

HTML_2023_HW0

tags: Personal

Combinatorics and Probability

P1

As we know, the description implements the pascal's rule, therefore, the result should be

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{(n-1)!}{((n-1)-k)!k!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{((n-1)-(k-1))!(k-1)!}$$
$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-k)!k!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!}$$

$$\text{simplifying} \Leftrightarrow (n-1) - (k-1) = n-k$$
$$= \frac{(n-1)!(n-k)}{(n-k)!k!} + \frac{(n-1)!k}{(n-k)!k!}$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \Rightarrow \frac{n-k}{(n-k)(n-k-1)(n-k-2) \dots 3 * 2 * 1}$$
$$= \frac{(n-1)!k + (n-1)!(n-k)}{(n-k)!k!}$$
$$= \frac{(n-1)!(k + (n-k))}{(n-k)!k!} = \frac{(n-1)!n}{(n-k)!k!}$$
$$= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} = \binom{n}{k}$$

$$\therefore C(N, K) = \frac{N!}{K!(N-K)!} \text{ for } N \geq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq K \leq N$$

As a result, we should choose $[a]$ as our solution.

Ref: Show that $C(n,k) = C(n-1,k) + C(n-1,k-1)$ [\[duplicate\]](#)

(<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/780627/show-that-cn-k-cn-1-k-cn-1-k-1>)

P2

There are 2^{10} possible outcomes if 10 fair coins are tossed, and the possible outcomes of selecting exactly 4 heads from 10 coins are $\binom{10}{4}$, therefore the probability of getting exactly 4 heads when flipping 10 fair coins is

$$P(\text{head} = 4) = \frac{C_4^{10}}{2^{10}} = \frac{\frac{10!}{4!(10-4)!}}{1024} = \frac{210}{1024} \approx 0.2$$

As a result, we should choose [c] as our solution.

P3

The probability that all 3 tosses resulted in the heads is $\frac{1}{8}$.

The probability that one of the tosses resulted in head is $\frac{1}{8}$.

The probability of all possible outcomes after knowing one of the tosses resulted in the head is $1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8}$

Therefore, the probability that all three tosses resulted in heads after knowing one of the tosses resulted in the head is $\frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{7}{8}} = \frac{1}{7}$

As a result, we should choose [d] as our solution.

P4

The probability of getting $x = 1$ is $\frac{1}{8}$.

The probability of getting $x = -1$ is $\frac{1}{4}$.

The probability of getting $|x| = 1$ is $\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{8}$.

$$\therefore \frac{P(x = -1)}{P(|x| = 1)} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{3}{8}} = \frac{2}{3}$$

As a result, we should choose [e] as our solution.

P5

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_x^2 &= \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \bar{x})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n^2 - 2\bar{x} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n + \sum_{n=1}^N (\bar{x})^2 \right) \\ \text{Since } \bar{x} &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \Rightarrow N\bar{x} = \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \\ &\Rightarrow 2\bar{x} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n = 2N(\bar{x})^2 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^N (\bar{x})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n^2 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^N (\bar{x})^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N (\bar{x})^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N (\bar{x})^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n^2 - \bar{x}^2)\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose [b] as our solution.

Ref: [How \$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \(x_i - \bar{x}\)^2\$ \(https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/416581/how-fraction-1n-sum-i-1n-x_i-2-bar-x-2-frac-sum-i-1n-x_i-bar-x-2\)](https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/416581/how-fraction-1n-sum-i-1n-x_i-2-bar-x-2-frac-sum-i-1n-x_i-bar-x-2)

P6

The tightest possible range of $P(A \cup B)$ happens when

1. A is a subset of B , $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow P(A|B) = 1$
2. A and B are disjoint, $P(A|B) = 0$

\therefore The range of $P(A \cup B) = [P(B), P(A) + P(B)] = [0.4, 0.7]$

As a result, we should choose [e] as our solution.

Linear Algebra

P7

The rank of a matrix is the number of linearly independent rows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

There are one zero columns, two non-zero columns, therefore, the rank of the matrix is 2.

As a result, we should choose $[c]$ as our solution.

Ref: How to Find the Rank of a Matrix (with echelon form) (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cSj82GG6MX4>).

P8

$$\text{Let } M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M) &= 0 \times 4 \times 1 + 2 \times 2 \times 3 + 4 \times 2 \times 3 \\ &\quad - 4 \times 4 \times 3 - 2 \times 2 \times 1 - 0 \times 2 \times 3 \\ &= -16 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Adj}(M) &= \begin{bmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & + \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \\ - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \\ + \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 \end{vmatrix} & - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{vmatrix} & + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 10 & -12 \\ 4 & -12 & 8 \\ -6 & 6 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\det(M)} \times \text{Adj}(M) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & -\frac{5}{8} & \frac{3}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The diagonal of $M^{-1} = [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$

As a result, we should choose $[d]$ as our solution.

Ref: 三階逆矩陣公式

(<https://ccjou.wordpress.com/2012/10/04/%E4%B8%89%E9%9A%8E%E9%80%86%E7%9F%A9%E9%99%A3%E5%85%AC%E5%B C%8F/>).

Ref: Main Diagonal (https://chortle.ccsu.edu/vectorlessons/vmch13/vmch13_17.html).

P9

$$\text{Let } M = \begin{bmatrix} 2023 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2024 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2021 \end{bmatrix}$$

From the definition of the eigenvector v corresponding to the eigenvalue λ we have $Mv = \lambda v$, then $Mv = \lambda v = (M - \lambda I)v = 0$

The equation has a nonzero solution if and only if $\det(M - \lambda I) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} 2023 - \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2024 - \lambda & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2021 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (2023 - \lambda) \times (2024 - \lambda) \times (2021 - \lambda) + 1 \times 2 \times (-1) + 1 \times 2 \times (-1) \\ &\quad - 1 \times (2024 - \lambda) \times (-1) - (-1) \times 2 \times (2023 - \lambda) - (2021 - \lambda) \times 2 \times 1 \\ &= (2023 - \lambda) \times (2024 - \lambda) \times (2021 - \lambda) + (2024 - \lambda) \\ &= -(\lambda - 2024)((\lambda - 2023) \times (\lambda - 2021) + 1) \\ &= -(\lambda - 2024)(\lambda - 2022)^2 = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda = 2024 \text{ or } 2022 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[e]$ as our solution.

P10

$$\text{Let } M = U\Sigma V^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{where } M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{where } UU^T = U^T U = I_m, VV^T = V^T V = I_n$$

$$\text{Define } M^\dagger = V\Sigma^\dagger U^T, \text{ where } \Sigma^\dagger[j][i] = \frac{1}{\Sigma[i][j]} \text{ when } \Sigma[i][j] \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{where } \Sigma^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

$$\therefore MM^\dagger M = U\Sigma V^T V\Sigma^\dagger U^T U\Sigma V^T$$

$$= U(\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger)\Sigma V^T$$

$$= U(I_m)\Sigma V^T = U\Sigma V^T$$

If M is invertible (nonsingular) matrix, then $m = n$, and $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} M^\dagger &= V\Sigma^\dagger U^T = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T \\ &= (U\Sigma V^T)^{-1} \\ &= M^{-1} \\ \therefore MM^\dagger M &= MM^{-1}M = M \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[e]$ as our solution.

P11

Recall that a matrix M is positive semi-definite if $x^T M x > 0$ for all x

[a]

$$\forall x \Rightarrow x^T Z^T Z x = (Z^T x)^T (Z^T x) = \|Z^T x\|_2^2 \geq 0$$

[b]

A real symmetric matrix S whose eigenvalues are all non-negative is also always positive semi-definite by the Spectral Theorem. There is an orthogonal matrix Q such that $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$ with $\Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. If x is any nonzero vector, then $y := Qx \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x^T S x &= x^T (Q^T \Lambda Q) = (x^T Q^T) \Lambda (Qx) = y^T \Lambda y \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

[c]

Since $x^T 0 x = 0$ for all x , it proves that an all-zero square matrix satisfies the requirement for positive semi-definiteness.

[d]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } A &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, x = [1 \quad -1]^T, \text{ then} \\ \Rightarrow x^T A x &= [1 \quad -1] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = -2 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[d]$ as our solution.

Ref: [Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric matrices](https://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~ee127/sp21/livebook/l_sym_sed.html)

(https://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~ee127/sp21/livebook/l_sym_sed.html).

Ref: [Proving that a symmetric matrix is positive definite iff all eigenvalues are positive](#)

(<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1434167/proving-that-a-symmetric-matrix-is-positive-definite-iff-all-eigenvalues-are-pos>).

Ref: Lecture 4.9. Positive definite and semidefinite forms

(<https://www.math.purdue.edu/~eremenko/dvi/lect4.9.pdf>).

Ref: <https://mast.queensu.ca/~br66/419/spectraltheoremproof.pdf>

(<https://mast.queensu.ca/~br66/419/spectraltheoremproof.pdf>).

P12

By using Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u^T x| &\leq |u^T| \cdot |x| = |x| \\ \Rightarrow |x| &\leq u^T x \leq |x| \\ \min u^T x &= -|x| \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[c]$ as our solution.

P13

There must exist two points x_1, x_2 , while x_1 is in H_1 , and x_2 is in H_2 . Also, a pair of x_1, x_2 satisfies $\|x_1 - x_2\| = |3 - (-2)| = 5$. Let d be a vector perpendicular to H_1 and H_2 and $\|d\| = d$. Since $w \perp H_1$, let $d = cw$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_2 - x_1) - cw)^T cw &= 0 \Rightarrow w^T(x_1 - x_2) - c\|w\|^2 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow c &= \frac{w^T(x_2 - x_1)}{\|w\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since } w^T(x_2 - x_1) = 3 - (-2) = 5 \Rightarrow c = \frac{5}{\|w\|^2}$$

$$\therefore d = \|d\| = |c|\|w\| = \frac{5}{\|w\|}$$

As a result, we should choose $[b]$ as our solution.

Ref: Distance between two hyperplanes (https://www.cosmozhang.xyz/notes/svm_pre.pdf).

Ref: Distance between two hyperplanes (<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1484272/distance-between-two-hyperplanes>).

Calculus

P14

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } g(x, y) &= e^x + e^{2y} + e^{3xy^2} \\ \therefore \frac{\partial g(x, y)}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(e^x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(e^{2y}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(e^{3xy^2}) \\ &= 0 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2y)\right)e^{2y} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(3xy^2)\right)e^{3xy^2} \\ &= 2e^{2y} + 6xye^{3xy^2}\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[b]$ as our solution.

P15

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } f(x, y) &= xy, x(u, v) = \cos(u + v), y(u, v) = \sin(u - v) \\ \therefore \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v}(xy) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}(\cos(u + v)\sin(u - v)) \\ \text{Since product rule } \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(uv) &= v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + u\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ &= \sin(u - v)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(\cos(u + v)) + \cos(u + v)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(\sin(u - v)) \\ &= \sin(u - v)(-\sin(u + v)) + \cos(u + v)\cos(u - v) \\ &= -\sin(u + v)\sin(u - v) - \cos(u + v)\cos(u - v)\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[a]$ as our solution.

P16

Let $E(u, v) = (ue^v - 2ve^{-u})^2$, then we have

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (ue^v - 2ve^{-u})^2$$

Since chain rule $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial u} ((ue^v - 2ve^{-u})^2) = \frac{\partial x^2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$, where

$$\Rightarrow x = ue^v - 2ve^{-u}, \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^2) = 2x$$

$$= 2(ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} (ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) \right)$$

$$= 2(ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) (e^v - 2v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} (e^{-u}) \right))$$

$$= 2(ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) (e^v + 2ve^{-u})$$

$$= 2(ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) (e^v + 2ve^{-u}) (e^{2u} e^{-2u})$$

$$= 2(ue^{u+v} - 2v)(e^{u+v} + 2v)e^{-2u}$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} ((ue^v - 2ve^{-u})^2)$$

Since chain rule $\Rightarrow x = ue^v - 2ve^{-u}$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^2) = 2x$

$$= 2(ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} (ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) \right)$$

$$= 2(ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) (ue^v - 2e^{-u})$$

$$= 2(ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) (ue^v - 2e^{-u}) (e^{2u} e^{-2u})$$

$$= 2(ue^{u+v} - 2v)(ue^{u+v} - 2)e^{-2u}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \nabla E(u, v) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial E}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{(u,v)=(1,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(ue^{u+v} - 2v)(e^{u+v} + 2v)e^{-2u} \\ 2(ue^{u+v} - 2v)(ue^{u+v} - 2)e^{-2u} \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{(u,v)=(1,1)} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2(e^2 - 2)(e^2 + 2)e^{-2} \\ 2(e^2 - 2)(e^2 - 2)e^{-2} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\frac{2(e^2 - 2)(e^2 + 2)}{e^2}, \frac{2(e^2 - 2)(e^2 - 2)}{e^2} \right) \\ &\approx \begin{pmatrix} 13.70 \\ 7.86 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[d]$ as our solution.

P17

Given $A > 0, B > 0$, we can calculate $\min_{\alpha} Ae^{\alpha} + Be^{-2\alpha}$ by finding the first derivative, where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} (Ae^{\alpha} + Be^{-2\alpha}) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Let } f(\alpha) &= Ae^\alpha + Be^{-2\alpha} \\
\therefore \frac{\partial f(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} &= 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}(Ae^\alpha + Be^{-2\alpha}) = Ae^\alpha - 2Be^{-2\alpha} \\
&\Rightarrow Ae^\alpha = 2Be^{-2\alpha} \Rightarrow Ae^{3\alpha} = 2B \\
&\Rightarrow e^{3\alpha} = \frac{2B}{A} \Rightarrow 3\alpha = \ln\left(\frac{2B}{A}\right) \\
&\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{1}{3}\ln\left(\frac{2B}{A}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[a]$ as our solution.

P18

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Let } E(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T A \mathbf{w} + b^T \mathbf{w} \\
E(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d w_i A_{ij} w_j + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i w_i \\
A &= A^T \Leftrightarrow \because A \text{ is a symmetric matrix} \\
\therefore \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w_k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d A_{kj} w_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d w_i A_{ik} + b_k \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d A_{kj} w_j + b_k \\
&= A \mathbf{w} + b
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[c]$ as our solution.

P19

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Let } E(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T A \mathbf{w} + b^T \mathbf{w} \\
\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) &= A \mathbf{w} + b = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow A \mathbf{w} = -b \\
&\Rightarrow \mathbf{w} = -A^{-1}b
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[b]$ as our solution.

P20

$$\min_{w_1, w_2, w_3} \frac{1}{2} (w_1^2 + 2w_2^2 + 3w_3^2) \text{ subject to } w_1 + w_2 + w_3 = 11$$

$$\text{Let } f(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \frac{1}{2}(w_1^2 + 2w_2^2 + 3w_3^2)$$

$$\text{Let } g(w_1, w_2, w_3) = w_1 + w_2 + w_3 - 11$$

$$\begin{aligned} \because \mathcal{L}(w_1, w_2, w_3, \lambda) &= f(w_1, w_2, w_3) - \lambda g(w_1, w_2, w_3) = 0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(w_1^2 + 2w_2^2 + 3w_3^2) - \lambda(w_1 + w_2 + w_3 - 11) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1}(\mathcal{L}(w_1, w_2, w_3, \lambda)) = w_1 - \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow w_1 = \lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_2}(\mathcal{L}(w_1, w_2, w_3, \lambda)) = 2w_2 - \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow w_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_3}(\mathcal{L}(w_1, w_2, w_3, \lambda)) = 3w_3 - \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow w_3 = \frac{\lambda}{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore w_1 + w_2 + w_3 &= 11 \Rightarrow \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{3} = 11 \Rightarrow \lambda = 6 \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 = 6, w_2 = 3, w_3 = 2 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we should choose $[e]$ as our solution.

Ref: 14.8: Lagrange Multipliers

([https://math.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Calculus/Book%3A_Calculus_\(OpenStax\)/14%3A_Differentiation_of_Functions_of_Several_Variables/14.08%3A_Lagrange_Multipliers](https://math.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Calculus/Book%3A_Calculus_(OpenStax)/14%3A_Differentiation_of_Functions_of_Several_Variables/14.08%3A_Lagrange_Multipliers)).