50.054 Syntax Analysis

ISTD, SUTD

Learning Outcomes

- 1. Describe the roles and functionalities of lexers and parsers in a compiler pipeline
- 2. Describe the top-down parsing

Recap Monad

- List Monad
- ▶ Option Monad and EitherErr Monad
- ► Reader Monad (with ReaderReader)
- State Monad (with StateMonad)

Recap Functor, Applicative and Monad

```
Functor (map)
        Applicative (ap, pure) <- ApplicativeError (raiseError)
           Monad (bind) <-- MonadError
Monad[List] ReaderMonad[R] <: Monad[ReaderM[R]] (ask, local)</pre>
Monad[Option] StateMonad[S] <: Monad[StateM[S]] (set, get)</pre>
```

Back to the big picture

- ► We want to build a compiler
- ▶ We just use Scala/FP as the implementation tool

Compiler Pipeline

► Recall compilation is a process of mapping source language to target language in the target platform

Lexing

- ▶ Input source program in string, i.e. a list of characters.
- ► Output a list of lexical tokens
- ▶ Fails when something it can't be recognized as a lexical token

What is a lexical token?

A lexical token is a terminal from the syntax grammar.

<<Grammar 1>>

What are the non terminals? What are the terminals?

Terminals = lexical tokens

What is a lexical token?

A lexical token is a terminal from the syntax grammar.

<<Grammar 1>>

- ▶ The set of tokens are i, ', s [,], $\{$, $\}$, , : and .
- ▶ However *i* denotes an integer, *s* denotes a string.
- The lexer should be able to handle that, e.g.
 - if the leading character is a digit, consume the following digits, then create an int token.
 - ▶ if the leading character is a ', consume everything until we see another '. then create a string token.

Lexical Token data type

```
enum LToken { // lexical tokens
    case IntTok(v:Int)
    case StrTok(v:String)
    case SQuote
    case LBracket
    case RBracket
    case LBrace
    case RBrace
    case Colon
    case Comma
    case WhiteSpace
```

Lexer

```
{'k1':1,'k2':[]}
The lexer function lex(s:String):List[LToken] should return
List(LBRace,SQuote,StrTok("k1"),SQuote,Colon,IntTok(1),Comma,SQuote,StrTok("k2"),SQuote,Colon,LBracket,RBrace)
```

Regex





```
import scala.util.matching.Regex.*

val date = raw"(\d{4})-(\d{2})-(\d{2})".r

"2004-01-20" match {
   case date(year, month, day) =>
       s"${year} was a good year for PLs."
}
```

Implementing a Lexer using Regex

```
import scala.util.matching.Regex.*
import LToken.*
type Error = String
val integer = raw"(\d+)(.*)".r
val string = raw"([^]]*)(.*)".r
val squote = raw"(\[](.*)".r
val lbracket = raw"(\[])(.*)".r
val rbracket = raw"(\[](.*)".r
val rbrace = raw"(\[](.*)".r
val colon = raw"(.)(.*)".r
```

```
def lex one(src:String):
   Either[String, (LToken, String)] = src match {
    case integer(s, rest) =>
       Right((IntTok(s.toInt), rest))
   case squote( , rest) =>
       Right((SQuote, rest))
    case lbracket( , rest) =>
       Right((LBracket, rest))
    case rbracket( , rest) =>
        Right((RBracket, rest))
    case lbrace(_, rest) =>
       Right((LBracket, rest))
   case rbrace( , rest) =>
       Right((RBracket, rest))
   case colon(_, rest) =>
       Right((Colon, rest))
    case comma(_, rest) =>
       Right((Comma, rest))
    case string(s, rest) =>
       Right((StrTok(s), rest))
   case =>
       Left(s"lexer error")
```

Implementing a Lexer using Regex def lex(src:String):Either[Error, List[LToken]] = {

Using existing Lexer Generators

```
def go(src:String, acc:List[LToken]):Either[Error, List[LToken]] = {
        if (src.length == 0) { Right(acc) }
        else { lex_one(src) match {
                case Left(error) => Left(error)
                 case Right((ltoken, rest)) =>
                     go(rest, acc++List(ltoken))
    go(src, List())
Other implementation approaches
 Using Parser Combinator
     ► We will look into this again
```

Compiler Pipeline

Parsing

- ► Input A list of lexical tokens.
- ► Output A parse tree
- ► Fails when the input can't be parsed by grammar rule.

Parsing

- ► Input A list of lexical tokens.
- Output A parse tree
- ► Fails when the input can't be parsed by grammar rule.

```
def parse(tokens:List[LToken]):??? = ???
```

what should the returned type be?

Abstract Syntax Tree

```
<<Grammar 1>>
                       (JSON) J ::= i | 's' | [] | [IS] | {NS}
                     (Items) IS ::= J, IS \mid J
             (Named Objects) NS ::= N, NS \mid N
              (Named Object) N ::= 's' : J
enum Json {
    case IntLit(v:Int)
    case StrLit(v:String)
    case JsonList(vs:List[Json])
    case JsonObject(flds:Map[String,Json])
```

Scala Map data type

- ► Map[K,V] is a predefined data type
- ► Like java Map and Python dictionary

```
val m:Map[String, Int] = Map(
    "key1" -> 1,
    "key2" -> 10,
)
val m2 = m + ("key3" -> 11)
val ov:Option[Int] = m2.get("key2") // Some(10)
```

Parsing

```
enum Json {
    case IntLit(v:Int)
    case StrLit(v:String)
    case JsonList(vs:List[Json])
    case JsonObject(flds:Map[String,Json])
val input = List(LBRace,SQuote,StrTok("k1"),SQuote,Colon,IntTok(1),Comma,SQuote,
StrTok("k2"),SQuote,Colon,LBracket,RBrace)
val expected = Some(JsonObject(
    Map(
        "k1" -> IntLit(1).
        "k2" -> JsonList(Nil)
def parse(tokens:List[LToken]):Option[Json] = ???
```

Parsing

```
(JSON) J ::= i | 's' | [] | [IS] | {NS}
                     (Items) IS ::= J, IS \mid J
             (Named Objects) NS ::= N, NS \mid N
              (Named Object) N ::= 's' : J
def parse(toks:List[LToken]):Option[Json] = toks match {
    case Nil => // Done? what to return?
    case (t::ts) if t is digit => {
        val i = parse an int(toks); Some(IntLit(i)) }
    case (t::ts) if t is '\'' => {
        val s = parse_a_str(toks); Some(StrLit(s)) }
    case (t::ts) if t is '[' => {
        val l = parse a list(toks); Some(JsonList(1)) }
    case (t::ts) => {
        val m = parse_a_map(toks); Some(JsonObject(m)) }
} // Can we always decide which path to go by checking t?
```

Quick summary

- ► Compiler pipeline
- Lexing
- Parsing
- ► Top-down Parsing