## Week 3 - Problem Set

9/10 分 (90%)

测验, 10 个问题

## ✔ 恭喜!您通过了!

下一项



1/1分

1.

Suppose a MAC system (S,V) is used to protect files in a file system

by appending a MAC tag to each file. The MAC signing algorithm  ${\cal S}$ 

is applied to the file contents and nothing else. What tampering attacks

are not prevented by this system?

0

Changing the last modification time of a file.

#### 正确

The MAC signing algorithm is only applied to the file contents and

does not protect the file meta data.

- Replacing the contents of a file with the concatenation of two files on the file system.
- Changing the first byte of the file contents.
- Replacing the tag and contents of one file with the tag and contents of a file

from another computer protected by the same MAC system, but a different key.



1/1分

2.

Let (S,V) be a secure MAC defined over (K,M,T) where  $M=\{0,1\}^n$  and  $T=\{0,1\}^{128}$  . That is, the key space is K, message space is  $\{0,1\}^n$ , and tag space is  $\{0,1\}^{128}$ .

Which of the following is a secure MAC: (as usual, we use  $\parallel$  to denote string concatenation)

$$S'(k,m) = egin{cases} S(k,1^n) & ext{if } m=0^n \ S(k,m) & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 and

$$V'(k,m) = egin{cases} V(k,1^n,t) & ext{if } m=0^n \ V(k,m,t) & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### 未选择的是正确的

 $igcup S'((k_1,k_2),\,m)=ig(S(k_1,m),S(k_2,m)ig)$  and

$$V'ig((k_1,k_2),m,(t_1,t_2)ig) = ig[V(k_1,m,t_1) ext{ and } V(k_2,m,t_2)ig]$$

Week 3 –  $Problem(set_1, m, (t_1, t_2))$  outputs ``1" if both  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are valid tags)

9/10 分 (90%)

测验, 10 个问题

正确

a forger for (S', V') gives a forger for (S, V).

 $igcepsilon S'(k,\,m) = igl[t \leftarrow S(k,m), ext{ output } (t,t)\,igr)$  and

$$V'ig(k,m,(t_1,t_2)ig) = egin{cases} V(k,m,t_1) & ext{if } t_1 = t_2 \ "0" & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(i.e.,  $V^{\,\prime}(k,m,(t_1,t_2))$  only outputs "1"

if  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are equal and valid)

正确

a forger for (S', V') gives a forger for (S, V).

S'(k,m) = S(k,m) and

$$V'(k,m,t) = [V(k, m, t) \text{ or } V(k, m \oplus 1^n, t)]$$

(i.e.,  $V^{\,\prime}(k,m,t)$  outputs ``1" if t is a valid

tag for either m or  $m \oplus 1^n$ )

未选择的是正确的

 $S'(k,m) = S(k,m \oplus m)$  and

 $V'(k,m,t) = V(k,\ m\oplus m,\ t)$ 

未选择的是正确的

 $igcap S'(k,m) = S(k,m \oplus 1^n)$  and

 $V'(k,m,t) = V(k,m \oplus 1^n,t)$  .

正确

a forger for  $(S^{\prime},V^{\prime})$  gives a forger for (S,V) .



1/1分

3.

Recall that the ECBC-MAC uses a fixed IV (in the lecture we simply set the IV to 0). Suppose instead we chose a random IV for every message being signed and include the IV in the tag.

### Week 3 – Problem Set

9/10 分 (90%)

测验, 10 个问题 other words,  $S(k,m) := ig(r, \; \operatorname{ECBC}_r(k,m)ig)$ 

where  $\mathrm{ECBC}_r(k,m)$  refers to the ECBC function using r as the IV. The verification algorithm V given key k, message m, and tag (r,t) outputs ``1" if  $t=\mathrm{ECBC}_r(k,m)$  and outputs ``0" otherwise.

The resulting MAC system is insecure.

An attacker can query for the tag of the 1-block message m and obtain the tag (r,t). He can then generate the following existential forgery: (we assume that the underlying block cipher operates on n-bit blocks)



The tag  $(r \oplus m, t)$  is a valid tag for the 1-block message  $0^n$ .

#### 正确

The CBC chain initiated with the IV  $r\oplus m$  and applied to the message  $0^n$  will produce exactly the same output as the CBC chain initiated with the IV r and applied to the message m. Therefore, the tag  $(r\oplus m,\ t)$  is a valid existential forgery for the message 0.

- The tag  $(r, t \oplus r)$  is a valid tag for the 1-block message  $0^n$ .
- The tag  $(m \oplus t, r)$  is a valid tag for the 1-block message  $0^n$ .
- The tag  $(m \oplus t, t)$  is a valid tag for the 1-block message  $0^n$ .



1/1分

4。

Suppose Alice is broadcasting packets to 6 recipients

## Week 3B1, Problem Set not important but integrity is.

9/10 分 (90%)

测验, 10 个问题 In other words, each of  $B_1,\dots,B_6$  should be assured that the

packets he is receiving were sent by Alice.

Alice decides to use a MAC. Suppose Alice and  $B_1, \ldots, B_6$  all

share a secret key k. Alice computes a tag for every packet she

sends using key k. Each user  $B_i$  verifies the tag when

receiving the packet and drops the packet if the tag is invalid.

Alice notices that this scheme is insecure because user  $B_1$  can

use the key k to send packets with a valid tag to

users  $B_2, \dots, B_6$  and they will all be fooled into thinking

that these packets are from Alice.

Instead, Alice sets up a set of 4 secret keys  $S = \{k_1, \dots, k_4\}$  .

She gives each user  $B_i$  some subset  $S_i \subseteq S$ 

of the keys. When Alice transmits a packet she appends 4 tags to it

by computing the tag with each of her 4 keys. When user  $B_i$  receives

a packet he accepts it as valid only if all tags corresponding

to his keys in  $S_i$  are valid. For example, if user  $B_1$  is given keys  $\{k_1,k_2\}$  he will accept an incoming packet only if the first and second tags are valid. Note that  $B_1$  cannot validate the 3rd and 4th tags because he does not have  $k_3$  or  $k_4$ .

How should Alice assign keys to the 6 users so that no single user

can forge packets on behalf of Alice and fool some other user?

#### 未选择的是正确的

#### 未选择的是正确的

## 未选择的是正确的

#### 正确

Every user can only generate tags with the two keys he has.

Since no set  $S_i$  is contained in another set  $S_j$ , no user i

# Week 3 -cantroblem $\S$ ato accepting a message sent by i.

9/10 分 (90%)

测验, 10 个问题



1/1分

5.

Consider the encrypted CBC MAC built from AES. Suppose we

compute the tag for a long message m comprising of n AES blocks.

Let m' be the n-block message obtained from m by flipping the

last bit of m (i.e. if the last bit of m is b then the last bit

of m' is  $b \oplus 1$ ). How many calls to AES would it take

to compute the tag for m' from the tag for m and the MAC key? (in this question please ignore message padding and simply assume that the message length is always a multiple of the AES block size)

- $\bigcirc$  1
- ( )
- 0

#### 正确

You would decrypt the final CBC MAC encryption step done using  $k_{
m 2}$ ,

the decrypt the last CBC MAC encryption step done using  $k_1$ ,

flip the last bit of the result, and re-apply the two encryptions.





0/1分

6.

Let  $H:M \to T$  be a collision resistant hash function.

Which of the following is collision resistant:

(as usual, we use  $\parallel$  to denote string concatenation)



$$H'(m)=H(m)ig\|H(0)$$

#### 正确

a collision finder for H' gives a collision finder for H.

未选择的是正确的

Week 3 - Problemuse first 32 bits of the hash)

9/10 分 (90%)

测验, 10 个问题

#### 这个选项的答案不正确

This construction is not collision resistant

because an attacker can find a collision in time  $2^{16}$  using

the birthday paradox.

 $H'(m) = H(m) \bigoplus H(m \oplus 1^{|m|})$ 

(where  $m \oplus 1^{|m|}$  is the complement of m)

#### 未选择的是正确的

#### 正确

a collision finder for  $H^\prime$  gives a collision finder for H.

 $oxed{ \ \ } H'(m)=H(m)\oplus H(m)$ 

#### 未选择的是正确的

#### 正确

a collision finder for  $H^\prime$  gives a collision finder for H.



1/1分

7.

Suppose  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are collision resistant

hash functions mapping inputs in a set M to  $\left\{0,1\right\}^{256}$  .

Our goal is to show that the function  $H_2(H_1(m))$  is also

collision resistant. We prove the contra-positive:

suppose  $H_2(H_1(\cdot))$  is not collision resistant, that is, we are

given  $x \neq y$  such that  $H_2(H_1(x)) = H_2(H_1(y))$  .

We build a collision for either  $H_1$  or for  $H_2$ .

This will prove that if  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are collision resistant

then so is  $H_2(H_1(\cdot))$ . Which of the following must be true:

igcap Either  $H_2(x), H_2(y)$  are a collision for  $H_1$  or

x,y are a collision for  $H_2$ .

## Week 3 Problem, Set a collision for $H_1$ or

9/10 分 (90%)

测验, 10 个问题

x,y are a collision for  $H_2$ .

igcup Either x,y are a collision for  $H_1$  or

 $H_1(x), H_1(y)$  are a collision for  $H_2$ .

正确

If 
$$H_2(H_1(x))=H_2(H_1(y))$$
 then

either 
$$H_1(x) = H_1(y)$$
 and  $x \neq y$ , thereby giving us

a collision on  $H_1$  . Or  $H_1(x) 
eq H_1(y)$  but

$$H_2(H_1(x)) = H_2(H_1(y))$$
 giving us a collision on  $H_2$ .

Either way we obtain a collision on  $H_1$  or  $H_2$  as required.

igcup Either x,y are a collision for  $H_2$  or

 $H_1(x), H_1(y)$  are a collision for  $H_1$ .



1/1分

8,

In this question you are asked to find a collision for the compression function:

$$f_1(x,y) = AES(y,x) \bigoplus y$$
,

where  $\operatorname{AES}(x,y)$  is the AES-128 encryption of y under key x.

Your goal is to find two distinct pairs  $(x_1,y_1)$  and  $(x_2,y_2)$  such that  $f_1(x_1,y_1)=f_1(x_2,y_2)$  .

Which of the following methods finds the required  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$ ?

Choose  $x_1,y_1,y_2$  arbitrarily (with  $y_1 
eq y_2$  ) and let  $v := AES(y_1,x_1)$  .

Set 
$$x_2 = AES^{-1}(y_2,\ v \oplus y_2)$$

Choose  $x_1,y_1,x_2$  arbitrarily (with  $x_1 
eq x_2$  ) and let  $v := AES(y_1,x_1)$  .

Set 
$$y_2 = AES^{-1}(x_2, v \oplus y_1 \oplus x_2)$$

Choose  $x_1,y_1,y_2$  arbitrarily (with  $y_1 \neq y_2$ ) and let  $v := AES(y_1,x_1)$  .

Set 
$$x_2 = AES^{-1}(y_2,\ v \oplus y_1)$$

igcap Choose  $x_1,y_1,y_2$  arbitrarily (with  $y_1 
eq y_2$  ) and let  $v := AES(y_1,x_1)$  .

Set 
$$x_2 = AES^{-1}(y_2,\ v \oplus y_1 \oplus y_2)$$

正确

You got it!



# Week 3<sub>9</sub> - Problem Set

9/10 分 (90%)

测验, 10 个问题peat the previous question, but now to find a collision for the compression function  $f_2(x,y)= ext{AES}(x,x)igoplus y$  .

Which of the following methods finds the required  $(x_1,y_1)$  and  $(x_2,y_2)$ ?

 $igcup Choose \ x_1, x_2, y_1 \ ext{arbitrarily}$  (with  $x_1 
eq x_2$  ) and set

$$y_2=y_1\oplus AES(x_1,x_1)\oplus AES(x_2,x_2)$$

### 正确

Awesome!

Choose  $x_1, x_2, y_1$  arbitrarily (with  $x_1 
eq x_2$  ) and set

$$y_2 = AES(x_1,x_1) \oplus AES(x_2,x_2)$$

Choose  $x_1, x_2, y_1$  arbitrarily (with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  ) and set

$$y_2 = y_1 \oplus AES(x_1, x_1)$$

Choose  $x_1, x_2, y_1$  arbitrarily (with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  ) and set

$$y_2=y_1\oplus x_1\oplus AES(x_2,x_2)$$



1/1分

10

Let  $H:M \to T$  be a random hash function where  $|M| \gg |T|$  (i.e. the size of M is much larger than the size of T).

In lecture we showed

that finding a collision on H can be done with  $Oig(|T|^{1/2}ig)$ 

random samples of H. How many random samples would it take

until we obtain a three way collision, namely distinct strings x,y,z

in M such that H(x) = H(y) = H(z) ?



 $O(|T|^{2/3})$ 

#### 正确

An informal argument for this is as follows: suppose we

collect n random samples. The number of triples among the n

samples is n choose 3 which is  $O(n^3)$ . For a particular

triple x,y,z to be a 3-way collision we need H(x)=H(y)

and H(x) = H(z). Since each one of these two events happens

with probability 1/|T| (assuming H behaves like a random

function) the probability that a particular triple is a 3-way

collision is  $O(1/{\left|T
ight|^2}).$  Using the union bound, the probability

Week 3 - Problem Set 3-way collision is  $O(n^3/|T|^2)$  and since

9/10 分 (90%)

 $\leftarrow$ 

测验, 10 个问题 we want this probability to be close to 1, the bound on n

follows.

- $O(|T|^{3/4})$
- $\bigcirc \quad O\big(|T|\big)$
- $\bigcirc \quad O\big(|T|^{1/4}\big)$

