assignment4_part2

March 2, 2021

```
[1]: version = "v1.12.012921"
```

1 Assignment 4 Part 2: Counting in a Data Stream (50 pts)

In this assignment, we're going to implement two algorithms for counting items in a data stream.

```
[2]: import json
    from emoji import UNICODE_EMOJI
    def extract_emojis(text):
        Extract all emojis from a str
        return [ch for ch in text if ch in UNICODE_EMOJI]
    class TwitterStream:
        Used to simulate a Twitter stream.
        def __init__(self, data_file):
            self.data_file = data_file
            self.data = open(self.data_file, "r")
        def __iter__(self):
            return self.reset()
        def __next__(self):
            next_line = self.data.readline()
            if next_line:
                return json.loads(next_line)["text"]
            else:
                raise StopIteration
        def __del__(self):
```

```
if not self.data.closed:
    self.data.close()

def reset(self):
    if not self.data.closed:
        self.data.close()
    self.data = open(self.data_file, "r")
    return self
```

Above we have imported the same TwitterStream class defined in Part 1 to simulate a Twitter stream. Remember, we are still facing one of the biggest challenges in mining data streams, that we have limited storage capacity for the very high volume of incoming data, which may arrive at a very high velocity as well. However, if we are only interested in the distribution of some simple items, such as emojis in this case, it might be possible to obtain approximate counts directly without curating a sample like what we did in Part 1. So let's now start exploring that possibility.

Again, there's a helper function extract_emojis available that helps you extract all emojis from a piece of text, and the variable UNICODE_EMOJI is a collection of all emojis that are circulating around the world.

1.1 Question 1: Bloom Filters (25 pts)

Recall from the lectures that a Bloom filter doesn't really count items in a data stream but is able to tell * that an item has *definitely not appeared* in the data stream so far; or

• that an item has *possibly appeared* in the data stream so far.

In this question, we'll implement a Bloom filter for emojis in a Twitter stream.

A partially completed BloomFilter class is given to you below. It already has the two key ingradients of a Bloom filter: a number of slots to record the appearance of an item and a collection, hash_fns, of hash functions to compute the fingerprint of an item. Your job is to complete the following two functions:

- check_appearance: it receives a single item and returns a bool value indicating whether the item has appeared or not so far;
- do_filtering: it receives a stream object and iterates over the stream. During each iteration, it extracts all emojis from a tweet, computes the fingerprint of each emoji and records the appearance of each emoji accordingly, as specified in the lecture slides. Finally, it returns a copy of the slots of your BloomFilter for grading at every iteration, which you don't need to worry about. However, please do make sure that you don't inadvertently change the indentation of the yield statement.

There is also an accompanying HashFunction class that provides simple and deterministic hash functions. Once instantiated, they behave just like ordinary Python functions. For example, the code below computes the fingerprint of , assuming we have 7919 (the 1000-th prime number) slots.

```
[3]: class HashFunction:
    def __init__(self, num_slots):
        self.num_slots = num_slots
```

```
def __call__(self, x):
    return (hash(self) + hash(x)) % self.num_slots
h1, h2 = HashFunction(7919), HashFunction(7919)

# The two hash functions are distinct, but both are deterministic
print(h1(""), h2(""))
print(h1(""), h2(""))
del h1, h2
```

1027 1097 1027 1097

It's worth noting that two different instantiations of the HashFunction class lead to two distinct hash functions, in that they assign different fingerprints to the same emoji. However, they are both deterministic, in that they always assign the same fingerprint to an emoji regardless of how many times you apply them. Every time you re-run the code above, the two hash functions will change and so will the fingerprints, but they will always be deterministic. These two properties may have some implications on your debugging strategies later on.

```
[4]: import numpy as np
   class BloomFilter:
        def __init__(self, num_slots, num_hash_fns):
            self.slots = np.zeros(num_slots, dtype=int)
            self.hash_fns = [HashFunction(num_slots) for _ in range(num_hash_fns)]_
     →# A list of distinct hash functions
        def check_appearance(self, item):
            Returns a bool value indicating whether an item has appeared or not
            has_appeared = True
            for hsh_fn in self.hash_fns:
                if self.slots[hsh_fn(item)] == 0:
                    has appeared = False
            return has_appeared
        def do_filtering(self, stream):
            Iterates over a stream, collects items of interest, calculates the \sqcup
     \rightarrow fingerprints and records the appearance
            HHHH
```

```
self.slots = np.zeros_like(self.slots) # reset the slots
            for item in stream: # iterate over the stream
                for emoji in extract_emojis(item): #Extract emojis and iterate over_
     \rightarrow each
                    if self.check appearance(emoji) == False: #Checks to see if emoji___
     \rightarrow has appeared
                         fingerprint = [hsh_fn(emoji) for hsh_fn in self.hash_fns]__
     →#Gets fingerprint of emoji
                         self.slots[fingerprint] = 1 #Records emoji appearance
                # returns a copy of slots at the end of every iteration for grading ...
     →- code given
                yield self.slots.copy()
[5]: # Autograder tests
    from emoji import UNICODE_EMOJI
    twitter_stream = TwitterStream("assets/tweets")
    num_slots, num_hash_fns = 7919, 5
    stu_ans = BloomFilter(num_slots, num_hash_fns)
    # Collect emojis that appeared and that didn't appear
    emojis_appeared = set()
    for tweet in twitter stream:
        emojis_appeared = emojis_appeared.union(extract_emojis(tweet))
    emojis_not_appeared = set(UNICODE_EMOJI.keys()) - emojis_appeared
    # Do filtering. Don't have to collect the results. Just exhaust the stream
    for _ in stu_ans.do_filtering(twitter_stream):
        pass
    # Check that the check_appearance function returns a bool
    assert isinstance(stu_ans.check_appearance(""), (bool, np.bool_)), "Q1: Your_
     \rightarrowcheck_appearance function should return a bool value. "
    # Check that every item that appeared should be marked as appeared -_{\sqcup}
     \rightarrow correctness
    for emoji in emojis_appeared:
        assert stu_ans.check_appearance(emoji), f"Q1: {emoji} appeared but is_u
     →marked as not appeared. "
```

1.2 Question 2: Lossy Counter (25 pts)

With reference to the lecture slides, let's now implement a lossy counter for emojis. The lossy counter should maintain counts of all emojis seen so far and only update the counts once a "bucket" of tweets arrive. The "update" of counts should include increments due to the emojis contained in the new bucket and decrements because we want to gradually get rid of less recent emojis.

Again, a partially completed LossyCounter class is given to you below. Your job is to complete the do_counting function. It receives a stream object and iterates over the stream. Once a bucket of tweets have fully arrived, it updates the emoji counts as specified in the lecture slides. It returns a copy of the counts of your LossyCounter for grading at every iteration, which you don't need to worry about. However, please do make sure that you don't inadvertently change the indentation of the yield statement and that there is always a yield statement being executed at every iteration.

A few notes on implementation:

- The autograder expects that all the requisite updates to emoji counts, including both increments and decrements, have been performed when it starts to check your self.counts for grading, immediately after a full bucket of tweets have arrived. For example, if self.bucket_size == 5, the autograder will examine the content of your self.counts for grading right after the fifth tweet has been consumed by your LossyCounter;
- When your LossyCounter is dropping an emoji, it's not enough to set the count of that emoji
 to zero. The emoji must be completely deleted from your counts, as if it never appeared
 (why?);
- You have complete freedom in how you'd like to implement the "bucket". In fact, not being a sampling algorithm, your LossyCounter doesn't have to actually store tweets in a bucket. You only need to make sure the emoji counts are updated correctly when a full bucket of tweets have arrived, since that's all what the autograder checks.

• In the extreme case where the bucket size is greater than or equal to the total number of tweets in the stream, your LossyCounter should not be lossy anymore, that is, we don't do decrements but only increments, since we would have enough capacity to count the emojis exactly.

```
[6]: from collections import defaultdict
    class LossyCounter:
        def __init__(self, bucket_size):
            self.bucket_size = bucket_size
            self.counts = defaultdict(int) # recommended to use defaultdict, but any
     →ordinary dict works fine too
        def do_counting(self, stream):
            Iterates over a stream, counts the items and drops the infrequent ones \sqcup
     \rightarrow in a bucket
            11 11 11
            self.counts.clear() # reset the counts
            num_items_in_bucket = 0 # optional: the current number of items in the_
     → "bucket"
            for item in stream: # iterate over the stream
                #Fill bucket with (bucket size) tweets
                for emoji in extract_emojis(item):
                    self.counts[emoji] += 1 #Count emojis until bucket is filled
                num_items_in_bucket +=1 #increase bucket count
                #Dump Bucket and adjust counts if bucket size is met
                if num_items_in_bucket == bucket_size:
                     #Decrease counts of all emojis by one to remove infrequent_
     \rightarrow items
                     emojis_to_del = []
                     for key in self.counts:
                         self.counts[key] -= 1
                         #Record nonextistent keys to be popped off
                         if self.counts[key] <= 0:</pre>
                             emojis_to_del.append(key)
                     #Remove nonexistent emojis (Can't change dict size the first
     \rightarrow time around)
                    for k in emojis_to_del:
                         del self.counts[k]
                     #Reset bucket count
                    num_items_in_bucket = 0
```

```
# returns a copy of counts at the end of every iteration for
     \rightarrow grading - code given
                yield self.counts.copy()
[7]: from collections import defaultdict
   bucket size = 100
   stu_ans = LossyCounter(bucket_size)
   # Do counting. Don't have to collect the results. Just exhaust the stream
   for _ in stu_ans.do_counting(TwitterStream("assets/tweets")):
       pass
   sorted_counts = {emoji: stu_ans.counts[emoji] for emoji in sorted(stu_ans.
    ⇒counts.keys(), key=stu_ans.counts.get, reverse=True)}
   print(sorted_counts)
   {'': 1304, '': 911, '': 592, '': 401, '': 318, '': 317, '': 236, '':
   231, '': 228, '': 207, '': 205, '': 175, '': 106, '': 97, '': 94, '':
   77, '': 72, '': 69, '': 53, '': 47, '': 44, '': 25, '': 22, '': 21, '':
   18, '': 16, '': 13, '': 13, '': 12, '': 11, '': 11, '': 11, '': 10, '':
   10, '': 9, '': 9, '': 7, '': 7, '': 7, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '':
   5, '': 5, '': 5, '': 5, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '':
   4, '': 3, '': 3, '': 2, '': 2, '': 2, '': 2, '': 2, '': 2, '': 2, '':
   2, '': 2, '': 2, '': 2, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '':
   1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1}
[8]: # Autograder tests
   from collections import defaultdict
   twitter_stream = TwitterStream("assets/tweets")
   # Sanity checks for a trivial case - use a large bucket size to include all _{\!\!\! \sqcup}
    \rightarrow tweets
   bucket_size = 100000
   stu_ans = LossyCounter(bucket_size)
   # Collect all emojis that appeared
   emojis_appeared = set()
   for tweet in twitter_stream:
        emojis_appeared = emojis_appeared.union(extract_emojis(tweet))
   # Do counting. Don't have to collect the results. Just exhaust the stream
   for _ in stu_ans.do_counting(twitter_stream):
```

```
pass
assert isinstance(stu ans.counts, dict), "Q2: You should store counts in a dict.
__ II
assert len(stu_ans.counts) == len(emojis_appeared), f"Q2: The length of your_
→emoji counts ({len(stu_ans.counts)}) differs from the correct answer
assert not (emojis_appeared - set(stu_ans.counts.keys())), f"Q2: Your emoji_
-counts don't include {emojis_appeared - set(stu_ans.counts.keys())}. "
assert not (set(stu_ans.counts.keys()) - emojis_appeared), f"Q2: Your emoji_
 →counts contain extra emojis: {set(stu_ans.counts.keys()) - emojis_appeared}.⊔
 \hookrightarrow II
# Re-define variables for the hidden tests
bucket size = 100
stu_ans = LossyCounter(bucket_size)
stu counts = stu ans.do counting(twitter stream)
# Some hidden tests
del twitter_stream, stu_ans, stu_counts, emojis_appeared, bucket_size
```

Let's see what the emoji distribution is after all tweets are processed.

```
{'': 1304, '': 911, '': 592, '': 401, '': 318, '': 317, '': 236, '': 231, '': 228, '': 207, '': 205, '': 175, '': 106, '': 97, '': 94, '': 77, '': 72, '': 69, '': 53, '': 47, '': 44, '': 25, '': 22, '': 21, '': 18, '': 16, '': 13, '': 13, '': 12, '': 11, '': 11, '': 11, '': 10, '': 10, '': 9, '': 9, '': 7, '': 7, '': 7, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 5, '': 5, '': 5, '': 5, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 4, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, '': 6, ''
```

```
2, '': 2, '': 2, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1, '': 1,
```

Visualised in a bar graph, the emoji distribution seems to resemble a Power Law distribution. A few emojis are used a lot while the majority of the emojis are rarely used.

```
[10]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline

fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(10, 6))
ax.bar(range(len(sorted_counts)), sorted_counts.values())
ax.set_xlabel("Rank")
ax.set_ylabel("Frequency")
ax.set_title("Emoji Distribution")

del fig, ax
```

