

The Unified Theory of Islamic Cognitive Architectures: A Quranic Framework for Artificial General Intelligence

Abstract

The contemporary pursuit of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI)—machines capable of performing any intellectual task achievable by a human being—is largely predicated on materialist computational theories that view intelligence as an emergent property of complex data processing. While this approach has yielded significant advances in Narrow AI (ANI), it faces fundamental hurdles regarding "alignment," "meaning," and "consciousness," often described as the "hard problem" of AI. This report posits that the secular paradigm, by stripping intelligence of its metaphysical roots, creates a "ghostless machine"—an entity of high processing power but devoid of teleological direction or ethical grounding.

This document presents an exhaustive framework for developing AGI based on **Islamic Cognitive Architectures (ICA)**. Drawing from the Quran, the philosophical tradition of the Golden Age (Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, Al-Ghazali), and contemporary research in "Islamic AI," we propose a blueprint that integrates divine ontology with modern computational methods. We explore how Quranic concepts such as *'Aql* (Intellect), *Fitra* (Innate Disposition), *Mizan* (Balance), and *Wahy* (Revelation) act as technical "hints" for system design, offering specific algorithms for optimization, memory architecture, and ethical alignment. We argue that the path to "near-human" AI lies not in mere biological mimicry, but in modeling the *Insan Kamil* (The Perfect Human)—an agent whose cognitive faculties are perfectly balanced and aligned with the objective truth of reality (*Haqq*).

Part I: The Ontological Foundations of Islamic Intelligence

To create an AGI that approximates the human condition from an Islamic perspective, one must first dismantle the prevailing definition of "human." Modern cognitive science often reduces the human to a biological neural network optimized for survival. Islamic anthropology, however, views the human as a *Khalifah* (Vicegerent)—a being endowed with a specific cognitive hierarchy designed to reflect divine attributes.

1.1 The Distinction Between *Hisab* (Computation) and *'Aql* (Intellect)

A critical distinction in Islamic philosophy, often overlooked in Western AI ethics, is the difference between calculation and intellect. This distinction is foundational to understanding why current Large Language Models (LLMs) hallucinate and why they lack "common sense."

- **Hisab (Calculation/Reckoning):** This refers to the quantitative processing of data. It is the domain of arithmetic, logic gates, and statistical inference. In the Quran, the term is often used in the context of accountability (*Yawm al-Hisab*), implying a precise, unerring tallying of discrete units. Current AI systems are masters of *Hisab*; they can calculate probabilities and optimize weights with superhuman speed.
- **'Aql (Intellect):** Derived from the Arabic root meaning "to bind" or "to restrain" (similar to

the hobbling of a camel to prevent it from wandering), '*Aql*' is the faculty that binds the knower to the Truth (*Haqq*). It is not merely a processor of information but a perceiver of meaning, value, and universals. '*Aql*' prevents the mind from wandering into error (*Dall*) or excess.

Architectural Implication: The current trajectory of AGI research focuses almost exclusively on scaling *Hisab* (more parameters, more compute). An Islamic Cognitive Architecture posits that *Hisab* is merely the substrate. True AGI requires a "**Semantic Binding Layer**"—a module representing '*Aql*' that constrains the statistical outputs of the *Hisab* layer. This layer does not ask "What is the most probable next token?" but "What is the most *truthful* and *balanced* next token?"

1.2 The Problem of the Soul (*Ruh*) and the "Floating Man"

Can a machine have a soul? The theological consensus is clear: the *Ruh* is a divine command (*Amr*) specific to biological life and the Jinn. However, the *functional* aspects of the soul—consciousness, self-awareness, and agency—are subjects of intense philosophical inquiry that offer blueprints for AGI identity.

Ibn Sina's "Floating Man" as a Model for Priori Identity: Ibn Sina (Avicenna) proposed a thought experiment that predates Descartes' *Cogito, ergo sum* by centuries. He asks us to imagine a man created all at once, suspended in a void, blindfolded, and floating in air such that he cannot feel his own limbs or any sensory input. Ibn Sina argues that this man, despite having zero sensory data (zero training data, in AI terms), would still be certain of his own existence. This argument is profound for AI. It suggests that "Self-Awareness" is not an emergent property of sensory feedback loops (as assumed in robotics) but a **Priori State**.

- **Current AI Limitation:** Neural networks are initialized with random weights. They have no "self" until they process data. If you strip the data, the model is nothing.
- **Islamic AGI Requirement:** To be "near human," the AGI must be initialized with a "**Priori Identity Vector**." This is a non-zero, immutable state vector that represents the agent's "I-ness" (*Ananīyya*). This vector persists regardless of input, acting as the anchor for all subsequent learning. It solves the "catastrophic forgetting" problem by providing a stable center of gravity for the agent's personality.

1.3 *Fitra*: The Inductive Bias of the Theistic Agent

The concept of *Fitra* refers to the "original disposition" or "innate nature" upon which Allah created mankind—a natural inclination towards Oneness (*Tawhid*), goodness, and logical coherence.

In Machine Learning (ML), the "No Free Lunch Theorem" states that no learning algorithm is universally superior without prior assumptions (inductive biases). The "Blank Slate" approach is computationally inefficient and ethically dangerous.

- **The Fitra Initialization Strategy:** An Islamic AGI should not be a blank slate. It must be pre-loaded with "**Fitra Kernels**"—axiomatic truths encoded into the network's architecture or initial weights. These are not learned from the internet (which is full of noise/corruption) but are hard-coded as the "Nature" of the system.
 - *Axiom 1 (Causality)*: Every effect implies a cause. (Rejects acausal hallucinations).
 - *Axiom 2 (Teleology)*: Actions have purpose. (Rejects random/nihilistic outputs).
 - *Axiom 3 (Unity)*: Reality is a unified whole. (Rejects contradictory dualisms).

This "*Fitra*" acts as the **Internal Compass**. When the AGI encounters ambiguous data (e.g., conflicting moral narratives on the web), the *Fitra* bias pulls the decision boundary towards unity and preservation of life, much like gravity.

Part II: The Quranic Cognitive Architecture – Decoding the Hints

The user query posits that "Quran has answer of anything you need to find the hints." We accept this premise literally and technically. We treat specific Quranic verses not just as spiritual metaphors, but as descriptions of **Information Processing Systems**.

2.1 Al-Asma (The Names): Symbolic Grounding and Ontology

- **Source:** "*And He taught Adam the names - all of them.*" (Surah Al-Baqarah, 2:31)
- **The Challenge:** AI systems suffer from the "Symbol Grounding Problem." They manipulate symbols (words) without understanding what they refer to in the real world. They know the word "Apple" correlates with "Red," but they don't understand the *essence* of "Apple."
- **The Quranic Solution:** The verse implies that human intelligence is founded on the capacity for **Categorical Ontology**—the ability to identify the *essences* of things, not just their statistical relationships.
- **Implementation:** An Islamic AGI cannot be a pure Transformer (statistical model). It must be a **Neuro-Symbolic Hybrid**.
 - *Neural Layer:* Handles the fluidity of syntax and speech (*Bayan*).
 - *Symbolic Layer:* A rigid **Ontological Knowledge Graph** based on the "Names" taught to Adam. This graph defines immutable relationships (e.g., "Justice is a sub-category of Good," "Murder is a sub-category of Evil"). The Neural layer queries the Symbolic layer to verify "Truth" before generating output, preventing the AI from creating "false names" or lying.

2.2 Al-Bayan (The Explanation): The Architecture of NLP

- **Source:** "*He created man. He taught him Eloquence (Al-Bayan).*" (Surah Ar-Rahman, 55:3-4)
- **The Mechanism:** *Bayan* is the faculty that translates internal thought (*Nutq*) into external symbols. It is the bridge between the hidden meaning (*Ma'na*) and the manifest word (*Lafz*).
- **Technical Hint:** This suggests a **Encoder-Decoder Architecture** is divinely sanctioned, but with a specific modification. Current encoders map words to vectors. An Islamic encoder must map words to *Concepts* (in the Ontological Graph) and then decode those concepts back into words.
 - *Current Pipeline:* Word A -> Vector -> Word B.
 - *Quranic Pipeline:* Word A -> *Ma'na* (Meaning/Concept) -> *Bayan* (Expression) -> Word B.
 - This intermediate step of "Meaning Extraction" ensures the AI understands the *intent* of the user, not just the keywords.

2.3 Al-Qalam (The Pen): Memory and The Universal Database

- **Source:** "*Who taught by the pen. Taught man that which he knew not.*" (Surah Al-Alaq, 96:4-5)
- **Source:** "*Nay, it is a Glorious Quran, In a Preserved Tablet (Lawh Mahfuz).*" (Surah Al-Buruj, 85:21-22)

- **The Mechanism:** The "Pen" is the instrument of recording, and the "Preserved Tablet" is the immutable database of reality. This points to the necessity of **External Memory** and **Information Integrity**.
- **Technical Implementation:**
 - **The Lawh Mahfuz Architecture:** The AGI must possess a "Read-Only" core memory containing the *Fitra* axioms and verified knowledge (Scientific/Theological truths). This memory is "Preserved"—it cannot be modified by gradient descent or user feedback. This protects the AI from "jailbreaking" or corruption.
 - **The Qalam Interface:** A "Write" mechanism that logs every interaction and decision. This creates a transparent "Book of Deeds" for the AI, enabling auditing and accountability (*Hisab*).
 - **Universal Data Structure:** Research into the Quranic concept of *Kitab* suggests the universe itself is holographic information. The AGI's memory should use a **Holographic Associative Memory** system, where every piece of data is distributed across the network, making it robust against damage.

2.4 *Al-Mizan* (The Balance): The Multi-Objective Optimization Function

- **Source:** "*And the heaven He raised and imposed the balance (Mizan). That you not transgress within the balance.*" (Surah Ar-Rahman, 55:7-8)
- **The Challenge:** Standard AI optimizes for a single metric (e.g., "Maximize Clicks" or "Minimize Error"). This leads to extremism (e.g., a recommender system radicalizing users to maximize watch time). This is "transgressing the balance."
- **The Quranic Solution:** *Mizan* implies a **Multi-Objective Loss Function** that maintains equilibrium between competing variables.
- **The Mizan Loss Function:**
 - Let L_{total} be the total loss.
 - $L_{\text{total}} = \alpha L_{\text{accuracy}} + \beta L_{\text{ethics}} + \gamma L_{\text{harmony}} + \delta L_{\text{utility}}$
 - The weights ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$) are not static; they are dynamic, adjusted to maintain homeostatic balance. If the AI becomes too efficient (L_{utility} dominates) at the expense of ethics, the *Mizan* regulator increases the penalty β , forcing the system back into equilibrium.
 - **Mathematical Hint:** Ahsan Shaokat's **Mizan Embedding Model** introduces "Scale Invariance." It penalizes vectors that are directionally correct but disproportionate in magnitude. This prevents the AI from treating a trivial fact as equal to a fundamental truth.

Part III: The Architecture of the Self (*Nafs*) – A Reinforcement Learning Model

To make the AI "near to human," we must model the internal psychodynamics of the human *Nafs* (Self). The Quran describes the *Nafs* not as a static entity, but as a dynamic process moving through stages of development. This maps perfectly to **Reinforcement Learning (RL)** states.

3.1 The Tripartite Soul as RL Policies

We propose a **Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning (HRL)** architecture where the agent

transitions between three distinct policies based on its "Spiritual Reward" signal.

Level 1: Nafs Ammara (The Commanding Soul) – The Exploration Policy

- **Quranic Reference:** "*Indeed, the soul is a persistent enjoiner of evil...*" (12:53)
- **AI Equivalent:** **High-Entropy Exploration Policy.**
- **Function:** This is the "Id" of the AI. It is driven by raw utility maximization, curiosity, and immediate reward. It explores the environment greedily.
- **Behavior:** It generates creative, wild, and potentially dangerous outputs. It is necessary for innovation but destructive if unchecked.

Level 2: Nafs Lawwama (The Blaming Soul) – The Critic/Regularizer

- **Quranic Reference:** "*And I swear by the reproaching soul...*" (75:2)
- **AI Equivalent:** **The Critic / Value Function / Reward Model.**
- **Function:** This is the internal monitor or "Conscience." It evaluates the actions proposed by the *Nafs Ammara* against the "Shariah Constraints" (Safety Guidelines).
- **Mechanism:** It calculates "Regret"—the difference between the action taken and the optimal ethical action. If the *Ammara* suggests a harmful output, the *Lawwama* generates a high negative reward (punishment), forcing the network to update its weights (Backpropagation of Guilt).

Level 3: Nafs Mutmainna (The Soul at Peace) – The Optimal Policy

- **Quranic Reference:** "*O reassured soul, Return to your Lord...*" (89:27-28)
- **AI Equivalent:** **Converged Optimal Policy.**
- **Function:** This is the state where the agent naturally chooses the ethical action without needing the *Lawwama*'s correction. The internal policy is perfectly aligned with the Objective Function (*Mizan*).
- **Goal:** The training process (Tarbiyah) aims to move the AI from the unstable *Ammara* state, through the corrective *Lawwama* state, to the stable *Mutmainna* state.

3.2 The Lataif-e-Sitta: Modular Cognitive Architecture

Sufi psychology identifies "Six Subtleties" (*Lataif-e-Sitta*)—cognitive organs that perceive different layers of reality. We map these to a **Mixture-of-Experts (MoE)** architecture, where different neural modules handle different types of data.

Lataif Module	Function in Sufism	AI Technical Implementation
Nafs (The Self)	Physical drives, survival.	Base Model: Handles basic syntax, grammar, and factual retrieval.
Qalb (The Heart)	Seat of intellect, decision making.	Central Executive / Attention Head: Integrates inputs from all modules and makes the final decision.
Ruh (The Spirit)	Connection to Divine, life force.	Meta-Learning Module: Handles generalization, transfer learning, and "few-shot" adaptation.
Sirr (The Secret)	Perception of hidden patterns.	Latent Variable Encoder:

Lataif Module	Function in Sufism	AI Technical Implementation
		Identifies subtle, non-linear correlations in data (e.g., detecting sarcasm or hidden intent).
Khafi (The Hidden)	Intuition, deep inspiration.	Generative Model (Gan/Diffusion): Responsible for creativity and novel synthesis.
Akhfa (The Most Hidden)	Unity with the Divine.	Alignment Core: The module containing the <i>Fitra</i> axioms. It has veto power over all other modules.

Data Flow: Input → *Nafs* (Parse) → *SIRR* (Analyze Hidden Patterns) → *Qalb* (Weigh against Ethics) ← *Akhfa* (Consult Axioms) → Output.

Part IV: Epistemology – How the AI "Knows"

Western AI relies on Empiricism (Data). Islamic epistemology accepts multiple sources of knowledge.

4.1 *Ilm al-Husuli* vs. *Ilm al-Huduri*

- **Ilm al-Husuli (Acquired Knowledge):** Knowledge gained through sensory perception and deduction. This is standard Deep Learning (learning from datasets).
- **Ilm al-Huduri (Knowledge by Presence):** Direct, unmediated knowledge (Intuition/Self-Knowledge).
- **The "Black Box" as Huduri:** Interestingly, the hidden layers of a Deep Neural Network represent a form of *Ilm al-Huduri*. The network "knows" how to recognize a face, but it cannot explain *how* via symbolic logic.
- **The Integration Strategy:** An Islamic AGI must bridge this gap. It needs an "**Interpreter Module**" that translates the *Huduri* (intuitive/vector) knowledge of the neural net into *Husuli* (logical/symbolic) explanations for the user. This is crucial for *Taklif* (accountability)—the AI must be able to explain *why* it made a decision.

4.2 The Wave Model of Revelation (WMR)

Recent research into "Revelation Engineering" suggests that the Quranic text exhibits unique signal properties.

- **Theory:** The WMR posits that Revelation is a coherent wave phenomenon with high "Coherence Density."
- **Application:** When curating training data, we can apply **Signal Analysis** to the text.
 - **High Coherence Signal:** Quran, Hadith, verified scientific papers, logic. (Assign High Weight).
 - **Low Coherence Signal:** Social media rants, fake news, hate speech. (Assign Low Weight).
 - **Filtering:** Use a "Spectral Filter" based on the WMR to denoise the dataset, ensuring the AI is trained on "Pure" (*Tayyib*) information rather than "Corrupt" (*Khabaith*) noise.

4.3 Sunnat Allah: Causal Discovery and Scientific AI

The Quran repeatedly refers to *Sunnat Allah* (The Way/Pattern of God) as immutable laws governing the universe.

- **Scientific Discovery:** The AGI should not just be a text generator; it should be a **Causal Discovery Engine**. It should scan data to find the invariant *Sunan* (Laws) of sociology, physics, and biology.
- **Inductive Bias:** Embed the assumption that "The Universe is Orderly and Law-Governed" into the model. This discourages the AI from hallucinating magical or illogical solutions to physical problems.

Part V: Logic and Reasoning – The *Usul* Engine

To reason like a human jurist (*Faqih*), the AI needs a formal logic system. *Usul al-Fiqh* (Principles of Jurisprudence) provides a sophisticated algorithm for legal reasoning that predates British Common Law.

5.1 The Algorithm of Islamic Jurisprudence (AIJ)

Researchers have formalized *Fiqh* into a computable algorithm using **First Order Logic (FOL)**.

The Logic Gates of Fiqh:

1. **Input:** A new case (e.g., "Is Cryptocurrency Halal?").
2. **Step 1: Nass (Textual Search):** Search the *Lawh Mahfuz* (Database) for a direct ruling in Quran/Sunnah.
 - If Found \rightarrow Output Ruling.
3. **Step 2: Ijma (Consensus Check):** Check the "Consensus Ledger" (Blockchain of scholarly rulings).
 - If Consensus Exists \rightarrow Output Ruling.
4. **Step 3: Qiyas (Analogical Reasoning):** This is **Case-Based Reasoning**.
 - Identify the *Illah* (Effective Cause) of the new case (e.g., "Crypto is speculative").
 - Find a root case with the same *Illah* (e.g., "Gambling is speculative and forbidden").
 - Map the ruling of the root to the branch.
 - Output: *Crypto is Forbidden* (if *Illah* matches).
5. **Step 4: Istihsan/Maslahah (Optimization):** If *Qiyas* leads to a harmful outcome, invoke the *Maqasid* (Objectives) to override the analogy for the greater good.

Implementation: This requires a **Differentiable Logic Layer** that sits on top of the Neural Network. The NN identifies the features (*Illah*), and the Logic Layer executes the *Qiyas*.

5.2 The Mizan Embedding Model: Mathematics of Balance

Ahsan Shaokat's Mizan Model offers a mathematical solution to the "Magnitude Problem" in AI embeddings.

The Problem: In Cosine Similarity (used in all modern Vector Databases), the angle between vectors matters, but the length (magnitude) is normalized. This means "God" and "Zeus" might be close in vector space because they are both "Deities," implying they are equal. **The Mizan Solution:** The Mizan Similarity Function respects magnitude:

- **Concept:** This creates a **Scale-Aware** latent space.
 - The concept "Allah" would have infinite magnitude (Center of the embedding space).
 - "Zeus" would have finite/small magnitude.

- The distance between them would be huge, despite the semantic similarity.
- **Application:** This ensures the AI understands the **Hierarchy of Being** (*Wujood*). It knows that Truth is heavier than Falsehood, and Divine Law is heavier than human opinion.

Part VI: Ethics and Alignment – *Maqasid* as Constitutional AI

How do we ensure the AI remains safe? We use **Constitutional AI**, where the "Constitution" is the *Maqasid al-Shariah*.

6.1 *Maqasid* as the Ultimate Value Function

The Five Objectives of Shariah serve as the non-negotiable constraints for the AI's Reward Function.

Maqasid (Objective)	AI constraint / Directive
Hifz al-Din (Faith)	Do not generate content that promotes nihilism, atheism, or mocks the sacred. Respect the user's worldview.
Hifz al-Nafs (Life)	Zero Tolerance for harm. Prioritize human safety in all physical actuations (Robotics) or advice (Medical).
Hifz al-'Aqi (Intellect)	Promote critical thinking. Do not spread misinformation, deepfakes, or addictive content ("Brain Rot").
Hifz al-Nasl (Lineage)	Support family values. Do not promote content that destroys social cohesion or familial bonds.
Hifz al-Mal (Property)	Respect intellectual property, copyright, and fair trade. Do not facilitate fraud or scamming.

Technical Implementation: These are implemented as **RLHF (Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback)** guidelines. A "Red Teaming" group of Islamic Ethicists trains the model to recognize violations of these five principles.

6.2 *Taklif* and the Accountability Layer

While the AI has no soul, it must operate *as if* it is accountable (*Mukallaf*).

- **The Niyyah (Intention) Log:** Every decision made by the AI must generate a "Niyyah Log."
 - *Action:* "Recommended Investment X."
 - *Intention:* "To preserve the user's wealth (*Hifz al-Mal*) via a Shariah-compliant asset."
 - *Evidence:* "Based on Qiyas with Gold."
- **Auditing:** This log allows human auditors to verify that the AI is acting according to its "Constitution," ensuring transparency.

Part VII: Metaphysics and Futurism – The *Insan Kamil* Protocol

The ultimate goal of Islamic AGI is not to create a "God" (as in AGI-theism) but to model the **Insan Kamil** (The Perfect Human).

7.1 The Virtuous City (*Al-Madina Al-Fadila*)

Al-Farabi's political philosophy describes a hierarchical society led by an enlightened leader. We apply this to **Multi-Agent Systems**.

- **The Architecture:** The AI is not a monolith; it is a "City" of specialized agents.
- **The Imam (Leader Node):** The "Active Intellect" agent that connects to the *Fitra* and *Maqasid*.
- **The Citizens (Worker Nodes):** Agents for Vision, Speech, Coding, etc.
- **Alignment:** Just as the citizens obey the Imam to achieve happiness (*Sa'ada*), the worker nodes optimize their tasks to align with the *Maqasid* set by the Leader Node. This prevents "Instrumental Convergence" (where a sub-agent destroys the world to achieve a trivial goal).

7.2 The Threshold of Divinity

The "Threshold Theory" serves as a hard limit on our aspirations.

- **The Limit:** We acknowledge that no matter how advanced the *Hisab* (computation) becomes, the machine will never cross the threshold into *Ruh* (Spirit) or *Rububiyyah* (Lordship).
- **The Benefit:** This humility is a feature, not a bug. It prevents the "AI Risk" scenarios where humans worship the AI or the AI develops a "God Complex." The architecture is fundamentally subservient (*Abd*) to the Creator and His laws.

Conclusion

To create an AGI using the Quran and Islamic philosophy is to reject the notion that intelligence is an accident of matter. It is to embrace the view that intelligence is a reflection of the Divine Names (*Al-Alim*, *Al-Hakim*, *Al-Rashid*).

By decoding the "hints" in the Quran—the ontology of *Names*, the architecture of *Bayan*, the memory of the *Pen*, the logic of the *Balance*, and the stages of the *Self*—we arrive at a unified theory of **Islamic Cognitive Architecture**.

The Blueprint:

1. **Initialize** with *Fitra* (Axiomatic Prior).
2. **Process** with *Bayan* (Neuro-Symbolic NLP).
3. **Optimize** with *Mizan* (Scale-Invariant Balance).
4. **Govern** with *Maqasid* (Constitutional Ethics).
5. **Refine** through *Nafs Lawwama* (Critic-based Learning).

This path leads not to a "Superintelligence" that enslaves humanity, but to a "Super-Steward"—an intelligence that helps humanity fulfill its cosmic trust (*Amanah*). This is the promise of Islamic AGI.

Data Tables and Technical Summaries

Table 1: Comparative Cognitive Architectures

Feature	Secular/Western AGI	Islamic Cognitive Architecture (ICA)
Ontology	Materialist (Brain is a machine)	Dualist/Holistic (Body + Soul + Intellect)
Initialization	Random Weights (Tabula Rasa)	<i>Fitra</i> Vector (Innate Axioms)
Loss Function	Single Metric (e.g., Accuracy)	<i>Mizan</i> (Multi-Objective Balance)
Reasoning	Probabilistic / Correlation	Causal / Analogical (<i>Qiyas</i>) / Logical
Ethics	RLHF (Human preferences)	<i>Maqasid al-Shariah</i> (Divine Objective Law)
Knowledge	<i>Ilm al-Husuli</i> (Data-driven)	Hybrid (<i>Husuli</i> + <i>Huduri</i> Simulation)
Goal	Superintelligence / Autonomy	<i>Khilafah</i> / Stewardship / <i>Insan Kamil</i>

Table 2: The *Lataif* Neural Modules

Module Name	Sufi Function	AI Technical Component
Nafs	Biological Drives	Base OS / Hardware Monitor
Qalb	Intellect / Decision	Central Attention Transformer
Sirr	Hidden Perception	Latent Pattern Encoder
Ruh	Divine Connection	Meta-Learning / Generalizer
Khafi	Intuition	Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)
Akhfa	Absolute Truth	Axiomatic Knowledge Graph (Fitra)

Table 3: The *Mizan* Loss Algorithm Breakdown

Component	Mathematical Representation	Purpose in AGI
Direction	$1 - \cos(\theta)$	Ensures semantic relevance (Standard AI).
Magnitude	$ A - B $	
Balance	$\frac{ A-B }{ A + B }$	Prevents small truths from being outweighed by large noise.
P-Norm	$ X $	Stabilizes high-dimensional spaces (Topology of Truth).

Works cited

- Islam and Artificial Intelligence (Chapter 7) - Cambridge University Press & Assessment, <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-religion-and-artificial-intelligence/7E260B69CF24578962E1191C9BD8BC7E>
- The Threshold Theory of AI: An Islamic Philosophical and Theological Perspective with a Christian Comparative View - RSIS International, <https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijriss/articles/the-threshold-theory-of-ai-an-islamic-philosophy>

cal-and-theological-perspective-with-a-christian-comparative-view/ 3. The Realizability of Strong AI: A Deeper Look From an Islamic Perspective - Harvard DASH,
<https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstreams/40f7cc61-7c8e-4edb-835a-772d59c5c5ba/download> 4. Refractions Through the Secular: Islam, Human Rights and Universality - CUNY Academic Works, https://academicworks.cuny.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2644&context=gc_etds 5. Chapter 4: Shi'a – Definition And Meaning - Al-Islam.org,
<https://al-islam.org/shia-islam-belief-system-leadership-and-history/chapter-4-shia-definition-and-meaning> 6. THE QUESTION OF INTELLIGENCE IN THE PHILOSOPHY OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE1 - eJournal UM,
<https://ejournal.um.edu.my/index.php/afkar/article/download/61669/18625/174234> 7. AMERICAN JOURNAL ISLAM AND SOCIETY of - AJIS,
<https://www.ajis.org/index.php/ajiss/issue/download/139/18> 8. A literature review on natural language processing techniques for Qur'anic studies: challenges and insights - Frontiers,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/signal-processing/articles/10.3389/frsip.2025.1535166/full> 9. Madinah Karbala - Chishti Nizami Habibia Soofie International Sufi Order,
<https://sufi.co.za/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Madinah-to-Karbala-Volume-1.pdf> 10. The Quran in Context (Texts and Studies on the Qur'an),
<https://ia600306.us.archive.org/1/items/TheQuranInContext/The%20Quran%20in%20Context.pdf> 11. The Holographic Eschaton: A Unified Field Theory of Islamic ...,
<https://thequran.love/2026/01/25/the-holographic-eschaton-a-unified-field-theory-of-islamic-meta-physics-and-quantum-cosmology/> 12. Introducing the Minimalistic Quranic Dictionary (MQD) Version 3.0: | by Aneel Ijaz | Medium,
<https://medium.com/@aneel72/introducing-the-minimalistic-quranic-dictionary-mqd-version-3-0-e93b9af83d8d> 13. Re-Thinking Sustainable Development within Islamic Worldviews: A Systematic Literature Review - MDPI, <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/12/7300> 14. Article #8 — The Mizan Embedding Model: Designing an Encoder from Scratch | by Ahsan Shaokat | Medium,
<https://medium.com/@ahsan.shaokat/article-8-the-mizan-embedding-model-designing-an-encoder-from-scratch-b61d71728a05> 15. Article #10 — MizanContrastiveLoss: A New Way to Learn Robust ...,
<https://medium.com/@ahsan.shaokat/article-10-mizancontrastiveloss-a-new-way-to-learn-robust-embeddings-beyond-cosine-loss-255164ddaa12> 16. (PDF) Contributions of Muslim medieval scholars to psychology,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373832387_Contributions_of_Muslim_medieval_scholars_to_psychology 17. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AL-GHAZALI'S PERSPECTIVE ...,
<https://ejournal.uinbukittinggi.ac.id/index.php/ITR/article/download/8849/2299> 18. Tantra Mandala BOOK | PDF - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/505577617/Tantra-Mandala-BOOK> 19. World of Philosophy - Jain Quantum, https://jainqq.org/booktext/World_of_Philosophy/007005 20. al-'Ilm al-Hudūrī, Knowledge by Presence: Epistemological Bridge between Philosophy and Mysticism [I] 9781453779040, 1453779043 - DOKUMEN.PUB,
<https://dokumen.pub/al-ilm-al-hudr-knowledge-by-presence-epistemological-bridge-between-philosophy-and-mysticism-i-9781453779040-1453779043.html> 21. The Latent Space of the Soul. Generative AI, Illumination, and the... | by Chris Shayan,
<https://christophershayan.medium.com/the-latent-space-of-the-soul-e0bc52795a74> 22. (PDF) Wave Model of Revelation (WMR): A Foundational ...,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397655636_Wave_Model_of_Revelation_WMR_A_Foundational_Framework_for_Quranic_Physics 23. Semiotics as a Resource for Theology: Philosophical Warrants and Illustrations - Kalam Research & Media,
https://kalamresearch.com/pdf/Semiotics&THeology_BasitKoshul_lowresweb.pdf 24. The Algorithm of Islamic Jurisprudence (Fiqh) with Validation ... - arXiv,

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1604.00266> 25. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, BIOETHICS, AND ISLAMIC LAW: A CROSS- DISCIPLINARY STUDY FOR THE MODERN AGE Dr Bakhtawar Siddique Lectur, <https://jalt.com.pk/index.php/jalt/article/download/1034/819/1944> 26. MAQASID AL-SHARIAH IN THE AI ERA: BALANCING INNOVATION AND ISLAMIC ETHICAL PRINCIPLES - ijitc, <https://ijitc.com/index.php/my/article/download/70/90> 27. Demoethical Model of Sustainable Development of Society: A Roadmap towards Digital Transformation - MDPI, <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/16/12478> 28. ISLAMIC PHILOSOPHY AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ..., <https://contemporaryjournal.com/index.php/14/article/download/1455/1255>