating systems, 333-360
 - debugging techniques, 336-344
 - debugging versus, 350
 - from debugging to investigation, 334-351
 - intersection of security and reliability, 11
 - logs, appropriate/useful, 351-358
 - robust, secure debugging access, 359
 - temporary files, 334-336
- invisibility, of reliability and security, 7
- IP addresses, microservices and, 101
- IPsec, 57
- IR team (see incident response team)
- Iran, 20
- isolation of assets, 429-430
- isolation of trust, 164
- Israel, 22

J

- Java Cryptography Architecture (JCA), 276
- JavaScript, 53
- jitter, 228
- just-in-time notifications, 478

K

- key isolation, 165
- Key Management Service (KMS), 118
- Key Revocation List (KRL), 202, 203
- key rotation
 - cycle measurement, 178
 - Heartbleed bug and, 141
 - preventing rollback with, 198
 - SSL keys, 434
 - time separation and, 166
- KMS (Key Management Service), 118

"known safe" functions, 283
known_hosts file, 202
Knusperli, 286-289
Kraleovich, Nick, 263
KRL (Key Revocation List), 202, 203
ksplice, 384
Kubernetes, 325

L

lame-duck mode, 153
law enforcement agencies
 as attackers, 19-21
 cyber attacks as domestic activity monitoring, 20
law of diminishing returns, 403
leadership
 building a case for change, 491
 buy-in for security/reliability changes, 490-494
 escalations and problem resolution, 494
 picking your battles, 493
 understanding the decision-making process, 490
least privilege, 61-88
 advanced authorization controls, 79, 81-86
 auditing, 68-70
 best practices, 65-74
 breakglass, 67, 74
 business justifications, 84
 classifying access based on risk, 63
 complexity of security posture, 86
 concepts and terminology, 62
 configuration distribution, 74-78
 defined, 62
 designing for, 61-88
 developer complexity and, 87
 diagnosing access denials, 73
 graceful failure and breakglass mechanisms, 74
 impact on collaboration and company culture, 86
 investing in a widely used authorization framework, 80
 multi-party authorization, 81
 policy framework for authentication/authorization decisions, 78-81
 proxies, 85
 quality of security-impacting data, 87
 reliability/security tradeoffs, 85

small functional APIs, 65-67
temporary access and, 85
testing and, 71-73
three-factor authorization (3FA), 82-84
tradeoffs/tensions, 86
user productivity and, 87
zero touch, 63
zero trust networking, 62
legacy systems, 347
Liberia, 23
libFuzzer, 285
linters, 291
LLVM Clang, 282
load balancing, 221
load shedding, 156
location separation, 162-166
 aligning physical and logical architecture, 163
 isolation of confidentiality, 165
 isolation of trust, 164
location-based trust, 164
Lockheed Martin, 19
logging
 attackers' bypassing of, 67
 budget for, 357
 collecting appropriate/useful logs, 351-358
 designing for immutability, 352
 determining which security logs to retain, 354-357
 intersection of security and reliability, 11
 logs as attack target, 5
 privacy issues, 352
 reliability issues, 359
Lonestar, 23
lost causes, value of, 493
low-dependency service, 170-172

M

malicious actions, recovery from, 185
malware reports, 31
MASVN (minimum acceptable security version numbers), 195-198
mean time to detection (MTTD), 223
mean time to repair (MTTR), 223
meetings, in crisis management situations, 408
Mehta, Neel, 140
memory corruption, checksums and, 338
memory-safe languages, 263
mental models

- idempotency and, 99
- understandability and, 93
- microservices
 - designing for change with, 125-127
 - Google's frontend design, 126
 - Google-internal framework, 54
 - rate-limiting mechanism as, 188
 - role separation, 162
- military, cyber warfare and, 19
- Miller, Matt, 263
- minimum acceptable security version numbers (MASVN), 195-198
- Mission Control program, 490
- mission, of IR team, 369
- mistakes, threat modeling and, 29
- MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 18
- mitigation doc, 422
- mitigation strategies, advanced (see advanced mitigation strategies)
- MITRE, 32
- morale issues
 - IC's responsibility for, 405
 - on incident response teams, 369
- motivations, of attacker, 16
- MTTD (mean time to detection), 223
- MTTR (mean time to repair), 223
- multi-party authorization (MPA), 81
 - code review as, 307
 - reliability and, 80
 - resilience and, 10
 - unilateral insider risk protection, 83
- multicomponent failure testing, 380
- multilevel nesting, 260
- mutation testing, 273

N

- NASA, 481
- nation-state actors, protecting systems from, 20
 - (see also governments)
- Netflix, 281
- network intrusion detection systems (NIDSs), 356
- nonfunctional requirements, 45
- nontechnical risks, 51
- North Korea, 17
- notes, keeping during recovery, 420, 436
- NotPetya ransomware, 33
- NSA, 33

- NSO Group, 20

O

- observability, improving, 345
- OIDC (OpenID Connect), 102, 104
- OL (operations lead), 394
- one-time passwords (OTPs), 133-135
- one-time programmable (OTP) devices, 199
- OODA (observe, orient, decide, act) loop, 402
- open source components
 - for Google custom CA, 238
 - third-party insider threats, 26
- OpenID Connect (OIDC), 102, 104
- OpenSSH
 - configuration distribution via, 75
 - custom OpenSSH ForceCommand, 76
- OpenSSL library, 9, 140
- operating parameters, IR team, 370
- operating system logs, 354
- Operation Aurora, 20, 436
- operational overload, 339, 341
- operational security (OpSec)
 - crisis management, 394-397
 - hypothetical crisis management example, 411
 - trading good OpSec for the greater good, 397
- operations lead (OL), 394
- OSS-Fuzz, 290
- OTP (one-time programmable) devices, 199
- OTPs (one-time passwords), 133-135
- overcommunication, 137, 407, 488
- overprovisioning, 219

P

- panic rooms, 74
- parallelizing an incident, 401
- Park Jin Hyok, 17
- partial restores, 209
- passwords, 3
- patch, defined, 129
- payment processing system design (case study), 50-53
 - security/reliability considerations, 50
 - third-party service provider for sensitive data, 51-53
- Peach Fuzzer, 282
- penetration testers, 19, 467
- permissions, 64

- persistent data, 208
- personally identifiable information (PII), 50
- Petya ransomware, 33
- phishing attack
 - credential rotation and, 433
 - recovery from, 439-440
 - two-factor authentication to address risk of, 133
- phone bridges, 212
- physical location, 162-166
- PII (personally identifiable information), 50
- pivot points, 400
- playbooks, IR team, 373
- poisoned regions, 279
- police (see law enforcement agencies)
- policies
 - avoiding automated unsupervised changes, 159
 - creating unambiguous, 329
- POSIX API, 66, 75
- postmortems, 436-437, 472, 480
- post_install command, 205
- prestaging (disaster planning), 373-376
 - configuring systems, 374
 - processes and procedures, 376
 - training, 375
- pre_rm command, 205
- priority models, IR teams and, 370
- privacy, logging and, 352
- production
 - intersection of security and reliability, 10
 - safe proxies in production environments, 37-40
 - single system testing/fault injection, 379
 - testing response in production environments, 379
- productivity
 - increasing, 486-488
 - least privilege and, 87
- profile, attacker, 18
- program analysis
 - dynamic, 277-280
 - static, 290-301
 - (see also static analysis)
- Project Shield, 221
- provenance
 - binary, 314-317, 432
 - data sanitization and, 432
 - ensuring unambiguous provenance, 328

- provenance-based deployment policies, 317-319
- proxies, 85
 - benefits of, 38
 - downsides of, 39
 - safe (see safe proxies (case study))
- pseudonymization, 353
- publicly trusted certificate authority (CA), 233-241
- Purple Team, 382, 466
- Pwn2Own, 450
- Pwnium, 450

Q

- quality-of-service (QoS) controls, 223
- quarantine (isolation)
 - assets, 429-430
 - compartments and, 160

R

- random errors, recovery from, 184
- ransomware attacks, 23
 - Petya, 33
 - responses based on culture, 389
- Rapid (software release tool at Google), 431
- rate-limiting mechanism, 188
- readability, 258
- recovery, 417-435, 437-442
 - aftermath of, 435-437
 - attacker's response to, 423
 - checklists, 428
 - compromised cloud instances, 438
 - compromised infrastructure/tooling, 424
 - credential/secret rotation, 433-435
 - data sanitization, 431
 - examples, 437-442
 - initiating, 429-435
 - intersection of security and reliability, 12
 - isolation of assets, 429-430
 - large-scale phishing attack, 439-440
 - logistics, 418-420
 - mitigation option questions, 426-428
 - open-ended questions/considerations, 423-428
 - planning, 421-428
 - postmortems, 436-437
 - recovery data, 432
 - reintroduction of attack vectors, 426
 - repeating risks, 425

- roles and responsibilities in, 419
- scoping, 421
- speed of mitigation, 154
- system rebuilds and software upgrades, 430
- targeted attack requiring complex recovery, 441
- timeline, 420
- variants of attack, 425
- recovery checklists, 418, 420, 428, 441
- recovery data, 432
- recovery speed, 154
- recovery, designing for, 183-215
 - accidental errors, 185
 - design for reasonable speed, 186-190
 - design for testing/continuous validation, 209
 - design principles, 186-210
 - emergency access, 210-214
 - explicit revocation mechanism, 200-204
 - isolating the rate-limiting mechanism, 188
 - knowing intended state, 204-209
 - limiting dependencies on external notions of time, 190-192
 - malicious actions, 185
 - random errors, 184
 - rollbacks as tradeoff between security and reliability, 192-200
 - scenarios requiring recovery, 184-186
 - software errors, 185
 - unexpected benefits, 214
- Red Teams, 19, 381, 465-468
 - defined, 466
 - location-based trust and, 165
- redundancy
 - controlling, 172-174
 - failover strategies, 172
 - payment processing system example, 52
 - reliability/security tradeoffs, 5
- refactoring, 262, 274
- reference documentation, 477
 - (see also documentation)
- related insiders, 27
- reliability
 - as emergent property of system design, 46
 - security and (see intersection of security and reliability; tradeoffs, reliability/security)
- reliability risks, 4, 52
- remediation lead (RL), 402, 413, 420
- remote procedure calls (RPCs)
 - framework for backends, 247-249
 - safe proxies and, 38
 - three-factor authorization and, 83
 - tool proxies and, 40
- reproducible builds, 322
- researchers, 468-470
- resilience, designing for, 143-181
 - continuous validation, 174-179
 - controlling blast radius, 159-166
 - controlling degradation, 150-159
 - controlling redundancies, 172-174
 - defense in depth, 145-150
 - design principles, 144
 - failure domains, 167-172
 - intersection of security and reliability, 9
 - overcoming resistance to, 180
 - response mechanism deployment, 154-159
 - solutions in order of costs, 179
 - starting points for, 179-181
- resistance to change, 483
- response mechanism deployment, 154-158
 - automated response, 157
 - load shedding, 156
 - reliability/security tradeoffs, 159
 - throttling, 156
- response plans
 - auditing automated systems, 377
 - communicating when email/instant messaging system is compromised, 372
 - conducting nonintrusive tabletops, 378-379
 - developing, 371-373
 - DiRT exercise testing emergency access, 384
 - earthquake response test, 383
 - evaluating responses, 382
 - human resource testing, 380
 - industry-wide vulnerabilities in Linux kernel, 384
 - multicomponent testing, 380
 - in production environments, 379
 - real-world examples from Google, 383-385
 - Red Team exercises, 381
 - single system testing/fault injection, 379
 - system-wide failures/failovers, 381
 - testing, 376-382
- reverse engineering, 31, 298
- review, culture of, 474-475
- revocation list, 201

revocation mechanism (see explicit revocation mechanism)

risk

classifying access based on, 63

reliability versus security design considerations, 4

risk analysis, 366, 499

risk assessment

disaster risk assessment matrix, 499

understanding adversaries, 32-33

risk ratings, 366

risk reduction, reducing fear with, 484-486

risk taking, balancing accountability with, 479

RL (remediation lead), 402, 413, 420

role separation, 162

roles and responsibilities, 455-470

Blue and Red Teams, 465-468

embedding security at Google, 463-465

embedding security specialists and security teams, 462

external researchers, 468-470

integrating security into the organization, 460-470

recovery and, 419

security/reliability as everyone's responsibility, 456-460

security/reliability risk evaluation, 457

specialists' roles, 456

understanding security expertise, 458

rollbacks

deny lists, 194

firmware/hardware-centric constraints, 199

minimum acceptable security version numbers, 195-198

rotating signing keys, 198

security/reliability tradeoffs, 192-200

rollouts

incremental, 258

legacy conversions, 259

staged, 484

strategy for, 258

rotation of keys (see key rotation)

RPCs (see remote procedure calls)

RSA, 19, 151

S

safe proxies (case study), 37-42

Google Tool Proxy, 40-42

in production environments, 37-40

SafeHtml, 115, 254

SafeSql, 115

safety nets, 486

same-origin policy, 110

sandboxing, 147, 149, 451

sanitizing code, 267

sanitizing data, 431

scalable revocation, 203

scope, of IR team charter, 369

secrets

dangers of including in code, 311

rotation of, 433-435

(see also key rotation)

security (generally)

as emergent property of system design, 46

integrating into the organization, 460-470

reliability and (see intersection of security and reliability; tradeoffs, reliability/security)

security boundaries

defined, 106

small TCBs and strong security boundaries, 108

threat models and, 109

understandability and, 105-111

security by design, xxv

security champions, 463

security changes (see change, designing for)

security experts (see security specialists)

security investigations (see investigating systems)

security logs

application logs, 355

cloud logs, 355

determining which security logs to retain, 354-357

host agents, 354

network-based logging and detection, 356

operating system logs, 354

security posture, changes to, 132-135

security risks, 4, 53

security specialists

certifications and academia, 459

embedding into the organization, 462

hiring, 458

role of, 456

security teams, 462

(see also Blue Teams; Chrome security team; Red Teams)

- seed corpus, 282
- self-inflicted DoS attacks, 226-228
 - client retry behavior, 228
 - user behavior, 226
- self-registration, 487
- self-resolution, 487
- severity model, 369
- Shellshock, 130
- Shipshape, 297
- sidecar daemon, 77
- signing keys (see key rotation)
- Simian Army, 281
- simplicity, 8
- single sign-on (SSO) services, 434
- single system testing, fault injection and, 379
- Site Isolation project, 451
- Site Reliability Engineer/Engineering (SRE)
 - crisis management, 392
 - problem space's similarities to security problems, xix
 - risk evaluation by, 457
 - Security Engineering's similarities to, xxiii
 - SRE Security Exchange Program, 489
- software development (see testing (code); writing code)
- software errors, recovery from, 185
- software supply chain, 304-305
- software upgrades, recovery and, 430
- Sony Pictures, 17
- Space Shuttle Columbia incident, 481
- Spanner, 235, 334-336
- SQL injection (SQLI), 245, 252-254
- SRE (see Site Reliability Engineer/Engineering)
- SRE Security Exchange Program, 489
- SSL key rotation, 434
- SSO (single sign-on) services, 434
- staged rollouts, 484
- stalkerware, 23
- state
 - defined, 204
 - device firmware, 206
 - global services, 207
 - host management, 204-206
 - knowing intended state, 204-209
 - persistent data and, 208
- state exhaustion attack, 221
- static analysis, 290-301
 - abstract interpretation, 299
 - automated code inspection tools, 291-296
 - formal methods, 301
 - integration into developer workflow, 296-299
 - reverse engineering and test input generation, 298
- static type checking, 264
- Stoll, Clifford, 15
- stored XSS bugs, 115
- Strava, 21
- strongly typed language, 264, 265-267
- structured justification, 70
- supply chain, 214
 - (see also software supply chain)
 - code deployment issues, 328
 - security benefits of designing for recovery, 214
- sustainability, culture of, 481-483
- sustained velocity, initial velocity versus, 56-59
- Syrian Electronic Army, 21
- system invariants, 91-93, 92
- system logs, as attack target, 5
- system rebuilds, 430
- systems (generally)
 - in context of systems engineering, xxv
 - investigating (see investigating systems)

T

- tabletop exercises, 378-379
- tactics, techniques, and procedures (TTPs), 32, 422
- talks, interactive, 476
- TCB (see trusted computing base)
- technical debt
 - compromised assets as, 430
 - during recovery, 427
 - repaying when writing code, 261
- technical program managers (TPMs), 450
- temporary access, least privilege and, 85
- test, defined, 304
- testing (automated), 124
- testing (code), 271-302
 - correctness validation, 275
 - dynamic program analysis, 277-280
 - fuzz testing, 280-290
 - integration testing, 276
 - static program analysis, 290-301
 - unit testing, 272-276
- testing (general)
 - designing for recovery, 209

- Google's certificate authority, 239
- least privilege and, 71-73
- test environment security/reliability tradeoffs, 72
- testing (response plans)
 - auditing automated systems, 377
 - conducting nonintrusive tabletops, 378-379
 - DiRT exercise testing emergency access, 384
 - earthquake response test, 383
 - evaluating responses, 382
 - human resource testing, 380
 - industry-wide vulnerabilities in Linux kernel, 384
 - multicomponent testing, 380
 - in production environments, 379
 - real-world examples from Google, 383-385
 - Red Team exercises, 381
 - single system testing/fault injection, 379
 - system-wide failures/failovers, 381
- third-party code, 313
- third-party components, 238
- third-party insiders, 26
- third-party service providers
 - benefits of, 51
 - costs and nontechnical risks, 51
 - reliability risks, 52
 - security risks, 53
 - sensitive data and, 51-53
- threat intelligence, 30
- threat mitigation (see advanced mitigation strategies)
- threat modeling
 - deploying code, 306
 - insider risk, 28
 - mistakes and, 29
 - securing code against threat model, 311-314, 330
 - security boundaries and, 109
 - Trojan Horse attack, 146
- three-factor authorization (3FA), 82-84
- ticket queue, 464
- time dependence, limiting, 190-192
- Tink library, 117-119
- Tool Proxy (Google binary), 40-42
- TPMs (technical program managers), 450
- tradeoffs, design (see design tradeoffs)
- tradeoffs, reliability/security
 - controlling degradation, 152
 - deny lists, 194
 - imminent risk, 397
 - incident management, 5
 - redundancy, 5
 - response mechanisms, 159
 - rollbacks, 192-200
 - system usability in least-privilege environment, 85
 - test environments, 72
- transparency
 - Chrome security team case study, 452
 - when advocating for change, 488
- transport security, 104
- triage, 388-390, 411
- Tricorder, 297
- Trojan Horse attack, 145-147
 - compromise, 147
 - deployment, 146
 - execution, 147
 - threat modeling and vulnerability discovery, 146
- trust, isolation of, 164
- trusted computing base (TCB), 105-111
 - small TCBs and strong security boundaries, 108
 - understandability and, 111
- TrustedSqlString, 252-254
- TTPs (tactics, techniques, and procedures), 32, 422
- two-factor authentication (2FA)
 - 3FA vs., 84
 - benefits during recovery, 435
 - using FIDO security keys, 133-135
- type checking, 264
- type conversions, implicit, 266

U

- UCLA Medical Center, 26
- Ukraine, 33
- understandability, 89-119
 - access control and, 105
 - analyzing invariants, 92
 - API usability and, 116-119
 - application frameworks for service-wide requirements, 112-113
 - authentication and transport security, 104
 - benefits of, 90-94
 - breaking down complexity, 95
 - centralized responsibility for security/reliability requirements, 96

- complex data flows, 113-116
- complexity versus, 94
- designing understandable systems, 94-119
- Google CA implementation, 238
- identities, 100-104
- interface specifications, 98-100
- mental models and, 93
- security boundaries, 105-111
- software design, 111-119
- system architecture, 97-111
- system invariants and, 91-93
- unit testing, 272-276
 - effect on code, 274-275
 - when to write unit tests, 273
 - writing effective unit tests, 272
- universal two-factor (U2F) hardware security tokens, 133
- Unix, small functional APIs and, 65-67
- unmanaged complexity, understandability versus, 94
- unzipping, 194
- Upvote, 488
- URLs, 113
- usability
 - focus on, 487
 - increasing, 486-488
- user experience, failures' effect on, 153
- user productivity, least privilege and, 87

V

- Valgrind, 9, 268
- validation, continuous (see continuous validation)
- velocity, initial versus sustained, 56-59
- verifiable builds, 319-324
 - architectures, 320
 - implementation, 322-324
 - unauthenticated inputs, 324
 - untrusted inputs, 324
- Verizon, 8
- version advancement, 191
- virtual LANs (VLANs), 160
- vulnerability researchers, as attackers, 18
- Vulnerability Reward Programs (bug bounties), 18, 446, 468-470
- vulnerability scanning teams, 467

W

- wall-clock time, limiting dependencies on, 190-192
- WannaCry Ransomware, 17, 147
- web application firewall (WAF), 229
- web applications, Google-internal framework, 54
- web origin, defined, 110
- WebKit, 446
- "Wheel of Misfortune", 349
- Wilkes, Maurice, 334
- writing code, 243-269
 - avoiding multilevel nesting, 260
 - choice of tools for, 263-264
 - common security vulnerabilities, 251-255
 - eliminating YAGNI smells, 260
 - frameworks to enforce security and reliability, 244-249
 - lessons for framework evaluation/construction, 256-259
 - memory-safe languages for, 263
 - refactoring, 262
 - repaying technical debt, 261
 - rollout strategy, 258
 - sanitizing code, 267
 - security and reliability by default, 263-268
 - simple, safe, reliable libraries for common tasks, 257
 - simplicity's importance to, 259-263
 - static type checking for, 264
 - strong typing for, 264, 265-267
 - understandability, 111-119
- Wycheproof, 276

X

- XSS (cross-site scripting), 254

Y

- YAGNI ("You Aren't Gonna Need It"), 260
- Yahoo!, 8
- yes, culture of, 479
- YouTube, 9

Z

- Zero Touch interfaces, 63
- Zero Touch Prod (ZTP), 38, 40
- zero trust networking, 62
 - access control and, 212

- Google's corporate network, 212
- location-based trust and, 165
- zero-day vulnerability
 - responding to, 129-132

- Shellshock example, 130
- Zipkin, 345
- ZTP (Zero Touch Prod), 38, 40