**HW2 Choices** Graded Student Chih-Hao Liao **Total Points** 380 / 400 pts Question 1 20 / 20 pts (no title) → + 20 pts Correct + 0 pts Incorrect Question 2 (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect Question 3 (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect Question 4 (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect Question 5 (no title) **0** / 20 pts + 20 pts Correct → + 0 pts Incorrect Question 6 (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect

# Question 7 (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect **Question 8** (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect Question 9 (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect **Question 10** (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect **Question 11** (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect **Question 12** (no title) 20 / 20 pts + 0 pts Incorrect **Question 13** 20 / 20 pts (no title) + 0 pts Incorrect **Question 14** 20 / 20 pts (no title) + 0 pts Incorrect

Question 15	
(no title)	<b>20</b> / 20 pts
→ + 20 pts Correct	
+ 0 pts Incorrect	
Question 16	
(no title)	<b>20</b> / 20 pts
→ + 20 pts Correct	
+ 0 pts Incorrect	
Question 17	
(no title)	<b>20</b> / 20 pts
→ + 20 pts Correct	
+ 0 pts Incorrect	
Question 18	
(no title)	<b>20</b> / 20 pts
→ + 20 pts Correct	
+ 0 pts Incorrect	
Question 19	
(no title)	<b>20</b> / 20 pts
→ + 20 pts Correct	
+ 0 pts Incorrect	
Question 20	
(no title)	<b>20</b> / 20 pts
→ + 20 pts Correct	
+ 0 pts Incorrect	
Question 21	
(no title)	<b>0</b> / 0 pts
+ 0 pts Incorrect	
→ + 0 pts Correct	

#### 20 Points

A perceptron  $h(\mathbf{x})$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  that always passes the lucky point (11.26, 62.11) can be written as

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \text{ such that } w_1(x_1 - 11.26) + w_2(x_2 - 62.11) = -w_0.$$

What is the growth function  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$  of all those lucky-point-passing perceptrons in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ? Choose the correct answer; prove your choice.

- $\bigcirc N$
- $\bigcirc 2N+4$
- $\bigcirc 2N+2$
- $\bigcirc$  2N
- $\bigcirc 2N-2$

## Q2

#### 20 Points

Consider a hypothesis set that contains 1126 perceptrons

$$h_m(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w}_m^T \mathbf{x}), \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \cdots, 1126$$

with  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{1+6210}$  (including  $x_0$ ). What is the tightest upper bound on the possible VC dimension of this hypothesis set? Choose the correct answer; prove your choice.

- $\bigcirc \sqrt{1126}$
- $\bigcirc \log_2(1126 + 6211)$
- 0 6211
- $\bigcirc$  1126 + 6211

#### 20 Points

Which of the following hypothesis set is of the smallest VC dimension among all choices? Choose the correct answer; explain your choice. A philosophical explanation is sufficient---there is no need to rigorously prove every case.

- igcup unions of two positive intervals for  $x\in\mathbb{R}$ , which returns +1 if x is within at least one of the intervals.
- igcup polynomial hypotheses of degree 3 for  $x\in \mathbb{R}$ , which are of the form  $h(x)= ext{sign}(\sum\limits_{i=0}^3 w_i x^i)$
- $\bigcirc$  the family of sine functions:  $\{t\mapsto \sin(\omega t):\omega\in\mathbb{R}\}$  for  $x\in\mathbb{R}$ , which return +1 if  $x>\sin(\omega x)$ .
- igcup right triangles classifiers for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , which return +1 if  $\mathbf{x}$  is inside a right triangle whose sides adjacent to the right angle are parallel to the axes of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and with the right angle in the lower right corner
- ullet axis-aligned squares classifiers for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , which returns +1 if  $\mathbf{x}$  is inside a square whose edges are parallel to the axes of  $\mathbb{R}^2$

Consider a hypothesis set  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  containing hypothesis with 2M (M>1) parameters. Each hypothesis  $h(\mathbf{x})$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  are defined by  $a_1,b_1,a_2,b_2,....,a_M$ ,  $b_M$  that satisfies

- $a_1 > 0$ ;
- $a_m \leq b_m$ , for  $1 \leq m \leq M$ ;
- $\bullet \quad b_m < a_{m+1} \text{, for } 1 \leq m \leq M-1 \text{,} \\ \text{with}$

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = egin{cases} +1, ext{if } a_m \leq \mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{x} \leq b_m ext{ for some } 1 \leq m \leq M \ -1, ext{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

What is the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$ ? Choose the correct answer; prove your choice. Note that if the TAs select this problem for human grading, a rigorous proof will get you all points, while a philosophical explanation will only get you partial points.

- $\bigcirc M$
- $\bigcirc$  2M
- $\bigcirc 2M+1$
- $\bigcirc M^2$
- O none of the other choice

#### 20 Points

How many of the following are **necessary** conditions for  $d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}) \leq d$ ? Choose the correct answer; state which conditions correspond to your choice and explain them.

- ullet some set of d distinct inputs is shattered by  ${\cal H}$
- ullet some set of d distinct inputs is not shattered by  ${\cal H}$
- ullet any set of d distinct inputs is shattered by  ${\cal H}$
- ullet any set of d distinct inputs is not shattered by  ${\cal H}$
- ullet some set of d+1 distinct inputs is shattered by  ${\cal H}$
- ullet some set of d+1 distinct inputs is not shattered by  ${\cal H}$
- any set of d+1 distinct inputs is shattered by  ${\cal H}$
- ullet any set of d+1 distinct inputs is not shattered by  ${\cal H}$
- $\bigcirc$  1
- 0 2
- 3
- $\bigcirc$  4
- **5**

## Q6 20 Points

Consider a hypothesis set that contains hypotheses of the form h(x)=wx for  $x\in\mathbb{R}.$  Combine the hypothesis set with the squared error function to minimize

$$E_{
m in}(w) = rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (h(x_n) - y_n)^2$$

on a given data set  $\{(x_n,y_n)\}_{n=1}^N$ . What is the optimal w? You can assume all denominators to be non-zero. Choose the correct answer; prove your choice.

$$\bigcap_{\frac{N}{n=1}} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n}$$

$$left( left) \sum_{n=1}^N y_n x_n \ rac{\sum\limits_{n=1}^N x_n^2}{\sum\limits_{n=1}^N x_n^2}$$

$$\bigcirc \sum_{n=1}^N y_n^2 \over \sum_{n=1}^N y_n x_n$$

$$igcirc$$
  $\sum_{n=1}^{N}y_n^2 \ \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N}x_n^2}$ 

O none of the other choices

#### 20 Points

We use the technique of maximum likelihood to derive the error function of logistic regression. Actually, the technique is a fundamental tool in statistics for estimating the parameter from a sample. Consider a sample  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N\}$  that is independently generated from some underlying probability distribution. Furthermore, assume that all  $x_n$  are nonnegative integers. Which of the following claim is \textbf{not true} about the estimate

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n?$$

Choose the correct answer (false claim); explain your choice.

- O Assume that the sample is generated from a Poisson distribution of parameter  $\lambda$ ,  $P(x)=\frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^x}{x!}$ . Then,  $\bar{x}$  is the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\lambda$ .
- Assume that the sample is generated from a unit-variance Gaussian distribution of mean parameter  $\mu$ ,  $p(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^2}$ . Then,  $\bar{x}$  is the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\mu$ .
- igoplus Assume that the sample is generated from a unit-scale Laplace distribution of mean parameter  $\mu$ ,  $p(x)=rac{1}{2}e^{-|x-\mu|}$ . Then,  $ar{x}$  is the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\mu$ .
- Assume that the sample is generated from a geometric distribution with parameter  $\theta$ ,  $P(x)=(1-\theta)^{x-1}\theta$  Then,  $\frac{1}{\bar{x}}$  is the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$ .
- The claims in all other choices are correct.

In logistic regression, we consider the logistic hypotheses

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = rac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})}$$

to approximate the target function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = P(+1 \mid \mathbf{x})$ . We use the property that the hypotheses are sigmoid (s-shaped) to simplify the likelihood function and then take maximum likelihood to derive the error function  $E_{\rm in}$ . Now, consider another family of sigmoid hypotheses, the scaled soft-sign functions

$$ilde{h}(\mathbf{x}) = rac{1 + \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} + \mid \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}\mid}{2 + 2 \mid \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}\mid}.$$

Follow the same derivation steps to obtain the corresponding  $\tilde{E}_{\rm in}$  when using  $\tilde{h}$  (and ignoring the case of  ${\bf w}^T{\bf x}=0$  for simplicity). What is  $\nabla \tilde{E}_{\rm in}({\bf w})$ ? Choose the correct answer; list your derivation steps.

$$\bullet -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{y_n \mathbf{x}_n}{(1 + y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + \mid y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n \mid)(1 + \mid y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n \mid)}$$

$$\bigcirc -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{n}}{(1+y_{n}\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}_{n}+\mid \mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}_{n}\mid)(1+\mid \mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}_{n}\mid)}$$

$$igcite{igcirclet} -rac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N y_n\mathbf{x}_n\cdotrac{1-y_n\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}_n+\mid y_n\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}_n\mid}{2+2\mid y_n\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}_n\mid}$$

$$\bigcirc -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_n \cdot \frac{1 - y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + \mid y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n \mid}{2 + 2 \mid y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n \mid}$$

onone of the other choices

We discuss the gradient descent method to minimize the cross-entropy error function in class. The gradient descent method often called a first-order optimization algorithm, as we derived it using first-order Taylor's approximation for some very small  $\mathbf{u}=\eta\mathbf{v}$  as introduced in class.

$$E_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t + \mathbf{u}) \approx E_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t) + \mathbf{u}^T \nabla E_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t).$$

Now, if we take the second-order approximation instead, we get

$$E_{ ext{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t + \mathbf{u}) pprox E_{ ext{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t) + \mathbf{u}^T 
abla E_{ ext{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t) + rac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^T 
abla^2 E_{ ext{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t) \mathbf{u},$$

where  $\nabla^2 E_{\rm in}({\bf w}_t)$  is the Hessian metrix. Assume that  $\nabla^2 E_{\rm in}({\bf w}_t)$  is positive definite (hence invertible), the optimal  ${\bf u}$  is

$$\mathbf{u} = -(
abla^2 E_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t))^{-1} 
abla E_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t).$$

Updating with  $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_t + \mathbf{u}$  is commonly called the Newton method for nonlinear optimization.

Consider linear regression, which comes with the squared error function as its  $E_{\rm in}$ . What is the Hessian matrix of the error function? Choose the correct answer; list your derivation steps.

$$\bigcirc \frac{2}{N} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T$$

$$\odot \frac{2}{N} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$$

$$\bigcirc \frac{2}{N} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$\bigcirc \frac{2}{N} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X}$$

O none of the other choices

#### 20 Points

Continuing from the previous problem, when Newton method is used for linear regression, starting from  $\mathbf{w}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ , how many iterations does it take to reach the global minimum that satisfies  $\nabla E_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}_t) = 0$ ? Choose the correct answer; list your derivation steps.

- **1**
- $\bigcirc d$
- $\bigcirc d+1$
- $\bigcirc N$
- O none of the other choices

### Q11

#### 20 Points

In class, we taught about the learning model of "positive rays." If you also include the "negative rays", that would basically make a one-dimensional perceptron model. The model contains hypotheses of the form:

$$h_{s,\theta}(x) = s \cdot \operatorname{sign}(x - \theta),$$

where  $s\in\{-1,+1\}$  is the "direction" of the ray and  $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$  is the threshold. You can take  $\mathrm{sign}(0)=-1$  for simplicity. The model is frequently named the "decision stump" model and is one of the simplest learning models. Following almost the same derivation as the model of positive rays, the growth function of the decision stump model for  $x\in\mathbb{R}$  is 2N and the VC Dimension is 2.

When using the decision stump model, given  $\epsilon=0.05$  and  $\delta=0.1$ , among the five choices, what is the smallest N such that the BAD probability of the VC bound is  $<\delta$ ?

Choose the correct answer; explain your choice.

- $\bigcirc$  100
- $\bigcirc$  1000
- O 10000
- 100000
- O 1000000

In fact, the decision stump model is one of the few models that we could minimize  $E_{\rm in}$  efficiently by enumerating all possible thresholds. In particular, for N examples, there are at most 2N dichotomies (see the slides for positive rays), and thus at most 2N different  $E_{\rm in}$  values. We can then easily choose the hypothesis that leads to the lowest  $E_{\rm in}$  by the following decision stump learning algorithm.

- (1) sort all N examples  $x_n$  to a sorted sequence  $x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_N'$  such that  $x_1' \leq x_2' \leq x_3' \leq \ldots \leq x_N'$
- (2) for each  $\theta \in \{-\infty\} \cup \{\frac{x_i' + x_{i+1}'}{2} : 1 \le i \le N-1 \text{ and } x_i' \ne x_{i+1}'\} \text{ and } s \in \{-1, +1\},$  calculate  $E_{\text{in}}(h_{s,\theta})$
- (3) return the  $h_{s,\theta}$  with the minimum  $E_{\text{in}}$  as g; if multiple hypotheses reach the minimum  $E_{\text{in}}$ , return the one with the smallest  $s \cdot \theta$ .

(Hint: CS-majored students are encouraged to think about whether the second step can be carried out efficiently, i.e. O(N), using dxxxxx pxxxxxxxx instead of the naive implementation of  $O(N^2)$ .)

Next, you are asked to implement such an algorithm and run your program on an artificial data set. We shall start by generating (x, y) with the following procedure. We will take the target function  $f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x)$ :

- Generate x by a uniform distribution in [-0.5, +0.5].
- Generate y from x by y=f(x) and then flip y to -y with  $\tau$  probability independently Let  $E_{\rm out}(h,\tau)$  be the out-of-sample error of  $h(+1,\theta)$ . What is  $E_{\rm out}(h,\tau)$ ? Choose the correct answer; prove your choice.
- $\bigcirc \frac{1}{2} \min(|\theta|, 0.5)(1-\tau) + \tau$
- $\bigcirc \min(|\theta|, 0.5)(1-\tau) + \tau$
- $\bigcirc \frac{1}{2}\min(| heta|,0.5)(1-2 au)+ au$
- $\bigcirc \min(|\theta|, 0.5)(1 2\tau) + \tau$
- O none of the other choices

## Q13 20 Points

(\*) For  $\tau=0$ , which means that your data is noiseless. Generate a data set of size 2 by the procedure above and run the decision stump algorithm on the data set to get g. Repeat the experiment 10000 times, each with a different data set. What is the mean of  $E_{\rm out}(g,\tau)-E_{\rm in}(g)$  within the 10000 results? Choose the closest value; upload your source code.

({\it By the results in the previous problem, you can actually compute any  $E_{\mathrm{out}}(h_{s,\theta},\tau)$  analytically. But if you do not trust your math derivation, you can get a very accurate estimate of  $E_{\mathrm{out}}(g)$  by evaluating g on a separate test data set of size 100000, as guaranteed by Hoeffding's inequality}).

- 0.15
- 0.30
- 0.45
- 0.60
- 0.75

## Q14

#### 20 Points

(\*) For au=0, generate a data set of size 128 by the procedure above and run the decision stump algorithm on the data set to get g. Repeat the experiment 10000 times, each with a different data set. What is the mean of  $E_{\rm out}(g,\tau)-E_{\rm in}(g)$  within the 10000 results? Choose the closest value; upload your source code.

- 0.0020
- 0.0040
- 0.0060
- 0.0080
- 0.0100

## Q15 20 Points

- (\*) For au=0.20, generate a data set of size 2 by the procedure above and run the decision stump algorithm on the data set to get g. Repeat the experiment 10000 times, each with a different data set. What is the mean of  $E_{\rm out}(g,\tau)-E_{\rm in}(g)$  within the 10000 results? Choose the closest value; upload your source code.
- 0.14
- 0.28
- 0.42
- 0.56
- 0.70

### **Q16**

20 Points

For au=0.20, generate a data set of size 128 by the procedure above and run the decision stump algorithm on the data set to get g. Repeat the experiment 10000 times, each with a different data set. What is the mean of  $E_{\rm out}(g,\tau)-E_{\rm in}(g)$  within the 10000 results? Choose the closest value; upload your source code.

- 0.0179
- 0.0139
- 0.0439
- 0.0739
- 0.1039

Decision stumps can also work for multi-dimensional data. In particular, each decision stump now deals with a specific dimension i, as shown below.

$$h_{s,i, heta}(\mathbf{x}) = s \cdot \mathrm{sign}(x_i - heta).$$

Implement the following decision stump algorithm for multi-dimensional data:

- 1. for each dimension  $i=1,2,\cdots,d$ , find the best decision stump  $h_{s,i,\theta}$  using the one-dimensional decision stump algorithm that you have just implemented.
- 2. return the "best of best" decision stump in terms of  $E_{\rm in}$ . If there is a tie, please choose the one with the smallest i.

The training data  $\mathcal{D}$  is available at:

http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/course/ml23spring/hw2/hw2 train.dat

The testing data  $\mathcal{D}_{ ext{test}}$  is available at:

http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/course/ml23spring/hw2/hw2 test.dat

The files are of the same format as the one you received in Homework 1.

- (\*) Run the ''best of best'' algorithm on  ${\cal D}$  . What is  $E_{\rm in}$  of the returned decision stump? Choose the closest value; upload your source code.
- 0.0065
- 0.0130
- 0.0260
- 0.0390
- 0.0780

#### 20 Points

- (\*) Use the returned decision stump to predict the label of each example within the  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{test}}$  to estimate  $E_{\mathrm{out}}$ . What is the estimated  $E_{\mathrm{out}}$ ? Choose the closest value; upload your source code.
- 0.0156
- 0.0195
- 0.0260
- 0.0391
- 0.0781

#### Q19

#### 20 Points

- (\*) Now, consider an alternative 'learning' algorithm for selecting a decision stump:
- 2. return the "worst of best" decision stump in terms of  $E_{\rm in}$ . That is, choose the i such that  $E_{\rm in}(h_{s,i,\theta})$  is the \textbf{largest}, where  $h_{s,i,\theta}$  is the best (smallest  $E_{\rm in}$ ) decision stump on dimension i.

If there is a tie, please choose the one with the smallest i.

We are curious about the difference in performance between the "best of best"  $(h_{s,i^*,\theta})$  and "worst of best"  $(h_{s,i^\flat,\theta})$  on  $\mathcal D$  (for computing  $E_{\mathrm{in}}$ ) and  $\mathcal D_{\mathrm{test}}$  (for estimating  $E_{\mathrm{out}}$ ), i.e.,

$$egin{aligned} \Delta E_{ ext{in}} &= E_{ ext{in}}(h_{s,i^{\flat}, heta}) - E_{ ext{in}}(h_{s,i^{st}, heta}) \ \Delta E_{ ext{out}} &= E_{ ext{out}}(h_{s,i^{\flat}, heta}) - E_{ ext{out}}(h_{s,i^{st}, heta}). \end{aligned}$$

What is  $\Delta E_{
m in}$ ? Choose the closest value; upload your source code.

- $\bigcirc$  0.00
- $\bigcirc 0.10$
- $\bigcirc$  0.20
- $\bigcirc$  0.30
- $\bigcirc 0.40$

### 20 Points

- (\*) Continuing from the previous problem, what is the  $\Delta E_{
  m out}$ ? Choose the closest value; upload your source code.
- $\bigcirc$  0.25
- $\odot 0.35$
- $\bigcirc 0.45$
- $\bigcirc 0.55$
- $\bigcirc$  0.65

## Q21

## 0 Points

How many gold medals do you want to use for this homework (every gold medal extends the deadline of this homework by 12 hours, and you have four gold medals in total this semester)

- 0
- $\bigcirc$  1
- 0 2
- O 3
- 0 4
- **5**
- 0 6