MCP for Pryima: A Governance-Ready Protocol for Safe, Auditable Tool Use in Precision Health

Pryima Research Series · September 2025

Authors: Pryima Intelligence Lab

Abstract

Transformer-class LLMs excel at reasoning over text, but real-world healthcare requires more than raw language capability. Systems must safely call tools, fetch and ground context, preserve privacy, and produce audit-ready outputs across a shifting landscape of EHRs, labs, wearables, and patient-reported data. Ad-hoc integrations are brittle and non-verifiable. This paper outlines Pryima's adoption of the Model Context Protocol (MCP) as the core interface for tools, memory, and retrieval—turning a capable model into a governance-ready clinical assistant. We describe how MCP standardizes tool discovery and invocation, enforces capability-scoped permissions, and yields structured provenance suitable for HIPAA environments and rigorous quality management.

Thesis: MCP turns "tool-using LLMs" into **policy-enforced**, **auditable systems** by separating what the model *can* do (capabilities), what it *may* do (policy), and what it *did* (traceable evidence).

Why MCP (Model Context Protocol) for Pryima

Healthcare AI must meet four hard constraints simultaneously: **privacy**, **safety**, **reliability**, and **regulatory auditability**. MCP addresses each by design.

- Standardized Tooling: A uniform way for assistants to discover, describe, and call tools (EHR fetch, lab ordering, biosensor import, cohort analytics) via MCP "servers," avoiding one-off adapters.
- Capability Security: Least-privilege capability tokens and human/automated policy checks ensure a tool is only used with allowed parameters and scopes.
- Context & Memory: Structured retrieval (RAG) plus tiered memory (ephemeral, session, governed durable) to avoid long-term PHI leakage and enable selective forgetting.

 Provenance-by-Default: Every call yields signed traces (inputs, outputs, model prompts, tool schemas, policy decisions), enabling post-hoc review, incident analysis, and CFR-11-style records.

Pryima's MCP Topology (Overview)

- **MCP Assistant:** Orchestrates reasoning, calls tools via MCP servers, and enforces conversation-level policies.
- MCP Tool Servers (Zero-Trust Boundaries):
 - 1. Clinical Data Server: read-only EHR, FHIR APIs, claims; PHI gatekeeping.
 - 2. **Biometrics Server:** Dexcom/CGM, wearables, EMG, sleep, HRV, load.
 - 3. **Bioinformatics Server:** pipelines for labs, omics, reference ranges, derived features.
 - 4. **Cohort Analytics Server:** de-identified aggregates for research/ops.
 - 5. Communications Server: templated, approved patient/provider messaging.
- Policy & Verification Layer: pre-/post-conditions, guardrails for contraindications, safety classifiers, and human-in-the-loop stops.
- Audit & Governance Bus: tamper-evident traces to secure storage; real-time dashboards for compliance and quality.

[PASTE FIGURE HERE]

Figure 1. Pryima's MCP-orchestrated health-intelligence stack with PHI boundaries, policy checks, and provenance streams.

Design Objectives (Clinical-Grade)

1. **Safety-by-Design:** hard stops on risky actions; verified tool schemas; unit tests for prompts/tools.

- 2. **Privacy First:** PHI minimization, scoped redaction, differential access by role, on-prem/edge options.
- 3. **Reliability:** idempotent, observable tool calls; retries with backoff; SLOs for latency/availability.
- 4. **Auditability:** cryptographically signed **execution traces**; evidence packages for reviews and incidents.
- 5. **Interoperability:** FHIR, HL7, and common wearable SDKs wrapped as MCP tools; future-proof to vendor churn.

Contributions (This Work)

- A reference MCP topology for HIPAA-aware environments in precision wellness and bioinformatics.
- A **capability model** mapping clinical tasks (e.g., CGM import, lab interpretation, protocol drafting) to least-privilege tools.
- A **tiered memory architecture** separating ephemeral reasoning from governed durable context.
- A **verification flow** that pairs policy checks with human attestation for high-impact actions.
- An audit & provenance schema aligned with internal quality management and external review needs.

1. Problem Context: Fragmented Tools, High Stakes

Pryima operates at the intersection of **AI**, **bioinformatics**, **precision health**, **and real-time physiological monitoring**. The platform must ingest structured EHR data, continuous wearable signals, and lab results; reason about them; and draft **clinically sensible**, **compliance-ready** outputs. Without a protocol, tool use becomes opaque, permissions sprawl, and auditing is after-the-fact. **MCP replaces bespoke glue with a principled**, **reviewable contract** between models, tools, and policy—so every recommendation carries a verifiable trail.

Keywords: Model Context Protocol, tool orchestration, HIPAA, provenance, verification, RAG, bioinformatics, precision health.