

The Importance of Being Bryce

Kaiyu Hang Francisco Vina Michele Colledanchise Karl Pauwels Alessandro Pieropan Danica Kragic

Abstract—In this paper we will present our framework used in the Amazon Picking Challenge in 2015 and some lessons-learned that may prove useful to researchers and future teams participating in the competition. The competition proved to be a very useful occasion to integrate the work of various researchers at the Robotics, Perception and Learning laboratory of KTH, measure the performance of our robotics system and define the future direction of our research.

I. INTRODUCTION

There are three main criteria engineers evaluate when determining the need of robots in certain applications: dirty, dull and dangerous. Those are known as the 3D of Robotics. The application proposed by the Amazon Picking Challenge meets certainly the second criteria as picking and placing objects in boxes could be a very repetitive and boring job. However, despite the defined and controlled environment the application of robots is still very challenging due to the nature of the objects to handle. In this work we present the framework we develop at the Robotic, Perception and Learning lab (RPL) in 2015 with the purpose of sharing the lessons learned with the community. First we will describe the platform used in the competition in Sec.II to motivate the strategy we adopted in Sec.III. Then we will describe the core of our system that controls the whole pipeline of actions using Behavior Trees (BTs) in Sec.IV. Then we will describe our perception module starting with the localization of the shelf in Sec.V and detections of the objects VI. Finally we will describe our grasping strategy in Sec. VII and draw some conclusion about the limitation of our system in Sec.VIII.

II. PLATFORM

III. STRATEGY

In 2015 the competition consisted in picking one object from each of the 12 bins of the shelf within 20 minutes. Each bin could contain from 1 up to 4 objects making the recognition and grasping of objects increasingly difficult. In order to design our strategy we had 3 main limitations to consider. First the PR2 could not reach the highest level of the shelf where 3 bins were located. Second two of the objects of the competition were bigger than the maximum aperture of the PR2 gripper. Third, it takes the PR2 about 30 seconds to raise the torso from the lowest position to the highest. Given the time requirements that operation resulted very expensive. Therefore our strategy consisted in starting from the lowest level of the shelf giving priority to the bins with one or two

objects. Once the bins were cleared the PR2 would raise the torso to address the next level of the shelf. The more complex bins with multiple objects were left at the end disregarding the level they were located.

IV. BEHAVIOR TREES

BTs are a graphical mathematical model for reactive fault tolerant action executions. They were introduced in the video-game industry to control non-player characters, and they are now an established tool appearing in textbooks [1], [2] and generic game-coding software such as Pygame1, Craft AI 2, and the Unreal Engine3. In robotics, BTs are appreciated for being highly modular, flexible and reusable, and have also been shown to generalize other successful control architectures such as the Subsumption architecture, Decision Trees [3] and the Teleo-reactive Paradigm [4]. In our framework, the use of BTs allowed us to have a control architecture that is:

- **Reactive:** The Reactive execution allowed us to have a system that rapidly reacts to unexpected changes. For example, if an object slips out of the robot gripper, the robot will automatically stop and pick it up again without the need to re-plan or change the BT; or if the position of a robot is lost, the robot will re-execute the routine of the object detection.
- **Modular:** The Modular design allowed us to subdivide the behavior into smaller modules, that were independently created and then used in different stages of the project. This design allowed our heterogeneity developers' expertise, letting developers implement their code in their preferred programming language and style.
- **Fault Tolerant:** The fault tolerant allowed us to handle actions failure by composing different actions meant for the same goals in a fallback. (e.g. different types of grasps).

V. SHELF LOCALIZATION AND BASE MOVEMENT

As described in Sec. II, we used a PR2 as our robot platform. Since the arms' reachability of PR2 is relatively small in comparison to the shelf size, it is not feasible to define a fixed location for the robot to achieve the required task. As such, we have to exploit the mobility to enlarge the working space, so that the robot would be able to reach and grasp from most of the shelf bins, as well as loading the grasped objects into the order bin.

For this, shelf localization, which serves as the only landmark in the workcell, is essential for our system to guide

All team members contributed equally in this work.

the robot navigating between different grasping positions. Since the robot movement accumulates localization errors, it is necessary to localize the shelf in real time to close the control loop for base movement.




Fig. 1. *Left*: An example of shelf localization shown in rviz. The x and y coordinates of shelf_frame is defined as the center of two front legs, while the height of it is the same as the base_laser_link. *Right*: The bin frames are located at the right bottom corner of each bin.

As shown in Fig. 1, we use the base laser scanner to localize the two front legs of the shelf. Observing that the shelf is located in front of the robot, where no any other objects are close by. Therefore, it is reasonable to find the closest point cluster and consider it as one of the legs, while the remaining cluster is considered as another leg. However, this is not a reliable procedure as there could be noise or other unexpected objects, e.g., human legs. As such, our shelf localization consists of two procedures as follows:

- **Detection:** Once the front legs are detected, before the system starts to autonomously work on assigned tasks, a human supervisor needs to confirm to the robot that the detection is correct. In case when the detection is incorrect, we need to clear the occlusions in front of the shelf until a confirmation is made.
- **Tracking:** While the robot is moving, given that we know the motion model of the robot, we update the shelf localization using a Kalman filter.

Having localized the shelf, we further estimate the shelf bin frames based on the known mesh model, see Fig. 1. As will be described in Sec. 1, knowing the bin frames will facilitate the grasp planning in our system.

VI. VISION

A. Simtrack

We created high-quality 3D textured models from all objects provided for the challenge using the approach described in [5]. To summarize briefly, each object is placed on a textured background consisting of a collection of newspapers and approximately 40 pictures are taken from various angles. The object is then constructed using Autodesk123D catch services [6] and postprocessed to separate it from the background, remove holes, reduce the mesh resolution, etc. For the texture-based detection discussed next, we also extracted SIFT-keypoints [7] from images synthesized by rendering the model from multiple viewpoints. The final object models were used for detection and grasp planning.

A large proportion of the challenge objects contained sufficient texture for keypoint-based pose detection. When such

objects were present in the bin, we relied on a texture-based pose estimation method. We used high resolution images obtained from a Logitech C920 webcam mounted on the robot head. We processed a central 1152×768 pixel region of the full 2304×1536 input image for object detection. The robot head was always oriented towards the bin under consideration so that this region of interest was guaranteed to contain the objects. Our object pose detection framework, SimTrack, first extracts SIFT-features from the image and matches these to the database of SIFT features extracted from the object models in the modeling stage. In the detection stage, the objects are identified, and a rough initial pose is obtained. This pose is then iteratively refined by rendering the textured object models at the current pose estimate, and locally matching their appearance to the observed image [8]. SimTrack uses a phase-based optical flow algorithm in this refinement (or tracking) stage to reduce sensitivity to intensity differences between model and observed image. SimTrack exploits the rich appearance and shape information of the models and correctly handles occlusions within and between objects. SimTrack is publicly available as a ROS module [9].

B. Volumetric Reasoning

VII. GRASPING

Once the target object is detected, the object frame is obtained from our vision system. In order to grasp the object, since our PR2 is equipped with parallel grippers, we need to decide from which position and in which direction the gripper is going to approach the object. For this, we always project the object frame based on the bin frame such that the X axis of the object frame points inwards the shelf, and the Z axis points downwards. Note that in order to ensure that the object can be approached without collision, we labeled all objects in offline so that the X axis returned from the vision system can be always approached, i.e. the gripper is wide enough to grasp the corresponding side. Thereafter, similarly to the grasping stages in [10], our grasping system works in the following steps:

- **Pre-grasping:** The gripper is posed in front of the bin and aligned in X axis of object frame, the gripper's opening direction is aligned in the XY plane of object frame.
- **Approaching:** The gripper approaches the object in X direction until a pre-determined depth in the object frame is reached.
- **Grasping and lifting:** The gripper closes and lifts the object to a pre-determined height. At this point, we read the gripper's joint angle to check whether the object is grasped. If not, the system will return failure and give control back to the behavior tree. Otherwise, it returns success and continue to the next step.
- **Post-grasping:** When the object is grasped, it is not trivial to plan a motion to move the arm out of the shelf, especially for large objects. Therefore, we first move the object to the bin center, and then move the

whole robot backwards. Once the object is out of the shelf, the robot go to the order bin and put the object into it.

In cases when the target object is very small, e.g., tennis ball and duck toy etc., the approaching procedure shown above is not able to reach the object due to the collision with the bin bottom. In those cases, our system will first try to approach the above area of the object, and then move downwards to reach the desired grasping pose. It is worthwhile noticing that, dividing the grasping motion into steps significantly increased the success rate for MoveIt! to find solutions within a short time limitation, since the above steps efficiently guides the motion planner through narrow passages posed by the small bin areas.

VIII. CONCLUSION

We presented the framework used in the competition in 2015 and all the challenges we had to face. With hindsight we would have brought our own robot to the competition since many of the issue we had to address were related to the robot provided at the venue, leaving us no time to calibrate and tune our framework. Moreover the team lacked in mechanical expertise restricting our choice of the platform to the PR2, the most suited robot we had in our laboratory at the time. It would have been nice to design a specialized robot for the competition as other teams did.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Millington and J. Funge, *Artificial intelligence for games*. CRC Press, 2009.
- [2] S. Rabin, *Game AI Pro*. CRC Press, 2014, ch. 6. The Behavior Tree Starter Kit.
- [3] M. Colledanchise and P. Ögren, “How Behavior Trees Modularize Hybrid Control Systems and Generalize Sequential Behavior Compositions, the Subsumption Architecture, and Decision Trees,” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 372–389, April 2017.
- [4] M. Colledanchise and P. Ögren, “How Behavior Trees Generalize the Teleo-Reactive Paradigm and And-Or-Trees,” in *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, October 2016.
- [5] F. T. Pokorny, Y. Bekiroglu, K. Pauwels, J. Butepage, C. Scherer, and D. Kragic, “A database for reproducible manipulation research: CapriDB — Capture, Print, Innovate,” *Data in Brief*, vol. 11, pp. 491–498, 2017.
- [6] “Autodesk,” <http://www.123dapp.com>, accessed: 2017-05-01.
- [7] D. G. Lowe, “Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints,” *IJCV*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 91–110, 2004.
- [8] K. Pauwels and D. Kragic, “SimTrack: A simulation-based framework for scalable real-time object pose detection and tracking,” in *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, Hamburg, Germany, 2015.
- [9] “SimTrack,” <https://github.com/karlpauwels/simtrack>, accessed: 2017-05-01.
- [10] K. Hang, M. Li, J. A. Stork, Y. Bekiroglu, F. T. Pokorny, A. Billard, and D. Kragic, “Hierarchical fingertip space: A unified framework for grasp planning and in-hand grasp adaptation,” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 960–972, Aug 2016.