Feasibility Analysis

Part 1: Gesture → Text

- Feasible with current tech (CNNs, RNNs, Mediapipe).
 - Static gestures (ASL alphabet, numbers, common signs): Already well-solved with CNNs
 + datasets (ASL Alphabet dataset, Kaggle). Models can achieve >90% accuracy.
 - **Dynamic gestures (words/sentences with motion):** More challenging because signs are spatiotemporal. Solutions include:
 - **CNN + LSTM/GRU** \rightarrow Frame-level features \rightarrow sequence classification.
 - o **Transformers for video understanding** (e.g., TimeSformer, Video-BERT).
 - Mediapipe Hands + Pose → Extract skeletal keypoints → feed into LSTM (lighter & faster).

Limitations:

- Different sign languages exist (ASL, BSL, ISL, etc. → not universal).
- Signs depend on context, facial expressions, body posture (not just hands).
- Real-world conditions (lighting, occlusion, camera angle) hurt performance.
- Large, annotated **video datasets** for continuous sign sentences are scarce. Most datasets cover alphabets or specific words.

Part 2: Text → Gesture

- Feasible but harder than Part 1.
 - **Option 1: Pre-recorded animations** → Map each letter/word to video/3D clip. Works for simple alphabets/phrases but not scalable to full sentences.
 - Option 2: 3D Avatar Animation (Unity, Blender, WebGL) → Generate hand pose sequences based on text. Research exists (e.g., Sign3D, SignGAN, TalkingHands).
 - Option 3: Skeleton-based animation → Generate joint positions from text, animate a 3D rig.

Limitations:

- Mapping text → sign language is not 1:1. Example: English grammar ≠ ASL grammar.
 You'd need NLP translation layer (English → ASL sentence structure).
- Creating **natural-looking 3D hand movements** is technically complex (inverse kinematics, blending, hand shape accuracy).
- Datasets for **sign animations** are even rarer. Research models often rely on small corpora or motion-capture.

System-Level Constraints

1. Language & Grammar:

- o ASL/BSL etc. have their own grammar, not direct word-for-word English.
- You'll need an NLP translation module between English text ↔ sign language gloss.

2. Data Scarcity:

- Static signs: Datasets exist and are large.
- Continuous dynamic signs: Very limited, often domain-specific (e.g., RWTH-PHOENIX is just weather forecasts).

3. Hardware Requirements:

- o **Gesture** → **Text:** Can run real-time on mobile using Mediapipe.
- Text → Gesture (3D avatars): Needs GPU if real-time; otherwise can pre-render animations.

4. User Acceptance:

- Deaf communities often stress expressive face + body cues; a "hand-only" system won't be enough for full communication.
- Some may prefer avatars, others may prefer real recorded humans.

Practical Feasibility Roadmap

• MVP (Minimum Viable Product):

○ Part 1: ASL alphabet (static hand signs \rightarrow text).

- Part 2: Text → mapped video/3D clips for alphabets/words.
- Next Step: Add dynamic signs (via LSTM + skeleton keypoints).

Summary

- Part 1 (Gesture → Text): Highly feasible today, especially for alphabets and simple words.
- Part 2 (Text → Gesture): Feasible at small scale (with pre-recorded animations), but hard to generalize due to grammar differences and animation complexity.
- Major limitations: Lack of large-scale datasets, complexity of sign grammar, realism in 3D animations, and ensuring cultural/linguistic accuracy.
- Best approach: Start with alphabets and simple words, use skeleton-based Mediapipe
 + CNN/LSTM for recognition, and use pre-recorded animations or Unity avatar for text
 → gesture.

Integration Analysis of Unreal 3d model in ML

- Part 1: Gesture → Text (Sign Recognition)
 - This part is straightforward on mobile.
 - Use **TensorFlow Lite** or **MediaPipe** for gesture recognition.
 - Runs natively on Android/iOS → lightweight, works offline.
 - Output = text.
 - ✓ No big issues here.

Part 2: Text → Sign (3D Avatar Animation with Unreal)

This is trickier. Unreal Engine is heavy, and deploying **full Unreal runtime** inside a mobile app is not practical. Instead, you have **three main options**:

Option 1: Package Unreal Project into Mobile Build

Unreal Engine does support Android & iOS packaging.

- You can ship your app as a native Unreal mobile app.
- But this means the entire app runs on Unreal, not Android/iOS native + Unreal.
- If you want ML + UI + 3D avatar all inside one Unreal app, this is possible but:
 - Harder to integrate ML models (TensorFlow Lite is easier in native).
 - Heavier app size (>500MB).
 - Limited flexibility for "normal" mobile app UI.
- **t** Works best if your app is **mostly 3D avatar-based** (like a game).

Option 2: Export 3D Animations from Unreal → Integrate in Native App

- Create the sign animations in Unreal.
- Export them as **GLTF/FBX animations**.
- Use a **lightweight 3D engine in mobile** (e.g., Unity, SceneKit (iOS), ARCore (Android), or Three.js via WebView).
- Mobile app just plays the pre-baked animations.

Pros:

- Keeps ML model in native app (TensorFlow Lite, fast).
- Smaller footprint than shipping Unreal.
- Easier cross-platform deployment.

c Cons:

- You lose **Unreal's runtime flexibility** (procedural animation, physics).
- More like playing animation clips, not dynamically generating gestures.

Option 3: Use Cloud/Streaming (Pixel Streaming / Remote Rendering)

- Run Unreal on a cloud GPU server.
- Render avatar in real time.
- Stream video to the mobile app (like game streaming).
- App is thin client → just sends text and receives gesture video.

Pros:

• Full Unreal power, real-time dynamic animation.

• Easy to update gestures.

c Cons:

- Needs internet + low-latency streaming.
- Cloud GPU cost is high.
- Not feasible for offline use.
- Best Practical Approach for Mobile App

For your **Sign ↔ Text mobile app**:

- 1. Sign → Text (Recognition):
 - Use **MediaPipe Hands + TensorFlow Lite** inside app.
 - o Efficient, works on-device.
- 2. Text → Sign (Avatar):
 - Best option: Build animations in Unreal, export to GLTF/FBX, and play them in a lighter runtime (Unity, SceneKit, or Three.js-in-WebView).
 - If you want full Unreal quality → either make the whole app in Unreal or use streaming, but both have big trade-offs.
- Answer: Yes, you can integrate an Unreal-created 3D model into a mobile app but not by embedding Unreal Engine directly inside a normal Android/iOS app. The realistic approaches are:
 - Export Unreal animations → play them in a lightweight mobile 3D engine.
 - OR build the **entire app in Unreal** (heavier, less flexible).
 - OR stream from cloud (best visuals, but needs constant internet).

Production Feasibility Analysis

- 1. Gesture → Text (Sign Recognition)
- Feasible for production.

- Using MediaPipe + TensorFlow Lite is already proven in production (Google, Snapchat, fitness apps use it).
- Works offline → critical for accessibility.
- Performance:
 - o On-device inference in <50ms for static signs.
 - For dynamic sequences, you can run keypoint extraction (MediaPipe) + LSTM in TFLite, still real-time.
- Constraints:
 - Need a well-curated dataset (diverse backgrounds, lighting, skin tones).
 - For real sentences, dataset scarcity is the bottleneck (RWTH-PHOENIX is small & weather-specific).
- Mitigation:
 - Start with alphabets + common words, expand later.
 - Consider user personalization (fine-tuning to individual signing styles).
- Production grade with high feasibility.

2. Text → Sign (Avatar Animation)

This is the harder part for production, but let's split options:

Option A: Whole App in Unreal Engine

- Unreal supports iOS/Android packaging.
- Pros: Beautiful 3D avatars, procedural animation possible.
- Cons:
 - App size > 500MB (bad for mobile distribution).
 - Power-hungry, drains battery.
 - Hard to integrate ML (TensorFlow Lite doesn't embed cleanly).
 - Unreal mobile dev is harder to maintain vs. native/React Native/Flutter.
 - Not ideal for a production accessibility app unless you want a "game-like" UX.

- Create high-quality gesture animations in Unreal.
- Export to **GLTF/FBX/USDZ**.
- Play them in a lightweight 3D runtime:
 - SceneKit (iOS) / ARCore (Android) / Unity / Three.js via WebView.
- Pros:
 - Native app stays lightweight.
 - You can integrate ML easily.
 - o Animations update by just pushing new GLTF files (scalable).
- Cons:
 - o Limited dynamic flexibility → mostly pre-recorded gestures.
 - Most realistic and scalable production path.

Option C: Cloud Rendering (Pixel Streaming)

- Run Unreal on a cloud GPU, stream video to mobile.
- Mobile app is just a thin client.
- Pros: Full Unreal realism.
- Cons:
 - o Requires constant fast internet.
 - Expensive (GPU servers per user).
 - Not production-feasible for accessibility app (good for demos).

3. Key Production Constraints

- **Dataset Scarcity:** Continuous sign language datasets are small → your first production release will likely only support **alphabets + common words/phrases**, not full conversations.
- Sign Language Grammar: Text → Sign is not word-for-word. You'll need NLP-based translation (English → ASL gloss). Otherwise, output won't be true ASL/BSL.
- **Performance:** Mobile GPUs are weak; avoid heavy 3D runtimes.
- App Size: Apple/Google have strict size & performance guidelines. Unreal-based builds may be too heavy.

• Accessibility Acceptance: Deaf communities value facial expressions + non-manual markers; a hand-only system will be seen as incomplete.

Feasibility Verdict

- Gesture → Text: ✓ 100% feasible for production today.
- Text → Sign:
 - Unreal-in-app X impractical (size, battery, ML integration).
 - Cloud rendering X too costly, internet-dependent.
 - Export animations (GLTF/FBX) + lightweight 3D runtime best production path.

Recommended Production Architecture

Mobile App (React Native / Flutter / Native)

- Part 1 (Sign → Text):
 - Mediapipe Hands → Keypoints
 - TensorFlow Lite (CNN/LSTM) → Predicted text
- Part 2 (Text → Sign):
 - NLP (English → ASL Gloss)
 - Animation mapping (text tokens → GLTF animation clips)
 - Render via SceneKit (iOS) / ARCore (Android) or Unity/Three.js.

This way:

- The ML runs locally (fast, offline).
- The avatar animations are lightweight and updateable.
- The app stays <100MB, passes app store checks.

Conclusion:

For **production**, the project is **feasible**, but the **3D** avatar must not depend on Unreal runtime. Instead, use Unreal for **content creation only** (animation exports), and integrate those animations into a lightweight runtime on mobile.